## A mechanistic quantitative systems pharmacology model platform for translational efficacy evaluation and checkpoint combination design of bispecific immuno-modulatory antibodies

Yiyang Xu<sup>1#</sup>, Siyuan Yang<sup>1#</sup>, Qi Rao<sup>1#</sup>, Yuan Gao<sup>2</sup>, Guanyue Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Dongmei Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Xinsheng Shi<sup>3</sup>, Yi Chai<sup>4\*</sup>, Chen Zhao<sup>1,5\*</sup>
1 School of Pharmacy, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 210000
2 QSPMed Technologies, Nanjing, China, 210000
3 Nanjing Sanhome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China, 221116
4 Phase I Clinical Trial Unit, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 210000
5 Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 210000

## This file includes:

**Supplemental Fig. S1.** Model-based quantitative characterization of T cell intracellular signaling. See also Fig. 2.

Supplemental Fig. S2. Additional model calibration using in vitro data. See also Fig. 3.

**Supplemental Fig. S3.** Model-based quantitative characterization of time-course drug pharmacokinetics in mice. See also Fig. 3.

**Supplemental Fig. S4.** Additional model calibration using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition – part 1 (BsAbs). See also Fig. 3.

**Supplemental Fig. S5.** Additional model calibration using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition – part 2 (mAbs). See also Fig. 3.

**Supplemental Fig. S6.** Additional model validation using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition. See also Fig. 4.

**Supplemental Fig. S7.** Antibody dosing regimen analyses and projection of combination efficacy. See also Fig. 6.

**Supplemental Fig. S8.** Model-based anti-tumor efficacy analyses of combining SHP2 inhibition with checkpoint regulation. See also Fig. 6.



**Figure S1. Model-based quantitative characterization of T cell intracellular signaling.** Upon T-cell activation, ZAP70 was rapidly phosphorylated, as shown by model simulation and experimental data in scenarios of **(A)** anti-CD3 treatment (data from Williams et al.[1] and Kästle et al.[2]), and **(B)** anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 treatment (data from Kästle et al.[2] and Rodriguez-Peña et al. [3]). **(C)** Downstream activation of AKT by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 activation (data from Kassem et al.[4]). **(D)** Downstream activation of AKT by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 and antiCD28 activation (data from Wang et al.[5]). **(E)** Downstream activation of PI3K by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 and antiCD28 activation (data from Wang et al.[5]). **(E)** Downstream activation of PI3K by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 and antiCD28 activation (data from Alcázar et al.[6]). **(F)** Downstream activation of PI3K by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 activation (data from Zhao et al.[7]). **(G)** Downstream activation of ERK by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 activation (data from Kästle et al.[2], Fujiwara et al.[8], Tewari et al.[9]). **(H)** Downstream activation of ERK by phosphorylation under anti-CD3 and antiCD28 activation (data from Rodriguez-Peña et al.[3], Wang et al.[5], Zheng et al.[10]). **(I)** Downstream activation of SHP2 under T-B cell co-culture (data from Marasco et al[11]). **(A-I)** Y axes are relative expression levels (normalized to their respective maximum values). S, simulation; D, experimental data.



**Figure S2. Additional model calibration using in vitro data.** The QSP model can quantitatively capture (**A**) the growth of tumor cells over time(data from Muik et al.[12]) and (**B**) the timedependent proliferation of T cells (data from Koenen et al.[13]). The integrated in vitro QSP model captures the dose response relationship of (**C**) anti-tumor cytotoxicity of anti-PD-L1 antibody (data from Passariello et al.[14]), (**D**) anti-tumor cytotoxicity of anti-TIGIT/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Zhong et al.[15]), as well as increase in (**E-F**) IFN- $\gamma$  release after anti-LAG3/PD-L1 bispecific antibody treatment (data from Jiang et al.[16], Kraman et al.[17]), and (**G**) IL-2 release after anti-CTLA4 antibody treatment (data from Li et al.[18]).



