



Diversity and Habitat Preferences of Cultivated and Uncultivated Aerobic Methanotrophic Bacteria Evaluated Based on *pmoA* as Molecular Marker

Claudia Knief*

Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation – Molecular Biology of the Rhizosphere, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Svetlana N. Dedysh, Winogradsky Institute of Microbiology, Russia Academy of Science, Russia

Reviewed by:

Marc Gregory Dumont, University of Southampton, UK Levente Bodrossy, CSIRO Ocean and Atmosphere, Australia Paul Bodelier, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Netherlands

> ***Correspondence:** Claudia Knief knief@uni-bonn.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Terrestrial Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 30 September 2015 Accepted: 16 November 2015 Published: 15 December 2015

Citation:

Knief C (2015) Diversity and Habitat Preferences of Cultivated and Uncultivated Aerobic Methanotrophic Bacteria Evaluated Based on pmoA as Molecular Marker. Front. Microbiol. 6:1346. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01346 Methane-oxidizing bacteria are characterized by their capability to grow on methane as sole source of carbon and energy. Cultivation-dependent and -independent methods have revealed that this functional guild of bacteria comprises a substantial diversity of organisms. In particular the use of cultivation-independent methods targeting a subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase (pmoA) as functional marker for the detection of aerobic methanotrophs has resulted in thousands of sequences representing "unknown methanotrophic bacteria." This limits data interpretation due to restricted information about these uncultured methanotrophs. A few groups of uncultivated methanotrophs are assumed to play important roles in methane oxidation in specific habitats, while the biology behind other sequence clusters remains still largely unknown. The discovery of evolutionary related monooxygenases in non-methanotrophic bacteria and of pmoA paralogs in methanotrophs requires that sequence clusters of uncultivated organisms have to be interpreted with care. This review article describes the present diversity of cultivated and uncultivated aerobic methanotrophic bacteria based on pmoA gene sequence diversity. It summarizes current knowledge about cultivated and major clusters of uncultivated methanotrophic bacteria and evaluates habitat specificity of these bacteria at different levels of taxonomic resolution. Habitat specificity exists for diverse lineages and at different taxonomic levels. Methanotrophic genera such as Methylocystis and Methylocaldum are identified as generalists, but they harbor habitat specific methanotrophs at species level. This finding implies that future studies should consider these diverging preferences at different taxonomic levels when analyzing methanotrophic communities.

Keywords: methanotrophic bacteria, pmoA, diversity, phylogeny, habitat specificity, ecological niche

OCCURRENCE AND ROLE OF METHANE-OXIDIZING BACTERIA

The activity of methane-oxidizing bacteria contributes significantly to the global methane budget. Methane is the second most abundant carbon compound in the atmosphere with a current concentration of 1.8 ppmv and a 26-fold stronger radiative efficiency compared to carbon dioxide (IPCC, 2013). The major sink of atmospheric methane is its oxidation by OH radicals, but soils also serve as sink by about 5% due to the activity of methanotrophic bacteria (IPCC, 2013). Moreover,

methanotrophs are of particular importance in attenuating net fluxes of this greenhouse gas into the atmosphere in diverse ecosystems that are sources of atmospheric methane (De Visscher et al., 2007; Reeburgh, 2007; Conrad, 2009). Known sources are freshwater and permafrost ecosystems, some animal species and termites, and the release of methane from geological processes, wildfires and hydrates. Another 50-65% of the total emissions are due to anthropogenic activities including ruminant husbandry, fossil fuel extraction and use, rice paddy agriculture and emissions from landfills and waste, resulting in a current elevation of the atmospheric methane concentration by a factor of 2.5 compared to preindustrial times (IPCC, 2013). All these ecosystems with source function for atmospheric methane are typical habitats of methane-oxidizing bacteria. These include freshwater and marine sediments and water columns, aquifers, floodplains, peat bogs, high-arctic, and tundra wetlands, upland soils, rice paddies, landfill covers, and sewage sludge (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Conrad, 2007; Bowman, 2014).

Besides their importance in the global methane cycle, aerobic methanotrophic bacteria are of biotechnological interest since a long time. They can be used for biodegradation processes of organic pollutants based on the fact that the key enzyme for methanotrophy in these organisms, the methane monooxygenase, catalyzes diverse non-specific oxidation reactions, e.g., of chlorinated solvents such as trichloroethylene (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Smith and Dalton, 2004; Dalton, 2005; Jiang et al., 2010; Semrau et al., 2010; Strong et al., 2015). Moreover, methanotrophs have been studied in detail with regard to their potential to convert methane to complex organic molecules of higher value. Since the 1970s, methanotrophic bacteria have been studied for single cell protein production (Dalton, 2005). Besides, biopolymers such as polyhydroxybutyrate, metabolic products such as organic acids, vitamins, pigments or lipids (for biodiesel production) may be produced from methane by methanotrophs (Strong et al., 2015). Further possible applications for biosynthesis processes are based on the co-metabolic activities of the methane monooxygenase, e.g., for epoxide production via the conversion of propene to epoxypropane (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Dalton, 2005). Moreover, researchers address the question to what extent methanotrophic bacteria can be used to increase reduction of methane emissions from anthropogenic sources such as landfills or coal mines (Jiang et al., 2010).

DIVERSITY AND ECOPHYSIOLOGY OF CULTIVATED METHANOTROPHIC BACTERIA

Brief History About the Cultivation of Aerobic Methanotrophic Bacteria and Current Diversity and Phylogeny of Cultivated Methanotrophs

Methanotrophic bacteria have been studied since the beginning of the last century, initiated by the work of Kaserer (1905)

and Söhngen (1906) who reported for the first time the existence of methane-oxidizing bacteria. The first isolates were methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria, among them Methylomonas methanica, initially referred to as "Bacillus methanicus" (Söhngen, 1906), and Methylococcus capsulatus (Foster and Davis, 1966). Extensive enrichment and isolation work by Whittenbury et al. (1970b) led to isolates of further Gammaproteobacteria and the genera Methylocystis and Methylosinus, i.e., the first methanotrophic Alphaproteobacteria. During the following years and with the availability of molecular methods for the rapid identification and classification of bacteria, several existing strains were reclassified and new genera were described (e.g., Bowman et al., 1993, 1995; Bodrossy et al., 1997). In particular the work of the last 10 years has resulted in a doubling of the number of known genera and species. Currently, 18 genera of cultivated aerobic methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria and 5 genera of Alphaproteobacteria are known, represented by approx. 60 different species (Table 1). The number of Gammaproteobacteria increases to 20 if "Candidatus Crenothrix polyspora" and "Candidatus Clonothrix fusca" are included. These genera do not contain cultivated representatives but were only studied in natural enrichments so far (Stoecker et al., 2006; Vigliotta et al., 2007). To give an exact number of known methanotrophic taxa at species level is difficult because the taxonomic status of some species, e.g., "Methylomonas rubra," Methylococcus chroococcus, Methylococcus mobilis or Methylococcus thermophilus is unclear (Table 2). In addition to the species considered in this review, more species have been described in the (early) literature, in particular within the genera Methylomonas and Methylocystis (e.g. Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Gal'chenko et al., 1977), but these were never validated. Several of them will probably be members of species that have been described in the meantime. For an overview of non-validated species with uncertain taxonomic position the reader is referred to Green (1992) or the relevant chapters in taxonomic textbooks (Bowman, 2005a,b, 2014).

The known diversity of aerobic methanotrophic bacteria was further expanded by the detection of methanotrophic bacteria within the phylum *Verrucomicrobia* (**Table 3**). Their existence was described in three independent studies in 2007 and 2008 (Dunfield et al., 2007; Pol et al., 2007; Islam et al., 2008) and they were reported to represent distinct species of the genus "*Methylacidiphilum*" (Op den Camp et al., 2009). Recently, a second genus within the newly formed methanotrophic family *Methylacidiphilaceae* was proposed, "*Methylacidimicrobium*," also consisting of three species (van Teeseling et al., 2014).

Phylogenetically, the methanotrophic *Alphaproteobacteria* belong to two families, the *Methylocystaceae* and *Beijerinckiaceae* (**Figure 1, Table 1**). Both families include additional genera of non-methanotrophic bacteria. Nearly all methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* are classified into the families *Methylococcaceae* or the recently delineated *Methylothermaceae* (Hirayama et al., 2014). These families do not contain any non-methanotrophic bacteria. "*Candidatus* Crenothrix polyspora" is the only exception as it belongs to a distinct family, the *Crenotrichaceae*, but this classification was put into question by Op den Camp et al. (2009), who proposed that *Crenothrix* could

Type strain ^a Culture collection numbers	Type	Isolation source ^b	(Eco-) physiology	pMMO present	<i>mmoX</i> sequence	References ^c
Methylocystaceae						
Methylocystis bryophila H2s DSM 21852, VKM B-2545	lla	Peat bog lake	Facultative methanotrophic, moderate acidophilic	Yes	FN422005	Belova et al., 2013
Methylocystis echinoides 2 IMET 10491, LMG 27198, NCIMB 13100, UNIQEM 25, VKM B-2128	<u>a</u>	Sewage treatment plant	Facultative methanotroph	Yes	Not detected	Gai'chenko et al., 1977; Bowman et al., 1993
Methylocystis heyeri H2 DSM 16984, VKM B-2426	lla	Peat bog lake	Facultative methanotrophic, moderate acidophilic	Yes	AM283545	Dedysh et al., 2007
Methylocystis hirsuta CSC1 ATCC BAA-1344, DSM 18500, LMG 27832	lla	Groundwater		Yes	DQ664498	Lindner et al., 2007
Methylocystis parvus OBBP * ACM 3309, ATCC 35066, IMET 10483, NCIMB 11129, UNIQEM 38, VKM B-2129	<u>a</u>	(Soil and fresh water sediments)		Yes	Not detected	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Bowman et al., 1993; del Cerro et al., 2012
Methylocystis rosea SV97 ATCC BAA-1196, DSM 17261, LMG 27835	lla	Arctic wetland soil		Yes	Not detected	Wartiainen et al., 2006b
Methylosinus sporium 5 ACM 3306, ATCC 35069, DSM 17706, IMET 10545, NCIMB 11126, UNIQEM 60	lla	Rice paddy		Yes	DQ386732	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Bowman et al., 1993
Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b* ACM 3311, ATCC 35070, IMET 10543, NCIMB 11131, UNIQEM 75, VKM B-2117	<u>a</u>	(Soil, fresh water sediments, groundwater)		Yes	X55394	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Bowman et al., 1993; Stein et al., 2010
Beijerinckiaceae						
Methylocapsa acidiphila B2 * DSM 13967, NCIMB 13765	q	Peat bog	Moderate acidophilic	Yes	Not detected	Dedysh et al., 2002; Tamas et al., 2014
Methylocapsa aurea KYG DSM 22158, VKM B-2544	qII	Forest soil	Moderate acidophilic, facultative methanotrophic	Yes	Not detected	Dunfield et al., 2010
Methylocapsa palsarum NE2 LMG 28715, VKM B-2945	qII	<i>Sphagnum</i> from a palsa	Moderate acidophilic	Yes	Not detected	Dedysh et al., 2015a
Methylocella palustris K* ATCC 700799	qII	Peat bog	Moderate acidophilic, facultative methanotrophic	No	AJ458535	Dedysh et al., 2000
Methylocalla silvestris BL2 CIP 108128, DSM 15510, LMG 27833, NCIMB 13906	ଣ	Forest soil	Moderate acidophilic, facultative methanotrophic	oN	AJ491848	Dunfield et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2010
Methylocella tundrae T4 DSM 15673, LMG 27838, NCIMB 13949	qII	Tundra peatland	Moderate acidophilic	No	AJ555245	Dedysh et al., 2004
Methyloferula stellata AR4 * DSM 22108, LMG 25277, VKM B-2543	ଣ	Peat bog	Moderate acidophilic	No	FR686346	Vorobev et al., 2011; Dedysh et al., 2015b
^a Type species representing the respective genus are marked with an asterisk. ^b Information given in brackets refers to information obtained from the analysis of a related strain, since this information was not available for the type strain of the species. ^c Listed publications describe the initial isolation, current classification and genome sequence of the strain as far as already available.	ed with an ed from the lassification	asterisk. a analysis of a related stra and genome sequence c	n, since this information was not av f the strain as far as already availal	ailable for the type stra	ain of the species.	

TABLE 1 | Taxonomic and physiological characteristics of aerobic methanotrophic Alphaproteobacteria.

Knief

3

Methylococcaceae Methylobacter luteus 53v* ACM 3304, ATCC 49878, IMET 10584, NCIMB				sequence		
8, IMET 10584, NCIMB						
11914, UCM 53B, VKM 53B	<u>a</u>	Sewage		Not analyzed	Methylococcus luteus Methylobacter bovis Methylococcus bovis Methylococcus fulvus	Romanovskaya et al., 1978; Bowman et al., 1993
Methylobacter marinus A45 ACM 4717	a	Seawater sediment		Not detected	Methylomonas marinus	Lidstrom, 1988; Bowman et al., 1993
Methylobacter psychrophilus Z-0021 VKM B-2103	a	Tundra	Psychrophilic	Not analyzed		Omelchenko et al., 1996
Methylobacter tundripaludum SV96 ATCC BAA-1195, DSM 17260	ø	Tundra soil		Not detected		Wartiainen et al., 2006a; Svenning et al., 2011
Methylobacter whittenburyi Y ACM 3310, ATCC 51738, NCIMB 11128	ø	Lake sediment		Not analyzed	Methylobacter capsulatus Methylococcus whittenburyi Methylobacter vinelandii Methylococcus vinelandii	Romanovskaya et al., 1978; Bowman et al., 1993
Methyloglobulus morosus KoM1 * DSM 22980, JCM 18850	a	Lake sediment	Perferentially microaerophilic	Not detected		Poehlein et al., 2013; Deutzmann et al., 2014
Methylomarinum vadi IT-4* DSM 18976, JCM 13665	la	Marine hydrothermal system	Halophilic	Not detected		Hirayama et al., 2013
Methylomicrobium agile A30 * ACM 3308, ATCC 35068, NCIMB 11124	a	Sewage		Not detected	Methylomonas agile Methylobacter agilis	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Bowman et al., 1995; Hamilton et al., 2015
Methylomicrobium album BG8 ACM 3314, ATCC 33003, NCIMB 11123, VKM-BG8	a	Soil		Not detected	Methylomonas albus Methylobacter albus	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Bowman et al., 1995; Kits et al., 2013
Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum 20Z DSM 19304, LMG 27836, NCIMB 14124, VKM B-2133	a	Soda lake	Moderate halophilic, alkaliphilic	Not detected	Methylobacter alcaliphilus	Khmelenina et al., 1997; Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2008; Vuilleumier et al., 2012
Methylomicrobium buryatense 5B VKM B-2245	a	Soda lake	Moderate halophilic	AOTL0000000		Kaluzhnaya et al., 2001; Khmelenina et al., 2013
Methylomicrobium japanense NI FERM BP-5633, NBRC 103677, VKM B-2462	a	Marine sediment	Slightly halophilic	AB253366		Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2008
N.	a	Soda lake	Moderate halophilic, alkaliphilic	Not detected		Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2008
Methylomicrobium pelagicum AA-23 ACM 3505, NCIMB 2265	a	Marine	Moderate halophilic	Not detected	Methylomonas pelagica Methylobacter pelagicus	Sieburth et al., 1987; Bowman et al., 1995
Methylomonas aurantiaca JB103 ACM3406, UQM 3406	a	Sewage		Not analyzed		Bowman et al., 1990
"Methylomonas denitrificans" FJG	<u>a</u>	Soil		Not detected		Kits et al., 2015

December 2015 | Volume 6 | Article 1346

Type strain ^a Culture collection numbers	Type	Isolation source ^b	(Eco-) physiology	<i>mmoX</i> sequence	Synonym/basonym	References ^c
Methylomonas fodinarum LD2 ACM3268, UQM 3268	a	Coal mine drainage water		Not analyzed		Bowman et al., 1990
<i>Methylomonas koyamae</i> Fw12E-Y JCM 16701, LMG 26899, NBRC 105905, NCIMB 14606	<u>a</u>	Rice paddy		Not detected		Ogiso et al., 2012
Methylomonas lenta R-45377 LMG 26260, JCM 19378	Ø	Manure		Not detected		Hoefman et al., 2014a
Methylomonas methanica S1* ACM 3307, ATCC 35067, IMET10543, NCIMB 11130, UNIQEM 8, VKM B-2110	<u>a</u>	(Freshwater sediment, lake, pond water, swamply soil)		Not detected	Bacillus methanicus Methanomonas methanica Pseudomonas methanica	Söhngen, 1906; Whittenbury and Krieg, 1984
Methylomonas paludis MG30 DSM 24973, VKM B-2745	<u>a</u>	Peat bog	Acid-tolerant	Not detected		Danilova et al., 2013
<i>"Methylomonas rubra"</i> 15m ^d ACM3303, NCIMB11913, UCM B-3075, VKM-15m	a	Coal mine drainage water		Not analyzed	Methylomonas rubrum	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Romanovskaya et al., 2006
Methylomonas scandinavica SR5 VKM B-2140	<u>a</u>	Groundwater	Psychrotolerant	Not detected		Kalyuzhnaya et al., 1999
Methyloprofundus sedimenti WF1 * ATCC BAA-2619, LMG 28393	a	Marine sediment	Moderate halophilic	Not detected		Tavormina et al., 2015
<i>Methylosarcina fibrata</i> AML-C10 * ATCC 700909, DSM 13736	ø	Landfill cover soil		Not detected		Wise et al., 2001; Hamilton et al., 2015
Methylosarcina lacus LW14 ATCC BAA-1047, DSM 16693, JCM 13284	a	Lake		Not detected		Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2005, 2015
Methylosarcina quisquiliarum AML-D4 ATCC 700908, DSM 13737	ø	Landfill cover soil		Not detected		Wise et al., 2001
Methylosoma difficije LC2 * DSM 18750, JCM 14076	<u>a</u>	Lake sediment	Microaerobic	Not detected		Rahalkar et al., 2007
Methylosphaera hansonii AM6 * ACAM 549	<u>ø</u>	Lake	Psychrophilic, moderate halophilic	Not detected		Bowman et al., 1997
Methylovulum miyakonense HT12* ATCC BAA-2070, DSM 23269, NBRC 106162	<u>a</u>	Forest soil		AB501288		Iguchi et al., 2011; Hamilton et al., 2015
Methylocaldum gracije 14L NCIMB 11912, VKM-14L	ସ	Fresh water mud	Thermotolerant	Not detected	Methylomonas gracilis	Romanovskaya et al., 1978; Bodrossy et al., 1997
Methylocaldum marinum S8 DSM 27392, NBRC 109686	ସ	Marine sediment	Thermotolerant, moderate halophilic	AB900160		Takeuchi et al., 2014
Methylocaldum szegediense OR2*	ସ	Water from hot spring	Moderate thermophilic	Not detected		Bodrossy et al., 1997
Methylocaldum tepidum LK6	q	Agricultural soil	Thermotolerant	Not detected		Bodrossy et al., 1997
<i>Methylococcus capsulatus</i> Texas* ACM1292, ATCC 19069, NCIMB 11853, UNIQEM 1	<u>_</u>	Sewage sludge	Thermotolerant	AMCE0000000		Foster and Davis, 1966; Kleiveland et al., 2012

TABLE 2 | Continued

(Continued)

Type strain ^a Culture collection numbers	Type	Isolation source ^b	(Eco-) physiology	<i>mmoX</i> sequence	Synonym/basonym	References ^c
Methylococcus chroococcus 9 ⁶	<u>e</u>	(Soil, fresh water sediments, groundwater)		Not analyzed	Methylobacter chroococcum?	Whittenbury et al., 1970b; Romanovskaya et al., 1978
<i>Methylococcus mobilis</i> LMD 77.28 ^e NCCB 77028	ସ	Active silt		Not analyzed		Hazeu et al., 1980
Methylococcus thermophilus VKM-2Yu ^f ACM3585, IMV-B 3037	ଘ	(Mud, soils, pond sediment)	Thermophilic	Not analyzed		Malashenko et al., 1975
Methylogaea oryzae E10 * DSM 23452, JCM 16910	ନ୍ଦ	Rice paddy		Not detected		Geymonat et al., 2010
Methylomagnum ishizawai RS11D-Pr DSM 29768, JCM 18894, KCTC 4681, NBRC 109438	q	Rice rhizosphere		AB983338		Khalifa et al., 2015
Methyloparacoccus murrellii R-49797 * LMG 27482, JCM 19379	ସ	Pond water		Not detected		Hoefman et al., 2014b
"Candidatus Clonothrix fusca" ^g (no pure culture)		Drinking water		Not analyzed		Vigliotta et al., 2007
Methylothermaceae						
Methylohalobius crimeensis 10Ki* ATCC BAA-967, DSM 16011	<u>0</u>	Hypersaline lake sediment	Moderate halophilic	Not detected		Heyer et al., 2005; Sharp et al., 2015
Methylomarinovum caldicuralii IT-9 * DSM 19749, JCM 13666	<u>0</u>	Marine hydrothermal system	Moderate thermophilic, moderate halophilic	Not detected		Hirayama et al., 2014
Methylothermus subterraneus HTM55 DSM 19750, JCM 13664	<u>0</u>	Hot aquifer	Moderate thermophilic	Not detected		Hirayama et al., 2010
<i>Methylothermus thermalis</i> MYHT [∗] IPOD FERM P-19714, VKM B-2345	<u>0</u>	Hot spring	Moderate thermophilic, halotolerant	Not detected		Tsubota et al., 2005
Crenotrichaceae						
<i>"Candidatus</i> Crenothrix polyspora" ^g (no pure culture)		Drinking water	Facultative methanotrophic	Not detected		Stoecker et al., 2006
^a Type species representing the respective genus are marked with an asterisk. ^b Information given in brackets refers to information obtained from the analysis of a related strain, since this information was not available for the type strain of the species.	ked with ar	i asterisk. e analysis of a related strain,	, since this information was	not available for the ty	pe strain of the species.	

e According to Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, strains of these species do not exist anymore and the species are not considered as valid (Bowman, 2003a), but at least for Methylococcus mobilis, this appears not to be

⁹Candidatus Crenothrix polyspora and Clonothrix fusca do not contain any cultured type strains and the genus Clonothrix is not validated according to the list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature (Parte, 2014), therefore these genera are referred to as Candidatus; according to Op den Camp et al. (2009) the validated family Crenotrichaceae is phylogenetically a subset of the Methylococcaceae.

