

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Effects of the fruit extract of *L. cubeba* on slaughter performance of white feather broilers

Items	Treatment			<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
	CON(n=5)	L(n=5)	H(n=5)		
Dressing percentage	90.52 ± 1.16	90.79 ± 0.35	92.28 ± 0.42	3.395	0.065
Semi-eviscerated percentage	83.42 ± 1.56	83.54 ± 0.54	84.79 ± 0.31	1.579	0.243
Eviscerated percentage	68.08 ± 2.68	68.63 ± 0.35	70.64 ± 0.42	2.352	0.134

The *F*-value and *p*-value are based on one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. The parameters labeled with identical superscript letters (a, b) did not exhibit significant differences (*P*>0.05). Data are presented as Mean ± Std. Error of Mean (SEM).

Table S2. Effects of the fruit extract of *L. cubeba* on breast muscle quality of white feather broilers

Items	Treatment			<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
	CON(n=5)	L(n=5)	H(n=5)		
pH ₁	6.41±0.04 ^a	6.41±0.06 ^a	6.15±0.06 ^b	7.232	0.009**
pH ₂₄	6.37±0.02	6.45±0.04	6.27±0.07	3.357	0.070
Shear force/Kgf	4.94±0.60	3.05±0.44	4.31±0.80	2.297	0.143
Drip loss/%	14.23±2.91	19.22±1.45	14.25±3.89	0.964	0.409
Cooking loss/%	25.66±2.08	26.14±2.25	25.12±2.69	0.047	0.955
<i>a</i> * 45 min	2.47±0.03	2.97±0.26	2.54±0.16	2.331	0.140
<i>b</i> * 45 min	7.57±0.08 ^a	7.04±0.04 ^b	7.72±0.12 ^a	16.340	<0.001***
<i>L</i> * 45 min	45.46±0.14 ^a	42.94±0.29 ^b	44.88±0.12 ^{ab}	44.17	<0.001***
<i>a</i> * 24 h	2.49±0.12 ^{ab}	2.91±0.12 ^a	1.89±0.15 ^b	14.86	<0.001***
<i>b</i> * 24 h	7.49±0.04 ^a	7.00±0.11 ^b	7.15±0.10 ^b	8.802	0.004**
<i>L</i> * 24 h	45.64±0.07 ^a	43.50±0.10 ^c	44.62±0.22 ^b	53.85	<0.001***
Crude protein g/100g	22.36±0.24	23.06±0.29	22.84±0.19	2.138	0.161
Crude fat g/100g	1.90±0.25	1.70±0.14	1.38±0.15	1.996	0.179
Moisture %	74.23±0.27	73.83±0.05	73.71±0.07	2.702	0.146
Ash content %	1.44±0.08	1.46±0.13	1.59±0.09	0.564	0.596

The *F*-value and *p*-value are based on one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. The parameters labeled with identical superscript letters (a, b) did not exhibit significant differences (*p*>0.05). Data are presented as Mean ± Std. Error of Mean (SEM).

Table S3. Effects of *L. cubeba* fruit extract on amino acid levels in white feather broilers breast meat

Item	Treatment			F	p
	CON(n=5)	L(n=5)	H(n=5)		
Gly	148.47±8.66	135.54±7.11	153.09±7.69	1.343	0.298
Ala	338.87±15.70	308.50±11.00	305.79±9.53	2.21	0.152
GABA	1.03±0.05	1.14±0.04	1.02±0.02	3.192	0.077
Ser	217.18±12.39	195.67±6.81	190.18±5.36	2.671	0.110
Pro	95.24±3.93 ^a	98.78±4.74 ^a	74.71±2.72 ^b	11.198	0.002**
Val	132.80±9.99 ^a	106.62±4.87 ^b	111.53±3.45 ^{ab}	4.286	0.039*
Thr	123.17±8.05 ^a	103.77±5.28 ^{ab}	100.06±3.99 ^b	4.254	0.040*
Ile	100.95±8.47 ^a	79.75±3.71 ^b	84.75±2.54 ^{ab}	4.007	0.046*
Leu	202.06±17.69	162.82±8.04	171.33±5.72	3.116	0.081
Asn	68.40±1.56 ^a	45.95±3.63 ^b	53.93±1.88 ^b	20.296	<0.001***
Orn	3.69±0.27 ^a	2.11±0.16 ^b	2.38±0.20 ^b	15.076	<0.001***
Asp	106.56±9.26	94.33±4.14	91.63±4.41	1.552	0.252
Hcy	2.76±0.50 ^b	2.29±0.13 ^b	4.80±0.70 ^a	7.036	0.010**
Gln	155.88±12.97	137.49±7.35	129.02±5.89	2.202	0.153
Lys	204.93±14.24 ^a	168.74±6.84 ^{ab}	161.83±7.46 ^b	5.266	0.023*
Glu	214.32±14.58	187.62±6.85	187.80±5.83	2.412	0.132
Met	79.30±6.52 ^a	60.93±2.94 ^b	66.18±1.54 ^{ab}	5.019	0.026*
His	143.18±10.18	123.29±5.46	138.45±3.20	2.256	0.147
Phe	105.88±8.08 ^a	85.63±3.71 ^b	86.77±2.47 ^{ab}	4.564	0.034*
Arg	135.04±10.31 ^a	111.93±5.04 ^{ab}	102.99±3.65 ^b	5.658	0.019*
Tyr	141.93±9.56	123.19±4.37	124.85±3.27	2.662	0.110
Trp	33.69±2.22 ^a	28.48±1.31 ^a	27.95±0.64 ^a	4.274	0.040*

