

Table S1 Results fitted by Eilers and Peeters model and observation values of photosynthetic parameters for seven species under various conditions (mean \pm SE, $n = 3\text{-}6$)

A. alba												S. sativa												T. aestivum												
LL				HL				Wufengyou 1326				Ganfengyou 1326				2% O ₂				21% O ₂																
	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.				
α	0.447	-	0.436	-	0.255	-	0.288	-	0.283	-	0.240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	± 0.012		± 0.006		± 0.014		± 0.004		± 0.011		± 0.010																									
I_{sat}	1004.03	796.00	1004.03	1094.20	1094.11	1133.82	974.74	1200.59	1370.31	1400.00	2269.59	1840.00	$\pm 185.69^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 157.46^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 35.51^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 141.40^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 14.54^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 133.12^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 95.06^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 199.73^{\text{a}}$	76.09 ^a	$\pm 141.42^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 300.54^{\text{a}}$	74.83 ^a												
	$\pm 5.01^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 5.31^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 3.87^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 3.76^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 1.99^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 2.17^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 5.87^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 7.39^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 5.68^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 7.69^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 6.91^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 8.39^{\text{a}}$													
R^2	0.999	-	0.999	-	0.996	-	0.973	-	0.998	-	0.999	-																								

Continued

S. italic												Z. mays												A. hypochondriacus												M. aeruginosa											
Normal water						Drought stress						NO ₃ ⁻ -N						NH ₄ ⁺ -N																													
	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.	EP model	Obs.											
α	0.295	-	0.400	-	0.254	-	0.265	-	0.045	-	0.043	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
	± 0.006		± 0.022		± 0.015		± 0.014		± 0.000		± 0.0002																																				
I_{sat}	1261.45	1399.75	677.78	601.07	1487.21	1399.99	3083.01	1933.33	815.73	949.00	740.97	833.00	$\pm 137.40^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 198.60^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 52.56^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 0.31^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 25.45^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 200.01^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 267.63^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 67.02^{\text{b}}$	$\pm 6.47^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 116.00^{\text{a}}$	$\pm 5.54^{\text{b}}$	$\pm 0.00^{\text{a}}$																							
	$\pm 14.68^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 15.29^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 8.22^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 8.89^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 1.06^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 1.03^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 23.96^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 8.02^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 0.33^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 0.61^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 0.56^{\text{a}}$		$\pm 0.49^{\text{a}}$																								
R^2	0.999	-	0.999	-	0.996	-	0.999	-	0.976	-	0.989	-																																			

α ($=1/c$), initial slope of $-I$ curves; I_{sat} , saturation irradiance ($\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$); J_{max} , maximum electron transport rate ($\mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$); R^2 , determination coefficient. The different superscript letters followed by the values are significantly different between fitted values and observation values for the same species or the same species under the same treatment ($p < 0.05$).

Table S2. Comparison between the fitted values of I_{sat} and J_{max} and their corresponding observed values of seven species grown under various conditions, with those obtained by fitting the light response curves of electron transport using the mechanistic model (Ye et al., 2013a, b), the DE model (Platt et al., 1980), and the NRH model (von Caemmerer, 2000; that fits only the J_{max}).

	<i>A. alba</i>		<i>O. sativa</i>		<i>T. aestivum</i>		<i>S. italicica</i>		<i>Z. mays</i>		<i>A. hypochondriacus</i>		<i>M. aeruginosa</i>		
	LL	HL	WFY 1326	GFY 1326	2% O ₂	21% O ₂	Normal water	Drought stress					NO ⁻ ₃ -N	NH ₄ ⁺ -N	
Mechanistic model	$\Delta I_{\text{sat}}\%$	+4.4	-1.6	+4.2	-10.4	+3.8	+4.7	-5.0	+22.7	+3.3	-	+8.6	-	-4.7	+0.9
	$\Delta J_{\text{max}}\%$	+2.0	+1.4	+1.8	-2.9	+2.2	-0.7	+1.0	-1.9	+1.2	-	-0.3	-	-1.8	+0.8
DE model	$\Delta I_{\text{sat}}\%$	+1.1	-7.2	-0.3	-13.4	+5.3	+19.2	-6.7	+1.2	+3.2	-	+91.0*	-	-9.0	-4.5*
	$\Delta J_{\text{max}}\%$	-1.0	+0.5	+1.7	-2.8	+0.5	+0.5	+0.6	-1.0	+0.5	-	+19.0*	-	-3.0	+0.8
NRH model	$\Delta I_{\text{sat}}\%$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	$\Delta J_{\text{max}}\%$	+1.0	+0.4	-2.0	-11.4	+1.0	+11.9*	-1.9	+0.5	+3.8	-	+20.3*	-	-9.8*	-8.7
EP model	$\Delta I_{\text{sat}}\%$	+20.7	-8.2	-3.5	-18.8	-2.1	+18.9	-9.9	+11.3	+5.9		+37.3*		-14.0	-11.0*
	$\Delta J_{\text{max}}\%$	-0.0	+0.5	+0.7	-4.9	+1.1	-1.5	-0.0	-1.3	+0.4		+10.2		-4.7	-1.2

The ΔI_{sat} and ΔJ_{max} are differences (%) between the fitted values of I_{sat} and J_{max} and their corresponding observed values, respectively (see Tables 2- 4 and S1). The asterisk followed by the values is significantly different between fitted values and observation values for the same species or the same species under the same treatment ($p < 0.05$). WFY326-Wufengyou 1326; GFY 1326-Ganfengyou 1326.