According to Bodrossy et al. (1997), the type strain is no longer available and the available strain M. thermophilus strain IMV-B3122 (NCIMB 13419) is actually a strain of Methylocaldum tepidum.

Frontiers in Microbiology | www.frontiersin.org

TABLE 2 | Continued

6

correct, as the type strain is listed in the NCCB catalog.

Type strain Type Isolation source Wertvcomicrobia, Methylacidiphilaceae	rce (Eco-)physiology Thermophilic, acidophilic Thermophilic, acidophilic	mmoX sequence	Synonym/basonym	References ^a
≡ ≡ ≡ 9C 3B 9C 3B	Thermophilic, acidophilic Thermophilic, acidophilic			
" 3B == AC ==	Thermophilic, acidophilic Thermophilic, acidophilic			
≡ ≡ ≡ ∀C	Thermophilic, acidophilic	Not detected		van Teeseling et al., 2014
AC III	-	Not detected		van Teeseling et al., 2014
=	Thermophilic, acidophilic	Not detected		van Teeseling et al., 2014
E	ood Thermophilic, acidophilic	Not detected	"Acidimethylosilex fumarolicum"	Pol et al., 2007; Op den Camp et al., 2009; Khadem et al., 2012
"Methylacidiphilum infernorum" V4 III Soil, geothermal area	Thermophilic, acidophilic	Not detected	"Methylokorus infernorum"	Dunfield et al., 2007; Hou et al., 2008; Op den Camp et al., 2009
"Methylacidiphilum kamchatkense" Kam1 III Hot spring	Thermophilic, acidophilic	Not detected	"Methyloacida kamchatkensis"	Islam et al., 2008; Op den Camp et al., 2009; Erikstad and Birkeland, 2015
CANDIDATE DIVISION NC10				
"Candidatus Methylomirabilis oxyfera" NC10 - River sediment	t Anaerobic	Not detected		Ettwig et al., 2010
I		INOI DELECIED		

as available. strain as far ²Listed publications describe the initial isolation, current classification and genome sequence of the Diversity and Habitat Specificity of Methanotrophs

be a member of the Methylococcaceae, based on its 16S rRNA gene phylogeny (Figure 1).

Classification of Cultivated Methanotrophic Bacteria into Type I and Type II Methanotrophs?

The characterization of several new genera of methanotrophs in the 1970s and 1980s resulted in the classification into two major groups, type I and type II methanotrophs based on physiological, morphological, ultrastructural and chemotaxonomic traits (Whittenbury and Dalton, 1981). Major distinctive characteristics between type I and type II methanotrophs were the arrangement of internal membranes as vesicular discs (type I) or paired membranes aligned to the cell periphery (type II), the carbon fixation mechanism via the ribulose monophosphate pathway (type I) or serine cycle (type II), the capability of nitrogen fixation, the formation of resting stages, and the predominance of specific C_{16} (type I) or C_{18} (type II) fatty acids (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Trotsenko and Murrell, 2008). In some studies, type X methanotrophs were further differentiated from type I methanotrophs based on characteristics such as the presence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase, differences in nitrogen fixation capability or higher optimum growth temperatures (Green, 1992; Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Bowman, 2006). Phylogenetic analyses of 16S rRNA gene sequences confirmed this classification, whereby type I and type X methanotrophs correspond to the Gammaproteobacteria and type II to the Alphaproteobacteria. However, the characterization of several new genera and species during the last years has turned this distinction based on the mentioned criteria largely into question. While the major carbon fixation pathway is still a distinctive feature, several other characteristics are no longer exclusively found in one or the other group:

- (1) Methanotrophic Beijerinckia species are not considered as typical type II methanotrophs as most of them lack the characteristic internal membrane system. Some may have vesicles instead, but only Methylocapsa palsarum has a well-developed membrane system. Furthermore, the genera Methylocella and Methyloferula do not possess the particulate methane monooxygenase (Dedysh et al., 2000, 2002, 2004, 2015a; Dunfield et al., 2003, 2010; Vorobev et al., 2011).
- (2) All methanotrophic Beijerinckia species lack the classical 18:1w8c signature fatty acid of type II methanotrophs (Dedysh et al., 2000, 2002, 2004, 2015a; Dunfield et al., 2003, 2010; Vorobev et al., 2011). Similarly, Methylosinus sporium does not possess this signature fatty acid (Bodelier et al., 2009).
- (3) *Methylocystis heyeri* possesses with 16:1ω8c a signature fatty acid of type I methanotrophs (Dedysh et al., 2007).
- (4) Most members of the Methylothermaceae have signature fatty acids of type II methanotrophs: Methylohalobius crimeensis, Methylothermus subterraneaus, and Methylomarinovum caldicuralii contain 18:1ω7c among their major fatty acids (Heyer et al., 2005; Hirayama



sequences (right tree). The neighbor joining trees were calculated using the ARB software package (Ludwig et al., 2004) based on 1556 nucleotide positions with Jukes Cantor correction or 160 amino acid positions with Kimura correction, respectively. PmoA sequences of *Methylobacter luteus, Methylobacter whittenburyi*, and *Methylomicrobium pelagicum* are not available from the type strains, but were taken from a different strain representing the species. The 16S rRNA gene based tree was rooted with sequences of methanogenic *Archaea* (AB301476, M60880, AB065296, AM114193, AB196288), the PmoA tree with AmoA sequences of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (NC_004757, X90822). Dots label branch points that were confirmed in maximum likelihood trees. The scale bars display 0.10 changes per nucleotide or amino acid position.

et al., 2010, 2014). The fourth member of this family, *Methylothermus thermalis*, contains $18:1\omega$ 9c, a C₁₈ fatty acid neither abundant in the other *Methylothermaceae* nor in type II methanotrophs (Tsubota et al., 2005).

- (5) Methanotrophic *Verrucomicrobia* do not fit well into the scheme as most of them lack the typical intracytoplasmic membranes (only exception "*Methylacidimicrobium fagopyrum*") and have distinct dominant fatty acids (i14:0, a15:0) (Op den Camp et al., 2009; van Teeseling et al., 2014).
- (6) Further differentiation criteria such as nitrogen fixation capability, the formation of resting stages, or the optimum growth temperature, which were initially applied, are not indicative for one or the other type anymore.

Based on these exceptions, the initial concept of type I and II methanotrophs is no longer useful to categorize all known aerobic methanotrophic bacteria and it has been proposed to

abandon it (Op den Camp et al., 2009; Semrau et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the terms are still frequently used and adapted to the increasing diversity of methanotrophs, but should only be considered as synonyms for the phylogenetic groups of methanotrophic *Alpha-* and *Gammaproteobacteria*. In this way the terms will be used in this review.

The methanotrophic *Alphaproteobacteria* were recently divided into type IIa (*Methylocystaceae*) and type IIb (*Beijerinckiaceae*) methanotrophs (Deng et al., 2013; Dumont et al., 2014). Likewise, the methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* are frequently differentiated into subgroups. Often they are divided into two groups, whereby the genera *Methylococcus*, *Methylocaldum*, *Methylogaea* and the *Methylothermaceae* form type 1b methanotrophs, while the remaining gammaproteobacterial genera are grouped as type 1a methanotrophs (Chen et al., 2008; Deutzmann et al., 2011; Dumont et al., 2011; Siljanen et al., 2011; Krause et al.,

2014). Some recent studies differentiated the methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria into three type I subgroups, but this categorization is not consistent among different publications. A taxonomic review referred to the clade consisting of Methylococcus, Methylocaldum, Methylogaea, Methyloparacoccus as type Ia and to members of the family Methylothermaceae as type Ic, while the remaining Gammaproteobacteria represented type Ib methanotrophs (Bowman, 2014). In contrast, in some cultivation independent studies the above mentioned frequent grouping into type Ia and Ib was applied and extended by introducing type Ic, comprising pmoA sequences of uncultivated taxa (USCy, JR2, JR3, OPU1) and the amoA sequence of Nitrosococcus (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Henneberger et al., 2012; Dumont et al., 2014). It is thus referring to a group of uncultivated methanotrophs. Such a further differentiation of the methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria appears useful to refer to the specific subgroups of cultivated and uncultivated methanotrophs easily. In this review, the nomenclature of type Ia and Ib methanotrophs as applied in diverse cultivationindependent studies is kept, while the Methylothermaceae are referred to as type Ic methanotrophs (Table 2). The clade of Nitrosococcus and related uncultivated clusters represent type Id organisms when discussing diversity based on pmoA phylogeny. Methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia are referred to as type III.

Ecophysiology of Aerobic Methanotrophic Bacteria

Aerobic methanotrophic bacteria occur in terrestrial, aquatic and marine ecosystems, typically at oxic-/anoxic interfaces, where oxygen is available as electron acceptor and methane as carbon and energy source, which is released as end product from the anaerobic degradation of organic matter. They are likewise present in diverse upland soils where they are responsible for atmospheric methane oxidation or become temporarily active when higher concentrations of methane are available (Knief et al., 2006; Dunfield, 2007; Kolb, 2009). The ecology of methanotrophic bacteria has been reviewed in diverse articles and will not be discussed in detail here (e.g., Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Conrad, 2007; Semrau et al., 2010; Chowdhury and Dick, 2013; Bowman, 2014). The focus in this article is on physiological adaptations to particular environmental conditions in relation to phylogeny.

In terms of metabolic adaptations, some methanotrophic bacteria show higher versatility than initially thought. They are capable of growing on carbon compounds with C-C bond, while most methanotrophic bacteria are obligate methanotrophs. The existence of such facultative methanotrophs had been debated for a long time (reviewed in Theisen and Murrell, 2005; Semrau et al., 2011), until it was rigorously proven for *Methylocella silvestris* BL2 (Dedysh et al., 2005). This strain has the broadest versatility currently known among methanotrophs; besides C_1 -compounds, it can use a variety of organic acids including acetate, pyruvate, propionate, succinate, malate, and gluconate, alcohols such as ethanol and 2-propanol and the gaseous compounds ethane and propane (Crombie and Murrell, 2014). Growth on acetate is more efficient than on methane and methane monooxygenase expression is downregulated in the presence of acetate (Dedysh et al., 2005; Theisen et al., 2005). In contrast, methane and propane are consumed simultaneously in this strain (Crombie and Murrell, 2014). A facultative lifestyle with a much narrower substrate range has been reported for other members of the genus Methylocella and for Methylocapsa aurea (Table 1), but it is not a general feature of all methanotrophic Beijerinckiaceae. Moreover, several Methylocystis strains including diverse type strains are able to grow on acetate or ethanol, but with growth rates 3-10-fold lower compared to growth on methane (Belova et al., 2011; Im et al., 2011). Gene expression of methane monooxygenase appears not to be regulated by acetate in these methanotrophs (Belova et al., 2011; Yoon et al., 2011). It remains to be proven whether the capability to grow on acetate is linked to phylogeny within this genus. Crenothrix polyspora is the only methanotrophic gammaproteobacterium for which uptake of acetate and, to lesser extent, glucose, has been reported (Stoecker et al., 2006), but besides evidence from fluorescence in situ hybridization experiments coupled to microautoradiography (FISH-MAR) performed on natural enrichments, this phenomenon has not been further proven. It is obvious that a facultative lifestyle can provide a benefit for methanotrophic bacteria. However, the relevance of facultative methanotrophy in nature remains little understood, and linked to this the question to what extent a facultative life style may influence methane emissions in the environment. Only few studies have analyzed the consumption of methane and alternative substrates under in situ conditions so far. In mire samples, acetate addition resulted in a reduction of methane emission rates and decreased *pmoA* expression rates of Methylocystis (Wieczorek et al., 2011). Likewise, acetate addition decreased methane oxidation rates and stimulated growth of Methylocystis in paddy soil samples. Stable isotope probing with ¹³C-labeled acetate under aerobic conditions resulted in a labeling of Methylocystis in these samples, demonstrating that the labeled carbon was somehow metabolized and incorporated by the cells (Leng et al., 2015).

Another aspect that has repeatedly been addressed is the adaptation to low methane concentrations. The observations made in competition experiments with isolates grown in continuous culture and in incubations with rice field soils resulted in the frequently cited conclusion that type I methanotrophs are more competitive under low methane concentrations compared to type II methanotrophs (Graham et al., 1993; Henckel et al., 2000b; Macalady et al., 2002). This seems to apply to ecosystems as long as methane supply remains at a rather high level, but when methane concentrations drop below 1000 or even 100 ppmv for prolonged periods of time, *Methylocystaceae* have the better potential to remain active (Knief and Dunfield, 2005).

Most methanotrophic bacteria are mesophilic and neutrophilic organisms, but several isolates were obtained from more extreme habitats and are specifically adapted to lower or higher pH, temperature, salt or oxygen concentrations (Trotsenko and Khmelenina, 2002). Methanotrophic bacteria adapted to warmer or colder temperatures are found in a couple

of distinct genera of Gammaproteobacteria, often side by side with mesophilic species (Table 2). Among the methanotrophic Alphaproteobacteria adaptations to temperatures outside the mesophilic range appear to be uncommon. Outstanding are the verrucomicrobial methanotrophs, which represent the most thermophilic methanotrophs (optimum temperature 55-60°C) (Op den Camp et al., 2009). These are at the same time acidophiles, with pH optima for growth between 2.0 and 4.3. All isolates were obtained from geothermally influenced environments (Op den Camp et al., 2009; van Teeseling et al., 2014). The occurrence of these thermoacidophilic methanotrophs appears to be largely restricted to such geothermal environments, in particular to acidic conditions, while they seem to have a broader temperature range, as revealed by cultivation-dependent and -independent analyses (Sharp et al., 2014; van Teeseling et al., 2014).

An adaptation to mildly acidic pH values (growth optima between 5.0 and 6.0) is characteristic for methanotrophic Beijerinckiaceae and some Methylocystis strains, which were mostly isolated from acidic peatlands or forest soils (Table 1). Cultivation-independent analyses suggest that the occurrence of Methylocella is not limited to these acidic environments (Rahman et al., 2011). Less common are acidophilic methanotrophs among the Gammaproteobacteria. Members of the species Methylomonas paludis have been described as acid-tolerant and are inhabitants of acidic peatlands (Danilova et al., 2013; Danilova and Dedysh, 2014). Methanotrophs that are adapted to high pH values are found within the Gammaproteobacteria, in particular within the genus Methylomicrobium. The occurrence of alkaliphilic methanotrophs is not restricted to the class of Gammaproteobacteria, the isolation of an alkaliphilic Methylocystis isolate has also been reported (Eshinimaev et al., 2008). Some alkaliphilic Gammaproteobacteria are at the same time halophiles (Methylomicrobium alcaliphium and Methylomicrobium kenyense), isolated from soda lakes (Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2008). Methanotrophic bacteria that were isolated from marine ecosystems are also adapted to higher salt concentrations and are likewise found among methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria. High salt tolerance is not necessarily a characteristic of all members of a genus, as exemplified by Methylocaldum and Methylomicrobium (Table 2). In the last few years, the first methanotrophic isolates were described that live preferentially under lower oxygen concentrations (Methylosoma difficile and Methyloglobulus morosus). They were enriched in systems with opposing gradients of methane and oxygen, thus mimicking the conditions in sediments (Rahalkar et al., 2007; Deutzmann et al., 2014).

In conclusion, a broad versatility in terms of adaptation to different environmental conditions can be found among the methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* (low and high temperatures, low and high pH, high salt, low oxygen), which comes along with a high diversity of methanotrophs within this group. Cultivated methanotrophic *Alphaproteobacteria* are less diverse and show less and different adaptations (low pH, low methane availability) based on current knowledge. At genus level, the occurrence of methanotrophic bacteria that are adapted to a specific environmental condition is not necessarily limited to one phylogenetic lineage, but can often be found within different genera of methanotrophs side by side with species that show different adaptations and habitat preferences. Thus, some genera have a broad ecological niche, though the individual species or strains have smaller niches, while others are less diverse in term of ecophysiological adaptations and have a rather narrow niche. Habitat adaptation and specialization appear to occur at different taxonomic levels. Consequently, the distribution of methanotrophic bacteria in the environment should be evaluated at these different taxonomic levels in order to better understand distribution and community composition. Such a detailed evaluation is undertaken in this review, based on a meta-analysis including the large diversity of uncultivated methanotrophs (see Sections Description of Major Uncultivated Groups of Methanotrophic Bacteria and Their Habitat Specificity and Habitat Specificity of Methanotrophic Taxa Evaluated at Higher Taxonomic Resolution).

CULTIVATION-INDEPENDENT DETECTION OF AEROBIC METHANOTROPHIC BACTERIA BASED ON MOLECULAR MARKERS

Tools for the cultivation-independent detection of aerobic methanotrophic bacteria exist since 20 years and have been used in diverse studies. The most frequently targeted gene in environmental studies, the 16S rRNA gene, can be used for the detection of methanotrophic bacteria using taxon specific primers and probes that are available for several different groups (compiled by McDonald et al., 2008). While the analysis of this gene provides valuable information about the phylogenetic placement of methanotrophic bacteria detected in environmental samples, it does not allow the identification of methanotrophic bacteria beyond the well-known families.

Functional Marker Genes as Molecular Markers

Such a limitation is of less relevance when functional genes are used as markers, such as the methane monooxygenase encoding genes (McDonald et al., 2008). The methane monooxygenase is the key enzyme responsible for the initial conversion step of methane to methanol. Two forms of this enzyme are known, the soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO) and a membrane-bound enzyme, the particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO). The *pmoA* gene encoding the β subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase is the most frequently used marker, as it is present in most aerobic methanotrophic bacteria with exceptions among the *Beijerinckiaceae* (**Table 1**). It is also present in anaerobic denitrifying bacteria, represented by an enriched culture of "*Candidatus* Methylomirabilis," a bacterium of the NC10 phylum (Ettwig et al., 2010).

To include *Beijerinckiaceae* and to obtain a more complete picture about the methanotrophs present in a sample, the *mmoX* gene encoding the α -subunit of the soluble methane monooxygenase hydroxylase component has been used in addition to *pmoA* in some studies (e.g., Morris et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2013). However, due to its limited occurrence in methanotrophs (**Tables 1–3**), *mmoX* is much less frequently used as marker. It is not uniformly present or absent within the same genus and variation exists even at species level, as evident from studies with *Methylocystis*, *Methylosinus*, and *Methylomonas* strains (Shigematsu et al., 1999; Heyer et al., 2002).

Further gene markers that can be used for the detection of methanotrophs are not unique to this metabolic guild, but shared with other organisms. Among those are the mxaF gene, which encodes the large subunit of the methanol dehydrogenase, and a couple of other markers of the methylotrophic metabolism (reviewed by Kolb and Stacheter, 2013; Dumont, 2014).

pmoA as Molecular Marker

Both, *pmoA* and *mmoX* have been shown to produce phylogenies that are largely congruent with those of the 16S rRNA gene (Auman and Lidstrom, 2002; Heyer et al., 2002; Kolb et al., 2003), which allows to draw conclusions about the phylogenetic placement of methanotrophs possessing genes with novel sequence types. Updated trees (Figure 1) show that this is still the case, but research of the last few years has revealed that this congruency includes more and more exceptions. The presence of paralogous gene copies in methanotrophic bacteria as well as the detection of evolutionary related monooxygenases in non-methanotrophic bacteria contribute to sequence diversity in cultivation-independent studies (see next section). Hence, conclusions about the taxonomic identity of bacteria detected based on their pmoA sequences have to be drawn with care, in particular if sequences cluster distantly to those of well-known methanotrophs. This is also exemplified by the pmoA sequence of the gammaproteobacterial "Candidatus Crenothrix polyspora," which is highly divergent from those of all other methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria. Besides these issues, inconsistency exists among the type Ia methanotrophs (Figure 1). Tree reconstructions within this group are in general not highly robust, but both, Methylobacter and Methylomicrobium species do not form monophyletic clusters, independent of the applied treeing method and the phylogenetic marker. Methylobacter psychrophilus and Methylobacter tundripaludum appear to be distinct from the other Methylobacter species, likewise as Methylomicrobium album and Methylomicrobium agile cluster with Methylobacter whittenburyi in 16S rRNA gene based trees and with Methylosarcina species in pmoA based trees rather than with the other Methylomicrobium species. Elaborate taxonomic analyses including information derived from whole genome sequencing projects of these and further reference strains will be necessary to ensure the taxonomic placement of these species.

