

Statistical analysis

Fig. 1B: $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.01, $n = 6$, $N = 78$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.54 ± 0.10 , Q_2 0.47, $n = 4$, $N = 66$; $p = 0.0015$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 4.714$, $df = 8$), $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\tau$ ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.95; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.65 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.67; $p = 0.0118$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.247$, $df = 8$)

Fig. 1C: Ca^{2+} transients per min (Control 0.92 ± 0.13 , Q_2 0.60, IQR 1.35, $N = 72$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.55 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.30, IQR 0.9, $N = 64$; $p = 0.0337$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann Whitney U 1827)

Fig. 1D: Axon length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 262.9 ± 11.79 , Q_2 278.8, $n = 7$, $N = 283$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 316.4 ± 12.65 , Q_2 314.5, $n = 7$, $N = 298$; $p = 0.0093$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.093$, $df = 12$)

Fig. 1E: Axon length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ (A) 285.4 ± 4.26 , Q_2 284.1, $n = 3$, $N = 140$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ (B) 348.2 ± 4.04 , Q_2 345.4, $n = 3$, $N = 130$; $\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ +CTX (C) 342.6 ± 20.20 , Q_2 331.3, $n = 3$, $N = 177$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ +CTX (D) 360.3 ± 7.26 , Q_2 360, $n = 3$, $N = 155$; $p = 0.0168$ (A-B), $p = 0.0273$ (A-C), $p = 0.0062$ (A-D), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. 2A: $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.11 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 5$, $N = 130$; CNTF (C) 0.65 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.65, $n = 6$, $N = 133$; GDNF (G) 0.47 ± 0.04 , Q_2 0.47, $n = 6$, $N = 145$; p (B-C) = 0.0081, p (B-G) = 0.0002, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\text{APP}$ (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.14 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 5$, $N = 130$; CNTF (C) 0.45 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.47, $n = 6$, $N = 133$; GDNF (G) 0.49 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.50, $n = 6$, $N = 145$; p (B-C) = 0.0014, p (B-G) = 0.0028, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. 2B: Ca^{2+} transients per min (BDNF (B) 0.89 ± 0.11 , Q_2 0.6, IQR 3, $N = 75$; CNTF (C) 0.69 ± 0.13 , Q_2 0.3, IQR 3, $N = 77$; GDNF (G) 0.50 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.3, IQR 2.5, $N = 73$; p (B-C) = 0.0306, p (B-G) = 0.0078, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests)

Fig. 2C: Axon length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 356 ± 22.16 , Q_2 342, $n = 6$, $N = 275$; CNTF (C) 466.6 ± 35.41 , Q_2 465.6, $n = 6$, $N = 263$; GDNF (G) 454.8 ± 19.28 , Q_2 440.9, $n = 6$, $N = 200$; p (B-C) = 0.0256, p (B-G) = 0.0470, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. 3A: $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 9$, $N = 221$; 5' BDNF 1.93 ± 0.24 , Q_2 1.75, $n = 9$, $N = 245$; $p = 0.0014$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.839$, $df = 16$), $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\text{APP}$ (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.02 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 9$, $N = 221$; 5' BDNF 1.55 ± 0.24 , Q_2 1.36, $n = 9$, $N = 245$; $p = 0.0370$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.275$, $df = 16$)

Fig. 3B: Ca^{2+} transients per min (no pulse 0.16 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0, IQR 0, $N = 32$; 5' BDNF 0.30 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0, IQR 2, $N = 32$; $p = 0.0104$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann-Whitney U 357.5)

Fig. 3C: 5' BDNF/no pulse - $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, N (no pulse) = 88, N (5' BDNF) = 102; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.75 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.79, $n = 7$, N (no pulse) = 100, N (5' BDNF) = 106; $p = 0.0184$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.725$, $df = 12$), $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\text{SynPhys}$ ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.15; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.67 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.65, IQR 0.27; $p = 0.0072$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann-Whitney U 3.000)

Fig. 4A: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (Control 26.14 ± 1.13 , Q_2 25.4, $n = 13$, $N = 226$; CTX 19.51 ± 1.17 , Q_2 19.96, $n = 17$, $N = 274$; $p = 0.0005$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.964$, $df = 28$), β -actin (Control 1.00 ± 0.05 , Q_2 1.00; CTX 0.71 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.68; $p = 0.0037$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.170$, $df = 28$), tau (Control 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00; 30nM CTX 0.94 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.95; $p = 0.5168$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 0.6566$, $df = 28$)