Figure S3. Model-based quantitative characterization of time-course drug pharmacokinetics in mice. The QSP model can quantitatively capture (A) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-MSLN/CD3 bispecific antibody in mice (data from Yoon et al.[19]), (B-C) plasma

pharmacokinetics of anti-CD20/CD3 bispecific antibody in mice (data from Ferl et al.[20]), (**D**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-CD3/CD19 bispecific antibody in mice (data from Betts et al.[21]), (**E-F**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-CTLA4 antibody in mice (data from Gan et al.[22]), (**G**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-CD3/EGFRvIII bispecific antibody in mice (data from Sun et al.[23]), (**H**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-IGF-1R antibody in mice (data from Dong et al.[24]), (**I**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-EGFRvIII antibody in mice (data from Sun et al.[23]), (**H**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-EGFRvIII antibody in mice (data from Sun et al.[23]), (**H**) plasma pharmacokinetics of anti-EGFRvIII antibody in mice (data from Sun et al.[24]), (**I**) plasma pharmacokinetics of SHP099 in mice (data from Garcia Fortanet et al.[25]). S, simulation; S\*, optimized simulation after data-specific calibration; D, experimental data.

## Tumor killing by different drugs in mice



**Figure S4.** Additional model calibration using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition – part 1 (BsAbs). In vivo antitumor activity of different antibody treatment regimens targeting immune checkpoints (and administered at different doses) as characterized by the QSP model; examples shown here include (A) anti-LAG3/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Jiang et al.[16]) (B)anti-TIGIT/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Zhong et al.[15]), (C) anti-4-1BB/PD-1 bispecific antibody (data from Qiao et al.[26]), (D-G) anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Yuwen et al.[27], Peper-Gabriel et al.[28], Muik et al.[12]), (H) anti-CTLA4/OX40 bispecific antibody (data from Kvarnhammar et al.[29]), and (I) SHP099 and anti-PD-1 antibody(data from Wang et al.[30]). S, simulation; D, experimental data.



Tumor killing by different antibody drugs in mice

**Figure S5. Additional model calibration using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition** – **part 2 (mAbs)**. In vivo antitumor activity of different antibody treatment regimens targeting immune checkpoints (and administered at different doses) as characterized by the QSP model; examples shown here include **(A-B)** anti-4-1BB and anti-PD-L1 antibodies (data from Cheng et al.[31], Yuwen et al.[27]), **(C)** anti-TIGIT and anti-PD-1 antibodies (data from Shao et al.[32]), **(D-E)** anti-LAG3 and anti-PD-1 antibodies (data from Kraman et al.[17], Lecocq et al.[33]), **(F-H)** anti-CTLA4 antibody (data from Gan et al.[22], Du et al.[34]).S, simulation; D, experimental data.



**Figure S6. Additional model validation using in vivo data on antibody-induced tumor growth inhibition.** In vivo antitumor activity of different antibody treatment regimens targeting immune checkpoints (and administered at different doses) as predicted by the QSP model; examples shown

here include (A) anti-TIGIT/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Xiao et al.[35]), (B) anti-LAG3/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Jiang et al.[16]), (C-E) anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Muik et al.[12], Yuwen et al.[27]), (F) anti-CTLA4/OX40 bispecific antibody (data from Kvarnhammar et al.[36]), (G) anti-CTLA4 antibody (data from Du et al.[34]), (H) anti-LAG3 and anti-PD-1 antibodies (data from Lecocq et al.[33]), (I) anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Peper-Gabriel et al.[28]), (J) anti-4-1BB and anti-PD-L1 antibodies (data from Cheng et al.[31]), (K) anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 bispecific antibody (data from Yuwen et al.[27]). S, simulation; D, experimental data.



**Figure S7. Antibody dosing regimen analyses and projection of combination efficacy. (A)** Predicted TGI in the virtual mouse population after anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 BsAb treatment at doses of 3.25~26 mg/kg, and **(B)** distribution of TGI response depth (percentages of mice with TGI>=40%, 20%<TGI<40%, and TGI<=20%) at different doses. **(C)** Predicted TGI in response to anti-4-1BB/PD-L1 BsAb treatment at 7 mg/kg biw (twice per week) and 14 mg/kg qw (once per week)

dosing regimens. (D) Predicted TGI in the virtual mouse population after anti-CTLA4/PD-1 BsAb treatment at doses of 2.5~20 mg/kg, and (E) distribution of TGI response depth at different doses. (F) Predicted TGI in response to anti-CTLA4/PD-1 BsAb treatment at 10 mg/kg biw and 20 mg/kg qw regimens. (G) Predicted TGI in the virtual mouse population after anti-CTLA4/OX40 BsAb treatment at doses of 1.5~12 mg/kg, and (H) distribution of TGI response depth at different doses. (I) Predicted TGI in response to anti-CTLA4/OX40 BsAb treatment at 6 mg/kg biw and 12 mg/kg qw regimens. (J) Predicted population-level TGI heatmap for the combination of anti-PD-1 and anit-OX40 antibodies. nsP > 0.05,\*P < 0.05, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001. Statistical analyses were performed using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.