A couple of different primer sets were developed for the amplification of *pmoA* gene fragments, but remarkably, the first published primer pair (A189/A682) is still most frequently used (Holmes et al., 1995). Only one alternative system (A189/mb661) is often used instead or in addition to the before mentioned system (Costello and Lidstrom, 1999). This second primer combination is more specific for methanotrophic bacteria as it does not amplify the *amoA* gene of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (Costello and Lidstrom, 1999). However, it fails to detect some of

the clusters that have a phylogenetic position between pmoA and amoA sequences, such as the RA21 or the pxmA cluster, it largely discriminates USCa and amplifies type IIb methanotrophs less efficiently (Bourne et al., 2001; Deng et al., 2013). A third primer, A650 does not show this limitation while excluding amoA, but has less frequently been used (Bourne et al., 2001; Shrestha et al., 2012). Because primer system A189/A682 results in the production of additional unspecific PCR products in some cases, a semi-nested approach was used in these studies. After a first PCR using primers A189/A682 a second PCR with primers A189/mb661 or A189/A650 was applied (Singh et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2008; Kip et al., 2011; Siljanen et al., 2011; Barbier et al., 2012). Alternatively, a combination of both reverse primers in a multiplex PCR was used in the second PCR to overcome the detection limitations of primer mb661 (Horz et al., 2005). Some further general and several specific primers for the detection of subgroups were developed, as compiled in review articles (McDonald et al., 2008; Dumont, 2014). Many of them were developed for qPCR assays targeting subgroups (Kolb et al., 2003, 2005; Degelmann et al., 2010; Wieczorek et al., 2011; Sharp et al., 2014). Moreover, specific primers are needed to amplify pmoA genes of Verrucomicrobia (Erikstad et al., 2012; Sharp et al., 2012), the homologous pmoA2 gene (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003), or the pmoA genes of anaerobic methanotrophic bacteria of the NC10 phylum (Luesken et al., 2011).

pmoA PARALOGS AND EVOLUTIONARY RELATED MONOOXYGENASES

Paralogous copies of the *pmoA* gene and evolutionary related monooxygenases in non-methanotrophic bacteria are sometimes detected in cultivation-independent studies, depending on the primers used to amplify the target gene. They can thus contribute to the diversity of detected sequence types in environmental studies, but do not represent distinct methanotrophs. A couple of sequence clusters in *pmoA* based phylogenetic trees have meanwhile been identified as paralogs or alternative monooxygenases.

pmoA Paralogs in Methanotrophic Bacteria

Many methanotrophs have multiple copies of the pmo operon and initially it appeared that these copies are (nearly) identical (Auman et al., 2000; Gilbert et al., 2000), so that they do not affect diversity studies that are based on pmoA gene detection. Methylocystis sp. SC2 was the first methanotrophic strain in which two different pmoA genes were discovered, the conventional and a second copy, referred to as pmoA2, with only 73% identity to the well-known pmoA gene of Methylocystaceae (Dunfield et al., 2002). The application of specific primers for the detection of the *pmoA2* gene revealed that this second gene copy is present in diverse though not all Methylocystis and Methylosinus strains (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003). The pmoA2 gene is localized in the pmoCAB2 operon, which encodes a functional methane monooxygenase, enabling Methylocystis SC2 to oxidize methane at lower mixing ratios compared to the conventional monooxygenase, which is downregulated under these conditions in strain SC2 (Baani and Liesack, 2008). This

finding was taken as explanation for the previously described capability of Methylocystis species to oxidize methane at very low mixing ratios down to atmospheric level over a period of several months and their capability to grow at mixing rations as low as 10-100 ppmv (Knief and Dunfield, 2005). Moreover, this corresponds very well to the observation that Methylocystis strains are frequently detected in upland soils and hydromorphic soils, where they face low methane supply almost constantly (Dunfield, 2007). However, the pmoA2 gene of Methylocystis and Methylosinus has not been detected very frequently in upland soils, but rather in different other ecosystems (Tables S1-S4). Either the commonly applied primers are not well suited to amplify pmoA2 genes of those Methylocystaceae that occur in upland soils, or the *pmoA2* gene is more important for survival of methanotrophs residing in habitats with fluctuating methane supply at higher concentrations.

In methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia, multiple different pmoA gene copies are present (Figure 1). All genes are highly divergent from those of proteobacterial methanotrophs and quite different to each other (Op den Camp et al., 2009). The strains "Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum" SolV and "Methylacidiphilum infernorum" V4 possess three complete pmoCAB operons, while "Methylacidiphilum kamchatkense" Kam1 has a fourth distinct copy of pmoA, localized in a truncated pmoCA operon. An expression study performed with this strain revealed that the methane monooxygenase encoded by pmoCAB2 is strongly expressed when cells are grown under laboratory conditions (Erikstad et al., 2012). The function of the other copies and regulatory mechanisms that may control the expression of these genes remain currently largely unknown.

The pxmA gene

In methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria of the genera Methylomonas, Methylobacter and Methylomicrobium another homolog of pmoA has been detected, the pxmA gene (Tavormina et al., 2011). Recent genome sequencing projects reveal that *pxmA* genes occur more widespread in methanotrophs. They are present in further Methylococcaceae strains, which are distantly related to the known genera but have so far not been further described in the literature. A pxmA copy is also present in an alphaproteobacterial strain, Methylocystis rosea. In Methyloglobulus morosus an additional pxmA like gene is present besides pmoA and pxmA. All pxmA gene sequences form a monophyletic cluster that is clearly distinct from pmoA sequences of methanotrophic Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Figure 1). Already before their description by Tavormina et al. (2011), pxmA genes were detected in environmental samples, they were referred to as "pmoA/amoA like" sequences or as Cluster WC306-54 (Nold et al., 2000; Lau et al., 2007; Dörr et al., 2010). The presence of pxmA appears not to be closely linked to phylogeny, similarly to the occurrence of pmoA2 among Methylocystaceae or mmoX among the methanotrophic Proteobacteria. The function of the gene product and regulation of gene expression remain currently largely unknown. So far, it could be shown that the gene, which is localized in the *pxmABC* operon, is expressed under environmental and *in vitro* conditions (Tavormina et al., 2011; Kits et al., 2015).

Evolutionary Related Monooxygenases

It is well known that the particulate methane monooxygenase and the ammonia monooxygenase of nitrifying bacteria and archaea are evolutionary related (Holmes et al., 1995). Meanwhile, further monooxygenases of the superfamily of copper-containing membrane-bound monooxygenases have been identified, involved in the oxidation of short chain hydrocarbons, but not methane (Redmond et al., 2010; Sayavedra-Soto et al., 2011; Coleman et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2012). In phylogenetic trees, the sequences of these genes form clusters that are distantly related to those of the known pmoA and amoA genes. Due to the high sequence divergence, most of these sequence types have not frequently been detected in cultivation-independent PCR-based studies using current pmoA primers, but some of them have been found in metagenomic or metatranscriptomic datasets, e.g., in hydrocarbon-rich marine ecosystems (Li et al., 2014).

The existence of a butane monooxygenase in Nocardioides sp. CF8 related to the particulate methane monooxygenase was already postulated by Hamamura and Arp (2000), but molecular evidence was provided only recently when the whole genome of the strain was sequenced (Sayavedra-Soto et al., 2011). The butane-oxidizing monooxygenase is encoded by the genes in the bmoCAB operon, which have less than 50% amino acid similarity to the genes of the methane and ammonia monooxygenase. Similar genes were also detected in Mycobacterium smegmatis strains NBB4 and NBB3 (Coleman et al., 2012). The enzyme in strain NBB4 was shown to oxidize ethane, propane, butane and ethylene. Due to the broader substrate spectrum of the enzyme in Mycobacterium, the enzyme was referred to as hydrocarbon monooxygenase, encoded in the hmoCAB operon. Genome sequencing projects suggest that similar monooxygenases exist in Mycobacterium chubuense B4, Nocardioides luteus FB or the uncultured deltaproteobacterial SAR324 clade, which is ubiquitous in the ocean (Sheik et al., 2014).

Redmond et al. (2010) described another cluster of putative hydrocarbon monooxygenases (*emoA*), detected upon stable isotope probing with ¹³C-ethane at a hydrocarbon seep. The authors speculate that the labeled organisms are members of the *Methylococcaceae*, which seem to be incapable of methane oxidation. These assumptions can currently only be confirmed by sequence data from isolates referred to as *Methylococcaceae* ET-SHO and ET-HIRO, which were deposited in the NCBI database in an independent study, but remain to be published. Based on the entries in the NCBI database it appears that these *Methylococcaceae* isolates, which were also obtained from a marine habitat, could grow on ethane, but not on methane.

Further types of monooxygenase genes related to *pmoA* and *amoA* are found in *Gammaproteobacteria* of the genus *Haliea* and in the genome of the alphaproteobacterium *Skermanella aerolata* KACC 11604 (= 5416T-32^T). Strains *Haliea* ETY-M and ETY-NAG grow on ethylene and oxidize in addition ethane, propane and propylene, but not methane (Suzuki et al., 2012). In case of *Skermanella aerolata* KACC 11604 growth on hydrocarbons has

not yet been studied. The sequence of their monooxygenase is different from the *hmoA* and *emoA* genes, but related to the *pmoA* sequences of type II methanotrophs.

A COMPARISON OF CULTIVATION-DEPENDENT AND –INDEPENDENT DIVERSITY OF METHANOTROPHS BASED ON *pmoA* AS PHYLOGENETIC MARKER

Classification of *pmoA* Sequences Based on Phylotyping or OTU Clustering

Using *pmoA* as molecular marker for the detection of methanotrophic bacteria it turned out that there is a huge diversity of methanotrophs present in nature that is not represented by isolates in the laboratory. Approximately 15,000 *pmoA* and *pmoA*-like sequences can be found in the Genbank database. To describe and discuss the current diversity of aerobic methanotrophic bacteria based on this data resource, sequences have to be grouped based on similarity. In many studies such groups are defined based on their clustering in phylogenetic trees in relation to known phylotypes, which are represented by sequences of type strains or other well-studied reference strains as well as selected sequences of uncultivated clades. Dumont et al. (2014) recently defined 53 representative sequences for major cultivated and uncultivated phylogenetic clusters.

Another approach is the grouping of similar sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a predefined cutoff value. Some studies applied a 3% cut-off without explicitly linking this to a specific phylogenetic resolution (Saidi-Mehrabad et al., 2013; Sharp et al., 2014). Other studies determined and used cut-off values with the aim to reflect genus and species resolution. These values were determined in correspondence to the routinely used cut-off values known from 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses, i.e., 3% sequence difference to distinguish between species and 5% to differentiate genera (Schloss and Handelsman, 2005). For pmoA sequences, Lüke et al. (2010) defined cut-off values at 10 and 17% sequence dissimilarity for species and genus delineation, respectively, based on the fact that the nucleotide substitution rate of *pmoA* is 3.5 times higher than that of 16S rRNA genes. The factor 3.5 was derived by correlation of 16S rRNA and pmoA gene sequence identities of approx. 75 Methylocystis and Methylosinus strains (Heyer et al., 2002). Degelmann et al. (2010) included Gammaproteobacteria in the comparative analysis and compiled 16S gene sequence identity values of 22 methanotrophs. They correlated 16S rRNA gene to pmoA gene as well as to deduced PmoA protein sequence identity values and defined a cut-off of 13% at DNA level for species delineation, corresponding to 7% cut-off at protein level. When comparing these cut-off values to the sequence differences observed between methanotrophic type strains within the same and of different genera, it is apparent that they reflect the average sequence difference between type strains so that genera and species will not be fully resolved using these values (Figure 2). At the same time the diagrams, which display minimum and





maximum sequence difference of each type strain to another type strain within the same genus and family, reveal that it will be impossible to find cut-off values that differentiate perfectly well all genera without already differentiating species within a genus. Similar difficulties in determining cut-off values that correspond to a certain phylogenetic resolution are known from 16S rRNA gene based analyses (Schloss and Westcott, 2011).

For the evaluation of the diversity of methanotrophic bacteria in this review article, OTU clustering was performed based on cut-off values that reflect a higher resolution compared to the published values to resolve the distinct genera and species as good as possible. The compilation of minimal DNA

sequence differences between genera reveals that a cut-off value of 11% is necessary to differentiate all genera (Figure 2). Indicative for an adequate resolution is the separation of the two most closely related genera, Methylocystis and Methylosinus. To further evaluate the 11% cut-off value, it was applied to cluster all available high quality pmoA sequences using the Mothur classification tool with average neighbor algorithm. Sequences of at least 400 bp length and without accumulation of evident sequencing errors were considered as high quality here and the dataset is referred to as "large pmoA dataset" in the following. When performing OTU clustering using different cut-off values it turned out that not 11% but 12% cut-off is sufficient for nearly full resolution at genus level (Figure 3). At the same time, type strains belonging to the same genus were grouped into distinct clusters in five cases: "Methylacidiphilum," "Methylacidimicrobium," Methylocapsa, Methylomicrobium, and Methylobacter. In case of Methylobacter and Methylomicrobium, this finding corresponds to the polyphyletic clustering in *pmoA* trees (Figure 1). To fully prevent the formation of more than one OTU for these genera, a much higher cut-off value of >20%would be necessary.

The differentiation of *pmoA* sequences at species level is affected by similar difficulties. A cut-off value of 1% is necessary to resolve all species (except *Methylomicrobium album* and *Methylomicrobium agile*, which have even more similar *pmoA* sequences), while such a low value will classify at the same time many strains belonging to the same species into distinct taxonomic units. A higher cut-off value of 3 or 4% leaves only some species unresolved (**Figure 2**), namely the two *Methylothermus* species, *Methylocystis hirsuta*, and *Methylocystis rosea*, as well as some of the *Methylomicrobium* species. OTU clustering applied to the "large *pmoA* dataset" confirmed these findings and shows that a cut-off value of 4% is sufficient to differentiate the majority of species.

Phylogenetic analysis of functional genes is frequently based on protein sequences. This excludes sequence variability at nucleotide positions that are not under evolutionary selection pressure, but provides at the same time less information, so that resolution of closely related taxa becomes more difficult. OTU clustering of sequences with a cut-off that roughly reflects genus level resolution can be achieved at 6% sequence dissimilarity (Figure 2). It only fails to resolve Methylomarinovum from Methylothermus, but a lower value should nevertheless not be selected as the 6% value already provides higher resolution compared to the 12% cut-off value at DNA level when considering the large PmoA dataset including sequences of uncultivated methanotrophs (Figure 3). Differentiation of species based on protein sequences is even more difficult. Full resolution cannot be obtained as Methylomicrobium and Methylothermus species are not even separated at 1% cut-off. A cut-off of 2% already fails to resolve the majority of type strains within the genera Methylocystis, Methylomicrobium, and Methylothermus, although it still gives a higher number of OTUs compared to the 4% cut-off at DNA level when sequences from cultivation-independent studies are included (Figure 3).

Due to the difficulties in finding appropriate cut-off values at protein level, *pmoA* sequence diversity was evaluated based



FIGURE 3 | Number of OTUs in dependence on the cut-off value applied for OTU differentiation. The number of OTUs containing type strains of different genera or species are displayed on the left axis, the number of OTUs formed based on all high quality sequences (= total) is presented on the right axis at logarithmic scale. Clustering was performed with 12502 high quality *pmoA* sequences (upper panel) or the deduced amino acid sequences (lower panel) available from Genbank. Sequences with at least 400 bp sequence length and without accumulation of sequencing errors were included. Distance matrices were calculated in ARB based on 480 aligned nucleotide positions or 160 deduced amino acid positions. OTU clustering was done using Mothur by applying the average neighbor algorithm. Orange stars denote the cut-off values applied in this review.

on DNA sequences but not protein sequences in the present work. The 12% cut-off was applied to differentiate sequences at a level that allows resolution of most methanotrophic genera and a 4% cut-off was used to differentiate species reasonably well. To distinguish in the following OTU classification done with 12% cut-off from classification with 4% cut-off, the OTUs are referred to as OTU_{12} and OTU_4 , respectively.

How well do Cultivated Strains Cover the Diversity of Methanotrophic Bacteria as Seen Based on Cultivation-Independent Studies?

Of the 15,000 *pmoA* sequences that have been deposited in the Genbank database, the vast majority was derived from cultivation-independent studies. Slightly less than 3% were obtained from cultured methanotrophic strains. Most of them belong to the well-known genera *Methylocystis*, *Methylosinus*, *Methylomonas*, *Methylobacter*, *Methylocaldum*,

Diversity and Habitat Specificity of Methanotrophs

or *Methylomicrobium* (**Table 4**). Approximately 20 sequences represent isolates that cannot be assigned to a specific known genus; at least some of them may represent new genera. At species level, isolates that are similar to *Methylocystis rosea, Methylocystis hirsuta, Methylocystis echinoides, Methylosinus sporium,* and *Methylosinus trichosporium* or "*Methylomonas denitrificans*" have most frequently been obtained (**Table 5**). In contrast, more than half of the described species are represented by only one single strain at the moment.

To evaluate how well cultivated strains cover the diversity of methanotrophic bacteria as seen in cultivation-independent studies, the distribution of their *pmoA* sequences upon OTU clustering was assessed based on the above mentioned "large *pmoA* dataset" containing 12,502 high quality sequences. The dataset includes different homologs of *pmoA* that have been detected in methanotrophs. Clustering of the sequences applying the 12 and 4% cut-off value resulted in 522 and 2287 OTUs, respectively (**Table 6**). In both cases, there was a rather low number of clusters with high read numbers, while one third

TABLE 4 Detection frequency of methanotrophic genera in	
cultivation-dependent and -independent studies.	

Genus	Number of reads from isolates	Reads in OTUs ₁₂	Reads in OTUs ₄
Methylocystis	141	2754	1743
Methylosinus	95	173	141
Methylomonas	43	690	98
Methylobacter	34	743	153
Methylocaldum	16	283	254
Methylomicrobium	13	67	78
"Methylacidiphilum"	10	10	10
Methylococcus	7	320	282
"Candidatus Crenothrix"	6	43	9
"Methylacidimicrobium"	6	6	4
Methylosarcina ^a	3	457	50
Methylothermus	3	44	30
Methylocapsa	3	39	7
Methyloglobulus	3	7	3
Methylohalobius	3	5	3
Methylomarinum	2	2	2
<i>Methyloparacoccus</i> ^b	2	422	6
Methylovulum	2	30	3
Methylosoma ^c	1	252	1
"Candidatus Methylomirabilis"	1	51	1
Methylogaea	1	24	11
Methyloprofundus	1	8	3
Methylomarinovum	1	2	1

The number of isolates assigned to a genus is given and the total number of pmoA sequence reads in the OTUs that harbor these isolates. A strong decrease in read numbers from 12% cut-off to 4% cut-off means that isolates are different from the most frequently detected pmoA sequence types in the environment that are classified into the same OTU at genus level resolution.

^a Includes Methylomicrobium album and Methylomicrobium agile at 12% cut-off.

^bIncludes Methylomagnum ishizawai at 12% cut-off.

^cIncludes Methylobacter tundripaludum at 12% cut-off.

of the $OTUs_{12}$ or even half of the $OTUs_4$ were represented by just one read (singletons). This demonstrates the existence of a very high number of taxa that are rarely detected. The percentage of OTUs that contained sequences of cultivated strains was 12 and 6%, respectively, at the different cut-off levels,

TABLE 5 \mid Representativeness of methanotrophic type strains at species level resolution.

Species	Cultivation-independent	Further
	studies	isolates
Methylocystis echinoides	694	13
Methylocystis rosea, hirsuta	330	53
Methylococcus capsulatus	273	3
Methylocaldum tepidum	91	1
Methylocaldum szegediense	74	2
Methylosinus sporium	49	10
Methylosarcina lacus	49	0
Methylosinus trichosporium	46	10
Methylocaldum gracile	45	8
Methylobacter tundripaludum	39	1
Methylomicrobium buryatense, alcaliphilum, japanense	20	1
Methylomicrobium pelagicum	20	0
Methylomicrobium agile, album	19	3
Methylocystis parvus	17	3
Methylocaldum marinum	17	0
Methylobacter whittenburyi	14	4
Methylobacter marinus	14	2
Methylobacter luteus	13	2
Methylothermus thermalis, subterraneaus	10	0
Methylogaea oryzae	10	0
"Methylomonas denitrificans"	6	10
Methylomonas methanica	8	0
Methylocystis bryophila	5	9
Methyloparacoccus murrellii	4	1
Methylomicrobium kenyense	4	0
Methylomagnum ishizawai	4	0
Methylocystis heyeri	3	1
"Candidatus Crenothrix"	3	0
Methylocapsa acidiphila	3	0
Methylomonas lenta	2	0
Methyloprofundus sedimentii	2	0
Methyloglobulus morosus	1	0
Methylohalobius crimeensis	1	0
Methylovulum miyakonense	1	0
Methylobacter psychrophilus	1	0
Methylosarcina fibrata	1	0
Methylomarinum vadi	0	2
Methylocapsa aurea	0	0
Methylomarinovum caldicuralii	0	0
Methylomonas paludis	0	0
Methylosoma difficile	0	0

The number of reads derived from cultivation-independent studies and of further isolates that were assigned to the same OTU₄ as the respective type strain are given.

TABLE 6 | Statistics about OTU clustering and distribution of *pmoA* sequences of cultivated methanotrophic strains within these clusters.

Cut-off:	12%	4%
STATISTICS OF OTU CLUSTERING		
Number of OTUs	522	2287
Number of reads in largest cluster	2666	708
% of clusters with \geq 100 reads	4	0.5
% of clusters with < 100 reads but ≥ 10 reads	20	10
% of singletons	36	54
OTUs CONTAINING CULTIVATED STRAINS		
% of OTUs with cultivated strains	11.9	6.2
% of OTUs that contain a type strain	8.2	3.0
% of OTUs that contain only cultivated strains	5.7	3.4
% of singletons represented by a cultivated strain	2.5	1.8
REPRESENTATIVENESS OF OTUS CONTAININ		TED STRAINS
% of sequences in clusters with cultivated strains	52	24
% of sequences in clusters with type strains	50	17

which means that only a small fraction of the methanotrophic diversity is represented by cultivated strains. But remarkably, when considering the size of the $OTUs_{12}$, it turned out that 52% of all available sequences fall into clusters that contain *pmoA* sequences of isolates. This demonstrates that half of the sequences that have been detected in cultivation-independent studies are closely related to or represented by cultivated genera. At species level, still 24% of all sequences fall into the same OTU_4 as a cultivated strain. In conclusion, a surprisingly high number of sequence reads that are detected in environmental studies are closely affiliated to cultivated genera or species, despite the fact that the total diversity of methanotrophs that is present in nature is substantially higher than the cultured diversity.