Fig. 4B: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (shLUC (A) 22.02 ± 1.68 , Q_2 16.89, IQR 13.07, $N = 76$; shAct β (B) 14.85 ± 1.18 , Q_2 11.70, IQR 11.45, $N = 74$; Rescue (C) 23.54 ± 2.44 , Q_2 17.09, IQR 13.15, $N = 62$; p (AB) < 0.0001 , p (AC) > 0.9999 , p (BC) < 0.0001 , Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests), β -actin (shLUC (A) 1.00 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.90, IQR 0.92, $N = 76$; shAct β (B) 0.38 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.27, IQR 0.53, $N = 74$; Rescue (C) 0.98 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.96, IQR 0.82, $N = 62$; p (AB) < 0.0001 , p (AC) > 0.9999 , p (BC) < 0.0001 , Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests), tau (shLUC (A) 1.00 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.92, IQR 0.76, $N = 76$; shAct β (B) 1.10 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.97, IQR 0.89, $N = 74$; Rescue (C) 1.07 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.90, IQR 0.73, $N = 62$; p (AB) > 0.9999 , p (AC) > 0.9999 , p (BC) > 0.9999 , Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests)

Fig. 4C: Ca $_v$ 2.2 (shLUC (A) 1.00 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.84, IQR 0.75, $N = 54$; shAct β (B) 0.70 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.52, IQR 0.46, $N = 63$; Rescue (C) 1.04 ± 0.07 , Q_2 1.05, IQR 0.90, $N = 64$; p (AB) = 0.0053, p (AC) > 0.9999 , p (BC) = 0.0007; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests), SynPhys (shLUC (A) 1.00 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.85, IQR 0.64, $N = 54$; shAct β (B) 1.03 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.83, IQR 0.87, $N = 63$; Rescue (C) 1.24 ± 0.10 , Q_2 1.02, IQR 1.04, $N = 64$; p (AB) > 0.9999 , p (AC) = 0.5022, p (BC) = 0.2002, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests)

Fig. 5A: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (*trkBTK* $^{+/+}$ 34.23 ± 2.77 , Q_2 29.45, $n = 20$, $N = 308$; *trkBTK* $^{-/-}$ 21.22 ± 1.41 , Q_2 22.81, $n = 19$, $N = 341$; $p = 0.0002$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 4.119$, $df = 37$), β -actin/tau (*trkBTK* $^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.10 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 8$, $N = 154$; *trkBTK* $^{-/-}$ 0.55 ± 0.12 , Q_2 0.45, $n = 8$, $N = 167$; $p = 0.0140$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.805$, $df = 14$), F-actin/tau (*trkBTK* $^{+/+}$ 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 0.99, IQR 0.15, $n = 19$, $N = 254$; *trkBTK* $^{-/-}$ 0.76 ± 0.11 , Q_2 0.64, IQR 0.44, $n = 18$, $N = 298$; $p = 0.0005$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann Whitney U 60)

Fig. 5B: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (BDNF (B) 31.59 ± 1.75 , Q_2 31.05, $n = 18$, $N = 385$; CNTF (C) 23.01 ± 2.12 , Q_2 20.73, $n = 17$, $N = 425$; GDNF (G) 21.79 ± 1.69 , Q_2 18.27, $n = 17$, $N = 435$; p (B-C) = 0.0054, p (B-G) = 0.0014, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), tau (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 15$, $N = 323$; CNTF (C) 1.13 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.08, $n = 13$, $N = 336$; GDNF (G) 1.05 ± 0.08 , Q_2 1.07, $n = 13$, $N = 334$; p (B-C) = 0.4044, p (B-G) = 0.8411, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), β -actin (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.03, $n = 11$, $N = 267$; CNTF (C) 0.85 ± 0.10 , Q_2 0.83, IQR 0.85, $n = 9$, $N = 274$; GDNF (G) 0.73 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.70, IQR 0.73, $n = 9$, $N = 274$; p (B-C) = 0.5874, p (B-G) = 0.0163, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test), F-actin (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.01, $n = 14$, $N = 276$; CNTF (C) 0.76 ± 0.10 , Q_2 0.79, IQR 0.33, $n = 13$, $N = 308$; GDNF (G) 0.54 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.49, IQR 0.37, $n = 13$, $N = 314$; p (B-C) = 0.0112, p (B-G) < 0.0001 , Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test)

