

Table S1*Languages Spoken by Multilingual Learners*

Region	Language	Count
South Asia	Bengali	1
	Gujarati	1
	Hindi	1
	Urdu	1
	Total	4
Southeast Asia	Filipino/Tagalog	2
	Vietnamese	3
	Total	5
East Asia	Korean	1
	Mandarin	1
	Total	2
Middle East and North Africa	Arabic	4
	Harari	2
	Total	6
Europe	German	1
	Slovenian	1
	Total	2
French (Region unspecified)		1
Spanish (Region unspecified)		19

Table S2*Correlations among the Variables by English Experience Group*

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Year College	–	.04	.12	.14**	.13**	.12
2. High Reading Confidence	.11	–	.26***	.08	.02	.06
3. High Reading Enjoyment	-.02	.24	–	.11	.03	.08
4. GM Vocab Low Frequency Score	.08	.27	.04	–	.70***	.96***
5. GM Vocab High Frequency Score	.27	.42**	.05	.68***	–	.86***
6. GM Vocab Total Score	.16	.32*	.04	.94***	.86***	–
7. English Exposure	.31	.05	-.39**	.06	-.11	-.02

Note. Correlations are significant at $p < .001$; * $p < .05$; *** $p < .001$; College students identified as *multilingual learners* are below the diagonal. College

students identified as *monolingual English speakers* are above the diagonal. GM = Gates-MacGinitie Reading Vocabulary assessment

Table S3*Model Fit Comparisons for Models Predicting Missing Responses*

Model	Deviance	LL	AIC	BIC	Likelihood Ratio Test				
					df residual	λLR	Δdf	P - value	Comparison
Null Model	2208.70	-1103.30	2208.70	2215.30	5471				
Fixed Effect Model									
Item Number (γ_{10})	1828.20	-914.10	1832.20	1845.40	5470		1	< .001	Null Model

Note. Dev. = Deviance; LL = Log Likelihood; AIC = Akaike Information Criterion; BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion; df residual = residual degrees of freedom; λLR = likelihood ratio statistic; Δdf = change in degrees of freedom relative to the comparison model;

Table S4*Model Results of Item Number Predicting Item Missingness*

	Estimate	SE	OR	95% CI		P-value
				lower	upper	
Null Model						
Random Effect						
Person variance ($\tau_{00 ID}$)	66.11	.34				
Fixed Effect Model						
Fixed Effect						
Item Number (γ_{10})	.35	.03	1.42	1.35	1.49	< .001
Random Effect						
Person variance ($\tau_{00 ID}$)	360.60	.79				

Note. SE = Standard Error; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; OR = Odds Ratio. The proportion of variance explained by the random effects ($\tau_{00 ID}$) for the Null and Fixed Effect model are 95.20% and 99.10%, respectively.

Table S5*Demographics by Reading Confidence and Language Speaker Status*

Variable	Monolingual English				Multilingual Learners			
	High Confidence		Low Confidence		High Confidence		Low Confidence	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
Total	202		47		28		11	
Gender								
Female	155	77%	40	86%	20	71%	5	45%
Male	46	23%	6	13%	7	25%	6	55%
Other	1	.5%	1	2%	1	4%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity								
Caucasian American/White/European	106	52%	27	57%	4	14%	0	0%
Black/African American	68	34%	16	34%	2	7%	0	0%
Hispanic/LatinX	10	5%	1	2%	10	36%	5	45%
Asian/Asian American	6	3%	1	2%	11	39%	4	36%
Middle Eastern	2	1%	0	0%	1	4%	2	18%
Other	4	2%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%
No Response	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Year in College								
1 st	119	59%	29	62%	12	43%	7	63%
2 nd	29	14%	9	19%	9	32%	1	9%
3 rd	30	15%	5	11%	4	14%	1	9%
4 th	24	12%	4	9%	3	11%	2	18%

Table S6*Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Comparisons of English Exposure Rate among Multilingual Learners*

Group Comparison	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	<i>z</i>	<i>P-Value</i>
Multilingual Learner, High Confidence	28	.71	.25	25.36	406	-2.39	0.764
Multilingual Learner, Low Confidence	11	.71	.22	19.09	66		

Note. *N* = number of participants; *M* = mean; *SD* = Standard Deviation; *z* = z-score.