To further evaluate the representativeness of the cultivated genera and species, the size of the OTUs harboring isolates was evaluated. The most frequently detected genera of methanotrophic bacteria in environmental studies are the alphaproteobacterial genera Methylocystis and Methylosinus and the gammaproteobacterial genera Methylomonas, Methylobacter, Methylosarcina, Methylomicrobium, Methylococcus, Methylocaldum, Methylosoma as well as the recently described genus Methyloparacoccus (Table 4). At higher taxonomic resolution, the isolated Methyloparacoccus species remains distinct from the related sequences that have been frequently detected in environmental samples. The same applies to Methylosoma and "Candidatus Methylomirabilis." Further methanotrophic genera that have very rarely or not yet been detected in environmental samples via cultivation-independent methods comprise Methylomarinovum, Methylomarinum, Methylohalobius, Methyloglobulus and the verrucomicrobial lineages "Methylacidiphilum" and "Methylacidimicrobium" (Table 4). At lower phylogenetic resolution, the genera Methyloglobulus and Methylomarinum do serve as cultivated representatives for major uncultivated clusters (see Section Cluster 2 (CL2) or TUSC). In case of the verrucomicrobial lineages, the limited detection in environmental samples is

explained by their highly divergent pmoA sequences, which prevents PCR amplification using the standard *pmoA* primers. At species level, the frequently detected taxa in cultivationindependent studies are Methylocystis echinoides, Methylocystis rosea, and Methylocystis hirsuta, the two Methylosinus species, Methylococcus capsulatus and most species of the genus Methylocaldum (Table 5). Nearly half of the validly described methanotrophic species have only rarely been detected in environmental samples based on cultivation-independent studies (<10 reads), showing that our culture collections contain many strains of which the ecological relevance in their natural ecosystems remains unknown. Remarkably, Methylosinus strains have very frequently been isolated, but not that frequently been detected by cultivation-independent studies. This is evident from the fact that 54% of all Methylosinus sequences in the database are from isolates, while most other frequently detected genera have only about 5% cultivated representatives (Table 4).

DESCRIPTION OF MAJOR UNCULTIVATED GROUPS OF METHANOTROPHIC BACTERIA AND THEIR HABITAT SPECIFICITY

Clusters of *pmoA* sequences representing uncultivated methanotrophs have been defined in diverse studies mostly at a taxonomic resolution above genus level. They are often named according to the habitat in which they are predominantly found, the sampling site from which they were obtained, or derived from the name of the first described clones of a cluster. The assignment of sequences to a characteristic cluster is usually done in the context of phylogenetic tree reconstruction, guided by a few characteristic reference sequences that are given in the literature as representatives.

The same approach was used here to assign OTUs to described clusters of uncultivated methanotrophic bacteria. Neighbor joining and maximum likelihood trees were constructed using one representative sequence for each OTU₁₂. These representative sequences were selected within each OTU based on the following criteria: OTUs harboring a cultivated strain were represented by the sequence of this strain. For OTUs consisting of sequences from uncultivated bacteria only, the most representative sequence from the first dataset reporting about this sequence type was taken. All representative sequences are listed along with their cluster assignment and accession number in Table S1. Uncultivated clusters were identified in the phylogenetic trees based on the position of published reference sequences. Several OTUs showed inconsistent clustering (in particular among the type I methanotrophs), they were excluded from clusters and are referred to as "incerta sedis" or by their family names and are displayed as "unknown" in Figure 4.

To integrate habitat preferences of methanotrophic lineages into the phylogenetic tree (**Figure 4**), information about the habitat from which sequences were obtained was collected from the literature and the NCBI database. The definition of categories was largely guided by the terminology used in the literature and the number of sequence reads obtained for





position of the group that is shown as first entry in the legend.

each of these categories. The majority of sequences that are currently stored in the public database are from studies that analyzed methanotrophic communities in rice fields, upland soils, aquatic or marine environments (Figure 5). 2.4% of the sequences remained unclassified, either because no information about the habitat was available or they were obtained from studies analyzing rather unusual and thus little studied habitats of methanotrophs (bioreactor, manure, rumen, waste water or plants). Seven major habitat types were defined based on this information and the relative detection frequency of each OTU₁₂ within these habitats calculated. The presentation of these data in combination with phylogeny allows the identification of major clusters with habitat preferences (Figure 4). Habitat specificity of individual OTUs cannot be inferred from this presentation, as a substantial number of OTUs are represented by just one sequence and thus displayed with 100% recovery from one single habitat. To evaluate this aspect, further data analysis is needed as described in Section Habitat Specificity of Methanotrophic Taxa Evaluated at Higher Taxonomic Resolution.

Remarkably, three-fourths of all $OTUs_{12}$ represent type I methanotrophs in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 4), with nearly 50% belonging to type Ia methanotrophs. This confirms that methanotrophic diversity is highest within

the Gammaproteobacteria. Furthermore, it is evident from Figure 4 that the methanotrophs that are found in upland soils, aquatic and marine environments form distinctive and large clusters, while the methanotrophs that are found in other habitats such as rice field soils, wetlands or landfill cover soils are found in smaller clusters that are often detected in different habitats. It is tempting to speculate that colonization of the rather young anthropogenic habitats such as rice field soils or landfill cover soils occurs via methanotrophs that evolved in the much older pristine habitats, so that evolutionary processes leading to diversification and specialization are still in a very early phase in these human made habitats. Moreover, rice field soils and wetlands may represent transitions between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems and thus share more taxa with other habitats. The absence of specific clusters in wetlands may at least partially be the result of a rather small number of studies in which pmoA sequences were published for this ecosystem (Figure 5) leading to an underrepresentation of sequence reads from this habitat.

In the following, information about the major uncultivated clusters of methanotrophs residing in different habitats is compiled. A condensed phylogenetic tree shows the phylogenetic placement of these clusters in relation to each other and to cultivated type species (**Figure 6**).



FIGURE 6 | Neighbor joining tree showing the phylogeny of uncultivated clusters in relation to methanotrophic type strains. The tree includes *pmoA* sequences from all OTUs that were assigned to uncultivated clusters. It was calculated based on 480 nucleotide positions with Jukes Cantor correction. The scale bars display 0.10 changes per nucleotide or amino acid position.

Rice Paddy Clusters (RPC) and Japanese Rice Clusters (JRC), Including the Lake Washington Cluster (LWs), and the Organic Soil Cluster (OSC)

Several different rice paddy clusters and Japanese rice clusters have been defined (Lüke et al., 2010; Stralis-Pavese et al., 2011), but only some of them are regularly detected in diverse studies and implemented in phylogenetic trees. These are RPC1, 2, 3, and JRC3 as well as JRC4, which has meanwhile a cultivated representative, Methylogaea oryzae (Geymonat et al., 2010). JRC3, RPC1, and RPC3 are distantly related to Methylocaldum and *Methylococcus* and thus part of the type Ib group (Figure 6). RPC2 was reported to show variable clustering either with type Ia or Ib, depending on the algorithm used for tree reconstruction (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). It is composed of a high number of OTUs at species level resolution, but contains only four OTUs at genus level. RPC1, RPC3, and JRC3 were combined into a larger monophyletic cluster referred to as RPC1 3 in this review, because JRC3 did not form a monophyletic cluster and could not be clearly delineated from RPC1. The RPC1_3 like cluster consists of 25 OTUs₁₂, including in addition the clusters LWs and OSC. Similarly, a large cluster containing the sequences of RPC1, LWs, and OSC but without RPC3 was also formed in some other studies and referred to as freshwater lineage 1 (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). The major habitat of the methanotrophs belonging to the RPC1_3 like cluster are rice field and aquatic ecosystems (Figure 4). RPC1 and JRC3 were initially exclusively detected in rice paddy associated habitats (Lüke et al., 2010; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). Exceptional within the RPC1_3 like cluster is OSC, which occurs predominantly in bogs and in some upland soils (Figure 4, Tables S1-S4). Thus, the large RPC1_3 cluster is heterogeneous in terms of habitat preference, with some habitat-specific subgroups. In in-depth studies, biogeographic patterns have been shown for clusters RPC1 and JRC3 (Lüke et al., 2010). Moreover, they respond to the environmental factor rice genotype, either directly or possibly indirectly via altered physicochemical conditions in the plant rhizosphere (Lüke et al., 2011).

Upland Soil Clusters (USC α and USC γ), Jasper Ridge Clusters (JR1, JR2 and JR3), Moor House Peat Cluster (MHP), and Cluster 5

Phylogenetically, the upland soil clusters form two major groups. Sequences of USC α , JR1, and MHP (also referred to as Cluster 5) are related to *Methylocapsa* (**Figure 6**). USC α was initially detected by Holmes et al. (1999) and termed RA14. The name USC α was proposed for this sequence type when a second group of sequences with preferential occurrence in upland soils but related to sequences of methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria*, USC γ , was discovered (Knief et al., 2003). USC γ as well as JR2 and JR3 belong to the type Id group (**Figure 6**). These sequences are related to methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* and the *amoA* sequence of *Nitrosococcus oceani*. It was proposed to refer to the large group of USC α , JR1/Cluster 5, and MHP sequences as USC α -like sequences or USC α sensu lato, while the initially discovered RA14 clade was defined as USC α sensu stricto (Shrestha et al., 2012). Based on the sequence dataset used in this study, USC α sensu lato consists of 18 OTUs₁₂ and shows an enormous diversity at lower resolution with 133 OTUs₄ (**Table 7**). In particular USC α sensu stricto shows a high diversity at species level resolution. In analogy to this differentiation of USC α sensu lato, sequence clusters USC γ , JR2, and JR3 will be referred to as USC γ sensu lato in this review, while USC γ sensu stricto refers specifically to the USC γ clade. The USC γ sensu lato group is less diverse compared to USC α , consisting of 15 OTUs₁₂ and 98 OTUs₄ with USC γ sensu stricto as most diverse group, especially at species level resolution (**Table 7**).

All upland soil cluster sequences occur in soils, predominantly in upland soils. USCa sensu lato has been identified as dominant pmoA type in different forest soils (Kolb et al., 2005; Degelmann et al., 2010; Dörr et al., 2010). Some USCα sequence types have additionally been detected in hydromorphic soils (Figure 4, Tables S1-S4) (Knief et al., 2006; Shrestha et al., 2012). USCy sensu lato occurs in pH neutral and alkaline soils and has been reported to dominate in soils collected from an alpine meadow, an arid desert ecosystem and a former lake (Angel and Conrad, 2009; Zheng et al., 2012; Serrano-Silva et al., 2014). Moreover, USCy OTUs have been detected sporadically in landfill cover soils (Kumaresan et al., 2009; Henneberger et al., 2012). The occurrence of the two upland soil clusters is clearly pH dependent. USCa sensu lato occurs in acidic to pH neutral soils, while USCy is only detected in pH neutral and alkaline soils (Knief et al., 2003; Kolb, 2009).

The occurrence of the USC methanotrophs is in most soils reduced the more intensively a soil is agriculturally managed. The clusters are consistently found in forest soils, often as most abundant group, they are quite frequently detected in grassland soils, but rarely detected in intensively managed agricultural soils (Knief et al., 2006; Dunfield, 2007). It has been reported that populations decrease and become inactive when forest soils are converted into agricultural soils, or grasslands are subjected to grazing (Knief et al., 2005; Abell et al., 2009; Dörr et al., 2010; Lima et al., 2014). They recover in afforested or reforested sites and grassland soil in which nitrogen fertilization is reduced (Nazaries et al., 2011; Shrestha et al., 2012). The data of Degelmann et al. (2010) suggest that habitat specificity may exist within USC α sensu lato, as some OTUs occurred in deciduous but not in spruce forest soils.

The USC methanotrophs are assumed to be involved in the oxidation of atmospheric methane (Dunfield, 2007; Kolb, 2009), but this might be different for one specific OTU within USC α sensu lato. OTU 75 (USC α 5, MHP) has more frequently been detected in soils with higher methane supply, i.e., peatlands and wetland, than in typical upland soils (Tables S1–S4) (Chen et al., 2008; Liebner and Svenning, 2013; Yun et al., 2015). Initially it was assumed that the USC methanotrophs may obtain enough energy from atmospheric methane oxidation for cell maintenance and growth (Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Kolb et al., 2005), but later calculations based on methane uptake rates

Cluster	No. of OTUs (12% cut-off)	No. of OTUs (4% cut-off)	Related to ^b	Major habitat	Representative sequences ^c	References
TYPE IA						
Aquatic cluster 1 (incl. <i>Clonothrix</i>)	ø	13	Type la	aquatic	AB930877, AB844864, AB845005	This study
Aquatic cluster 2 (incl. <i>Methylosoma</i>)	9	16	Type la	Aquatic	AB478795, KC188735, AB478808, JF811270	This study
Aquatic cluster 3	0	21	Type la	Aquatic	HQ383800, AB722373, JN591162	This study
Aquatic cluster 4	4	Ø	Type la	Aquatic	AY488060, HQ383801	This study
Aquatic cluster 5	2	7	Type la	Aquatic	AB563463, AB505022	This study
Aquifer cluster	6	13	Type la	Diverse	AM410175, AB930937, AM410175	Dumont et al., 2014
Deep-sea 1 (incl. OPU2, Methyloprofundus)	Ø	33	Type la	Marine	AB089967 (OPU1), AM089968	Hayashi et al., 2007; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
Deep-sea 2 (incl. PS-80, <i>Methylomarinum</i>)	24	68	Type la	Marine	AY354047, AB176934, EU444860, AB176935, AF211872 (PS-80)	Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
Deep-sea 3 (incl. OPU3, EST)	27	75	Type la	Marine	AB276027 (OPU3), AF182484 (EST), AB276027, AB176933	Hayashi et al., 2007; Lüke et al., 2010; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
Deep-sea 4	Q	O	Type la (lb)	Marine	GU584278, AY354044, GU115829, FN650295	Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
F4-II	ω	18	Type la	Diverse	AB478819, GU735534, HM216892	This study, referring to Chauhan et al., 2012
Lake cluster 1	က	17	Type la	Diverse	AB478843, DQ067079	Dumont et al., 2014
Landfill cluster 2	-	2	Type la	Landfill soil	EU275101	Dumont et al., 2014
RCL	5	47	Type la	Diverse	EF212356, EF212340, HM216885	Chen et al., 2007
RPC2 TYPE IB	4	51	Type la (lb)	Rice, aquatic	FN600101, EU358980	Lüke et al., 2010; Dumont et al., 2014
Aquatic cluster 6 (incl. <i>Methyloparacoccus</i> and <i>Methylomagnum</i>)	9	15	Type Ib	Aquatic	AF211880, JX184344, AB478851	This study
Deep-sea 5 (incl. OPU1)	28	54	Type lb/c/d	Marine	AB276025 (OPU1), AY354045, AB176940, FN650305, EU417532	Hayashi et al., 2007; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
FWs	4	50	Type Ib	Aquatic	AF211881, AF150764, EU131048	Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
RPC1_3 like (incl. RPC1, RPC3, LWs, JRC3, OSC)	25	178	Type Ib	Diverse	AJ299956 (RPC1), EU193281 (RPC3), DQ067069 (LWs), AY355388 (JRC3), AY781161 (OSC), AB845118	Lüke et al., 2010; Lüke and Frenzel 2011; Dumont et al., 2014
TYPE IC AND ID						
ATII-I Cluster 3	5	2	Type Ic/d	Marine	KJ175590, EU275114	This study, referring to Abdallah et al., 2014
LL_F11	Ю	Ð	Type Id	Upland soil	HE613038, KC122282	This study
LS_mat	Q	12	type Ic/d	Marine, upland soil	JF780903, FR670562, JF780909	This study, referring to Crépeau et al., 2011

Cluster						
	No. of OTUs (12% cut-off)	No. of OTUs (4% cut-off)	Related to ^b	Major habitat	Representative sequences ^c	References
SXL	4	21	Type Id	Upland soil	KC122309, KJ026966, KC122329	This study, referring to Serrano-Silva et al., 2014
$USC_{\mathcal{Y}}$, sensu stricto	7	63	Type Id	Upland soil	AJ579667, AY662351, KC122280	Knief et al., 2003
USC ₇ , JR2	9	17	Type Id	Upland soil	AY654695, KC122283, KC122301	Horz et al., 2005
USC _Y , JR3	ო	18	Type Id	Upland soil	AY654702, KM390988	Horz et al., 2005
TYPE IIB						
Cluster $4 = MO3$	4	10	Type IIb	Diverse	AF283229, AM410177	Henckel et al., 2000b; Knief et al., 2006
$USC\alpha$, sensu stricto = RA14	4	72	Type IIb	Upland soil	AF148521, EF015805	Holmes et al., 1999; Knief et al., 2003
$USC\alpha$, MHP	4	25	Type IIb	Upland soil	EF644609, AJ868263	Chen et al., 2008
$USC\alpha$, JR1 = Cluster 5	10	36	Type IIb	Upland soil	AJ868264, AY662381	Horz et al., 2005; Knief et al., 2005
pmoA/amoA						
ATII-I Cluster 4	7	5	pmoA/amoA	Marine	KJ175600, KJ175594	This study, referring to Abdallah et al., 2014
Oluster $1 = Crenothrix$ related	12	47	pmoA/amoA	Upland soil	AF358041, AJ868244, AF547181, AF547179	Kolb et al., 2005; Ricke et al., 2005; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011
Cluster 2 = TUSC	10	21	pmoA/amoA	Upland soil	AJ579663, AJ868246, EU723743, KC122308	Knief et al., 2005; Ricke et al., 2005; Lüke et al., 2010
M84-P22	1	2	pmoA/amoA	Diverse	AJ 299963	Horz et al., 2001
MR1	0	с	pmoA/amoA	Upland soil	AF200729, GQ219583	Henckel et al., 2000a
RA21	က	29	pmoA/amoA	Rice	AF148522, FJ210291, FJ210332	Holmes et al., 1999

la clusters. Dased on

^b Assignment to type Ia, Ib, Ic, or Id is in some cases uncertain as it varies depending on the tree reconstruction algorithm and sequence dataset. ^c Reference sequences were selected from those OTUs that were most frequently detected in different studies, reflected the diversity of the cluster as good as possible and showed robust results during phylogenetic tree reconstruction. A complete list of sequences assigned to each duster based on the results in **Figure 4** is given in Table S1.

and estimated cell numbers in forest soils indicated that an additional energy source is needed for survival (Degelmann et al., 2010). Indeed, it could be proven that $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ -labeled acetate is incorporated into the biomass of USC α methanotrophs, suggesting that these are facultative methanotrophs (Pratscher et al., 2011).

Cluster 4 (CL4) or MO3

Besides USC α sensu lato, only one further cluster of sequences representing an uncultivated group of methanotrophs is known among the type II group. This is Cluster 4, also known as MO3. It consists of only four OTUs₁₂, is related to *Methylocapsa* and was initially detected in rice field soil (Henckel et al., 2000b). Upon repeated detection it was defined as cluster 4 (Knief et al., 2006). The cluster has been detected quite frequently in diverse soil habitats including landfill cover, hydromorphic, upland and wetland soils (**Figure 4**, Tables S1–S4). Its growth was stimulated when rice field soil was incubated under high methane and oxygen concentrations (Henckel et al., 2000b).

Cluster 1 (CL1) or *Crenothrix* Related Cluster

A sequence cluster related to pmoA of Crenothrix, amoA of nitrifying bacteria and hydrocarbon monooxygenases (hmoA, emoA) was described as cluster 1 (Kolb et al., 2005; Ricke et al., 2005; Knief et al., 2006; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). It was later also referred to as Crenothrix related cluster (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). Cluster 1 contains some sequences from methanotrophic isolates that were obtained from Canadian Arctic soils (Pacheco-Oliver et al., 2002). Based on their 16S rRNA gene sequences, these isolates are related to Methylocystis and Methylosinus. Unfortunately, the isolates have been lost and similar isolates could so far not be obtained again, so that the identity and characteristics of the bacteria harboring this *pmoA* sequence type remain unclear. It has been speculated that cluster 1 organisms are responsible for atmospheric methane uptake, as they were detected as dominant *pmoA* sequence type in some upland soils, in particular in pH neutral soils (Kolb et al., 2005; Ricke et al., 2005; Kolb, 2009). Experimental proof for this hypothesis is still missing. Further sequences assigned to Cluster 1 were detected in aquatic sediments and aquifers (Figure 4, Tables S1, S3). This corresponds well to the habitat of the related Crenothrix organisms, which were enriched from backwash water of sand filters fed with ground water (Stoecker et al., 2006). Thus, at least some Cluster 1 organisms may be similar to Crenothrix and the whole cluster appears to harbor methanotrophs adapted to different habitats.

Cluster 2 (CL2) or TUSC

Another sequence cluster with *pmoA/amoA* like sequences was referred to as cluster 2 upon its recurring detection (Knief et al., 2003, 2005; Ricke et al., 2005). In later studies it was named tropical upland soil cluster (TUSC) (Lüke et al., 2010), though its occurrence is not restricted to tropical soils. Instead, it has been detected in diverse upland soils and some hydromorphic soils. It shows similarities in dispersal to USC γ , as it is largely absent in wetlands and acidic soils (Kolb, 2009; Martineau et al., 2014).

Moreover, it shows reduced occurrence in intensively managed agricultural soils (Lima et al., 2014) with the exception that it has been found in some agricultural fields that are subjected to organic farming and/or that are characterized by higher carbon content (upon biochar or organic residue application; Dörr et al., 2010; Lima et al., 2014; Ho et al., 2015).