Fig. 6A: Axon length in [μm] (*trkBTK* $^{+/+}$ 468.8 ± 39.31 , Q_2 454.9, $n = 9$, $N = 615$; *trkBTK* $^{-/-}$ 357.2 ± 29.33 , Q_2 363, $n = 8$, $N = 579$; $p = 0.0417$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.227$, $df = 15$)

Fig. 6C: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 27.51 ± 4.58 , Q_2 25.06, $n = 7$, $N = 113$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 16.55 ± 1.26 , Q_2 17.12, $n = 11$, $N = 178$; $p = 0.0132$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.788$, $df = 16$), β -actin (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.99, $n = 7$, $N = 113$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 1.11 ± 0.07 , Q_2 1.15, $n = 11$, $N = 178$; $p = 0.2696$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 1.144$, $df = 16$), F-actin (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 113$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 0.81 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.80, $n = 11$, $N = 178$; $p = 0.0819$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 1.856$, $df = 16$), tau (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 113$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 1.40 ± 0.19 , Q_2 1.25, $n = 11$, $N = 178$; $p = 0.1287$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 1.602$, $df = 16$)

Fig. 6D: Axon length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 425.3 ± 14.93 , Q_2 423.3, $n = 5$, $N = 256$; CNTF (C) 439.0 ± 18.37 , Q_2 439.9, $n = 6$, $N = 258$; GDNF (G) 388.6 ± 24.47 , Q_2 412.1, $n = 5$, $N = 273$; p (B-C) = 0.8710, p (B-G) = 0.4295, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. 6F: Growth cone size in [μm^2] (BDNF (B) 32.83 ± 4.93 , Q_2 29.04, $n = 6$, $N = 165$; CNTF (C) 33.01 ± 4.41 , Q_2 30.96, $n = 6$, $N = 175$; GDNF (G) 37.85 ± 4.72 , Q_2 38.52, $n = 6$, $N = 172$; p (B-C) = 0.9996, p (B-G) = 0.7352, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), F-actin (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.02 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.04, $n = 6$, $N = 165$; CNTF (C) 1.14 ± 0.22 , Q_2 0.95, IQR 0.97, $n = 6$, $N = 175$; GDNF (G) 0.99 ± 0.28 , Q_2 0.79, IQR 1.02, $n = 6$, $N = 172$; p (B-C) > 0.9999, p (B-G) > 0.9999, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test), tau (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.05, $n = 6$, $N = 165$; CNTF (C) 0.92 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.93, IQR 0.34, $n = 6$, $N = 175$; GDNF (G) 0.82 ± 0.11 , Q_2 0.82, IQR 0.43, $n = 6$, $N = 172$; p (B-C) > 0.9999, p (B-G) = 0.3449, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test), F-actin/tau (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.08 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.15, $n = 6$, $N = 165$; CNTF (C) 1.25 ± 0.16 , Q_2 1.05, IQR 0.52, $n = 6$, $N = 175$; GDNF (G) 1.12 ± 0.24 , Q_2 1.14, IQR 1.13, $n = 6$, $N = 172$; p (B-C) = 0.2459, p (B-G) = 0.6953, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test)

Fig. 7A: pTrk/SynPhys (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.08 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 10$, $N = 136$; 5' BDNF 1.72 ± 0.25 , Q_2 1.63, $n = 10$, $N = 137$; $p = 0.0154$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.677$, $df = 18$)

Fig. 7B: pCof/Cofilin (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 11$, $N = 174$; 5' BDNF 1.73 ± 0.17 , Q_2 1.69, $n = 11$, $N = 176$; $p = 0.0003$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 4.303$, $df = 20$), pCof/SynPhys (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 11$, $N = 174$; 5' BDNF 1.68 ± 0.15 , Q_2 1.70, $n = 11$, $N = 176$; $p = 0.0003$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 4.424$, $df = 20$)

Fig. 7C: pProf/SynPhys (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.09, $n = 13$, $N = 200$; 5' BDNF 1.59 ± 0.12 , Q_2 1.67, IQR 0.57, $n = 14$, $N = 226$; $p = 0.0012$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann-Whitney U 24)

