Absence of modulatory effects of 6Hz cerebellar transcranial alternating current stimulation on fear learning in men

Supplementary material

Table of contents

Supplementary data	2
Differential skin conductance responses (SCR _{diff})	2
Initial trial analysis	2
Questionnaires	3
Supplementary table 1. Results of the non-parametric ANOVA type-statistic (ATS) for measures	r repeated
Supplementary table 2. Results of side-effects questionnaires	8
Supplementary figure 1	9
Supplementary figure 2	

Supplementary data

Differential skin conductance responses (SCR_{diff})

Habituation phase (day 1): The ATS revealed no significant main effects of Group (p = 0.227) or Trial (p = 0.121) or Group × Trial interaction (p = 0.325). Thus, there were no significant differences in SCR_{diff} values between the groups or across the trials.

Acquisition training (day 1): The ATS revealed a significant main effect of Trial ($F_{2.86} = 9.50$, p = 0.002), indicating significant differences in the SCR_{diff} across the various trials. The main effect of Group (p = 0.883), and the Group × Trial interaction were not significant (p = 0.829; **Supplementary figure 1A**, **Supplementary table 1**).

Post hoc analysis of the Trial effect revealed an overall tendency for significantly higher SCR_{diff} values in the early compared to the late trials, with an overall declining trend. Specifically, SCR_{diff} values in trials 1, 5, 6, 10 and 12 were significantly higher than in trials 14 and 16 (all *p* values \leq 0.037, least squares means test), trial 2 *vs*. trials 6-9 and 11-16 (all *p* values \leq 0.05, least squares means test), trial 3 *vs*. trials 7-8, 11, 13-16 (all *p* values \leq 0.047, least squares means test), trials 4, 7, 9, 11 and 15 *vs*. trial 16 (all *p* values \leq 0.048, least squares means test).

Extinction training (day 1): The ATS revealed no significant main effects or interaction (all p values ≥ 0.418). The results indicate that there were no significant differences in the differential SCR across trials and groups during extinction training (**Supplementary figure 1A**, **Supplementary table 1**). *Recall phase (day 2):* The ATS did not reveal any significant factors of Group, Trial, Context, and their interactions (all p values ≥ 0.110). These findings suggest that there were no notable variations in the differential SCR across different blocks, groups, and contexts during the recall phase of the experiment (**Supplementary figure 1A**, **Supplementary table 1**).

Initial trial analysis

Extinction training (day 1). The ANOVA-type statistics (ATS) of the SCR_{diff} showed no significant differences between the groups (p = 0.786; **Supplementary figure 1B**).

Recall (day 2). The ATS revealed a close-to-significant effect of the Context (p = 0.064), indicating marginal differences in the SCR_{diff} based on different contexts. However, there were no significant effects of Group (p = 0.899) or the interaction between Group and Context (p = 0.569) (**Supplementary figure 1B**).

Questionnaires

Valence, arousal and fear ratings. Prior to fear acquisition training, valence, arousal, and fear ratings of the CS+_{high} and CS+_{low} were not significantly different (all *p* values ≥ 0.462 , least squares means test). After acquisition training, the valence of the CS+_{high} was rated as less pleasant compared to the CS+_{low}. Arousal and fear towards the CS+_{high} were rated higher compared to the CS+_{low} (all *p* values ≥ 0.001 , least squares means test). These differences persisted until the end of the experiment (all *p* values ≤ 0.041 , least squares means test), with the exception of post-extinction valence (*p* = 0.250) and fear (*p* = 0.073). A non-parametric ANOVA-type statistic revealed a significant main effect of Time (all *p* values ≤ 0.001), Stimulus (all *p* values ≤ 0.001), and a Stimulus × Time interaction (all *p* values ≤ 0.001 ; **Supplementary figure 2A-C, Supplementary table 1).**

US unpleasantness and CS-US contingency, US Expectancy. Median unpleasantness ratings for the US were 7 (interquartile range, IQR 6-8) on a Likert scale ranging from 1 ("not unpleasant") to 9 ("very unpleasant"), with no significant differences between the groups (Mann-Whitney U test, both p values \geq 0.401).

