

Dynamic Statistical Models for Pyroclastic Density Current Generation at Soufrière Hills Volcano

Robert L. Wolpert, Elaine T. Spiller, & Eliza S. Calder

Appendix: Computations & Algorithms

The **data** we observe include three quantities for each of the N pyroclastic density currents during the period of time $[0, T]$:

- $\{V_j\}$ Flow **Volumes** (m^3), $1 \leq j \leq N$;
- $\{\phi_j\}$ Initial **Directions** (deg), $1 \leq j \leq N$;
- $\{\tau_j\}$ Flow **Times** (yr), $1 \leq j \leq N$.

Volumes for some PDCs are interval censored, so the data set includes only an interval $[V_j^{\min}, V_j^{\max}]$ containing V_j . Directions for all PDCs are known only up to their drainage $\Phi_j \subset S^1$, with $\phi_j \in \Phi_j$.

Hyperparameters

The hyperparameters we specify include:

ϵ	Minimum flow for model inclusion	$1.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{ m}^3$
Ω	Minimum flow for new dome direction	$6.0 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}^3$
a_{lo}	Shape parameter for $\{\lambda_{\text{lo}}\}$ dist'n	1.8
a_{hi}	Shape parameter for $\{\lambda_{\text{hi}}\}$ dist'n	9.1
b	Rate parameter for $\{\lambda_{\text{lo}}, \lambda_{\text{hi}}\}$ dist'n	$(0.5/365) \text{ yr}$
r	Repulsion parameter for $\{\lambda_{\text{lo}}, \lambda_{\text{hi}}\}$ dist'n	2.0
α_{lo}	Shape parameter for $\{(s_m - t_{m-1})\}$ dist'n	1.7
α_{hi}	Shape parameter for $\{(t_m - s_m)\}$ dist'n	1.4
β	Rate parameter for $\{\vec{s}, \vec{t}\}$ dist'n	0.57 yr^{-1}
κ_μ	Concentration parameter for new $\{\mu_e\}$	0.67
κ_ϕ	Concentration parameter for new $\{\phi_j\}$	1.00
T	End of data time period $[0, T]$	10.0 yr
T'	End of forecast time period $[T, T']$	12.6 yr
M	Number of high/lo periods	6

Note M must be large enough to ensure $t_M > T'$ with high probability; select $M \gg \frac{\beta T'}{\alpha_{\text{lo}} + \alpha_{\text{hi}}}$ to ensure this.

Parameters

The parameters sampled within an MCMC loop include:

α	–	Pareto shape parameter for $\{V_j\}$ distribution
$\{\mu_e\}$	deg	Central directions during $(T_e, T_{e+1}]$
$\{\lambda_{lo}, \lambda_{hi}\}$	yr ⁻¹	Event rates (or their logistics $\{\eta_1 := \log(\lambda_{lo} + \lambda_{hi}), \eta_2 := \frac{1}{2} \log(\lambda_{hi}/\lambda_{lo})\}$)
$\{s_m, t_m\}$	yr	Starts, ends of high-activity episodes.

Note that each new draw of $\{s_m, t_m\}$ will change the values of $\{N_{lo}, N_{hi}\}$ and $\{T_{lo}, T_{hi}\}$ (see Eqns (2, 3)), and hence the likelihood function.

Mathematical Spaces

Standard notation for some mathematical spaces used in this work include:

\mathbb{R}	$(-\infty, \infty)$	Real numbers
\mathbb{R}_+	$(0, \infty)$	Positive real numbers
\mathbb{N}	$\{1, 2, \dots\}$	Natural numbers
S^1	$(-180^\circ, 180^\circ]$	Unit circle (here in degrees counter-clockwise from East)

Data-dependent Derived Quantities

J_0	Indices of PDCs with uncensored volumes V_j
J_1	Indices of PDCs with interval censored volumes $V_j \in [V_j^{\min}, V_j^{\max}]$
J	Indices of all PDCs ($J_0 \cup J_1$)
T_{hi}	Total time in study period at high PDC rate λ_{hi}
T_{lo}	Total time in study period at low PDC rate λ_{lo} ($= T - T_{hi}$)
N_{hi}	Total number of PDCs observed at high PDC rate λ_{hi}
N_{lo}	Total number of PDCs observed at low PDC rate λ_{lo} ($= N - N_{hi}$)

