Table S1. Antibodies Used in This Study. Primary and secondary antibodies used forimmunoblots (IB) and immunofluorescence (IF).

Antibody	Host	Dilution	Vendor Product #
Antibody	Opecies		Novus Biologicals,
ITSN1	Rabbit	IB: 1:2500	NBP1-87806
CLTA	Rabbit	1:2500	Novus Biologicals, NBP2-38638
DNM2	Rabbit	1:1000	Novus Biologicals, NBP2-47477
PICALM	Rabbit	1:1000	Atlas Antibodies, HPA019053
FCHO1	Rabbit	1:200	Invitrogen, PA5-31603
AP2A1	Goat	1:16000	LSBio, LS-B9566
Alpha tubulin	Rat	1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA1-80017
MAP2	Chicken	1:10000	Thermo Fisher Scientific, PA1-10005
Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti- Rabbit IgG	Goat	1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific, A11008
Alexa Fluor 647 Goat anti-Rat IgG	Goat	1:1000	Thermo Fisher Scientific, A21247
Alexa Fluor 647 Donkey anti- Chicken IgY	Donkey	1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific, A78952
Alexa Fluor 568 Donkey anti- Rabbit IgG	Donkey	1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific, A10042
IRDye 800CW Donkey anti- Rabbit	Donkev	1:20000	LI-COR Biosciences, 926-32213
IRDye 680RD Donkey anti- Mouse	Donkey	1:20000	LI-COR Biosciences, 926-68072

Table S2. Statistics for Frontal Cortex Immunoblot Results. This table shows the 2-way ANOVA results for frontal cortex (FC) immunoblots. Statistically significant results are in bold and trends are italicized.

	ITSN1-L: Total Protein		2-way ANOVA			Post-Hoc tests	
Frontal Cortex	Group	Mean ± SD		F(DFn, DFd)	p-value	Šídák's multiple comparisons tes	
ITSN1-L 190 kDa	Male CTL	50 ± 28	Interaction	F (1, 40) = 0.792	P=0.379	Male CTL vs AD	P=0.049
	Male AD	29 ± 20	Sex	F (1, 40) = 3.718	P=0.061	Female CTL vs AD	P=0.493
	Female CTL	32 ± 14	Diagnosis	F (1, 40) = 5.820	P=0.021	CTL Male vs Female	P=0.103
	Female AD	22 ± 16				AD Male vs Female	P=0.716
	Male CTL	1817 ± 79	Interaction	F (1, 39) = 6.652	P=0.014	Male CTL vs AD	P=0.055
	Male AD	1046 ± 916	Sex	F (1, 39) = 9.223	P=0.004	Female CTL vs AD	P=0.323
CLICA	Female CTL	458 ± 370	Diagnasia	F (1, 39) = 0.368	P=0.548	CTL Male vs Female	P=0.0007
	Female AD	935 ± 880	Diagnosis			AD Male vs Female	P=0.935
	Male CTL	1967 ± 552	Interaction	F (1, 41) = 0.384	P=0.539	Male CTL vs AD	P=0.253
CLTCh	Male AD	1523 ± 809	Sex	F (1, 41) = 6.012	P=0.019	Female CTL vs AD	P=0.753
CLICD	Female CTL	1342 ± 689	Diagnosis	E(1, 41) = 2,420	P-0 126	CTL Male vs Female	P=0.066
	Female AD	1151 ± 673	Diagnosis	1 (1, 41) - 2.439	1 -0.120	AD Male vs Female	P=0.373
	Male CTL	3409 ± 1491	Interaction	F (1, 41) = 0.829	P=0.368		_
PICALM	Male AD	3543 ± 1113	Sex	F (1, 41) = 1.185	P=0.283	_	
65-75 kDa	Female CTL	3330 ± 1654	Diagnosis	F (1, 41) = 0.372	P=0.545		
	Female AD	2652 ± 1596	Diagnosis				
	Male CTL	242 ± 53	Interaction	F (1, 39) = 2.589	P=0.116	_	_
PICALM	Male AD	430 ± 235	Sex	F (1, 39) = 0.0002	P=0.989		
50 kDa	Female CTL	341 ± 147	Diagnosis	F (1, 39) = 2.232	P=0.143		
	Female AD	334 ± 270	Diagnosis				
	Male CTL	58 ± 25	Interaction	F (1, 40) = 2.487	P=0.123		-
	Male AD	59 ± 18	Sex	F (1, 40) = 0.182	P=0.672	_	
	Female CTL	70 ± 14	Diagnosis	E (1 40) - 1 020	P=0.174		
	Female AD	51 ± 24		(1, +0) = 1.320			
	Male CTL	52 ± 21	Interaction	F (1, 40) = 0.010	P=0.922		-
ECHO1	Male AD	44 ± 14	Sex	F (1, 40) = 1.384	P=0.246		
FCHOT	Female CTL	46 ± 20	Diagnosis	F(1, 40) = 2.165	P=0.149		
	Female AD	37 ± 16		(1, 40) = 2.103			
DNM2	Male CTL	295 ± 174	Interaction	F (1, 41) = 0.0637	P=0.802	_	_
	Male AD	286 ± 124	Sex	F (1, 41) = 0.0890	P=0.767		
	Female CTL	293 ± 118	Diagnosis	F(1, 41) = 0.222	P=0.640		
	Female AD	263 ± 122		(1, 41) = 0.222			