Figure S8. Model-based anti-tumor efficacy analyses of combining SHP2 inhibition with checkpoint regulation. (A) Predicted population-level TGI heatmap for the combination of anti-PD-1 and SHP099 (SHP2 inhibitor). (B) Predicted TGI for SHP099 combined with antibodies targeting other immune checkpoints.

## References

- Williams, B. L. *et al.* Phosphorylation of Tyr319 in ZAP-70 is required for T-cell antigen receptor-dependent phospholipase C-γ1 and Ras activation. *The EMBO Journal* 18, 1832–1844 (1999).
- Kästle, M. *et al.* Tyrosine 192 within the SH2 domain of the Src-protein tyrosine kinase p56Lck regulates T-cell activation independently of Lck/CD45 interactions. *Cell Communication and Signaling* 18, 183 (2020).
- Rodriguez-Peña, A. B. *et al.* Enhanced T-cell activation and differentiation in lymphocytes from transgenic mice expressing ubiquitination-resistant 2KR LAT molecules. *Gene Ther* 22, 781– 792 (2015).
- Kassem, S. *et al.* A Natural Variant of the T Cell Receptor-Signaling Molecule Vav1 Reduces Both Effector T Cell Functions and Susceptibility to Neuroinflammation. *PLOS Genetics* 12, e1006185 (2016).
- 5. Wang, X. *et al.* B7-H4 Treatment of T Cells Inhibits ERK, JNK, p38, and AKT Activation. *PLOS ONE* 7, e28232 (2012).
- Alcázar, I. *et al.* p85β phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulates CD28 coreceptor function. *Blood* 113, 3198–3208 (2009).
- Zhao, Y. *et al.* Antigen-Presenting Cell-Intrinsic PD-1 Neutralizes PD-L1 in cis to Attenuate PD-1 Signaling in T Cells. *Cell Rep* 24, 379-390.e6 (2018).
- Fujiwara, Y. et al. The GPR171 pathway suppresses T cell activation and limits antitumor immunity. Nat Commun 12, 5857 (2021).
- 9. Tewari, R., Shayahati, B., Fan, Y. & Akimzhanov, A. M. T cell receptor-dependent S-acylation

of ZAP-70 controls activation of T cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry 296, (2021).

- 10.Zheng, Y., Fang, Y.-C. & Li, J. PD-L1 expression levels on tumor cells affect their immunosuppressive activity. *Oncology Letters* 18, 5399–5407 (2019).
- 11.Marasco, M. et al. Molecular mechanism of SHP2 activation by PD-1 stimulation. Science Advances 6, eaay4458 (2020).
- 12.Muik, A. et al. An Fc-inert PD-L1×4-1BB bispecific antibody mediates potent anti-tumor immunity in mice by combining checkpoint inhibition and conditional 4-1BB co-stimulation. Oncoimmunology 11, 2030135 (2022).
- 13.Koenen, P. *et al.* Mutually exclusive regulation of T cell survival by IL-7R and antigen receptorinduced signals. *Nat Commun* **4**, 1735 (2013).
- 14.Passariello, M. *et al.* Novel Human Anti-PD-L1 mAbs Inhibit Immune-Independent Tumor Cell Growth and PD-L1 Associated Intracellular Signalling. *Sci Rep* **9**, 13125 (2019).
- 15.Zhong, Z. *et al.* Development of a bispecific antibody targeting PD-L1 and TIGIT with optimal cytotoxicity. *Sci Rep* **12**, 18011 (2022).
- 16.Jiang, H. et al. PD-L1/LAG-3 bispecific antibody enhances tumor-specific immunity. Oncoimmunology 10, 1943180 (2021).
- 17.Kraman, M. *et al.* FS118, a Bispecific Antibody Targeting LAG-3 and PD-L1, Enhances T-Cell Activation Resulting in Potent Antitumor Activity. *Clin Cancer Res* **26**, 3333–3344 (2020).
- 18.Li, Y. *et al.* Discovery and preclinical characterization of the antagonist anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody LY3300054. *J Immunother Cancer* 6, 31 (2018).
- 19.Yoon, A. *et al.* A Novel T Cell-Engaging Bispecific Antibody for Treating Mesothelin-Positive Solid Tumors. *Biomolecules* 10, 399 (2020).