The mean reported probability of an US occurring after the presentation of the CS+_{high} was estimated to be 53.24% ± 24.11%, while the mean reported probability of an US occurring after the presentation of the CS+_{low} was 23.84% ± 10.78%. There were no significant differences between the reported mean probabilities in the two groups (Mann-Whitney U tests, both p values \geq 0.150). Before fear acquisition training, reported US expectancy after CS+high and CS+low did not show a significant difference (p = 0.092). After fear acquisition training, participants reported higher US expectation after the CS+_{high} compared to the CS+_{low} ($p \le 0.001$), but not after extinction training (p = 0.138), however, after extinction training the verum group reported overall higher US expectancy values after both CSs compared to the sham group (p = 0.004). The difference between CS+_{high} and CS+_{low} reappeared on day 2 post-recall ($p \le 0.001$). A non-parametric ANOVA-type statistic revealed significant main effects of Stimulus ($F_1 = 32.51$, $p \le 0.001$), Time ($F_{2.81} = 44.25$, $p \le 0.001$) 0.001), Stimulus × Time ($F_{2.33}$ = 20.77, $p \le$ 0.001), and Time × Group ($F_{2.81}$ = 3.55, p = 0.016) interactions (Supplementary figure 2D, Supplementary table 1). Post hoc analysis of the Time × Group interaction revealed significant differences between pre-acquisition and post-recall US expectancy ratings the in the sham group ($p \le 0.001$, least squares means test), but not in the verum group (p = 0.260, least squares means test). Moreover, there were significant postacquisition and post-extinction differences in the sham ($p \le 0.001$) and close to-significant differences in the verum group (p = 0.06), primarily attributed to higher post-extinction US expectancy values in the verum group (see above). No significant differences were revealed between other time points and among groups (pre-acquisition *vs.* post-acquisition: both *p* values ≤ 0.001 ; pre-acquisition *vs.* post-extinction: both *p* values ≤ 0.032 ; post-acquisition *vs.* post-recall: both *p* values ≤ 0.003 ; post-extinction *vs.* post-recall: both *p* values ≥ 0.502).

Upon closer inspection of **Supplementary figure 2D**, it was apparent that pre-acquisition US expectancy values were higher in the verum group, although significant pre-acquisition group differences were not reached (p = 0.073). Therefore, we conducted an additional *post hoc* analysis with a non-parametric two-way ANOVA-type statistic to compare pre-acquisition and post-recall US expectancy values. This analysis revealed significant effects of CS and Time, as well as their interaction (all p values ≤ 0.001). However, no significant effects were found for the factor Group or other interactions (all p values ≥ 0.191). Therefore, the significant Group \times Time interaction in the initial analysis was likely influenced by the overall higher pre-acquisition US expectancy values reported by the verum group.

Possible stimulation side effects. A non-parametric ANOVA-type statistic revealed a significant Group x Time interaction (F_1 = 7.83, p = 0.005), indicating that the effect varied depending on both group and time. Additionally, there was a close-to-significant effect of Time (p = 0.051), but no significant main effect of Group (p = 0.577). Post hoc analysis of the Group x time interaction revealed that the verum group reported significantly higher post-stimulation scalp irritation (p = 0.009, least squares means test), while the sham group did not (p = 0.418, least squares means test).

Both groups reported significantly higher post-stimulation scalp tingling, scalp itching, and fatigue compared to their respective pre-stimulation values (all p values ≤ 0.024 , least squares means test). The responses to the item "increased heartrate" was significantly higher post-stimulation compared to the pre-stimulation values ($p \leq 0.001$, least squares means test). Ratings of other possible stimulation side effects did not show significant differences between the groups (all p values ≥ 0.096 ; **Supplementary tables 1** and **2**).

Supplementary table 1. Results of the non-parametric ANOVA type-statistic (ATS) for repeated measures for skin conductance response (SCR) amplitudes, valence, arousal, fear, US expectancy and stimulation side-effect ratings comparing cerebellar verum and sham groups.