Probability Distributions

Probability distributions used in this analysis include:

Poisson	Po(λ)	$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}$ Mean = λ , Variance = λ	$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
Gamma	Ga(α, β)	$f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$ Mean = α/β , Variance = α/β^2	$0 \leq x < \infty$
Normal	No(μ, σ^2)	$f(x) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$ Mean = μ , Variance = σ^2	$-\infty < x < \infty$
Pareto	Pa(α, ϵ)	$f(x) = \alpha\epsilon^\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}$ Mean = $\begin{cases} \epsilon/(\alpha-1) & \alpha > 1 \\ \infty & \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$	$\epsilon \leq x < \infty$
Uniform	Un(a, b)	$f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x)$ Mean = $\frac{a+b}{2}$, Variance = $\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$	$a \leq x \leq b$
von Mises	vM(μ, κ)	$f(x) = (360I_0(\kappa))^{-1} e^{\kappa \cos(x-\mu)}$	$-180^\circ < x \leq 180^\circ$

Likelihood Function

This model can be described either as a marked inhomogeneous Poisson process with event times τ_j and marks (V_j, ϕ_j) , or as an inhomogeneous Poisson random field with observed points $\{(V_j, \phi_j, \tau_j) : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ on the three dimensional space $[\epsilon, \infty) \times S^1 \times [0, T]$. From either perspective the likelihood function is given by:

$$L = \left\{ \prod_{j \in J_0 \cup J_1} (V_j/\epsilon) \right\}^{-\alpha} (\alpha/\epsilon)^{|J_0|} \prod_{j \in J_1} [1 - (V_j^{\min}/V_j^{\max})^\alpha] \times \prod_{j=1}^N \left\{ \int_{\Phi_j} f_{\text{vM}}(\phi_j | \mu_{e_j}, \kappa_\phi) d\phi_j \lambda(\tau_j) \right\} \exp\left(-\int_0^T \lambda(t) dt\right) \quad (11)$$

This expression includes two specified hyperparameters ($\epsilon > 0$ and $\kappa_\phi > 0$), and two features that need more discussion: the the epoch-specific central directions μ_{e_j} and time-varying rate $\lambda(t)$, each a piecewise-constant latent dynamic process.

Central directions $\{\mu_e\}$

The probability distribution for PDC initial directions $\{\phi_j\} \sim \text{vM}(\mu_e, \kappa_\phi)$ is constant (in this model) during “epochs” $\tau_j \in (T_e, T_{e+1}]$ between successive PDCs that are sufficiently large (say, that exceed a specified threshold volume $V > \Omega$) to collapse the volcano dome. We describe such PDCs as “major”. Such a dome collapse will lead to new dome morphology and so to a new central direction μ_e for subsequent flows. In (11) “ e_j ” denotes the index e for the epoch $(T_e, T_{e+1}]$ that contains the time τ_j of the j th PDC, so μ_{e_j} is the central flow

direction at the time of that PDC. Thus we set $T_0 = 0$ and, for $e \geq 1$,

$$T_e := \min\{\tau_j > T_{e-1} : V_j > \Omega\} \quad e_j := \max\{e : T_e < \tau_j\}$$

The $\{T_e\}$ in $(0, T]$ are observed in the dataset, but those in our forecast simulation of events in the period $(T, T']$ subsequent to T (beyond our data) will depend on the random sample $\{(V_j, \phi_j, \tau_j) \mid \tau_j > T\}$ for which $V_j > \Omega$. These must be recomputed each time we resample the forecast future PDCs.

Rate function $\lambda(\tau)$

We model the rate of PDCs of volume $V \geq \epsilon$ as a function $\lambda(\tau)$ of time τ that takes just two values: a low one λ_{lo} and a high one λ_{hi} , with transitions from low to high at uncertain times $\{s_m\}$ and subsequently from high to low at times $\{t_m\}$. Thus with $0 = t_0 < s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < \dots < t_M$ with $M \gg T\beta/(\alpha_{\text{lo}} + \alpha_{\text{hi}})$ chosen sufficiently large that $t_M \gg T$ with high probability, the rate (in PDC/yr) at time τ is

$$\lambda(\tau) = \lambda_{\text{lo}} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{(t_{m-1}, s_m]}(\tau) + \lambda_{\text{hi}} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{(s_m, t_m]}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\text{lo}} & \text{if } t_{m-1} < \tau \leq s_m, \\ \lambda_{\text{hi}} & \text{if } s_m < \tau \leq t_m, \end{cases}$$

illustrated in Figure (5).