Fig. 7D: β -actin (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.07 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 194$; 5' BDNF 1.00 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.98, $n = 9$, $N = 236$; $p = 0.9861$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 0.0178$, $df = 14$), F-actin (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 194$; 5' BDNF 1.49 ± 0.13 , Q_2 1.48, $n = 9$, $N = 236$; $p = 0.0088$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.040$, $df = 14$), tau (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.05 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 194$; 5' BDNF 1.06 ± 0.05 , Q_2 1.08, $n = 9$, $N = 236$; $p = 0.4391$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 0.7965$, $df = 14$)

Fig. 7E: Velocity in $\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ (no pulse 0.25 ± 0.02 , Q_2 0.27, IQR 0.20, $N = 49$; 5' BDNF 0.18 ± 0.02 , Q_2 0.14, IQR 0.16, $N = 44$; $p = 0.0044$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann-Whitney U 707)

Fig. S1A: Ca^{2+} transients per min (Control 0.45 ± 0.09 , Q_2 0.30, IQR 0.6, $N = 85$; CTX 0.24 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.00, IQR 0.3, $N = 86$; $p = 0.0063$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann Whitney U 2873)

Fig. S1C: $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\text{SynPhys}$ (Control_Laminin-221/211 1.58 ± 0.49 , Q_2 1.18, $n = 3$, $N = 32$; Control_Laminin-111 1.02 ± 0.16 , Q_2 0.91, $n = 3$, $N = 38$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ _Laminin-221/211 0.86 ± 0.12 , Q_2 0.86, $n = 3$, $N = 38$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ _Laminin-111 1.07 ± 0.12 , Q_2 1.09, $n = 3$, $N = 36$), $\text{Ca}_v2.2/\text{SynPhys}$ – Laminin-221/211 vs Laminin-111 (Control 1.48 ± 0.21 , Q_2 1.30, $n = 3$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 0.83 ± 0.16 , Q_2 0.98, $n = 3$)

Fig. S1D: Protrusion vs core (trkB 1.40 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.28, IQR 0.81; c-ret 1.08 ± 0.07 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.61; $N = 72$, $p = 0.0021$, Mann Whitney test (two-tailed), Mann Whitney U 1820)

Fig. S2A: Soma size in [μm^2] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 216.9 ± 10.13 , Q_2 226.4, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 203.6 ± 6.815 , Q_2 201.6, $n = 5$, $N = 72$; $p = 0.3445$, unpaired t-test (two tailed), $t = 0.9921$, $df = 10$), number of dendrites per soma ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 4.93 ± 0.25 , Q_2 5, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 4.40 ± 0.24 , Q_2 4, $n = 5$, $N = 72$; $p = 0.1796$, unpaired t-test (two tailed), $t = 1.443$, $df = 10$), mean dendrite length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 25.13 ± 1.31 , Q_2 23.9, $n = 7$, $N = 640$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 28.67 ± 2.11 , Q_2 28.29, $n = 5$, $N = 328$; $p = 0.1625$, unpaired t-test (two tailed), $t = 1.508$, $df = 10$), total dendrite length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 133 ± 9.14 , Q_2 124.1, IQR 48.1, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 137.1 ± 18.52 , Q_2 123.1, IQR 54.4, $n = 5$, $N = 72$; $p = 1.0000$, Mann Whitney (two tailed), Mann Whitney U 17)