Factor	Df	F	p
	Skin conductance respon	ses (SCRs)	
	Habituation		
Stimulus	1	21.82	<.001*
Trial	2	10.00	<.001*
Group	1	0.03	0.864
Stimulus × Group	1	1.05	0.305
Stimulus × Trial	1.9	2.79	0.065
Trial $ imes$ Group	2	1.32	0.268
Stimulus $ imes$ Trial $ imes$ Group	1.9	0.58	0.552
	Fear acquisition trai	ning	
Stimulus	1	37.86	<.001*
Trial	9.46	9.46	<.001*
Group	1	2.19	0.139
Stimulus × Group	1	0.23	0.628
Stimulus × Trial	9.37	2.35	0.011*
Trial × Group	9.46	2.16	0.020*
Stimulus \times Trial \times Group	9.37	0.84	0.584
	Extinction trainin	g	
Stimulus	1	12.35	0.577
Trial	8.28	29.79	0.056
Group	1	0.43	0.670
Stimulus × Group	9.53	7.59	0.318
Stimulus × Trial	8.28	1.61	0.539
Trial × Group	1	0.12	0.678
Stimulus × Trial × Group	9.53	1.44	0.445
	Recall		
Stimulus	1	0.64	0.425
Trial	2.9	13.25	<.001*
Group	1	0.21	0.647
Context	1	1.17	0.279
Stimulus × Group	1	1.98	0.160
Stimulus × Context	1	0.30	0.586
Group × Context	1	0.13	0.720
Stimulus × Trial	3.98	1.38	0.239
	3.04	0.95	0.414
Trial × Context	3.95	0.20	0.937
Stimulus × Trial × Group	3.95	0.94	0.437
Stimulus × Trial × Contout	4.13	1.41	0.227
Trial x Crown x Context	3.98	0.74	0.563
That × Group × Context	1	0.88	0.347
Stimulus × Group × Context	3.35	0.40	0.777
Stimulus × Triai × Group × Context		,	
	Initial trials (SCR	5)	
	Extinction trainin	g	
Stimulus	1	0.94	0.332
Group	1	0.10	0.748
Stimulus × Group	1	1.13	0.288
	Recall		
Stimulus	1	3.48	0.062
Group	1	0.01	0.917

Context	1	1.12	0.290	
Stimulus × Group	1	<0.01	0.995	
Stimulus × Context	1	6.05	0.014*	
Group imes Context	1	<0.01	0.950	
Stimulus × Group × Context	1	<0.01	0.988	
'	ifferential skin conduc	tance responses (SCR _{diff})		
	Habit	uation		
Trial	1 98	2 12	0 121	
Group	1	1 46	0.121	
Trial × Group	1.98	1.12	0.325	
	Fear acquis	ition training		
Trial	9 50	2 86	0.002*	
Group	1	0.02	0.883	
Trial × Group	9.50	0.57	0.829	
	Extinctio	n training		
Trial	9.58	1.02	0.418	
Group	1	0.12	0.729	
Trial × Group	9.58	0.57	0.833	
· ·	Re	call		
Trial	4.23	1.66	0.154	
Group	1	2.55	0.110	
Context	1	1.34	0.246	
Context $ imes$ Trial	4.3	1.83	0.115	
Context \times Group	1	1.23	0.267	
Trial × Group	4.23	0.82	0.521	
$Context \times Trial \times Group$	4.3	1.19	0.311	
	Initial tria	als (SCR _{diff})		
	Extinctio	n training		
Group	1	0.07	0.786	
	Re	call		
Group	1	0.02	0.899	
Context	1	3.44	0.064	
Group × Context	1	0.32	0.569	
	Val	ence		
Stimulus	1	13.81	<.001*	
Time	2.77	7.46	<.001*	
Group	1	0.21	0.650	
Stimulus × Time	2.15	14.52	<.001*	
Time × Group	2.//	1.11	0.345	
Stimulus × Group	2 15	1.03	0.750	
Stimulus × Time × Group 2.15 1.05 0.501				
Alousal Stimulus 1 10.15 2001*				
Timo	1	18.15	<.001*	
Group	2.44	0.23	0.630	
Stimulus × Time	2.73	11.78	<.001*	
Time × Group	2.44	0.99	0.385	
Stimulus × Group	1	0.56	0.454	
Stimulus × Time × Group	2.73	0.22	0.864	
Fear				
Stimulus	1	10.50	0.001*	
Time	2.63	14.72	<.001*	
Group	1	0.66	0.415	
Chine ulue Time e	2 61	5.68	0.001*	

Time × Group	2.63	1.26	0.285
Stimulus × Group	1	0.25	0.617
Stimulus \times Time \times Group	2.61	1.35	0.258
	US expectancy		
Stimulus	1	32.51	<.001*
Time	2.81	44.25	<.001*
Group	1	2.86	0.091
Stimulus × Time	2.33	22.77	<.001*
Time \times Group	2.81	3.55	0.016*
Stimulus × Group	1	0.07	0.796
Stimulus × Time × Group	2.33	0.06	0.959
	Stimulation side-effects		
	Headache		
Group	1	<0.01	0.953
Time	1	1.00	0.318
Group × Time	1	0.01	0.940
	Neck pain		
Group	1	0.22	0.641
Time	1	1.68	0.195
Group × Time	1	1.65	0.199
	Back pain		
Group	1	<0.01	0.955
Time	1	0.58	0.445
Group $ imes$ Time	1	0.02	0.882
·	Blurred vision		
Group	1	0.45	0 500
Time	1	0.48	0.491
Group × Time	1	0.11	0.736
	Scalp irritation		
Group	1	0.32	0 573
Time	1	3.80	0.051
Group × Time	1	7.83	0.005*
	Scalp tinalina		
Group	1	0.01	0 909
Time	1	5.83	0.016*
Group × Time	1	1.98	0.160
	Scalp itching		
Group	1	0.08	0 771
Time	1	0.08 8.27	0.771
Group × Time	1	3.18	0.075
Increased heartheat			
Group	1	0.15	0.700
Time	1	13 68	<0.700 <0.001*
Group × Time	1	<0.01	0.990
	- Burning sensation		0.000
Group	1	0.68	0 411
Time	- 1	0.54	0.461
Group \times Time	1	2.77	0.096
Hot flashes			
Group	1	0.01	0.939
Time	1	0.30	0.582
Group × Time	1	0.23	0.635