The F-value and p-value are based on one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. The parameters labeled with identical superscript letters (a, b, c) did not exhibit significant differences ($p > 0.05$). * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Data are presented as Mean ± Std. Error of Mean (SEM).

Table S4. Effects of *L. cubeba* fruit extract on fatty acid levels in white feather broilers breast meat

Item	Treatment			F	p
	CON(n=5)	L(n=5)	H(n=5)		
Caproate (C6:0)	0.65±0.01 ^b	0.66±0.02 ^b	0.74±0.03 ^a	6.567	0.012*
Caprylate (C8:0)	0.55±0.03	0.54±0.02	0.57±0.02	0.397	0.681
Caprate (C10:0)	0.51±0.05	0.57±0.06	0.64±0.05	1.631	0.236
Unndecanoate (C11:0)	0.14±0.00	0.14±0.01	0.15±0.00	1.569	0.248
Laurate (C12:0)	2.63±0.19 ^b	3.43±0.32 ^{ab}	4.08±0.30 ^a	7.032	0.010**
Tridecanoate (C13:0)	0.22±0.01	0.21±0.01	0.23±0.01	0.967	0.408

Myristate (C14:0)	42.07±4.08	39.79±2.93	41.94±2.29	0.162	0.852
Myristelaidate (C14:1T)	1.54±0.08	1.66±0.11	1.60±0.07	0.448	0.649
Myristoleate (C14:1)	5.70±0.73	5.03±0.44	4.71±0.39	0.871	0.443
Pentadecanoate (C15:0)	3.28±0.33	3.28±0.30	3.48±0.24	0.142	0.869
10-Transpentadecenoate (C15:1T)	4.14±0.18	4.26±0.21	4.33±0.16	0.268	0.769
10-Pentadecenoate (C15:1)	68.89±3.57	62.90±2.90	71.34±2.76	1.963	0.183
Palmitate (C16:0)	761.21±98.08	700.12±70.15	692.73±70.88	0.217	0.808
Palmitelaidate (C16:1T)	9.01±0.83	8.12±0.60	8.91±0.78	0.431	0.659
Palmitoleate (C16:1)	233.35±39.05	191.98±24.89	176.44±19.09	1.035	0.385
Heptadecanoate (C17:0)	5.36±0.48	5.16±0.40	5.73±0.36	0.482	0.629
10-Transsheatadecenoate (C17:1T)	3.86±0.34	3.90±0.31	3.73±0.26	0.08	0.924
10-Heptadecenoate (C17:1)	18.46±0.68	20.52±0.51	21.15±1.09	3.101	0.082
Stearate (C18:0)	363.02±65.50	315.98±42.79	395.95±44.16	0.6	0.564
Elaidate (C18:1N12T)	6.01±0.53	5.89±0.42	5.68±0.30	0.157	0.856
Transvaccenate (C18:1N9T)	8.61±0.71	8.37±0.52	7.99±0.42	0.305	0.742
Petroselinate (C18:1N7T)	6.35±0.32	6.29±0.26	6.43±0.34	0.052	0.949
Oleate (C18:1N9C)	995.18±106.01	958.94±95.21	886.83±76.43	0.349	0.712
Vaccenate (C18:1N7)	107.16±12.29	95.92±7.96	95.98±7.55	0.463	0.64
Linoelaidate (C18:2N6T)	1.74±0.12	1.70±0.08	1.57±0.10	0.736	0.499
7-Transnonadecenoate (C19:1N12T)	1.30±0.21	1.34±0.16	1.24±0.16	0.079	0.924
Linoleate (C18:2N6)	459.02±63.53	459.60±50.38	439.71±43.14	0.046	0.956
Arachidate (C20:0)	7.87±0.62	7.31±0.49	8.32±0.37	1.009	0.393
<i>Gamma</i> Linolenate (C18:3N6)	4.30±0.50	4.93±0.52	4.26±0.37	0.644	0.542
