Craving behavior intervention in ameliorating college 1 students' Internet game disorder: A longitudinal study 2 3 Supplementary information 4 **Supplemental methods** 5 6 **Participants continued** 7 8 Tobacco-use characteristics were assessed using the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine 9 10 Dependence (FTND) (Fagerstrom, 1978), and nicotine-dependent individuals were excluded (i.e., individuals with an FTND score ≥ 6) (Fagerstrom et al., 1990). Alcohol 11 consumption was assessed using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test 12 (AUDIT-C) (Bush et al., 1998), and participants with AUDIT-C scores > 5 (Dawson et 13 14 al., 2005) were instructed to complete the Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (MAST) (Selzer, 1971) for further screening. Individuals with a score ≥ 6 on the 15 MAST were excluded for alcohol dependence. 16 17 Intervention 18 Forty-four of the IGD participants under intervention were divided into 5 groups 19 with 8-10 persons each group. Among them, 3 groups were intervened by a couple of 20 therapists, while the other 2 group were intervened by another couple of therapists. 21 22 23 **Supplemental results** 24 25 26 Comparison of intervention effects of two groups of therapists 27 Results from repeated measures ANOVA (see Table S1) showed no therapists by 28 assessment (pre-post-intervention/assessment) interaction for VAS, severity of IGD 29 (score of POGUS), also no significant main effects of both therapists and assessment 30 31 were found (see Table S1). These results demonstrated the homogeneity of the two groups of therapists. 32 33 34 Table S1 35 Comparisons of measured variables between the two groups of therapists at T1, T2, 36 T3 and T4. 37 38 F^{a} Variable Group **T1 T2 T3 T4** M(SD) M(SD)M(SD)M(SD)

IGD		76.46	56.54	51.50	51.82	0.60
	IGD+	(12.78)	(6.98)	(11.85)	(12.69)	
		79.07	55.36	55.43	55.93	
	IGD-	(9.68)	(11.44)	(12.59)	(10.64)	
	F^b	0.44	0.17	0.96	1.06	
VAS		3.52	2.41	2.30	2.33	0.52
	IGD+	(1.23)	(1.06)	(1.01)	(0.94)	
		2.79	3.32	3.74	3.44	
	IGD-	(1.55)	(1.38)	(1.69)	(1.54)	
	F^b	3.27	1.34	0.22	3.94	
-						

39 F^{a} : the effects of therapist-group \times time points interaction;

40 F^{b} : the simple effects of therapist-group in each time points.

41

42

43 **References**

Bush K, Kivlahan DR, McDonell MB, Fihn SD, Bradley KA (1998) The AUDIT

alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for
problem drinking. Archives of internal medicine 158:1789-1795.

40 problem drinking. Arenives of meetina medicine 156:1769-1795.
47 Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Zhou Y (2005) Effectiveness of the derived

Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) in screening for alcohol use

48 disorders and risk drinking in the US general population. Alcoholism: Clinical and

50 Experimental Research 29:844-854.

51 Fagerstrom KO (1978) Measuring degree of physical dependence to tobacco smoking

with reference to individualization of treatment. Addictive behaviors 3:235-241.

Fagerstrom KO, Heatherton TF, Kozlowski L (1990) Nicotine addiction and its
assessment. Ear Nose Throat J 69:763-765.

55 Selzer ML (1971) The Michigan alcoholism screening test: the quest for a new

56 diagnostic instrument. American Journal of Psychiatry 127:1653-1658.