It has been speculated that the organisms harboring genes of this sequence cluster are involved in atmospheric methane oxidation, but this is solely based on the specific detection of this sequence type in upland soils. Further proof for this hypothesis is missing. It can currently not even be excluded that the genes of this sequence cluster encode a non-methane hydrocarbon monooxygenase, which is suggested by the fact that the sequences are related to those of hydrocarbon monooxygenases (Figure 6). The only evidence that supports the assumption that cluster 2 sequences may represent methanotrophic Gammaproteobacteria comes from a study of Kalyuzhnaya et al. (2006), who enriched methanotrophic bacteria from lake Washington sediment by cell sorting using 16S rRNA targeted fluorescent probes. Twenty percent of a pmoA clone library, constructed from a cell suspension enriched with a probe for type I methanotrophs, represented cluster 2 pmoA sequences. Unusual in this context remains the unique detection of this sequence type in a lake sediment.

Deep-Sea Clusters 1 to 5 Including OPU1, OPU3, and PS-80

Sequences retrieved from marine environments can be grouped into five major clusters, referred to as deep-sea clusters 1 to 5 (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). Deep-sea clusters 1, 2, and 3 belong to the type Ia methanotrophs (**Figure 6**). Deep-sea cluster 4 is distantly related to known type Ia and Ib methanotrophs. Depending on the subset of sequences and the method used for tree reconstruction this cluster falls within either type Ia or type Ib methanotrophs (Lüke and Frenzel, 2011). Deepsea cluster 5 is a deeply branching lineage related to type Ib and Ic methanotrophs. The clustering is variable in different phylogenetic trees, so that an unambiguous assignment to one or the other type is difficult. In some studies, this cluster was even assigned to type Id (referred to as type Ic in those studies; Lüke and Frenzel, 2011; Henneberger et al., 2012).

Deep-sea clusters 1 and 2 have meanwhile cultivated representatives. Cluster 1 includes the cultivated genus Methyloprofundus and cluster 2 the genus Methylomarinum. These genera represent one single OTU within the respective clusters, while the clusters contain in total eight and 27 $OTUs_{12}$. Thus, it appears likely that they consist of more than one genus. Hence, the well-established names deep-sea cluster 1 and 3 are kept for these larger clusters of sequences in this review. Deep-sea cluster 2 includes the uncultivated PS-80 cluster, which is displayed as distinct cluster in some phylogenetic trees or given as alternative name for deep-sea cluster 2 (Deng et al., 2013; Dumont et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). Likewise, deep-sea cluster 3 includes the sub-clusters OPU3 and EST, which are repeatedly mentioned in the literature and sometimes given as synonym for deep-sea cluster 3 (Lüke et al., 2010; Tavormina et al., 2010, 2013; Crespo-Medina et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). The same applies to deep-sea cluster 5, which includes or corresponds to OPU1.

Deep-sea clusters 1 and 4 are rather small with only 6 and 8 OTUs₁₂ and have less frequently been detected compared to the other three clusters, which contain between 20 and 30 OTUs₁₂ (**Table 7**). Most deep-sea clusters consist exclusively of sequences from marine habitats, the only exceptions are found in deep-sea clusters 3 and 5 (**Figure 4**). They contain one single OTU₁₂, which was retrieved from a terrestrial habitat, i.e., a mud volcano and a landfill cover soil (Henneberger et al., 2012). Furthermore, OTU 271 in cluster 3 contains some sequences from an aquatic habitat. These were detected in an estuarine sediment, which harbored otherwise sequences that are typical for aquatic habitats (McDonald et al., 2005).

Possible habitat preferences of the different deep-sea clusters remain currently largely unknown. In most studies, sequences of two or more deep-sea clusters have been detected in the same sample (Nercessian et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2006; Redmond et al., 2010; Ruff et al., 2013). Nevertheless, methanotrophic communities can differ substantially between sites (Ruff et al., 2013). Clear differences were also seen between sediment and water column within the same site (Tavormina et al., 2008), but overall, all five clusters have been detected in samples from the water column or the sediment with roughly equal frequency. Evidence for habitat specificity is only seen within deep-sea cluster 1, which harbors the majority of sequences that were found in association with marine animals (Zbinden et al., 2008; Wendeberg et al., 2012; Raggi et al., 2013). These methanotrophs live as endosymbionts in mussels, tube worms or shrimps and contribute to the food web of deep-water ecosystems (Petersen and Dubilier, 2009). Deep-sea cluster 2 and 4 sequences have also been detected as endosymbionts or epibionts of marine animals, but less consistently (Zbinden et al., 2008; Rodrigues et al., 2011; Watsuji et al., 2014).

Lake Cluster 1, Aquifer Cluster, and Aquatic Clusters 1 to 6

Sequence types that have predominantly been detected in aquatic habitats are grouped into lake cluster 1 and 2 and the aquifer cluster (Dumont et al., 2014). Lake cluster 1 is a small group of sequences (3 $OTUs_{12}$) belonging to the type Ia methanotrophs (**Figure 6**). Most lake cluster 1 sequences were detected in aquatic ecosystems, while few were found in a wetland. Lake cluster 2 sequences represent also type Ia methanotrophs and were grouped by the Mothur classification tool into one single large OTU together with *Methyloparacoccus*. Thus, it is referred to as *Methyloparacoccus* here instead of lake cluster 2. This OTU was not only detected in aquatic ecosystems, but also in rice ecosystems and sporadically in other habitats (**Figure 4**).

The aquifer cluster consists of nine $OTUs_{12}$ and just a few more OTUs at species level resolution. It is also representing type Ia methanotrophs. The name refers to the initial detection in a petroleum-contaminated aquifer (Urmann et al., 2008), but sequences of this cluster occur in different habitats. Half of the OTUs₁₂ are common in aquatic ecosystems, while others were detected in landfill cover soils (**Figure 4**, Tables S1, S2). This applies even to the OTU harboring the aquifer sequences; it was also detected in landfill cover soils.

The evaluation of the relationship between phylogeny and habitat revealed the existence of possible further aquatic clusters that were defined in this work (Figure 4). The aquatic clusters 1 to 5 are related to type Ia methanotrophs, while aquatic cluster 6 is a member of the type Ib methanotrophs. Aquatic cluster 1 is related to Clonothrix, aquatic cluster 2 to Methylosoma, and cluster 4 often includes Methylovulum in phylogenetic trees. All clusters are rather small, consisting of two to nine OTUs₁₂ (Table 7). They contain dominantly sequences from aquatic ecosystems plus some sequences from other habitats, often from marine ecosystems (Figure 4). Most aquatic clusters and the lake cluster 1 OTUs were detected in samples from the water column as well as the sediment. Only aquatic cluster 4 shows a much higher detection frequency in studies of sediment samples, while cluster 2 shows a higher detection frequency in samples from the water column (Tables S1-S4). Similarly, the aquifer cluster has not yet been detected in aquatic sediment samples.

Further Clusters of Uncultivated Gammaproteobacterial Methanotrophs

Two further clusters of uncultivated methanotrophs are related to type Ia methanotrophs, represented by cluster RCL and F4-II. Cluster RCL was named after the first clones, obtained during a study analyzing active methanotrophs in landfill cover soil (Chen et al., 2007). It consists of only five OTUs₁₂, but a much higher number of 47 OTUs₄ at higher taxonomic resolution (**Table 7**). It has been detected in different ecosystems, in particular in aquatic sediments and landfill cover soils (Tables S1–S4, **Figure 4**). Cluster F4-II was defined in this work, referring to the first study in which this sequence type was discovered (Chauhan et al., 2012). It consists of eight OTUs₁₂ and contains sequences from diverse habitats, especially aquatic and wetland ecosystems.

Cluster FWs is present within the type Ib methanotrophs and was defined recently (Dumont et al., 2014). It has a relatively high diversity at species level and has most frequently been detected in aquatic environments.

Two rather small clusters of uncultivated methanotrophs, clusters LS-mat and ATII-I cluster 3 can be assigned to the type Ic or Id methanotrophs, depending on the treeing approach (Figures 4, 6). These clusters were named in this work in accordance with the sample and cluster names given in the studies in which they were first described (Crépeau et al., 2011; Abdallah et al., 2014). They are closely related to each other and were detected in different marine studies and with lower frequency in some terrestrial habitats.

Besides USC γ sensu lato one further cluster of uncultivated sequences is present within the group of type Id methanotrophs. The TXS cluster consists of four OTUs₁₂ and has been exclusively detected in upland soils so far, likewise as the other uncultivated type Id clusters (Serrano-Silva et al., 2014). Whether the organisms of this cluster are also involved in atmospheric methane oxidation is unknown.

Further *pmoA/amoA* Like Clusters: MR1, RA21, and Others

Several further sequence types form small clusters that are distantly related to the well-known *pmoA* and *amoA* sequences as well as to those of *pxmA* and non-methane hydrocarbon monooxygenase genes. Cluster MR1 is represented by two OTUs₁₂ in this study and has only been detected in some upland soils (**Table 7**). In contrast, RA21, which has been more frequently retrieved and consists of three OTUs₁₂, is predominantly found in rice field soils. Some further clusters have been defined in this region of the phylogenetic tree, such as the two marine clusters referred to as group X (Tavormina et al., 2010) and ATII-I Cluster 4 (Abdallah et al., 2014), or cluster M84-P22 (Horz et al., 2001). These clusters have until now only been detected very rarely, so that it is too early to draw further conclusions about possible habitat preferences.

HABITAT SPECIFICITY OF METHANOTROPHIC TAXA EVALUATED AT HIGHER TAXONOMIC RESOLUTION

To evaluate habitat specificity for cultivated and uncultivated taxa of methanotrophic bacteria in more detail and at higher taxonomic resolution, 19 different habitat types were defined, which contained at least 30 sequence reads. The assignment of sequences to one of these more specific habitat types was in most cases unambiguous, but for the soil categories an overlap between habitats may exist. This applies for instance to soils collected in arctic-alpine environments, which include samples from glacier forefields as well as alpine meadows and grasslands. Some of these soils may also represent the category "upland soil" or "hydromorphic soil." Likewise, a polluted soil may at the same time be an "upland soil." Soils in the category "polluted soils" were collected from areas with hydrocarbon pollution, near coal mines or above oil and gas reservoirs. Four percent of the soil derived sequence reads could not be assigned to a specific soil habitat since no further information about the type of soil habitat was available. These sequences are presented as "soil diverse" in Figure 5, but were excluded from subsequent analyses as they formed a very heterogeneous group. Certain overlap may also exist between wetlands and bog ecosystems, as it cannot be fully excluded that the term wetland was in some cases used by authors for the description of samples from bog ecosystems.

Due to the fact that methanotrophic communities were analyzed at very great depth in some studies, numerous redundant reads are present in the database and a high number of sequence reads assigned to certain OTUs may be the result of just a few studies rather than frequent detection in diverse studies. To correct for this possible artifact, replicate sequence reads, i.e., those that represent the same study and the same OTU, were excluded during the further analysis. This resulted in 2079 non-redundant reads at OTU_{12} cut-off and 4061 reads at OTU_4 cut-off level. In particular at 12% cut-off, this caused a more even distribution of sequence reads across the different habitat types

(Figure 5). The recovery of specific sequence types in different habitats was thus evaluated based on their presence or absence in individual studies, while the information from approximately 370 studies was used to estimate the detection frequency of each OTU quantitatively. Non-metric multidimensional scaling plots were calculated to visualize (dis-)similarities between habitats (Figure 7). The major pattern was largely similar, regardless of the applied OTU resolution, demonstrating that major differences between samples are indeed already manifest at genus level. Methanotrophic communities in marine habitats are most distinct from those of all other habitats, as evident from their clear separation along the first axis of the plot. This was also seen when applying other multivariate approaches (principal component analysis, hierarchical cluster analysis) and is in agreement with the existence of the very specific marine clades deep-sea clusters 1 to 5. The second axis separates volcanic soils from all other samples, which can be explained by the unique presence of Verrucomicrobia in several of these soils (Sharp et al., 2014). The high dissimilarities of methanotrophic communities in marine ecosystems and volcanic soil samples compared to all other ecosystems were verified by an analysis of similarity (ANOSIM), which revealed very high values of R = 0.985 (P = 0.001) at OTU₁₂ level and of R = 0.926(P = 0.001) at OTU₄ level. To better evaluate dissimilarities between the remaining aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, the marine and volcanic soil sample data were excluded from NMDS plots (Figure 7). These reduced datasets reveal that aquatic habitats including the estuarine habitat are again distinct from the other habitats, supported by ANOSIM values of R = 0.444(P = 0.006) for OTU₁₂ and R = 0.460 (P = 0.004) for OTU₄. This agrees with the existence of different aquatic clades (Figure 4). Methanotrophic communities in aquifers appear to be somewhat different from those in aquatic habitats (Figure 7). The terrestrial samples did not show highly consistent patterns in the NMDS plots (or in other multivariate approaches), besides the observation that those soils that are exposed to low methane concentrations, i.e., upland soils, arctic-alpine soils, and hydromorphic soils, are often located close to each other. This is in agreement with the unique occurrence of the upland soil clusters and some other clades in these soils (Figure 4). The limited resolution of differences between the different soil sample types may be related to the fact that these categories may partially overlap, as explained above.

Habitat-specific OTUs

To identify common and habitat specific groups at OTU_{12} and OTU_4 level, the relative detection frequency of OTUsacross habitats was determined based on non-redundant read counts. OTUs that were detected in at least five studies were included in this evaluation. Otherwise, OTUs may appear erroneously as habitat-specific based on the fact that they have been detected in a limited number of studies. The detection frequency of OTUs across habitats is displayed as heat map and reveals that a rather low number of OTUs is highly habitat specific (**Figure 8**). The identified habitat specific and common OTUs are listed in **Tables 8, 9**. The number of specific OTUsincreases at species level resolution. This is to some extent the



result of splitting a habitat specific genus into several habitat specific species. Furthermore, it is based on the fact that some habitat specific species exist within genera that show a broad distribution, as observed for some *Methylocystis* species. The genus is commonly found in diverse environments, but some *Methylocystis* species show habitat specificity and appear to be characteristic for aquatic environments or landfill cover soils (**Tables 8**, **9**). Likewise, the genus *Methylocaldum* has been detected in diverse habitats, while the species *Methylocaldum gracile* was found with very high frequency in landfill cover soils.

The marine habitats, which appeared most distinct in the NMDS plots, are not only characterized by the presence of very unique taxa that are mostly absent from all other ecosystems. Additionally, most taxa with broad distribution in diverse habitats are largely absent in marine ecosystems, in particular at species level resolution (**Figure 8**). The OTUs that are characteristic for marine habitats belong to the deep-sea clusters 1 to 5 (**Table 8**). Due to a high phylogenetic diversity within these clusters, most of the individual OTUs have so far only

been detected in a few studies, so that many OTUs of these clades were excluded from this kind of analysis. This explains the unexpectedly low number of OTUs that are displayed for the marine samples at species level resolution in the heat map (**Figure 8**).

The different aquatic habitats have several OTUs at genus and species level in common (**Figure 8**). This includes the uncultivated clusters FWs, lake cluster 1 and LP20, which have already been described as habitat-specific before (Dumont et al., 2014), and most of the aquatic clusters that were defined in this article. Moreover, the genus *Methyloparacoccus murrellii* as well as specific OTUs₄ of the genera *Methylobacter*, *Methylomonas*, *Methylosoma*, and *Methylocystis* are characteristic for aquatic habitats (**Table 9**). Some OTUs are even more habitat specific and occur preferentially either in the water column or the sediment. Specific for the water column are the aquatic clusters 2b and 5a and lake cluster 1, while aquatic cluster 4a, the *Methyloglobulus* like cluster LP20 and some further OTUs related to *Methylobacter psychrophilus*, *Methyloglobulus morosus*, *Methyloparacoccus murrellii* and *Methylosoma difficile*



are specific for the sediment (**Figure 8**, Tables S1–S4). In agreement with this preferential occurrence, the cultivated strains of these species were also obtained from aquatic habitats (**Table 2**).

The terrestrial habitats show a lower number of specific OTUs, in agreement with the weaker resolution in the NMDS plots. Rice associated habitats harbor no characteristic OTUs at genus level resolution, but some specific OTUs related to *Methyloparacoccus* and *Methylocystis* or the uncultivated lineages RPC1 and RPC2 at higher taxonomic resolution (**Table 9**). Characteristic in landfill cover soils are strains of *Methylocaldum gracile* and of an unclassified *Methylocystis* species, but no specific clusters of uncultivated methanotrophs were detected. As expected, different lineages of USC α and USC γ are specific for upland soils, while the genus *Methylocapsa* and a specific

uncultivated *Methylocystis* species are typical inhabitants of bog ecosystems (**Tables 8**, **9**).

Broadly Distributed Methanotrophic Taxa

Several $OTUs_{12}$ occur in diverse habitats. These include a number of cultivated genera, in particularly those that have been discovered and described quite early and that have been obtained as isolates frequently (**Tables 4**, **8**). Furthermore, some lineages of uncultivated methanotrophs are broadly distributed such as OTUs of the clusters FWs, RCL, RA21, or RPC1_3. At species level resolution, the number of common OTUs is lower (**Table 9**). This can be explained by habitat specialization with increasing taxonomic resolution, as observed for cultivated and uncultivated members of the genera *Methylocystis, Methylocaldum*, or *Methylobacter* (**Tables 8**, **9**).

TABLE 8 | Broadly distributed and habitat-specific OTUs₁₂.

οτυ	Name of cluster	Marine	Aquatic	Upland soils	Rice	Wetland	Bog	Soil, landfill	Number of non-redundant sequences
COM	NON, CULTIVATED								
1	Methylocystis	2	23	23	20	5	16	9	175
3	Methylomonas	6	29	11	31	4	8	11	81
4	Methylosarcina, Methylomicrobium album/agile	4	25	20	36	2	0	14	62
5	Methyloparacoccus	6	30	14	39	5	2	5	68
6	Methylobacter psychrophilus	4	51	16	2	8	6	12	51
7	Methylococcus	14	29	33	14	5	0	5	28
8	Methylocaldum	4	8	35	8	0	0	46	33
10	Methylosoma, Methylobacter tundripaludum	2	40	10	20	12	7	10	62
15	Methylosinus	2	36	21	19	2	7	12	49
18	Methylobacter luteus/whittenburyi/marinus	3	34	14	14	3	3	29	40
65	Methylovulum	8	38	23	8	8	8	8	14
66	Methylocystis, pmoA2	0	47	11	16	0	11	16	19
67	Methylomicrobium pelagicum	10	0	60	10	0	10	10	11
COM	ION, UNCULTIVATED								
12	FWs 1a	4	50	14	21	7	0	4	29
16	RCL a	0	14	24	29	5	0	29	22
19	RPC1_3 like 1, LWs	0	44	12	12	8	20	4	25
21	RA21	0	10	60	20	10	0	0	10
36	Methylocystaceae 11	0	12	12	59	12	6	0	17
51	RPC1_3 like 8, JRC 3	10	20	30	20	10	0	10	10
61	RPC1_3 like 2, LWs	0	58	8	8	8	17	0	12
SPEC	IFIC, CULTIVATED								
134	Methyloprofundus	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
167	"Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum/kamchatkense," pmoA1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	5
169	"Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum/kamchatkense," pmoA2	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	5
172	"Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum/kamchatkense," pmoA3	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	5
158	Methylosinus trichosporium, pmoA2	0	20	0	80	0	0	0	5
60	Methylocapsa acidiphila	0	0	17	0	0	83	0	6
SPEC	IFIC, UNCULTIVATED		_						
23	Deep-sea cluster 3p, OPU3	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
25	Deep-sea cluster 2r	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
35	Deep-sea cluster 1d	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
49	Deep-sea cluster 5w, OPU1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
63	Deep-sea cluster 2t	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
95	Deep-sea cluster 5h	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
119	Deep-sea cluster 2q	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
132	Deep-sea cluster 2g	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
161	Deep-sea cluster 5d	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
33	Deep-sea cluster 3q	88	13	0	0	0	0	0	8
14	Lake cluster 1a	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	9
30	Aquatic cluster 5a	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	5
39	Methyloglobulus like 13, LP20	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	6
64	Aquatic cluster 4a	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	8
44	Methylococcaceae 12d	0	92	0	0	0	8	0	13
38	Aquatic cluster 2b	11	89	0	0	0	0	0	9

(Continued)

TABLE 8 | Continued

	-								
οτυ	Name of cluster	Marine	Aquatic	Upland soils	Rice	Wetland	Bog	Soil, landfill	Number of non-redundant sequences
2	USCα 4, RA14	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	26
31	JR3a	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	7
17	USCa 16, JR1, Cluster 5	0	0	93	7	0	0	0	14
22	USCa 8, MHP	0	0	86	0	14	0	0	7
9	USCγ 1	0	0	81	6	0	6	6	16
100	USCy 2	0	0	80	0	0	0	20	5
28	Cluster 2a, TUSC	0	7	79	0	7	7	0	15
41	Cluster 1I, Crenothrix related	0	0	75	13	13	0	0	8

OTUs are defined as habitat-specific if at least 75% of the non-redundant reads were detected in one habitat. Common OTUs were detected in at least five different habitats. The group of upland soils includes hydromorphic soils, arctic-alpine soils, volcanic soils and polluted soils. Cultivated OTUs contain at least one sequence of a cultivated strain, but not necessarily a type strain. Color coding reflects relative detection frequency across habitats.