Denote the total time and event counts in the high and low activity periods during $[0, T]$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{hi}} &:= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[(t_m \wedge T) - (s_m \wedge T) \right] & T_{\text{lo}} &:= T - T_{\text{hi}} = \sum_{m=1}^M \left[(s_m \wedge T) - (t_{m-1} \wedge T) \right] \\ N_{\text{hi}} &= \sum_{m=1, j=1}^{M, N} \mathbf{1}_{(s_m, t_m]}(\tau_j) & N_{\text{lo}} &:= N - N_{\text{hi}} = \sum_{m=1, j=1}^{M, N} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{m-1}, s_m]}(\tau_j). \end{aligned}$$

In the computations below we will treat N_{hi} (and hence $N_{\text{lo}} \equiv N - N_{\text{hi}}$) as known, and so must include its (binomial) conditional pmf, given α , $\{\mu_e\}$, and $\{\lambda(\cdot)\}$, in the likelihood.

Log likelihood

The logarithm $\ell := \log L$ of the likelihood for the augmented data can now be written as:

$$\ell = |J_0| \log \alpha + \sum_{j \in J_1} \log \left[1 - (V_j^{\min}/V_j^{\max})^\alpha \right] - \alpha \sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_1} \log(V_j^{\min}/\epsilon) \quad (\text{from } \{V_j\}) \quad (12a)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^N \log \left\{ \int_{\Phi_j} f_{\text{VM}}(\phi_j \mid \mu_{e_j}, \kappa_\phi) d\phi_j \right\} \quad (\text{from } \{\phi_j\}) \quad (12b)$$

$$+ N_{\text{lo}} \log \lambda_{\text{lo}} + N_{\text{hi}} \log \lambda_{\text{hi}} - (T_{\text{lo}} \lambda_{\text{lo}} + T_{\text{hi}} \lambda_{\text{hi}}) - \log N_{\text{lo}}! - \log N_{\text{hi}}! \quad (\text{from } \{\tau_j\}) \quad (12c)$$

Prior distributions

For the Pareto shape parameter α governing the PDC volumes we use the improper Jeffreys' Rule (or "Reference"— see [Berger et al., 2009](#)) prior $\alpha \sim \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha > 0\}}$. With this choice the posterior distribution from uncensored observations would be the Gamma distribution

$$\alpha \mid \text{Data} \sim \text{Ga}\left(N, \sum_{j \in J} \log(V_j/\epsilon)\right), \quad (13)$$

which depends only on the count and volumes of the flows $\{V_j \geq \epsilon\}$ during $[0, T]$.

For the central initial flow parameters $\{\mu_e\}$ we begin with a uniform distribution $\mu_0 \sim \text{Un}(S^1)$, and then at the start T_e of each new epoch we take a von Mises-distributed step

$$\mu_e \mid \text{Past at time } T_e \sim \text{vM}(\mu_{e-1}, \kappa_\mu). \quad (14)$$

This makes $\{\mu_e\}$ a von Mises random walk on the circle, *a priori*, whose step sizes depend on the concentration parameter κ_μ .

We model the levels $0 < \lambda_{\text{lo}} < \lambda_{\text{hi}} < \infty$ with a conjugate joint prior distribution (6), with log density

$$\log \pi(\lambda_{\text{lo}}, \lambda_{\text{hi}}) = c + (a_{\text{lo}} - 1) \log \lambda_{\text{lo}} + (a_{\text{hi}} - 1) \log \lambda_{\text{hi}} + r \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}} - \lambda_{\text{lo}}) - b(\lambda_{\text{lo}} + \lambda_{\text{hi}}) \quad (15a)$$

on $0 < \lambda_{\text{lo}} < \lambda_{\text{hi}}$ for constant c , unitless shape parameters $a_{\text{lo}}, a_{\text{hi}} > 0$ and repulsion parameter $r > -1$, and rate parameter $b > 0$ (in yr). For $r = 0$ this gives independent Gamma random variables conditioned to satisfy the order relation $\lambda_{\text{lo}} < \lambda_{\text{hi}}$, but taking $r > 0$ will encourage larger separation $|\lambda_{\text{hi}} - \lambda_{\text{lo}}|$ between the high and low rates.