Fig. S2C: Soma size in [μm^2] (BDNF (B) 205.8 ± 13.37 , Q_2 195.3, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; CNTF (C) 207.4 ± 15.69 , Q_2 207.2, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; GDNF (G) 187.9 ± 7.59 , Q_2 193.9, $n = 6$, $N = 96$; p (B-C) = 0.9962, p (B-G) = 0.6233, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), number of dendrites per soma (BDNF (B) 4.42 ± 0.20 , Q_2 4.25, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; CNTF (C) 4.42 ± 0.20 , Q_2 4.25, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; GDNF (G) 3.92 ± 0.20 , Q_2 4, $n = 6$, $N = 96$; p (B-C) > 0.9999, p (B-G) = 0.2159, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), mean dendrite length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 27.81 ± 0.70 , Q_2 28.28, $n = 6$, $N = 488$; CNTF (C) 28.49 ± 1.21 , Q_2 27.8, $n = 6$, $N = 457$; GDNF (G) 31.03 ± 1.36 , Q_2 30, $n = 6$, $N = 391$; p (B-C) = 0.9050, p (B-G) = 0.1407, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), total dendrite length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 138.2 ± 7.92 , Q_2 134.5, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; CNTF (C) 131.5 ± 8.41 , Q_2 127.1, $n = 6$, $N = 106$; GDNF (G) 133.6 ± 7.00 , Q_2 137.4, $n = 6$, $N = 96$; p (B-C) = 0.8194, p (B-G) = 0.6233, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. S2D: Cell survival in % (BDNF (B) 51.91 ± 2.87 , Q_2 53.19, $n = 12$; CNTF (C) 50.74 ± 2.46 , Q_2 49.89, $n = 12$; GDNF (G) 50.38 ± 2.44 , Q_2 50.37, $n = 12$; no factor (NF) 24.35 ± 2.00 , Q_2 21.48, $n = 12$; p (B-C) = 0.9864, p (B-G) = 0.9712, p (B-NF) < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. S2E: Soma size in [μm^2] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 195.2 ± 14.97 , Q_2 181, $n = 7$, $N = 143$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 198.0 ± 13.46 , Q_2 199.1, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $p = 0.8950$, unpaired t-test (two tailed), $t = 0.1348$, $df = 12$), number of dendrites per soma ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 4.29 ± 0.18 , Q_2 4, IQR 1, $n = 7$, $N = 143$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 4.00 ± 0.29 , Q_2 4, IQR 1.5, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $p = 0.3328$, Mann Whitney (two tailed), Mann Whitney U 17), mean dendrite length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 26.82 ± 1.42 , Q_2 26.09, $n = 7$, $N = 661$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 29.82 ± 1.84 , Q_2 30.53, $n = 7$, $N = 560$; $p = 0.2219$, unpaired t-test (two tailed), $t = 1.288$, $df = 12$), total dendrite length in [μm] ($\text{trkBTK}^{+/+}$ 128.5 ± 3.91 , Q_2 133.1, $n = 7$, $N = 143$; $\text{trkBTK}^{-/-}$ 137.8 ± 5.26 , Q_2 143, $n = 7$, $N = 124$; $p = 0.1835$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 1.411$, $df = 12$)

Fig. S2F: Soma size in [μm^2] (BDNF (B) 185.3 ± 12.64 , Q_2 181.4, $n = 5$, $N = 99$; CNTF (C) 187.3 ± 9.87 , Q_2 195.1, $n = 6$, $N = 124$; GDNF (G) 198.8 ± 10.12 , Q_2 201.1, $n = 5$, $N = 124$; p (B-C) = 0.9904, p (B-G) = 0.6784, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), number of dendrites per soma (BDNF (B) 4.40 ± 0.24 , Q_2 4.00, IQR 1.00, $n = 5$, $N = 99$; CNTF (C) 4.33 ± 0.31 , Q_2 4.50, IQR 1.25, $n = 6$, $N = 124$; GDNF (G) 4.40 ± 0.24 , Q_2 4.00, IQR 1.00, $n = 5$, $N = 124$; p (B-C) > 0.9999, p (B-G) > 0.9999, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test), mean dendrite length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 26.58 ± 1.34 , Q_2 25.61, $n = 5$, $N = 447$; CNTF (C) 27.30 ± 0.75 , Q_2 26.9, $n = 6$, $N = 583$; GDNF (G) 27.74 ± 1.43 , Q_2 27.04, $n = 5$, $N = 517$; p (B-C) = 0.8965, p (B-G) = 0.7771, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), total dendrite length in [μm] (BDNF (B) 124.4 ± 7.66 , Q_2 127.7, $n = 5$, $N = 99$; CNTF (C) 129.4 ± 6.74 , Q_2 130.3, $n = 6$, $N = 124$; GDNF (G) 133.9 ± 11.54 , Q_2 125.5, $n = 5$, $N = 124$; p (B-C) = 0.9103, p (B-G) = 0.7396, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. S3A: $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ – 5' BDNF vs no pulse (Control 1.52 ± 0.19 , Q_2 1.38, $n = 5$, N (no pulse) = 129, N (5' BDNF) = 140; Acetone 0.90 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.92, $n = 5$, N (no pulse) = 140, N (5' BDNF) = 145; p (Control) = 0.0491, $t = 2.793$, $df = 4$; p (Acetone) = 0.3055, $t = 1.174$, $df = 4$; one sample t-test with theoretical mean set as 1)