Table S3. Statistics for Hippocampus Immunoblot Results. This table shows the 2-way ANOVA results for hippocampal immunoblots. Statistically significant results are in bold and trends are italicized.

	ITSN1-L: Total Protein		2-way ANOVA			Post-Hoc tests	
Hippocampus	Group	Mean ± SD	F(DFn, DFd) p		p-value	Šídák multiple comparisons tes	
ITSN 1-L 190 kDa	Male CTL	13 ± 12	Interaction	F (1, 52) = 6.45	P=0.014	Male CTL vs AD	P=0.459
	Male AD	23 ± 16	Sex	F (1, 52) = 9.372	P=0.004	Female CTL vs AD	P=0.034
	Female CTL	49 ± 43	Diagnosis	F (1, 52) = 0.890	D-0.287	CTL Male vs Female	P=0.001
	Female AD	26 ± 18			F-0.207	AD Male vs Female	P=0.897
	Male CTL	40 ± 33	Interaction	F (1, 52) = 0.025	P=0.875	Male CTL vs AD	P=0.053
ITSN1-S	Male AD	80 ± 50	Sex	F (1, 52) = 0.104	P=0.749	Female CTL vs AD	P=0.031
130 kDa	Female CTL	41 ± 31	Diagnosis	F (1, 52) = 11.420	P=0.001	CTL Male vs Female	P=0.993
	Female AD	86 ± 56				AD Male vs Female	P=0.912
	Male CTL	279 ± 187	Interaction	F (1, 49) = 0.001	P=0.972		
CLTCD	Male AD	332 ± 152	Sex	F (1, 49) = 0.505	P=0.481		
CLICa	Female CTL	316 ± 151	Diagnosis	F(1, 49) = 1.025	P=0 316	-	-
	Female AD	366 ± 202	Diagnosis	1 (1, 49) - 1.023	F =0.310		
	Male CTL	289 ± 115	Interaction	F (1, 51) = 0.874	P=0.354		_
CLTCh	Male AD	358 ± 107	Sex	F (1, 51) = 3.429	P=0.070		
CLICD	Female CTL	422 ± 257	Diagnosis	F(1, 51) = 0.253	P=0.617	-	
	Female AD	401 ± 186	Diagnosis	F(1, 51) = 0.255	F = 0.017		
	Male CTL	980 ± 543	Interaction	F (1, 52) = 1.825	P=0.183		_
PICALM	Male AD	1097 ± 427	Sex	F (1, 52) = 1.517	P=0.224	_	
65-75 kDa	Female CTL	1292 ± 378	Diagnosis	F (1, 52) = 0.1412	P=0.709		
	Female AD	1083 ± 433					
	Male CTL	172 ± 96	Interaction	F (1, 52) = 3.981	P=0.051	_	_
PICALM	Male AD	208 ± 72	Sex	F (1, 52) = 1.496	P=0.227		
50 kDa	Female CTL	265 ± 159	Diagnosis	F (1, 52) = 0.553	P=0.461		
	Female AD	186 ± 94	Diagnosis				
	Male CTL	42 ± 33	Interaction	F (1, 51) = 0.619	P=0.435	_	-
A D 2 A 1	Male AD	49 ± 15	Sex	F (1, 51) = 1.999	P=0.163		
AFZAI	Female CTL	55 ± 26	Diagnosis	F (1, 51) = 0.112	P=0.740		
	Female AD	52 ± 14	Diagnosis				
FCHO1	Male CTL	93 ± 37	Interaction	F (1, 50) = 0.0853	P=0.772	_	_
	Male AD	83 ± 40	Sex	F (1, 50) = 0.0219	P=0.883		
	Female CTL	98 ± 49	Diagnosis	E(1, 50) = 1.487	P=0.229		
	Female AD	81 ± 36		(1, 30) = 1.407			
DNM2	Male CTL	53 ± 21	Interaction	F (1, 51) = 1.042	P=0.312		_
	Male AD	80 ± 33	Sex	F (1, 51) = 3.517	P=0.066	_	
	Female CTL	79 ± 45	Diagnosis	F(1, 51) = 2.917	P=0.056		
	Female AD	87 ± 28		(1, 31) = 3.017			

