STROBE Statement Checklist for Cohort Studies (Final Updated Version)

Manuscript: Thyroid Autoimmunity at Onset of Type 1 Diabetes as a Predictor of Thyroid Dysfunction: a thirty-years retrospective longitudinal study

This checklist reflects the final accepted version of the manuscript, incorporating all revisions and corresponding line references.

Item No.	STROBE	Included	Line(s) in
	Recommendation	(Yes/No/NA)	Manuscript /
			Comments
1	Indicate the study's	Yes	Title lines 1–20
	design with a commonly		Abstract, 41-42
	used term in the title or		
	abstract.		
2	Explain the scientific	Yes	Introduction, lines
	background and		59-80
	rationale for the		
	investigation.		
3	State specific objectives,	Yes	Introduction, lines
	including any		76-80
	prespecified		
_	hypotheses.		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
4	Present key elements of	Yes	Methods, lines 125-
	study design early in		129
-	the paper.	W	Marka da Para 02
5	Describe the setting,	Yes	Methods, lines 83- 129
	locations, and relevant		129
	dates, including periods of recruitment and		
	follow-up.		
6	Give eligibility criteria	Yes	Methods, lines 120-
	and sources/methods of	105	140
	selection of		
	participants.		
7	Clearly define all	Yes	Methods, lines 83-
	outcomes, exposures,		94
	predictors, potential		
	confounders, and effect		
	modifiers.		
8	For each variable, give	Yes	Methods, lines 06-
	sources of data and		129
	details of assessment		
	methods.		
9	Describe any efforts to	Yes	Methods, lines 92-
	address potential		94, 120-122, 147-
	sources of bias.		149. Discussion,
			lines 279-299

10	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	Yes	Methods, lines 83- 94 (n=160 after exclusions)
11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses.	Yes	Methods, lines 134- 147
12	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding.	Yes	Methods, lines 131– 149
13	Describe methods used to examine subgroups and interactions.	Yes	Methods, lines 128- 129 (stratified by age at onset)
14	Explain how missing data were addressed.	Yes	Methods, lines 140- 141 (case-wise exclusion for missing ICA)
15	If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed.	Yes	Methods, lines 118- 122; Results, lines 175-179
16	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounderadjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% CI).	Yes	Results, lines 170– 201; Table 2
17	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of the study.	Yes	Results, lines 151- 164, 186-189
18	Give characteristics of study participants and information on exposures/confounders.	Yes	Results, Table 1,
19	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time.	Yes	Results, lines 152- 167
20	Give main results with measures of precision (e.g., 95% CI).	Yes	Results, Table 2, lines 170–201
21	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.	Yes	Discussion, lines 279-299 (Strengths and limitations)
22	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	Yes	Discussion, lines 304-312

	limitations, and other evidence.		
23	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results.	Yes	Discussion, lines 304-312
24	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders.	Yes	Funding section, Line 317