<i>Trans</i> 11-Eicosenoate (C20:1T)	4.46±0.18	4.88±0.27	4.61±0.18	0.966	0.408
11-Eicosenoate (C20:1)	18.85±2.03	17.72±1.49	15.56±1.09	1.117	0.359
Alpha Linolenate (C18:3N3)	13.80±2.22	13.19±1.83	11.77±1.28	0.33	0.725
Heneicosanoate (C21:0)	1.23±0.04	1.17±0.03	1.24±0.05	0.893	0.435
11- 14 Eicosadienoate (C20:2)	16.50±0.84	15.41±0.96	13.28±1.23	2.569	0.118
Behenate (C22:0)	21.36±0.87 ^{ab}	18.00±0.95 ^b	21.94±0.94 ^a	5.325	0.022*
HomogammaLinolenate (C20:3N6)	36.41±1.11 ^{ab}	40.04±1.48 ^a	34.69±1.41 ^b	4.158	0.042*
Brassidate (C22:1N9T)	23.50±1.06 ^{ab}	21.91±0.46 ^b	25.50±0.62 ^a	5.672	0.018*
Erucate (C22:1N9)	120.11±7.89 ^{ab}	109.39±3.38 ^b	137.14±7.34 ^a	4.607	0.033*
11- 14- 17 Eicosatrienoate (C20:3N3)	0.89±0.05	0.96±0.04	0.91±0.05	0.627	0.551
Arachidonate (C20:4N6)	106.26±3.88 ^b	105.37±4.82 ^b	140.87±5.64 ^a	17.537	<0.001***
Tricosanoate (C23:0)	0.52±0.02	0.51±0.01	0.54±0.01	1.17	0.343
Docosadienoate (C22:2)	3.34±0.10	3.11±0.08	3.34±0.07	2.564	0.118
Eicosapentaenoate (C20:5N3)	7.93±0.25	7.89±0.16	7.86±0.30	0.019	0.982
Lignocerate (C24:0)	4.08±0.18	3.73±0.14	4.35±0.22	2.979	0.089
Nervonoate (C24:1)	19.68±0.58 ^{ab}	18.82±0.81 ^b	23.15±1.36 ^a	5.579	0.019*
Docosatetraenoate (C22:4)	33.91±1.62 ^b	32.00±1.75 ^b	43.18±1.69 ^a	12.537	0.001**
Docosapentaenoate (C22:5N6)	9.69±0.32 ^b	8.96±0.28 ^b	13.95±0.81 ^a	25.799	<0.001***
Docosapentaenoate (C22:5N3)	14.73±0.48 ^b	13.77±0.47 ^b	18.77±1.04 ^a	13.726	<0.001***
Docosahexaenoate (C22:6N3)	20.51±1.05	18.97±0.34	19.03±1.46	0.68	0.525

The *F*-value and *p*-value are based on one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. The parameters labeled with identical superscript letters (a, b, c) did not exhibit significant differences (*p* > 0.05). **p* < 0.05; ***p* <

0.01; *** $p < 0.001$. Data are presented as Mean \pm Std. Error of Mean (SEM).

Table S5. Alpha diversity index of gut flora in white feather broilers

Items	Treatment			<i>p</i>
	CON(n=5)	L(n=5)	H(n=5)	
Goods Coverage	0.9952 \pm 0.0004	0.9928 \pm 0.0034	0.9926 \pm 0.0029	0.58
Chao1	1,092.00 \pm 42.68	1,254.00 \pm 301.00	1,316.00 \pm 237.60	0.58
Shannon	7.35 \pm 0.05	7.11 \pm 0.11	7.33 \pm 0.13	0.29

The *p*-value are based on one-way analysis of variance followed by the Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn's post-hoc test. The parameters labeled with identical superscript letters (a, b) did not exhibit significant differences ($p > 0.05$). Data are presented as Mean \pm Std. Error of Mean (SEM).