Table S4. Statistics for Cortical and Hippocampal ITSN1 Immunoblot Results. This table shows the 2-way ANOVA results for hippocampal and cortex immunoblots of ITSN1-L in 5xFAD and WT mice over time. Statistically significant results are in bold and trends italicized.

	ITSN1-L	: Total Protein	al Protein 2-v		way ANOVA results		Post-Hoc tests	
Cortex	Group	Mean ± SD		F (DFn, DFd)	P value	Šídák multiple comparisons test		
1 month 1 month Female 50 Female 50	Male WT	402 ± 33	Interaction	F (1, 23) = 0.299	P=0.590			
	Male 5X	412 ± 75	Sex	F (1, 23) = 0.910	P=0.350			
	Female WT	413 ± 78	Genotype	F (1, 23) = 0.846	P=0.367		-	
	Female 5X	450 ± 70						
М	Male WT	446 ± 68	Interaction	F (1, 19) = 0.909	P=0.353	Male WT vs 5X	P=0.324	
2 months	Male 5X	507 ± 98	Sex	F (1, 19) = 1.341	P=0.261	Female WT vs 5X	P=0.031	
5 monuns	Female WT	379 ± 51	Constune	F (1, 19) = 8.320	D-0.0005	WT Male vs Female	P=0.265	
	Female 5X	501 ± 78	Genotype		P-0.0095	5X Male vs Female	P=0.988	
	Male WT	460 ± 112	Interaction	F (1, 22) = 3.550	P=0.0728	Male WT vs 5X	P=0.911	
C months	Male 5X	440 ± 112	Sex	F (1, 22) = 0.3573	P=0.5561	Female WT vs 5X	P=0.011	
omonuis	Female WT	548 ± 44	Conotypo	E(1, 22) = 5.058	B-0.023	WT Male vs Female	P=0.200	
	Female 5X	394 ± 71	Genotype	F (1, 22) - 5.956	P-0.023	5X Male vs Female	P=0.582	
	Male WT	844 ± 85	Interaction	F (1, 22) = 0.668	P=0.423	Male WT vs 5X	P=0.001	
Mal	Male 5X	525 ± 191	Sex	F (1, 22) = 0.080	P=0.780	Female WT vs 5X	P=0.040	
9 monuns	Female WT	771 ± 139	Constune	F (1, 22) = 16.00	D-0.0006	WT Male vs Female	P=0.722	
	Female 5X	561 ± 185	Genotype		P=0.0006	5X Male vs Female	P=0.903	
Hippocampus								
	Male WT	281 ± 49	Interaction	F (1, 21) = 0.326	P=0.574			
1 month	Male 5X	292 ± 54	Sex	F (1, 21) = 0.006	P=0.937			
i monun	Female WT	272 ± 34	Genotype	F (1, 21) = 1.339	P=0.2603	-	-	
	Female 5X	304 ± 14						
Ν	Male WT	621 ± 96	Interaction	F (1, 18) = 1.053	P=0.318			
2 months	Male 5X	601 ± 27	Sex	F (1, 18) = 1.217	P=0.285			
3 monuns	Female WT	564 ± 58	O	F (1, 18) = 0.080	P=0.781		-	
	Female 5X	599 ± 32	Genotype					
	Male WT	407 ± 59	Interaction	F (1, 22) = 4.901	P=0.038	Male WT vs 5X	P=0.0002	
6 months F	Male 5X	287 ± 40	Sex	F (1, 22) = 1.368	P=0.255	Female WT vs 5X	P=0.222	
	Female WT	346 ± 25	Genotype	F (1, 22) = 20.13	P=0.0002	WT Male vs Female	P=0.061	
	Female 5X	305 ± 54				5X Male vs Female	P=0.697	
9 months	Male WT	406 ± 118	Interaction	F (1, 22) = 1.401	P=0.249	Male WT vs 5X	P=0.286	
	Male 5X	313 ± 35	Sex	F (1, 22) = 4.702	P=0.041	Female WT vs 5X	P=0.004	
	Female WT	547 ± 129	Genotype	F(4, 00) = 44,74	P=0.0024	WT Male vs Female	P=0.057	
	Female 5X	354 ± 103		$\Gamma(1, 22) = 11.71$		5X Male vs Female	P=0.738	



