Appendix 1 MMAT quality assessment tool

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category of study designs** | **Methodological quality criteria** | Study |
| Honn et al.2019 | Randazzo et al1998 | Zhang et al.2024 | Chan et al.2024 | Stager et al.2024 | Kiriş. 2022 |
| Screening questions(for all types) | S1. Are there clear research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| *Further* *appraisal* *may* *not* *befeasible* *or* *appropriate* *when* *the* *answer* *is* *‘No’* *or* *‘Can’t* *tell’* *to* *one* *or* *both* *screening* *questions.* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2.Quantitative randomized controlled trials** | 2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed? |  | √ |  | √ | √ | √ |
| 2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline? |  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 2.3. Are there complete outcome data? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Quality evaluation results | \*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category of study designs** | **Methodological quality criteria** | Study |
| O'Hagan et al.2018 | Abdelhamid et al.2020 | Grant et al.2020 | Persico et al.2018 | Maltese et al.2016 | Whitney et al.2023 |
| Screening questions(for all types) | S1. Are there clear research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| *Further* *appraisal* *may* *not* *befeasible* *or* *appropriate* *when* *the* *answer* *is* *‘No’* *or* *‘Can’t* *tell’* *to* *one* *or* *both* *screening* *questions.* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3. Quantitative nonrandomized** | 3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.3. Are there complete outcome data? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis? |  |  |  | √ |  |  |
| 3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Quality evaluation results | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* |

continued

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category of study designs** | **Methodological quality criteria** | Study |
| García et al. 2021 | Slama et al. 2018 | Ballesio et al. 2018 | Şen 2023 | Pourhassan et al2023 |
| Screening questions(for all types) | S1. Are there clear research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| *Further* *appraisal* *may* *not* *befeasible* *or* *appropriate* *when* *the* *answer* *is* *‘No’* *or* *‘Can’t* *tell’* *to* *one* *or* *both* *screening* *questions.* |  |  |  |  |
| **3. Quantitative nonrandomized** | 3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.3. Are there complete outcome data? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis? | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended? | √ | √ | √ | √ |  |
| Quality evaluation results | \*\*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\*\* | \*\*\*\* |