Fig. S3B: F-actin – 5' BDNF vs no pulse (Control 1.24 ± 0.02 , Q_2 1.24, $n = 3$, N (no pulse) = 118, N (5' BDNF) = 116; Acetone 1.22 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.20, $n = 3$, N (no pulse) = 119, N (5' BDNF) = 91; p (Control) = 0.0072, $t = 11.73$, $df = 2$; p (Acetone) = 0.0241, $t = 6.329$, $df = 2$; one sample t-test with theoretical mean set as 1)

Fig. S3D: PP1 – $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ (Control_no pulse (A) 1.00 ± 0.02 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 5$, $N = 136$; Control_5' BDNF (B) 1.76 ± 0.28 , Q_2 1.51, $n = 6$, $N = 169$; PP1_no pulse (C) 1.07 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.07, $n = 4$, $N = 116$; PP1_5' BDNF (D) 1.01 ± 0.18 , Q_2 1.07, $n = 5$, $N = 130$; p (AB) = 0.0496, p (BD) = 0.0538, p (CD) = 0.9970, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), PP1 – APP (Control_no pulse (A) 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1, $n = 5$, $N = 136$; Control_5' BDNF (B) 1.33 ± 0.18 , Q_2 1.18, $n = 6$, $N = 169$; PP1_no pulse (C) 1.05 ± 0.14 , Q_2 1.06, $n = 4$, $N = 116$; PP1_5' BDNF (D) 1.22 ± 0.24 , Q_2 1.34, $n = 5$, $N = 130$; p (AB) = 0.5279, p (BD) = 0.9720, p (CD) = 0.9046, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), CytD – $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ (Control_no pulse (A) 1.00 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 166$; Control_5' BDNF (B) 1.69 ± 0.31 , Q_2 1.43, $n = 6$, $N = 151$; CytD_no pulse (C) 1.24 ± 0.30 , Q_2 0.98, $n = 3$, $N = 85$; CytD_5' BDNF (D) 0.93 ± 0.26 , Q_2 0.95, $n = 5$, $N = 114$; p (AB) = 0.1266, p (BD) = 0.1182, p (CD) = 0.8441, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), CytD – APP (Control_no pulse (A) 1.00 ± 0.04 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 166$; Control_5' BDNF (B) 1.11 ± 0.09 , Q_2 1.04, $n = 6$, $N = 151$; CytD_no pulse (C) 0.84 ± 0.22 , Q_2 1.05, $n = 3$, $N = 85$; CytD_5' BDNF (D) 1.20 ± 0.21 , Q_2 0.95, $n = 5$, $N = 114$; p (AB) = 0.9186, p (BD) = 0.9477, p (CD) = 0.3769, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison; the BDNF pulse *per se* resulted in increased $\text{Ca}_v2.2$ immunoreactivity under control conditions, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $p = 0.0353$, $t = 2.400$, $df = 11$)

Fig. S4A: actin mRNA (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.05 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 10$, $N = 225$; 5' BDNF 1.72 ± 0.19 , Q_2 1.56, $n = 10$, $N = 233$; $p = 0.0021$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 3.583$, $df = 18$)

Fig. S4B: actin mRNA (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 190$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 0.62 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.69, $n = 9$, $N = 229$; $p = 0.0006$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 4.429$, $df = 14$)

Fig. S4C: actin mRNA (BDNF (B) 1.00 ± 0.08 , Q_2 0.97, $n = 8$, $N = 186$; CNTF (C) 0.62 ± 0.06 , Q_2 0.63, $n = 5$, $N = 148$; GDNF (G) 0.63 ± 0.10 , Q_2 0.72, $n = 6$, $N = 211$; p (B-C) = 0.0175, p (B-G) = 0.0147, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. S4D: Glu-Tub (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.11 , Q_2 0.94, $n = 7$, $N = 91$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 1.09 ± 0.18 , Q_2 1.01, $n = 4$, $N = 66$; $p = 0.6634$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 0.4500$, $df = 9$), YL1/2-Tub (*trkBTK*^{+/+} 1.00 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.97, $n = 7$, $N = 91$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 1.03 ± 0.10 , Q_2 1.06, $n = 4$, $N = 66$; $p = 0.8251$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 0.2275$, $df = 9$)