Figure S1. Other CME Proteins Are Not Changed in AD Frontal Cortex. Comparison of proteins involved in CME between males and females in AD and CTL frontal cortex (FC). Proteins examined include PICALM isoforms 1,2 (A) and isoform 4 (B), FCHO1 (C), AP2A1 (D), and DNM2 (E). For each analysis, all graphs show protein intensity values normalized to total protein stain (TPS) with representative immunoblot bands underneath. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA, with post-hoc Šídák multiple comparisons tests for analyses with significant group effects. *P<0.05

Frontal Cortex

Hippocampus



Figure S2. **Other CME Proteins Are Not Changed in AD Hippocampus.** Comparison of proteins involved in CME between males and females in AD and CTL hippocampus. Proteins examined include PICALM isoforms 1,2 (A) and isoform 4 (B), FCHO1 (C), AP2A1 (D), and DNM2 (E). For each analysis, all graphs show protein intensity values normalized to total protein stain (TPS) with representative immunoblot bands underneath. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA, with post-hoc Šídák multiple comparisons tests for analyses with significant group effects. *P<0.05



Figure S3. Clathrin Light Chain Shows No Change In AD but Reveals a Significant Sex Difference in CTLs. Comparison of Clathrin Light Chain A (CLTA) and Clathrin Light Chain B (CLTB) between males and females in AD and CTL frontal cortex (FC) and hippocampus (HP). For each analysis, all graphs (A, B, C, D) show protein intensity values normalized to total protein stain (TPS) with representative immunoblot bands underneath. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA, with post-hoc Šídák multiple comparisons tests for analyses with significant group effects. *P<0.05



Figure S4. ITSN1 Expression Visualized in Female 9-month Wildtype and 5xFAD Mice. Representative wide field images of female 9 month old WT (A) and 5xFAD (B) mouse brains stained for ITSN1 (yellow). White boxes in indicate areas magnified in the cortex (B) and hippocampus (C) in WT, and the cortex (E) and hippocampus (F) in 5xFAD. Each region is shown with both ITSN1 (yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Scale bars are 500 µm in A and D, and 50 µm in C, D, and E.