UNDERSTANDING THE INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON METHANOTROPHIC COMMUNITY COMPOSITION AND ACTIVITY

It is obvious that the occurrence and activity of methanotrophic bacteria in different ecosystems is largely influenced by abiotic and biotic environmental conditions. Important factors are methane and oxygen concentrations, nutrient availability, pH, temperature, salinity and water availability (Semrau et al., 2010). Additional factors will influence these bacteria indirectly such as soil moisture content, which affects gas diffusion and thus methane and oxygen supply, or plant cover, which alters the water and nutrient status in soil. Among these factors, methane concentration, nitrogen status and the role of copper have been studied in most detail and were identified as very important for shaping methanotrophic communities and for influencing their activity (Conrad, 2007; Semrau et al., 2010; Ho et al., 2013). Future research needs to address the question how the different factors act alone and in combination on the members of methanotrophic communities in different ecosystems. The present study evaluated only the presence or absence of methanotrophic bacteria in the different ecosystems, but this does not implement information about metabolic activity. In particular type IIa methanotrophs are capable of forming resting stages, which enable prolonged survival under unfavorable conditions (Whittenbury et al., 1970a). To link ecosystem function with community composition, activity in dependence on environmental parameters needs to be analyzed in more detail in future studies.

The present review provides a comprehensive overview about habitat preferences of methanotrophic taxa, considering the complete diversity as represented by *pmoA* as marker and including all major ecosystems in which these bacteria occur. However, habitat preferences do also exist within these ecosystems. The preferential occurrence of USC α in acidic and USCy in pH neutral upland soils or the plant genotype specific colonization of rice by uncultivated groups of methanotrophs are just two examples (Knief et al., 2003; Lüke et al., 2011). In the latter case, differences can be seen as shifts in the methanotrophic community composition, but not based on pure presence absence data. Likewise, shifts have been observed in aquatic ecosystems, where methanotrophic communities differ in dependence on depth or type of sediment (Pester et al., 2004; Rahalkar and Schink, 2007; Biderre-Petit et al., 2011; Deutzmann et al., 2011). In contrast, almost nothing is known about niche differentiation and habitat preferences among all those OTUs that represent uncultivated genera and species of the marine deep-sea clusters. These methanotrophs appear to coexist in marine habitats, or differentiation occurs at a finer scale. In-depth studies within the different ecosystems are needed to obtain further knowledge about habitat preferences of the individual clusters of methanotrophic bacteria. Such studies need to implement meta-data describing the physicochemical and biological characteristics of the habitat or have to be done under controlled conditions whereby specific parameters are manipulated.

There is a clear need to study the impact of environmental factors at different taxonomic resolution in order to gain comprehensive understanding about mechanisms that lead to niche differentiation among methanotrophs. In initial studies, a simple differentiation between type I and type II methanotrophs was made (e.g., Graham et al., 1993; Amaral et al., 1995; Henckel et al., 2000b), which is certainly appropriate due to some major differences that exist between these groups, e.g., in terms of physiology. Hence, these studies provided valuable insight concerning the differential responses of the studied methanotrophs to high and low methane, oxygen and nitrogen concentrations (Conrad, 2007; Ho et al., 2013). However, the compilation of ecophysiological characteristics from type strains in this study has shown that responses of methanotrophic bacteria to specific environmental factors are often not closely

TABLE 9 | Broadly distributed and habitat-specific OTUs₄.

				ply					t	
οτυ	Name of cluster	Marine	Aquatic	Soil, weak methane supply	Rice	Wetland	Bog	Soil, landfill	Number of non-redundant sequences	
соммо	DN, CULTIVATED									
1	Methylocystis echinoides	2	24	16	47	4	2	4	47	
3	Methylocystis rosea, hirsuta	0	29	26	19	6	5	15	93	
10	Methylocystis sp.	0	11	14	68	4	0	4	30	
28	Methylosarcina lacus	9	27	9	45	0	0	9	12	
40	Methylobacter tundripaludum	0	20	10	0	30	10	30	10	
127	Methylocystis sp., pmoA2	0	50	17	8	0	8	17	12	
соммо	ON, UNCULTIVATED									
25	Methylosarcina	0	0	33	33	8	0	25	12	
32	Methylosoma	0	17	17	42	17	0	8	13	
41	RCL a	0	8	33	8	8	0	42	12	
59	Methylocystis	0	14	29	0	14	29	14	7	
73	Methylocystis	0	10	10	30	10	30	10	10	
SPECIF	IC, CULTIVATED									
94	Methylobacter sp.	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	
137	Methylocystis sp.	0	86	0	14	0	0	0	7	
43	Methyloparacoccus murrellii	11	78	0	0	11	0	0	9	
376	"Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum, kamchatkense," pmoA2	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	5	
149	Methylocystis parvus	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	7	
202	Methylocystis sp.	0	0	14	0	0	0	86	8	
23	Methylocaldum gracile	0	8	8	0	0	0	83	13	
SPECIF	IC, UNCULTIVATED									
37	Deep-sea cluster 2r	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
19	Deep-sea cluster 3p, OPU3	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
156	Deep-sea cluster 3p, OPU3	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
42	Deep-sea cluster 5w, OPU1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
64	Aquatic cluster 2b	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	5	
61	Aquatic cluster 4a	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	
7	Lake cluster 1a	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	9	
350	Methylobacter psychrophilus	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	5	
229	Methyloglobulus like 13, LP20	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	6	
197	Methylomonas	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	5	
52	Methyloparacoccus	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	5	
158	Methyloparacoccus	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	7	
332	Methylosoma	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	6	
50	FWs 1a	0	80	20	0	0	0	0	5	
270	Methylocystis	0	80	0	0	0	20	0	5	
2	USCα 4, RA14	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	20	
58	USCα 4, RA14	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	7	
140	USCα 4, RA14	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	5	
90	USCy 1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	6	
33	USCa 16, JR1, Cluster 5	0	0	88	13	0	0	0	8	
205	USCγ 1	0	0	80	0	0	0	20	5	
242	Methylococcaceae	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	
54	Methylocystaceae 11	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	6	

(Continued)

TABLE 9 | Continued

οτυ	Name of cluster	Marine	Aquatic	Soil, weak methane supply	Rice	Wetland	Bog	Soil, landfill	Number of non-redundant sequences
343	Methylocystis	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5
14	Methylomonas	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	8
150	Methyloparacoccus	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5
65	RPC 2a	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	10
97	RPC1_3 like 10, RPC1	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	7
95	RPC 2a	0	0	7	93	0	0	0	14
26	Methyloparacoccus	0	0	9	91	0	0	0	11
102	Methylocystis	0	0	14	86	0	0	0	7
9	Methylosarcina	0	0	14	82	0	0	5	23
130	Methyloparacoccus	0	0	9	82	0	0	9	12
48	Methylosarcina	0	11	11	78	0	0	0	9
387	Methylocystis	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	5

OTUs are defined as habitat-specific if at least 75% of the non-redundant reads were detected in one habitat. Common OTUs were detected in at least five different habitats. The group of upland soils includes hydromorphic soils, arctic-alpine soils, volcanic soils, and polluted soils. Cultivated OTUs contain at least one sequence of a cultivated strain, but this is not necessarily a type strain. Color coding reflects relative detection frequency across habitats.

linked to phylogeny, a finding that was recently also reported by Krause et al. (2014), so that other approaches may be necessary to categorize methanotrophs. A concept that has several times been applied considers type I methanotrophs as rstrategists and type II methanotrophs as k-strategists (Steenbergh et al., 2010; Siljanen et al., 2011). A recent proposition is based on a classification of methanotrophic bacteria into more specific ecological response groups based on specific functional traits: methanotrophic genera were classified based on their life strategies as competitors, stress tolerators or ruderals (Bodelier et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2013). The data compiled in this review clearly support the assumption that methanotrophic bacteria have developed different life strategies. Several groups of methanotrophs, among them many uncultivated lineages, appear to be specifically adapted to a certain habitat type and may thus represent good competitors in this specific environment. Some others have been found more widespread in different habitat types and may thus represent stress tolerators and/or ruderals.

IMPORTANCE TO OBTAIN FURTHER ISOLATES OF METHANOTROPHIC BACTERIA

The evaluation of the representativeness of cultured model strains has revealed that they cover already a substantial fraction of the frequently detected methanotrophs in environmental samples. Several of them are common colonizers in diverse habitats. This encompasses in particular those taxa that are easily recovered in enrichment studies, while other isolated species and genera have not (yet) been frequently detected in nature. The fact that major clusters of uncultivated methanotrophs are detected in diverse ecosystems clearly shows the need for further isolation efforts to get hands on these organisms. This applies in particular to the frequently detected methanotrophs belonging to the diverse rice paddy clusters, the marine deep-sea clusters, the upland soil clusters or the different aquatic clusters. It is likely that these organisms are well adapted to their respective habitats, so that specific enrichment strategies may have to be applied, which better mimic the natural conditions of these methanotrophs to stimulate their growth. Several attempts were already made to enrich USC α methanotrophs, but until now, these resulted in the retrieval of well-known methanotrophic genera such as *Methylocystis* and *Methylosinus* rather than an enrichment of bacteria harboring USC α gene sequences (Dunfield et al., 1999; Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Kravchenko et al., 2010).

Only the combination of community analyses in natural environments, under controlled conditions in microcosms or mesocosms and of pure cultures or enrichment cultures will allow to understand the physiological and regulatory mechanisms at cellular level that ultimately control activity and affect dispersal of methanotrophs in nature. The fact that many gene functions and regulatory mechanisms in methanotrophic bacteria are until now only little understood, e.g., the role of *pxmA*, limits also the gain of knowledge from cultivation-independent studies when global analysis approaches such as metagenomics, -transcriptomics or -proteomics are applied. This underlines the need for studying pure cultures under laboratory conditions.

The analysis of dispersal patterns at high taxonomic resolution needs a sufficiently large data basis. Conclusions about habitat preferences can only be drawn for frequently detected genera and species, but not so easily for those methanotrophic genera that are currently represented by a single strain or a very small number of sequences. Their less frequent recovery in cultivationdependent and -independent approaches might point toward higher specialization. In order to draw further conclusions about habitat preferences for these smaller groups, the detection of similar sequences in cultivation-independent studies and/or the isolation of further representatives are necessary. The application of next generation sequencing techniques will facilitate the detection of such rare methanotrophs due to the higher sequencing depth that can be reached. However, currently the integration of NGS data from studies into existing sequence databases is time consuming, as tools for data mining are still largely lacking. At the moment, this limitation can most conveniently be overcome if authors deposit representative *pmoA* sequences in the NCBI nucleotide database or provide them

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, R. Z., Adel, M., Ouf, A., Sayed, A., Ghazy, M. A., Alam, I., et al. (2014). Aerobic methanotrophic communities at the Red Sea brine-seawater interface. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:487. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00487
- Abell, G. C. J., Stralis-Pavese, N., Sessitsch, A., and Bodrossy, L. (2009). Grazing affects methanotroph activity and diversity in an alpine meadow soil. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 457–465. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00078.x
- Amaral, J. A., Archambault, C., Richards, S. R., and Knowles, R. (1995). Denitrification associated with Groups I and II methanotrophs in a gradient enrichment system. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 18, 289–298. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00185.x
- Angel, R., and Conrad, R. (2009). In situ measurement of methane fluxes and analysis of transcribed particulate methane monooxygenase in desert soils. *Environ. Microbiol.* 11, 2598–2610. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01984.x
- Auman, A. J., and Lidstrom, M. E. (2002). Analysis of sMMO-containing Type I methanotrophs in Lake Washington sediment. *Environ. Microbiol.* 4, 517–524. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00323.x
- Auman, A. J., Stolyar, S., Costello, A. M., and Lidstrom, M. E. (2000). Molecular characterization of methanotrophic isolates from freshwater lake sediment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 5259–5266. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.12.5259-5266.2000
- Baani, M., and Liesack, W. (2008). Two isozymes of particulate methane monooxygenase with different methane oxidation kinetics are found in *Methylocystis* sp. strain SC2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 10203–10208. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702643105
- Barbier, B. A., Dziduch, I., Liebner, S., Ganzert, L., Lantuit, H., Pollard, W., et al. (2012). Methane-cycling communities in a permafrost-affected soil on Herschel Island, Western Canadian Arctic: active layer profiling of *mcrA* and *pmoA* genes. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 82, 287–302. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01332.x
- Belova, S. E., Baani, M., Suzina, N. E., Bodelier, P. L., Liesack, W., and Dedysh, S. N. (2011). Acetate utilization as a survival strategy of peat-inhabiting *Methylocystis* spp. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 36–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010. 00180.x
- Belova, S. E., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Bodelier, P. L., and Dedysh, S. N. (2013). *Methylocystis bryophila* sp. nov., a facultatively methanotrophic bacterium from acidic *Sphagnum* peat, and emended description of the genus *Methylocystis* (ex Whittenbury et al. 1970) Bowman et al. 1993. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 1096–1104. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.043505-0
- Biderre-Petit, C., Jézéquel, D., Dugat-Bony, E., Lopes, F., Kuever, J., Borrel, G., et al. (2011). Identification of microbial communities involved in the methane cycle of a freshwater meromictic lake. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 77, 533–545. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01134.x
- Bodelier, P. L., Gillisen, M. J., Hordijk, K., Damsté, J. S., Rijpstra, W. I., Geenevasen, J. A., et al. (2009). A reanalysis of phospholipid fatty acids as ecological biomarkers for methanotrophic bacteria. *ISME J.* 3, 606–617. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.6

as fasta files. NGS sequencing technology is more and more frequently applied to characterize methanotrophic communities and will lead to an enormous amount of data in the next years. If these data are supplemented with detailed information about the sampling sites and the experimental conditions, it may become a very valuable data resource, enabling more detailed metaanalyses, focusing on specific ecosystems, environmental factors, or taxonomic groups.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2015.01346

- Bodelier, P. L. E., Bär-Gilissen, M. J., Meima-Franke, M., and Hordijk, K. (2012). Structural and functional response of methane-consuming microbial communities to different flooding regimes in riparian soils. *Ecol. Evol.* 2, 106–127. doi: 10.1002/ece3.34
- Bodrossy, L., Holmes, E. M., Holmes, A. J., Kovacs, K. L., and Murrell, J. C. (1997). Analysis of 16S rRNA and methane monooxygenase gene sequences reveals a novel group of thermotolerant and thermophilic methanotrophs, *Methylocaldum* gen. nov. *Arch. Microbiol.* 168, 493–503. doi: 10.1007/s002030050527
- Bourne, D. G., McDonald, I. R., and Murrell, J. C. (2001). Comparison of pmoA PCR primer sets as tools for investigating methanotroph diversity in three Danish soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 3802–3809. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.9.3802-3809.2001
- Bowman, J. (2006). "The methanotrophs—The families Methylococcaceae and Methylocystaceae," in *The Prokaryotes*, eds M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. H. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (New York, NY: Springer), 266–289.
- Bowman, J. P. (2005a). "Methylococcales," in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, eds G. Garrity, D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg, and J. T. Staley (New York, NY: Springer), 248–271.
- Bowman, J. P. (2005b). "Methylocystaceae," in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, eds G. Garrity, D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg, and J. T. Staley (New York, NY: Springer), 411–422.
- Bowman, J. P. (2014). "The family Methylococcaceae," in *The Prokaryotes*, eds E. Rosenberg, E. F. Delong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, and F. Thompson (Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 411–441.
- Bowman, J. P., McCammon, S. A., and Skerratt, J. H. (1997). Methylosphaera hansonii gen. nov., sp. nov., a psychrophilic, group I methanotroph from Antarctic marine-salinity, meromictic lakes. Microbiology 143, 1451–1459. doi: 10.1099/00221287-143-4-1451
- Bowman, J. P., Sly, L. I., Cox, J. M., and Hayward, A. C. (1990). Methylomonas fodinarum sp. nov. and Methylomonas aurantiaca sp. nov.—two closely related type I obligate methanotrophs. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 13, 279–287. doi: 10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80199-2
- Bowman, J. P., Sly, L. I., Nichols, P. D., and Hayward, A. C. (1993). Revised taxonomy of the methanotrophs: description of *Methylobacter* gen. nov., emendation of Methylococcus, validation of Methylosinus and Methylocystis species, and a proposal that the family Methylococcaceae includes only the Group I methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 43, 735–753. doi: 10.1099/00207713-43-4-735
- Bowman, J. P., Sly, L. I., and Stackebrandt, E. (1995). The phylogenetic position of the family *Methylococcaceae*. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 45, 182–185. doi: 10.1099/00207713-45-1-182
- Buttigieg, P. L., and Ramette, A. (2014). A guide to statistical analysis in microbial ecology: a community-focused, living review of multivariate data analyses. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 90, 543–550. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12437
- Chauhan, A., Pathak, A., and Ogram, A. (2012). Composition of methaneoxidizing bacterial communities as a function of nutrient loading in the

Florida everglades. *Microb. Ecol.* 64, 750–759. doi: 10.1007/s00248-012-0058-2

- Chen, Y., Crombie, A., Rahman, M. T., Dedysh, S. N., Liesack, W., Stott, M. B., et al. (2010). Complete genome sequence of the aerobic facultative methanotroph *Methylocella silvestris* BL2. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 3840–3841. doi: 10.1128/JB.00506-10
- Chen, Y., Dumont, M. G., Cébron, A., and Murrell, J. C. (2007). Identification of active methanotrophs in a landfill cover soil through detection of expression of 16S rRNA and functional genes. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 2855–2869. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01401.x
- Chen, Y., Dumont, M. G., McNamara, N. P., Chamberlain, P. M., Bodrossy, L., Stralis-Pavese, N., et al. (2008). Diversity of the active methanotrophic community in acidic peatlands as assessed by mRNA and SIP-PLFA analyses. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 446–459. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007. 01466.x
- Chowdhury, T. R., and Dick, R. P. (2013). Ecology of aerobic methanotrophs in controlling methane fluxes from wetlands. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. Appl. Soil Ecol. 65, 8–22. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.12.014
- Coleman, N. V., Le, N. B., Ly, M. A., Ogawa, H. E., McCarl, V., Wilson, N. L., et al. (2012). Hydrocarbon monooxygenase in *Mycobacterium*: recombinant expression of a member of the ammonia monooxygenase superfamily. *ISME J*. 6, 171–182. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.98
- Conrad, R. (2007). Microbial ecology of methanogens and methanotrophs. *Adv. Agron.* 96, 1–63. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(07)96005-8
- Conrad, R. (2009). The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial processes involved. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 285–292. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00038.x
- Costello, A. M., and Lidstrom, M. E. (1999). Molecular characterization of functional and phylogenetic genes from natural populations of methanotrophs in lake sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 5066–5074.
- Crépeau, V., Bonavita, M. A. C., Lesongeur, F., Randrianalivelo, H., Sarradin, P. M., Sarrazin, J., et al. (2011). Diversity and function in microbial mats from the Lucky Strike hydrothermal vent field. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 76, 524–540. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01070.x
- Crespo-Medina, M., Meile, C. D., Hunter, K. S., Diercks, A. R., Asper, V. L., Orphan, V. J., et al. (2014). The rise and fall of methanotrophy following a deepwater oil-well blowout. *Nat. Geosci.* 7, 423–427. doi: 10.1038/ ngeo2156
- Crombie, A. T., and Murrell, J. C. (2014). Trace-gas metabolic versatility of the facultative methanotroph *Methylocella silvestris*. *Nature* 510, 148–153. doi: 10.1038/nature13192
- Dalton, H. (2005). The Leeuwenhoek Lecture 2000—The natural and unnatural history of methane-oxidizing bacteria. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 360, 1207–1222. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1657
- Danilova, O. V., and Dedysh, S. N. (2014). Abundance and diversity of methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* in northern wetlands. *Microbiology* 83, 67–76. doi: 10.1134/S0026261714020040
- Danilova, O. V., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Rozova, O. N., Detkova, E. N., Bodelier, P. L. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., et al. (2013). *Methylomonas paludis* sp. nov., the first acid-tolerant member of the genus Methylomonas, from an acidic wetland. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 2282–2289. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0. 045658-0
- De Visscher, A., Boeckx, P., and Van Cleemput, O. (2007). "Artificial methane sinks," in *Greenhouse gas Sinks*, eds D. S. Reay, C. N. Hewitt, and J. Grace (Wallingford: Oxfordshire), 184–200.
- Dedysh, S. N., Belova, S. E., Bodelier, P. L., Smirnova, K. V., Khmelenina, V. N., Chidthaisong, A., et al. (2007). *Methylocystis heyeri* sp. nov., a novel type II methanotrophic bacterium possessing 'signature' fatty acids of type I methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 472–479. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64623-0
- Dedysh, S. N., Berestovskaya, Y. Y., Vasylieva, L. V., Belova, S. E., Khmelenina, V. N., Suzina, N. E., et al. (2004). *Methylocella tundrae* sp. nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from acidic tundra peatlands. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 151–156. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02805-0
- Dedysh, S. N., Didriksen, A., Danilova, O. V., Belova, S. E., Liebner, S., and Svenning, M. M. (2015a). *Methylocapsa palsarum* sp. nov., a methanotrophic bacterium from a Sub-Arctic discontinuous permafrost ecosystem. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 3618–3624. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000465