- 20.Ferl, G. Z. *et al.* A Preclinical Population Pharmacokinetic Model for Anti-CD20/CD3 T-Cell-Dependent Bispecific Antibodies. *Clinical and Translational Science* **11**, 296–304 (2018).
- 21.Betts, A. *et al.* A Translational Quantitative Systems Pharmacology Model for CD3 Bispecific Molecules: Application to Quantify T Cell-Mediated Tumor Cell Killing by P-Cadherin LP DART®. *AAPS J* 21, 66 (2019).
- 22.Gan, X. *et al.* An anti-CTLA-4 heavy chain-only antibody with enhanced Treg depletion shows excellent preclinical efficacy and safety profile. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, e2200879119 (2022).
- 23.Sun, R. et al. A Rational Designed Novel Bispecific Antibody for the Treatment of GBM. Biomedicines 9, 640 (2021).
- 24.Dong, J. *et al.* Stable IgG-like bispecific antibodies directed toward the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor demonstrate enhanced ligand blockade and anti-tumor activity. *J Biol Chem* 286, 4703–4717 (2011).
- 25.Garcia Fortanet, J. *et al.* Allosteric Inhibition of SHP2: Identification of a Potent, Selective, and Orally Efficacious Phosphatase Inhibitor. *J. Med. Chem.* **59**, 7773–7782 (2016).
- 26.Qiao, Y. *et al.* Cancer immune therapy with PD-1-dependent CD137 co-stimulation provides localized tumour killing without systemic toxicity. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6360 (2021).
- 27.Yuwen, H. *et al.* ATG-101, a tetravalent PD-L1×4-1BB bispecific antibody, augments anti-tumor immunity through PD-L1 blockade and PD-L1-directed 4-1BB activatio. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2146670/v1 (2022).
- 28.Peper-Gabriel, J. K. *et al.* The PD-L1/4-1BB Bispecific Antibody–Anticalin Fusion Protein PRS-344/S095012 Elicits Strong T-Cell Stimulation in a Tumor-Localized Manner. *Clinical Cancer*

Research 28, 3387–3399 (2022).

- 29.Kvarnhammar, A. M. *et al.* The CTLA-4 x OX40 bispecific antibody ATOR-1015 induces antitumor effects through tumor-directed immune activation. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 7, 103 (2019).
- 30.Wang, Y. *et al.* SHP2 blockade enhances anti-tumor immunity via tumor cell intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms. *Sci Rep* **11**, 1399 (2021).
- 31. Cheng, L. *et al.* A humanized 4-1BB-targeting agonistic antibody exerts potent antitumor activity in colorectal cancer without systemic toxicity. *Journal of Translational Medicine* **20**, 415 (2022).
- 32.Shao, Q. *et al.* TIGIT Induces (CD3+) T Cell Dysfunction in Colorectal Cancer by Inhibiting Glucose Metabolism. *Frontiers in Immunology* **12**, (2021).
- 33.Lecocq, Q. *et al.* Nanobody nuclear imaging allows noninvasive quantification of LAG-3 expression by tumor-infiltrating leukocytes and predicts response of immune checkpoint blockade. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* (2021) doi:10.2967/jnumed.120.258871.
- 34.Du, X. *et al.* A reappraisal of CTLA-4 checkpoint blockade in cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Res* 28, 416–432 (2018).
- 35.Xiao, Y. *et al.* Discovery of a novel anti PD-L1 X TIGIT bispecific antibody for the treatment of solid tumors. *Cancer Treat Res Commun* **29**, 100467 (2021).
- 36.Kvarnhammar, A. M. *et al.* The CTLA-4 x OX40 bispecific antibody ATOR-1015 induces antitumor effects through tumor-directed immune activation. *J Immunother Cancer* 7, 103 (2019).