Vertigo			
Group	1	0.48	0.487
Time	1	0.01	0.916
$\operatorname{Group} \times \operatorname{Time}$	1	0.03	0.856
Sudden mood change			
Group	1	<0.01	0.946
Time	1	1.64	0.201
$\operatorname{Group} \times \operatorname{Time}$	1	0.03	0.856
Fatigue			
Group	1	0.36	0.549
Time	1	5.09	0.024*
Group × Time	1	0.10	0.755
Phosphenes			
Group	1	0.04	0.840
Time	1	0.68	0.408
$\operatorname{Group} \times \operatorname{Time}$	1	0.42	0.517

* Significant results at p < 0.05.

Supplementary table 2. Results of side-effects questionnaires.

Self-reported median ratings and interquartile range (in brackets) on a 9-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("absent") to 9 ("strong") prior and post ctACS administration.

	Prior stimulation		Post stimulation	
Questionnaire item	Sham group	Verum group	Sham group	Verum group
Headache	1 (1 - 1.5)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 1.75)
Neck pain	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 3)	2 (1 - 4.5)	1 (1 - 2.75)
Back pain	1 (1 - 3)	1.5 (1 - 2)	2 (1 - 2.5)	1.5 (1 - 2.75)
Blurred vision	2 (1 - 5.5)	1 (1 - 4.75)	3 (1 - 5.5)	1.5 (1 - 3.75)
Scalp irritation	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1) [†]	1 (1 - 2.75) [†]
Scalp tingling	1 (1 - 1.5)*	1 (1 - 1)*	1 (1 - 2.5)*	1 (1 - 3.5)*
Scalp itching	1 (1 - 1)*	1 (1 - 1)*	1 (1 - 1.5)*	1 (1 - 2)*
Increased heartbeat	3 (1 - 6)*	2 (2 - 3)*	1 (1 - 2.5)*	1 (1 - 2)*
Burning sensation	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)
Hot flashes	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)
Vertigo	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 1)
Sudden mood change	1 (1 - 2.5)	1.5 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 2)	1 (1 - 2)
Fatigue	3 (1 - 5)*	3 (1 - 4.5)*	3 (2.5 - 6)*	3.5 (2 - 4.75)*
Phosphenes	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1)	1 (1 - 1.75)

Statistical significances (least squares means tests, p < 0.05) are indicated in bold.

* Significant differences between prior- and post-ctACS administration.

+ Significant differences between sham and verum group.



Supplementary figure 1. Log-transformed differential skin conductance response amplitudes (CS+_{high} – CS+_{low}; SCR_{diff}). **A)** Mean SCR_{diff} values for individual trials for acquisition training, extinction training and recall phases and **B)** Recall of learned fear responses at the beginning of extinction training and recall.

A) Filled dots represent the mean values for individual trials for acquisition training, extinction training and recall phases. Solid lines connect mean values of trials presented in the acquisition context, while dotted lines connect mean values of trials presented in the extinction context. **B)** The figure shows mean SCR_{diff} averaged from the initial two trials of each phase presented in the same context. Individual responses are indicated by dots.

Error bars indicate S.E.M. Blue colors = verum, red colors = sham. CS = conditioning stimulus. Acq. Context = context presented during acquisition training, Ext. Context = context presented during extinction training.



Supplementary figure 2. Median ratings for **A)** valence, **B)** arousal, **C)** fear and **D)** US expectancy obtained using a Likert-scale ranging from 1 (*"very pleasant"* / *"very calm"* / *"not afraid", "US not expected"*, respectively) to 9 (*"very unpleasant"* / *"very nervous"* / *"very afraid", "US expected"*, respectively). Median values are depicted as horizontal lines, and the whiskers represent the first to third quartile. The sham group is shown in red, the verum group in blue. CS = conditioning stimulus. Dark colors indicate CS+_{high}, light colors indicate CS+_{low}.