- Dedysh, S. N., Khmelenina, V. N., Suzina, N. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., Semrau, J. D., Liesack, W., et al. (2002). *Methylocapsa acidiphila* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel methane-oxidizing and dinitrogen-fixing acidophilic bacterium from Sphagnum bog. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 251–261. doi: 10.1099/00207713-52-1-251
- Dedysh, S. N., Knief, C., and Dunfield, P. F. (2005). *Methylocella* species are facultatively methanotrophic. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 4665–4670. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.13.4665-4670.2005
- Dedysh, S. N., Liesack, W., Khmelenina, V. N., Suzina, N. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., Semrau, J. D., et al. (2000). *Methylocella palustris* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new methane-oxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine-pathway methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 50, 955–969. doi: 10.1099/00207713-50-3-955
- Dedysh, S. N., Naumoff, D. G., Vorobev, A. V., Kyrpides, N., Woyke, T., Shapiro, N., et al. (2015b). Draft genome sequence of *Methyloferula stellata* AR4, an obligate methanotroph possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. *Genome Announc.* 3, e01555–e01514. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01555-14
- Degelmann, D. M., Borken, W., Drake, H. L., and Kolb, S. (2010). Different atmospheric methane-oxidizing communities in European beech and Norway spruce soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 3228–3235. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 02730-09
- del Cerro, C., García, J. M., Rojas, A., Tortajada, M., Ramon, D., Galan, B., et al. (2012). Genome sequence of the methanotrophic poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate producer *Methylocystis parvus* OBBP. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 5709–5710. doi: 10.1128/JB.01346-12
- Deng, Y., Cui, X., Lüke, C., and Dumont, M. G. (2013). Aerobic methanotroph diversity in Riganqiao peatlands on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 566–574. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12046
- Deutzmann, J. S., Hoppert, M., and Schink, B. (2014). Characterization and phylogeny of a novel methanotroph, *Methyloglobulus morosus* gen. nov., spec. nov. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 37, 165–169. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2014.02.001
- Deutzmann, J. S., Wörner, S., and Schink, B. (2011). Activity and diversity of methanotrophic bacteria at methane seeps in eastern Lake Constance sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2573–2581. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 02776-10
- Dörr, N., Glaser, B., and Kolb, S. (2010). Methanotrophic communities in Brazilian ferralsols from naturally forested, afforested, and agricultural sites. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 1307–1310. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02282-09
- Dumont, M. G. (2014). "Primers: functional marker genes for methylotrophs and methanotrophs," in *Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology Protocols*, eds T. J. McGenity, K. N. Timmis, and B. Nogales (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 1–21.
- Dumont, M. G., Lüke, C., Deng, Y. C., and Frenzel, P. (2014). Classification of pmoA amplicon pyrosequences using BLAST and the lowest common ancestor method in MEGAN. Front. Microbiol. 5:e34. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00034
- Dumont, M. G., Pommerenke, B., Casper, P., and Conrad, R. (2011). DNA-, rRNA- and mRNA-based stable isotope probing of aerobic methanotrophs in lake sediment. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 1153–1167. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02415.x
- Dunfield, P. F. (2007). "The soil methane sink," in *Greenhouse Gas Sinks*, eds D. S. Reay, C. N. Hewitt, K. A. Smith, and J. Grace (Wallingford: CABI), 152–170.
- Dunfield, P. F., Belova, S. E., Vorob'ev, A. V., Cornish, S. L., and Dedysh, S. N. (2010). *Methylocapsa aurea* sp. nov., a facultative methanotroph possessing a particulate methane monooxygenase, and emended description of the genus *Methylocapsa. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 2659–2664. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.020149-0
- Dunfield, P. F., Khmelenina, V. N., Suzina, N. E. A. T. Y., and Dedysh, S. N. (2003). *Methylocella silvestris* sp. nov. a novel methanotrophic bacterium isolated from an acidic forest cambisol. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 53, 1231–1239. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02481-0
- Dunfield, P. F., Liesack, W., Henckel, T., Knowles, R., and Conrad, R. (1999). Highaffinity methane oxidation by a soil enrichment culture containing a type II methanotroph. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 1009–1014.
- Dunfield, P. F., Tchawa Yimga, M., Dedysh, S. N., Berger, U., Liesack, W., and Heyer, J. (2002). Isolation of a *Methylocystis* strain containing a novel *pmoA*-like gene. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 41, 17–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb00962.x
- Dunfield, P. F., Yuryev, A., Senin, P., Smirnova, A. V., Stott, M. B., Hou, S., et al. (2007). Methane oxidation by an extremely acidophilic bacterium of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Nature* 450, 879–882. doi: 10.1038/nature06411

- Erikstad, H. A., and Birkeland, N. K. (2015). Draft genome sequence of "Candidatus Methylacidiphilum kamchatkense" Strain Kam1, a thermoacidophilic methanotrophic Verrucomicrobium. Genome Announc. 3, e00065–e00015. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00065-15
- Erikstad, H. A., Jensen, S., Keen, T. J., and Birkeland, N. K. (2012). Differential expression of particulate methane monooxygenase genes in the verrucomicrobial methanotroph '*Methylacidiphilum kamchatkense*' Kam1. *Extremophiles* 16, 405–409. doi: 10.1007/s00792-012-0439-y
- Eshinimaev, B. T., Khmelenina, V. N., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2008). First isolation of a type II methanotroph from a soda lake. *Microbiology* 77, 628–631. doi: 10.1134/S0026261708050196
- Ettwig, K. F., Butler, M. K., Le Paslier, D., Pelletier, E., Mangenot, S., Kuypers, M. M., et al. (2010). Nitrite-driven anaerobic methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria. *Nature* 464, 543–548. doi: 10.1038/nature08883
- Foster, J. W., and Davis, R. H. (1966). A methane-dependent coccus, with notes on classification and nomenclature of obligate, methane-utilizing bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* 91, 1924–1931.
- Gal'chenko, V. F., Shishkina, V. N., Suzina, N. E., and Trotsenko Iu, A. (1977). Isolation and properties of new strains of obligate methanotrophs. *Mikrobiologiya* 46, 890–897.
- Geymonat, E., Ferrando, L., and Tarlera, S. E. (2010). *Methylogaea oryzae* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel mesophilic methanotroph from a rice paddy field in Uruguay. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61, 2568–2572. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0. 028274-0
- Gilbert, B., McDonald, I. R., Finch, R., Stafford, G. P., Nielsen, A. K., and Murrell, J. C. (2000). Molecular analysis of the *pmo* (particulate methane monooxygenase) operons from two type II methanotrophs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 966–975. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.3.966-975.2000
- Graham, D. W., Chaudhary, J. A., Hanson, J. S., and Arnold, R. G. (1993). Factors affecting competition between type I and type II methanotrophs in two-organism, continuous-flow reactors. *Microb. Ecol.* 25, 1–17. doi: 10.1007/BF00182126
- Green, P. N. (1992). "Taxonomy of methylotrophic bacteria," in *Microbial Growth* on C₁-compounds, eds J. C. Murrell and D. P. Kelly (Andover: Intercept Press. Ltd.), 23–84.
- Hamamura, N., and Arp, D. J. (2000). Isolation and characterization of alkaneutilizing *Nocardioides* sp. strain CF8. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 186, 21–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb09076.x
- Hamilton, R., Kits, K. D., Ramonovskaya, V. A., Rozova, O. N., Yurimoto, H., Iguchi, H., et al. (2015). Draft genomes of gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs isolated from terrestrial ecosystems. *Genome Announc.* 3, e00515–e00515. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00515-15
- Hanson, R. S., and Hanson, T. E. (1996). Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol. Rev.* 60, 439–471.
- Hayashi, T., Obata, H., Gamo, T., Samo, Y., and Naganuma, T. (2007). Distribution and phylogenetic characteristics of the genes encoding enzymes relevant to methane oxidation in oxygen minimum zones of the eastern pacific ocean. *Res. J. Environ. Sci.* 1, 275–284. doi: 10.3923/rjes.2007.275.284
- Hazeu, W., Batenburg-Van Der Vegte, W. H., and De Bruyn, J. C. (1980). Some characteristics of *Methylococcus mobilis* sp. nov. *Arch. Microbiol.* 124, 211–220. doi: 10.1007/BF00427729
- Henckel, T., Jäckel, U., Schnell, S., and Conrad, R. (2000a). Molecular analyses of novel methanotrophic communities in forest soil that oxidize atmospheric methane. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 1801–1808. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.5.1801-1808.2000
- Henckel, T., Roslev, P., and Conrad, R. (2000b). Effects of O₂ and CH₄ on presence and activity of the indigenous methanotrophic community in rice field soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2, 666–679. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00149.x
- Henneberger, R., Lüke, C., Mosberger, L., and Schroth, M. H. (2012). Structure and function of methanotrophic communities in a landfill-cover soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 81, 52–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01278.x
- Heyer, J., Berger, U., Hardt, M., and Dunfield, P. F. (2005). *Methylohalobius crimeensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a moderately halophilic, methanotrophic bacterium isolated from hypersaline lakes of Crimea. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 55, 1817–1826. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63213-0
- Heyer, J., Galchenko, V. F., and Dunfield, P. F. (2002). Molecular phylogeny of type II methane-oxidizing bacteria isolated from various environments. *Microbiology* 148, 2831–2846. doi: 10.1099/00221287-148-9-2831

- Hirayama, H., Abe, M., Miyazaki, M., Nunoura, T., Furushima, Y., Yamamoto, H., et al. (2014). *Methylomarinovum caldicuralii* gen. nov., sp nov., a moderately thermophilic methanotroph isolated from a shallow submarine hydrothermal system, and proposal of the family *Methylothermaceae fam.* nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 989–999. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.058172-0
- Hirayama, H., Fuse, H., Abe, M., Miyazaki, M., Nakamura, T., Nunoura, T., et al. (2013). *Methylomarinum vadi* gen. nov., sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from two distinct marine environments. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 1073–1082. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.040568-0
- Hirayama, H., Suzuki, Y., Abe, M., Miyazaki, M., Makita, H., Inagaki, F., et al. (2010). *Methylothermus subterraneus* sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic methanotrophic bacterium from a terrestrial subsurface hot aquifer in Japan. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61, 2646–2653. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.028092-0
- Ho, A., El-Hawwary, A., Kim, S. Y., Meima-Franke, M., and Bodelier, P. (2015). Manure-associated stimulation of soil-borne methanogenic activity in agricultural soils. *Biol Fertil Soils* 51, 511–516. doi: 10.1007/s00374-015-0995-2
- Ho, A., Kerckhof, F. M., Luke, C., Reim, A., Krause, S., Boon, N., et al. (2013). Conceptualizing functional traits and ecological characteristics of methaneoxidizing bacteria as life strategies. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 335–345. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2012.00370.x
- Hoefman, S., Heylen, K., and De Vos, P. (2014a). Methylomonas lenta sp nov., a methanotroph isolated from manure and a denitrification tank. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 64, 1210–1217. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.057794-0
- Hoefman, S., Van Der Ha, D., Iguchi, H., Yurimoto, H., Sakai, Y., Boon, N., et al. (2014b). *Methyloparacoccus murrellii* gen. nov., sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from pond water. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 2100–2107. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.057760-0
- Holmes, A. J., Costello, A., Lidstrom, M. E., and Murrell, J. C. (1995). Evidence that particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 132, 203–208. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07834.x
- Holmes, A. J., Roslev, P., McDonald, I. R., Iversen, N., Henriksen, K., and Murrell, J. C. (1999). Characterization of methanotrophic bacterial populations in soils showing atmospheric methane uptake. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 3312–3318.
- Horz, H.-P., Yimga, M. T., and Liesack, W. (2001). Detection of methanotroph diversity on roots of submerged rice plants by molecular retrieval of *pmoA*, *mmoX*, *mxaF*, and 16S rRNA and ribosomal DNA, including *pmoA*-based terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiling. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 4177–4185. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.9.4177-4185.2001
- Horz, H. P., Rich, V., Avrahami, S., and Bohannan, B. J. (2005). Methaneoxidizing bacteria in a California upland grassland soil: diversity and response to simulated global change. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 2642–2652. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.5.2642-2652.2005
- Hou, S. B., Makarova, K. S., Saw, J. H. W., Senin, P., Ly, B. V., Zhou, Z. M., et al. (2008). Complete genome sequence of the extremely acidophilic methanotroph isolate V4, *Methylacidiphilum infernorum*, a representative of the bacterial phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Biol. Direct* 3: 26. doi: 10.1186/1745-6150-3-26
- Iguchi, H., Yurimoto, H., and Sakai, Y. (2011). Methylovulum miyakonense gen. nov., sp. nov., a type I methanotroph isolated from forest soil. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 61, 810–815. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.019604-0
- Im, J., Lee, S. W., Yoon, S., Dispirito, A. A., and Semrau, J. D. (2011). Characterization of a novel facultative *Methylocystis* species capable of growth on methane, acetate and ethanol. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 174–181. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00204.x
- IPCC. (2013). "Climate change 2013: the physical science basis," in Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, et al. (Cambridge; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press), 1–1535.
- Islam, T., Jensen, S., Reigstad, L. J., Larsen, O., and Birkeland, N. K. (2008). Methane oxidation at 55 degrees C and pH 2 by a thermoacidophilic bacterium belonging to the Verrucomicrobia phylum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 300–304. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704162105
- Jiang, H., Chen, Y., Jiang, P. X., Zhang, C., Smith, T. J., Murrell, J. C., et al. (2010). Methanotrophs: multifunctional bacteria with promising applications in environmental bioengineering. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 49, 277–288. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2010.01.003

- Kaluzhnaya, M., Khmelenina, V. N., Eshinimaev, B., Suzina, N. E., Nikitin, D., Solonin, A., et al. (2001). Taxonomic characterization of new alkaliphilic and alkalitolerant methanotrophs from soda lakes of the Southeastern Transbaikal region and description of *Methylomicrobium buryatense* sp. nov. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 24, 166–176. doi: 10.1078/0723-2020-00028
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Khmelenina, V., Eshinimaev, B., Sorokin, D., Fuse, H., Lidstrom, M., et al. (2008). Classification of halo(alkali)philic and halo(alkali)tolerant methanotrophs provisionally assigned to the genera *Methylomicrobium* and *Methylobacter* and emended description of the genus *Methylomicrobium*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 58, 591–596. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.65317-0
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Khmelenina, V. N., Kotelnikova, S., Holmquist, L., Pedersen, K., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (1999). *Methylomonas scandinavica* sp. nov., a new methanotrophic psychrotrophic bacterium isolated from deep igneous rock ground water of Sweden. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 22, 565–572. doi: 10.1016/S0723-2020(99)80010-1
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Lamb, A. E., McTaggart, T. L., Oshkin, I. Y., Shapiro, N., Woyke, T., et al. (2015). Draft genome sequences of gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs isolated from lake washington sediment. *Genome Announc.* 3, e00103–e00115. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00103-15
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Stolyar, S. M., Auman, A. J., Lara, J. C., Lidstrom, M. E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2005). *Methylosarcina lacus* sp. nov., a methanotroph from Lake Washington, Seattle, USA, and emended description of the genus Methylosarcina. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 55, 2345–2350. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63405-0
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Zabinsky, R., Bowerman, S., Baker, D. R., Lidstrom, M. E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2006). Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization-flow cytometrycell sorting-based method for separation and enrichment of type I and type II methanotroph populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 4293–4301. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00161-06
- Kaserer, H. (1905). Über die Oxidation des Wasserstoffes und des Methans durch Mikroorganismen. Z. landw. Versuchsw. in Österreich 8, 789–792.
- Khadem, A. F., Wieczorek, A. S., Pol, A., Vuilleumier, S., Harhangi, H. R., Dunfield, P. F., et al. (2012). Draft genome sequence of the volcano-inhabiting thermoacidophilic methanotroph *Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum* strain SolV. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 3729–3730. doi: 10.1128/JB. 00501-12
- Khalifa, A., Lee, C. G., Ogiso, T., Ueno, C., Dianou, D., Demachi, T., et al. (2015). *Methylomagnum ishizawai* gen. nov., sp. nov., a mesophilic type I methanotroph isolated from rice rhizosphere. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 3527–3534. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000451
- Khmelenina, V. N., Beck, D. A., Munk, C., Davenport, K., Daligault, H., Erkkila, T., et al. (2013). Draft genome sequence of *Methylomicrobium buryatense* strain 5G, a haloalkaline-tolerant methanotrophic bacterium. *Genome Announc.* 1, e00053–e00013. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00053-13
- Khmelenina, V. N., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Starostina, N. G., Suzina, N. E., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (1997). Isolation and characterization of halotolerant alkaliphilic methanotrophic bacteria from Tuva soda lakes. *Curr. Microbiol.* 35, 257–261. doi: 10.1007/s002849900249
- Kip, N., Ouyang, W., Van Winden, J., Raghoebarsing, A., Van Niftrik, L., Pol, A., et al. (2011). Detection, isolation, and characterization of acidophilic methanotrophs from *Sphagnum* mosses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 5643–5654. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05017-11
- Kits, K. D., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Klotz, M. G., Jetten, M. S., Op Den Camp, H. J., Vuilleumier, S., et al. (2013). Genome sequence of the obligate gammaproteobacterial methanotroph *Methylomicrobium album* strain BG8. *Genome Announc.* 1, e0017013. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00170-13
- Kits, K. D., Klotz, M. G., and Stein, L. Y. (2015). Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction under hypoxia by the Gammaproteobacterium *Methylomonas denitrificans*, sp. nov. type strain FJG1. *Environ Microbiol*. 17, 3219–3232. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12772
- Kleiveland, C. R., Hult, L. T. O., Kuczkowska, K., Jacobsen, M., Lea, T., and Pope, P. B. (2012). Draft genome sequence of the methane-oxidizing bacterium *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Texas). *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 6626–6626. doi: 10.1128/JB.01656-12
- Knief, C., and Dunfield, P. F. (2005). Response and adaptation of different methanotrophic bacteria to low methane mixing ratios. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1307–1317. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00814.x

- Knief, C., Kolb, S., Bodelier, P. L., Lipski, A., and Dunfield, P. F. (2006). The active methanotrophic community in hydromorphic soils changes in response to changing methane concentration. *Environ. Microbiol.* 8, 321–333. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00898.x
- Knief, C., Lipski, A., and Dunfield, P. F. (2003). Diversity and activity of methanotrophic bacteria in different upland soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 6703–6714. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.11.6703-6714.2003
- Knief, C., Vanitchung, S., Harvey, N. W., Conrad, R., Dunfield, P. F., and Chidthaisong, A. (2005). Diversity of methanotrophic bacteria in tropical upland soils under different land uses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 3826–3831. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.7.3826-3831.2005
- Kolb, S. (2009). The quest for atmospheric methane oxidizers in forest soils. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 336–346. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009. 00047.x
- Kolb, S., Knief, C., Dunfield, P. F., and Conrad, R. (2005). Abundance and activity of uncultured methanotrophic bacteria involved in the consumption of atmospheric methane in two forest soils. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1150–1161. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00791.x
- Kolb, S., Knief, C., Stubner, S., and Conrad, R. (2003). Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in soil by novel *pmoA*-targeted real-time PCR assays. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 2423–2429. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2423-24 29.2003
- Kolb, S., and Stacheter, A. (2013). Prerequisites for amplicon pyrosequencing of microbial methanol utilizers in the environment. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:268. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00268
- Krause, S., Van Bodegom, P. M., Cornwell, W. K., and Bodelier, P. L. E. (2014). Weak phylogenetic signal in physiological traits of methane-oxidizing bacteria. *J. Evol. Biol.* 27, 1240–1247. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12401
- Kravchenko, I. K., Kizilova, A. K., Bykova, S. A., Men'ko, E. V., and Gal'chenko, V. F. (2010). Molecular analysis of high-affinity methane-oxidizing enrichment cultures isolated from a forest biocenosis and agrocenoses. *Microbiology* 79, 106–114. doi: 10.1134/S0026261710010145
- Kumaresan, D., Abell, G. C. J., Bodrossy, L., Stralis-Pavese, N., and Murrell, J. C. (2009). Spatial and temporal diversity of methanotrophs in a landfill cover soil are differentially related to soil abiotic factors. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 398–407. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00059.x
- Lau, E., Ahmad, A., Steudler, P. A., and Cavanaugh, C. M. (2007). Molecular characterization of methanotrophic communities in forest soils that consume atmospheric methane. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 60, 490–500. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00308.x
- Leng, L. Q., Chang, J. L., Geng, K., Lu, Y. H., and Ma, K. (2015). Uncultivated *Methylocystis* species in paddy soil Iinclude facultative methanotrophs that utilize acetate. *Microb. Ecol.* 70, 88–96. doi: 10.1007/s00248-01 4-0540-0
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2011). Interactive Tree Of Life v2: online annotation and display of phylogenetic trees made easy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, W475–W478. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr201
- Li, M., Jain, S., Baker, B. J., Taylor, C., and Dick, G. J. (2014). Novel hydrocarbon monooxygenase genes in the metatranscriptome of a natural deep-sea hydrocarbon plume. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 60–71. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12182
- Lidstrom, M. E. (1988). Isolation and characterization of marine methanotrophs. Anton Leeuw Int. J. G 54, 189–199. doi: 10.1007/BF004 43577
- Liebner, S., and Svenning, M. M. (2013). Environmental transcription of *mmoX* by methane-oxidizing *Proteobacteria* in a subarctic palsa peatland. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 701–706. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02292-12
- Lima, A. B., Muniz, A. W., and Dumont, M. G. (2014). Activity and abundance of methane-oxidizing bacteria in secondary forest and manioc plantations of Amazonian Dark Earth and their adjacent soils. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:550. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00550
- Lindner, A. S., Pacheco, A., Aldrich, H. C., Costello Staniec, A., Uz, I., and Hodson, D. J. (2007). *Methylocystis hirsuta* sp. nov., a novel methanotroph isolated from a groundwater aquifer. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 1891–1900. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64541-0
- Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., Richter, L., Meier, H., Yadhukumar, B., et al. (2004). ARB: a sofware environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1363–1371. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh293