Fig. S4E: Glu-Tub (BDNF (B) 1.00, Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 198$; CNTF (C) 0.93 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.92, $n = 7$, $N = 186$; GDNF (G) 0.98 ± 0.04 , Q_2 0.93, $n = 7$, $N = 180$; p (B-C) = 0.3290, p (B-G) = 0.8892, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison), YL1/2-Tub (BDNF (B) 1.00, Q_2 1.00, $n = 7$, $N = 198$; CNTF (C) 0.98 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.96, $n = 7$, $N = 186$; GDNF (G) 1.05 ± 0.02 , Q_2 1.05, $n = 7$, $N = 180$; p (B-C) = 0.9476, p (B-G) = 0.5673, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison)

Fig. S4F: F-actin/tau (Control_Laminin-221/211 2.05 ± 1.08 , Q_2 1.59, $n = 3$, $N = 20$; Control_Laminin-111 0.54 ± 0.04 , Q_2 0.55, $n = 3$, $N = 25$; *trkBTK*^{-/-}_Laminin-221/211 0.74 ± 0.18 , Q_2 0.75, $n = 3$, $N = 26$; *trkBTK*^{-/-}_Laminin-111 0.66 ± 0.13 , Q_2 0.60, $n = 3$, $N = 25$), F-actin/tau – Laminin-221/211 vs Laminin-111 (Control 3.57 ± 1.74 , Q_2 2.87, $n = 3$; *trkBTK*^{-/-} 1.30 ± 0.50 , Q_2 1.25, $n = 3$)

Fig. S5A: trkB – immunoreactivity (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.01 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 9$, $N = 165$; 5' BDNF 1.26 ± 0.12 , Q_2 1.33, $n = 9$, $N = 139$; $p = 0.0474$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.148$, $df = 16$), trkB – protrusion vs core (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.03 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 9$, $N = 165$; 5' BDNF 1.31 ± 0.11 , Q_2 1.29, $n = 9$, $N = 139$; $p = 0.0117$, unpaired t-test (two-tailed), $t = 2.843$, $df = 16$)

Fig. S5B: trkB/APP (non-transduced (A) 1.08 ± 0.06 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.28, $N = 49$; GFP control (B) 1.15 ± 0.12 , Q_2 1.00, IQR 0.82, $N = 29$; shtrkB (C) 0.45 ± 0.04 , Q_2 0.40, IQR 0.31, $N = 37$; p (AB) > 0.9999, p (AC) < 0.0001, p (BC) < 0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests)

Fig. S5C: pTrk/TrkB (no pulse 0.16 ± 0.07 , Q_2 0.15, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 1.11 ± 0.11 , Q_2 1.21, $n = 3$), pAKT/AKT (no pulse 0.60 ± 0.12 , Q_2 0.71, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 1.26 ± 0.12 , Q_2 1.20, $n = 3$), pMAPK/MAPK (no pulse 0.58 ± 0.13 , Q_2 0.63, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 1.27 ± 0.25 , Q_2 1.17, $n = 3$), pLIMK1+2/LIMK1 (no pulse 0.53 ± 0.17 , Q_2 0.42, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 1.31 ± 0.58 , Q_2 1.11, $n = 3$), pCof/AKT (no pulse 0.19 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.22, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 0.36 ± 0.10 , Q_2 0.27, $n = 3$)

Fig. S5D: F/G Ratio β -actin (no pulse 1.13 ± 0.10 , Q_2 1.07, $n = 3$; 5' BDNF 2.17 ± 0.35 , Q_2 2.00, $n = 3$)

Fig. S5E: pTrk/SynPhys (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.16 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 3$, $N = 56$; 5' BDNF 1.34 ± 0.17 , Q_2 1.27, $n = 4$, $N = 68$)

Fig. S5F: β -actin/tau (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.10 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 3$, $N = 48$; 5' BDNF 1.56 ± 0.25 , Q_2 1.34, $n = 4$, $N = 68$), F-actin/tau (no pulse 1.00 ± 0.15 , Q_2 1.00, $n = 3$, $N = 48$; 5' BDNF 0.94 ± 0.05 , Q_2 0.94, $n = 4$, $N = 68$)