- Luesken, F. A., Zhu, B., Van Alen, T. A., Butler, M. K., Diaz, M. R., Song, B., et al. (2011). *pmoA* primers for detection of anaerobic methanotrophs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 3877–3880. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02960-10
- Lüke, C., Bodrossy, L., Lupotto, E., and Frenzel, P. (2011). Methanotrophic bacteria associated to rice roots: the cultivar effect assessed by T-RFLP and microarray analysis. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 518–525. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00251.x
- Lüke, C., and Frenzel, P. (2011). Potential of *pmoA* amplicon pyrosequencing for methanotroph diversity studies. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 6305–6309. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05355-11
- Lüke, C., Krause, S., Cavigiolo, S., Greppi, D., Lupotto, E., and Frenzel, P. (2010). Biogeography of wetland rice methanotrophs. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 862–872. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02131.x
- Macalady, J. L., McMillan, A. M. S., Dickens, A. F., Tyler, S. C., and Scow, K. M. (2002). Population dynamics of type I and II methanotrophic bacteria in rice soils. *Environ. Microbiol.* 4, 148–157. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00278.x
- Malashenko, Y. R., Romanovskaya, V. A., Bogachenko, V. N., and Stved, A. D. (1975). Thermophilic and thermotolerant bacteria that assimilate methane. *Mikrobiologiya* 44, 855–862.
- Martineau, C., Pan, Y., Bodrossy, L., Yergeau, E., Whyte, L. G., and Greer, C. W. (2014). Atmospheric methane oxidizers are present and active in Canadian high Arctic soils. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 89, 257–269. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12287
- McDonald, I. R., Bodrossy, L., Chen, Y., and Murrell, J. C. (2008). Molecular ecology techniques for the study of aerobic methanotrophs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 1305–1315. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02233-07
- McDonald, I. R., Smith, K., and Lidstrom, M. E. (2005). Methanotrophic populations in estuarine sediment from Newport Bay, California. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 250, 287–293. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.07.016
- Morris, S. A., Radajewski, S., Willison, T. W., and Murrell, J. C. (2002). Identification of the functionally active methanotroph population in a peat soil microcosm by stable-isotope probing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 1446–1453. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.3.1446-1453.2002
- Nazaries, L., Tate, K. R., Ross, D. J., Singh, J., Dando, J., Saggar, S., et al. (2011). Response of methanotrophic communities to afforestation and reforestation in New Zealand. *ISME J.* 5, 1832–1836. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.62
- Nercessian, O., Bienvenu, N., Moreira, D., Prieur, D., and Jeanthon, C. (2005). Diversity of functional genes of methanogens, methanotrophs and sulfate reducers in deep-sea hydrothermal environments. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 118–132. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00672.x
- Nold, S. C., Zhou, J., Devol, A. H., and Tiedje, J. M. (2000). Pacific Northwest marine sediments contain ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in the beta subdivision of the Proteobacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 4532–4525. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.10.4532-4535.2000
- Ogiso, T., Ueno, C., Dianou, D., Huy, T. V., Katayama, A., Kimura, M., et al. (2012). *Methylomonas koyamae* sp. nov., a type I methane-oxidizing bacterium from floodwater of a rice paddy field. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 62, 1832–1837. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.035261-0
- Omelchenko, M. V., Vasilyeva, L. V., Zavarzin, G. A., Savel'eva, N. D., Lysenko, A. M., Mityushina, L. L., et al. (1996). A novel psychrophilic methanotroph of the genus *Methylobacter. Mikrobiologiya* 65, 339–343.
- Op den Camp, H. J. M., Islam, T., Stott, M. B., Harhangi, H. R., Hynes, A., Schouten, S., et al. (2009). Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives on methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 1, 293–306. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00022.x
- Pacheco-Oliver, M., McDonald, I. R., Groleau, D., Murrell, J. C., and Miguez, C. B. (2002). Detection of methanotrophs with highly divergent *pmoA* genes from Arctic soils. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 209, 313–319. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11150.x
- Parte, A. C. (2014). LPSN list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D613–D616. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1111
- Pester, M., Friedrich, M. W., Schink, B., and Brune, A. (2004). pmoA-based analysis of methanotrophs in a littoral lake sediment reveals a diverse and stable community in a dynamic environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 3138–3142. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.5.3138-3142.2004
- Petersen, J. M., and Dubilier, N. (2009). Methanotrophic symbioses in marine invertebrates. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 319–335. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00081.x

- Poehlein, A., Deutzmann, J. S., Daniel, R., and Simeonova, D. D. (2013). Draft genome sequence of the methanotrophic Gammaproteobacterium *Methyloglobulus morosus* DSM 22980 strain KoM1. *Genome Announc.* 1, e01078–e01013. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01078-13
- Pol, A., Heijmans, K., Harhangi, H. R., Tedesco, D., Jetten, M. S. M., and Den Camp, H. J. M. O. (2007). Methanotrophy below pH1 by a new Verrucomicrobia species. *Nature* 450, 874–879. doi: 10.1038/nature06222
- Pratscher, J., Dumont, M. G., and Conrad, R. (2011). Assimilation of acetate by the putative atmospheric methane oxidizers belonging to the USC alpha clade. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 2692–2701. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011. 02537.x
- Qiu, Q., Noll, M., Abraham, W. R., Lu, Y., and Conrad, R. (2008). Applying stable isotope probing of phospholipid fatty acids and rRNA in a Chinese rice field to study activity and composition of the methanotrophic bacterial communities *in situ. ISME J.* 2, 602–614. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.34
- Raggi, L., Schubotz, F., Hinrichs, K. U., Dubilier, N., and Petersen, J. M. (2013). Bacterial symbionts of *Bathymodiolus mussels* and *Escarpia* tubeworms from Chapopote, an asphalt seep in the Southern Gulf of Mexico. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 1969–1987. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12051
- Rahalkar, M., Bussmann, I., and Schink, B. (2007). Methylosoma difficile gen. nov., sp nov., a novel methanotroph enriched by gradient cultivation from littoral sediment of Lake Constance. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57, 1073–1080. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64574-0
- Rahalkar, M., and Schink, B. (2007). Comparison of aerobic methanotrophic communities in littoral and profundal sediments of Lake Constance by a molecular approach. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 4389–4394. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02602-06
- Rahman, M. T., Crombie, A., Chen, Y., Stralis-Pavese, N., Bodrossy, L., Meir, P., et al. (2011). Environmental distribution and abundance of the facultative methanotroph *Methylocella. ISME J.* 5, 1061–1066. doi: 10.1038/ismej. 2010.190
- Redmond, M. C., Valentine, D. L., and Sessions, A. L. (2010). Identification of novel methane-, ethane-, and propane-oxidizing bacteria at marine hydrocarbon seeps by stable isotope probing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 6412–6422. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00271-10
- Reeburgh, W. S. (2007). Oceanic methane biogeochemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 107, 486–513. doi: 10.1021/cr050362v
- Ricke, P., Kolb, S., and Braker, G. (2005). Application of a newly developed ARB software-integrated tool for *in silico* terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis reveals the dominance of a novel *pmoA* cluster in a forest soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 1671–1673. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.3.1671-1673.2005
- Rodrigues, C. F., Hiláro, A., Cunha, M. R., Weightman, A. J., and Webster, G. (2011). Microbial diversity in Frenulata (Siboglinidae, Polychaeta) species from mud volcanoes in the Gulf of Cadiz (NE Atlantic). *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 100, 83–98. doi: 10.1007/s10482-011-9567-0
- Romanovskaya, V. A., Malashenko, Y. R., and Bogachenko, V. N. (1978). Refinement of the diagnosis of the genera and species of methane-using bacteria. *Mikrobiologiya* 47, 120–130.
- Romanovskaya, V. A., Rokitko, P. V., Shilin, S. O., and Malashenko, Y. R. (2006). Emended description of *Methylomonas rubra* sp. nov. Microbiology 75, 689–693. doi: 10.1134/S0026261706060117
- Ruff, S. E., Arnds, J., Knittel, K., Amann, R., Wegener, G., Ramette, A., et al. (2013). Microbial communities of deep-sea methane seeps at Hikurangi continental margin (New Zealand). *PLoS ONE* 8:e72627. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072627
- Saidi-Mehrabad, A., He, Z., Tamas, I., Sharp, C. E., Brady, A. L., Rochman, F. F., et al. (2013). Methanotrophic bacteria in oilsands tailings ponds of northern Alberta. *ISME J.* 7, 908–921. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.163
- Sayavedra-Soto, L. A., Hamamura, N., Liu, C. W., Kimbrel, J. A., Chang, J. H., and Arp, D. J. (2011). The membrane-associated monooxygenase in the butaneoxidizing Gram-positive bacterium *Nocardioides* sp. strain CF8 is a novel member of the AMO/PMO family. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 390–396. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00239.x
- Schloss, P. D., and Handelsman, J. (2005). Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for defining operational taxonomic units and estimating species richness. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 1501–1506. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.3.1501-1506.2005

- Schloss, P. D., and Westcott, S. L. (2011). Assessing and improving methods used in operational taxonomic unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 3219–3226. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02810-10
- Semrau, J. D., Dispirito, A. A., and Vuilleumier, S. (2011). Facultative methanotrophy: false leads, true results, and suggestions for future research. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 323, 1–12. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02315.x
- Semrau, J. D., Dispirito, A. A., and Yoon, S. (2010). Methanotrophs and copper. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 34, 496–531. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00212.x
- Serrano-Silva, N., Valenzuela-Encinas, C., Marsch, R., Dendooven, L., and Alcantara-Hernandez, R. J. (2014). Changes in methane oxidation activity and methanotrophic community composition in saline alkaline soils. *Extremophiles* 18, 561–571. doi: 10.1007/s00792-014-0641-1
- Sharp, C. E., Smirnova, A. V., Graham, J. M., Stott, M. B., Khadka, R., Moore, T. R., et al. (2014). Distribution and diversity of *Verrucomicrobia* methanotrophs in geothermal and acidic environments. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 1867–1878. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12454
- Sharp, C. E., Smirnova, A. V., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Bringel, F., Hirayama, H., Jetten, M. S., et al. (2015). Draft genome sequence of the moderately halophilic methanotroph *Methylohalobius crimeensis* Strain 10Ki. *Genome Announc.* 3, e00644–e00615. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00644-15
- Sharp, C. E., Stott, M. B., and Dunfield, P. F. (2012). Detection of autotrophic verrucomicrobial methanotrophs in a geothermal environment using stable isotope probing. *Front. Microbiol.* 3:303. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012. 00303
- Sheik, C. S., Jain, S., and Dick, G. J. (2014). Metabolic flexibility of enigmatic SAR324 revealed through metagenomics and metatranscriptomics. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 304–317. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12165
- Shigematsu, T., Hanada, S., Eguchi, M., Kamagata, Y., Kanagawa, T., and Kurane, R. (1999). Soluble methane monooxygenase gene clusters from trichloroethylene-degrading *Methylomonas* sp. strains and detection of methanotrophs during *in situ* bioremediation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 5198–5206.
- Shrestha, P. M., Kammann, C., Lenhart, K., Dam, B., and Liesack, W. (2012). Linking activity, composition and seasonal dynamics of atmospheric methane oxidizers in a meadow soil. *ISME J.* 6, 1115–1126. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.179
- Sieburth, J. M., Johnson, P. W., Eberhardt, M. A., Sieracki, M. E., Lidstrom, M., and Laux, D. (1987). The first methane-oxidizing bacterium from the upper mixing layer of the deep ocean—*Methylomonas pelagica* sp. nov. *Curr Microbiol* 14, 285–293. doi: 10.1007/BF01568138
- Siljanen, H. M. P., Saari, A., Krause, S., Lensu, A., Abell, G. C. J., Bodrossy, L., et al. (2011). Hydrology is reflected in the functioning and community composition of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 75, 430–445. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01015.x
- Singh, B. K., Tate, K. R., Kolipaka, G., Hedley, C. B., Macdonald, C. A., Millard, P., et al. (2007). Effect of afforestation and reforestation of pastures on the activity and population dynamics of methanotrophic bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 5153–5161. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00620-07
- Smith, T. J., and Dalton, H. (2004). Biocatalysis by methane monooxygenase and its implications for the petroleum industry. *Petrol. Biotechnol.* 151, 177–192. doi: 10.1016/s0167-2991(04)80147-2
- Söhngen, N. L. (1906). Über Bakterien, welche Methan als Kohlenstoffnahrung und Energiequelle gebrauchen. Centralbl. Bakt. Parasitenk. II. Abt. 15, 513–517.
- Steenbergh, A. K., Meima, M. M., Kamst, M., and Bodelier, P. L. E. (2010). Biphasic kinetics of a methanotrophic community is a combination of growth and increased activity per cell. *FEMS MicrobiolEcol* 71, 12–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00782.x
- Stein, L. Y., Yoon, S., Semrau, J. D., Dispirito, A. A., Crombie, A., Murrell, J. C., et al. (2010). Genome sequence of the obligate methanotroph *Methylosinus trichosporium* strain OB3b. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 6497–6498. doi: 10.1128/JB. 01144-10
- Stoecker, K., Bendinger, B., Schöning, B., Nielsen, P. H., Nielsen, J. L., Baranyi, C., et al. (2006). Cohn's Crenothrix is a filamentous methane oxidizer with an unusual methane monooxygenase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 2363–2367. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506361103
- Stralis-Pavese, N., Abell, G. C., Sessitsch, A., and Bodrossy, L. (2011). Analysis of methanotroph community composition using a pmoA-based microbial diagnostic microarray. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 609–624. doi: 10.1038/nprot. 2010.191

- Strong, P. J., Xie, S., and Clarke, W. P. (2015). Methane as a resource: can the methanotrophs add value? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 4001–4018. doi: 10.1021/es504242n
- Suzuki, T., Nakamura, T., and Fuse, H. (2012). Isolation of two novel marine ethylene-assimilating bacteria, *Haliea* species ETY-M and ETY-NAG, containing particulate methane monooxygenase-like genes. *Microbes Environ*. 27, 54–60. doi: 10.1264/jsme2.ME11256
- Svenning, M. M., Hestnes, A. G., Wartiainen, I., Stein, L. Y., Klotz, M. G., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., et al. (2011). Genome sequence of the Arctic methanotroph *Methylobacter tundripaludum* SV96. J. Bacteriol. 193, 6418–6419. doi: 10.1128/JB.05380-11
- Takeuchi, M., Kamagata, Y., Oshima, K., Hanada, S., Tamaki, H., Marumo, K., et al. (2014). *Methylocaldum marinum* sp. nov., a thermotolerant, methaneoxidizing bacterium isolated from marine sediments, and emended description of the genus Methylocaldum. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 3240–3246. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.063503-0
- Tamas, I., Smirnova, A. V., He, Z., and Dunfield, P. F. (2014). The (d)evolution of methanotrophy in the *Beijerinckiaceae* - a comparative genomics analysis. *ISME J.* 8, 369–382. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.145
- Tavormina, P. L., Hatzenpichler, R., McGlynn, S., Chadwick, G., Dawson, K. S., Connon, S. A., et al. (2015). *Methyloprofundus sedimenti* gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligate methanotroph from ocean sediment belonging to the 'deep sea-1' clade of marine methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 251–259. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.062927-0
- Tavormina, P. L., Orphan, V. J., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Jetten, M. S., and Klotz, M. G. (2011). A novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia monooxygenase-related proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 91–100. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00192.x
- Tavormina, P. L., Ussler, W., Joye, S. B., Harrison, B. K., and Orphan, V. J. (2010). Distributions of putative aerobic methanotrophs in diverse pelagic marine environments. *ISME J.* 4, 700–710. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.155
- Tavormina, P. L., Ussler, W., and Orphan, V. J. (2008). Planktonic and sediment-associated aerobic methanotrophs in two seep systems along the North American margin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 3985–3995. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00069-08
- Tavormina, P. L., Ussler, W., Steele, J. A., Connon, S. A., Klotz, M. G., and Orphan, V. J. (2013). Abundance and distribution of diverse membrane-bound monooxygenase (Cu-MMO) genes within the Costa Rica oxygen minimum zone. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 414–423. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12025
- Tchawa Yimga, M., Dunfield, P. F., Ricke, P., Heyer, J., and Liesack, W. (2003). Wide distribution of a novel *pmoA*-like gene copy among type II methanotrophs, and its expression in *Methylocystis* strain SC2. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 5593–5602. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.9.5593-5602.2003
- Theisen, A. R., Ali, M. H., Radajewski, S., Dumont, M. G., Dunfield, P. F., McDonald, I. R., et al. (2005). Regulation of methane oxidation in the facultative methanotroph *Methylocella silvestris* BL2. *Mol. Microbiol.* 58, 682–692. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04861.x
- Theisen, A. R., and Murrell, J. C. (2005). Facultative methanotrophs revisited. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 4303–4305. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.13.4303-4305.2005
- Trotsenko, Y. A., and Khmelenina, V. N. (2002). Biology of extremophilic and extremotolerant methanotrophs. Arch. Microbiol. 177, 123–131. doi: 10.1007/s00203-001-0368-0
- Trotsenko, Y. A., and Murrell, J. C. (2008). Metabolic aspects of aerobic obligate methanotrophy. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 63, 183–229. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2164(07)00005-6
- Tsubota, J., Eshinimaev, B. T., Khmelenina, V. N., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2005). *Methylothermus thermalis* gen. nov., sp nov., a novel moderately thermophilic obligate methanotroph from a hot spring in Japan. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 55, 1877–1884. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63691-0
- Urmann, K., Schroth, M. H., Noll, M., Gonzalez-Gil, G., and Zeyer, J. (2008). Assessment of microbial methane oxidation above a petroleum-contaminated aquifer using a combination of *in situ* techniques. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, G2006. doi: 10.1029/2006JG000363
- van Teeseling, M. C. F., Pol, A., Harhangi, H. R., Van Der Zwart, S., Jetten, M. S. M., and Den Camp, H. J. M. O. (2014). Expanding the verrucomicrobial methanotrophic world: description of three novel species of *Methylacidimicrobium* gen. *nov. Appl Environ Microbiol* 80, 6782–6791. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01838-14

- Vigliotta, G., Nutricati, E., Carata, E., Tredici, S. M., De Stefano, M., Pontieri, P., et al. (2007). *Clonothrix fusca* Roze 1896, a filamentous, sheathed, methanotrophic gamma-proteobacterium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 3556–3565. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02678-06
- Vorobev, A. V., Baani, M., Doronina, N. V., Brady, A. L., Liesack, W., Dunfield, P. F., et al. (2011). *Methyloferula stellata* gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61, 2456–2463. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.028118-0
- Vuilleumier, S., Khmelenina, V. N., Bringel, F., Reshetnikov, A. S., Lajus, A., Mangenot, S., et al. (2012). Genome sequence of the haloalkaliphilic methanotrophic bacterium *Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum* 20Z. J. Bacteriol. 194, 551–552. doi: 10.1128/JB.06392-11
- Wartiainen, I., Hestnes, A. G., McDonald, I. R., and Svenning, M. M. (2006a). Methylobacter tundripaludum sp. nov., a methane-oxidizing bacterium from Arctic wetland soil on the Svalbard islands, Norway (78 degrees N). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56, 109–113. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63728-0
- Wartiainen, I., Hestnes, A. G., McDonald, I. R., and Svenning, M. M. (2006b). *Methylocystis rosea* sp. nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from Arctic wetland soil, Svalbard, Norway (78 degrees N). *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 56, 541–547. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63912-0
- Watsuji, T. O., Yamamoto, A., Takaki, Y., Ueda, K., Kawagucci, S., and Takai, K. (2014). Diversity and methane oxidation of active epibiotic methanotrophs on live Shinkaia crosnieri. ISME J. 8, 1020–1031. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.226
- Wendeberg, A., Zielinski, F. U., Borowski, C., and Dubilier, N. (2012). Expression patterns of mRNAs for methanotrophy and thiotrophy in symbionts of the hydrothermal vent mussel *Bathymodiolus puteoserpentis*. *ISME J.* 6, 104–112. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.81
- Whittenbury, R., and Dalton, H. (1981). "The methylotrophic bacteria," in *The Prokaryotes*, eds M. P. Starr, H. Stolph, H. G. Trüper, A. Balows, and H. G. Schlegel (Berlin: Springer-Verlag KG), 894–902.
- Whittenbury, R., Davies, S. L., and Davey, J. F. (1970a). Exospores and cysts formed by methane-utilizing bacteria. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 61, 219–226.
- Whittenbury, R., and Krieg, N. R. (1984). "Family Methylococcaceae," in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, eds N. R. Krieg and J. G. Holt (Baltimore: The Williams & Wilkins), 256–261.
- Whittenbury, R., Phillips, K. C., and Wilkinson, J. F. (1970b). Enrichment, isolation and some properties of methane-utilizing bacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 61, 205–218.

- Wieczorek, A. S., Drake, H. L., and Kolb, S. (2011). Organic acids and ethanol inhibit the oxidation of methane by mire methanotrophs. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 77, 28–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01080.x
- Wise, M. G., McArthur, J. V., and Shimkets, L. J. (2001). Methyolosarcina fibrata gen. nov., sp. nov. and Methylosarcina quisquiliarum sp. nov., novel type I methanotrophs. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51, 611–621. doi: 10.1099/00207713-51-2-611
- Yan, T. F., Zhou, J. Z., and Zhang, C. L. L. (2006). Diversity of functional genes for methanotrophs in sediments associated with gas hydrates and hydrocarbon seeps in the Gulf of Mexico. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 57, 251–259. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00122.x
- Yoon, S., Im, J., Bandow, N., Dispirito, A. A., and Semrau, J. D. (2011). Constitutive expression of pMMO by *Methylocystis* strain SB2 when grown on multi-carbon substrates: implications for biodegradation of chlorinated ethenes. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 182–188. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010. 00205.x
- Yun, J. L., Zhang, H. X., Deng, Y. C., and Wang, Y. F. (2015). Aerobic methanotroph diversity in Sanjiang Wetland, Northeast China. *Microb. Ecol.* 69, 567–576. doi: 10.1007/s00248-014-0506-2
- Zbinden, M., Shillito, B., Le Bris, N., De Montlaur, C. D., Roussel, E., Guyot, F., et al. (2008). New insights on the metabolic diversity among the epibiotic microbial community of the hydrothermal shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 359, 131–140. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2008. 03.009
- Zheng, Y., Yang, W., Sun, X., Wang, S. P., Rui, Y. C., Luo, C. Y., et al. (2012). Methanotrophic community structure and activity under warming and grazing of alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 93, 2193–2203. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3535-5

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Knief. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.