The transition times $\{\vec{s}, \vec{t}\}$ are modeled as a Gamma renewal process, beginning with $t_0 := 0$ and proceeding sequentially for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with increments

$$\{(s_m - t_{m-1})\} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ga}(\alpha_{\text{lo}}, \beta) \quad \{(t_m - s_m)\} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ga}(\alpha_{\text{hi}}, \beta)$$

leading to log pdf from (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \log \pi(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) = & \text{const} + (\alpha_{\text{lo}} - 1) \sum_{m=1}^M \log(s_m - t_{m-1}) + (\alpha_{\text{hi}} - 1) \sum_{m=1}^M \log(t_m - s_m) \\ & + M[\alpha_{\text{lo}} \log \beta - \log \Gamma(\alpha_{\text{lo}})] \quad + M[\alpha_{\text{hi}} \log \beta - \log \Gamma(\alpha_{\text{hi}})] - \beta t_M \end{aligned} \quad (15b)$$

Posterior distributions

The **log posterior** based on likelihood (12) and prior from (13), (14), and (15) is:

$$\ell(\theta) = -\alpha \sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_1} \log(V_j^{\min}/\epsilon) + |J_0| \log \alpha + \sum_{j \in J_1} \log [1 - (V_j^{\min}/V_j^{\max})^\alpha] \quad (16a)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^N \log \left\{ \int_{\Phi_j} f_{\text{vM}}(\phi_j | \mu_{e_j}, \kappa_\phi) d\phi_j \right\} \quad (16b)$$

$$+ N_{\text{lo}} \log(\lambda_{\text{lo}}) + N_{\text{hi}} \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}}) - (T_{\text{lo}} \lambda_{\text{lo}} + T_{\text{hi}} \lambda_{\text{hi}}) - \log N_{\text{lo}}! - \log N_{\text{hi}}! \quad (16c)$$

$$- \log \alpha \quad (16d)$$

$$+ \sum_e \log f_{\text{vM}}(\mu_e | \mu_{e-1}, \kappa_\mu) \quad (16e)$$

$$+ (a_{\text{lo}} - 1) \log \lambda_{\text{lo}} + (a_{\text{hi}} - 1) \log \lambda_{\text{hi}} \quad (16f)$$

$$+ r \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}} - \lambda_{\text{lo}}) - b(\lambda_{\text{lo}} + \lambda_{\text{hi}})$$

$$+ (\alpha_{\text{lo}} - 1) \sum_{m=1}^M \log(s_m - t_{m-1}) + (\alpha_{\text{hi}} - 1) \sum_{m=1}^M \log(t_m - s_m) \quad (16g)$$

$$+ M[\alpha_{\text{lo}} \log \beta - \log \Gamma(\alpha_{\text{lo}})] + M[\alpha_{\text{hi}} \log \beta - \log \Gamma(\alpha_{\text{hi}})] - \beta t_M \quad (16h)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &:= 0 & T_e &:= \min\{\tau_j > T_{e-1} : V_j > \Omega\} \\ N_{\text{hi}} &:= \sum_{m,j} \mathbf{1}_{(s_m, t_m]}(\tau_j) & N_{\text{lo}} &:= \sum_{m,j} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{m-1}, s_m]}(\tau_j) = N - N_{\text{hi}} \end{aligned} \quad (17a)$$

$$T_{\text{hi}} := \sum [(t_m \wedge T) - (s_m \wedge T)] \quad T_{\text{lo}} := \sum [(s_m \wedge T) - (t_{m-1} \wedge T)] = T - T_{\text{hi}} \quad (17b)$$

The terms in Eqns (16a,16b,16c) arise from the likelihood for α , $\{\mu_e\}$, and $\{\lambda(\tau)\}$, respectively; (16d) from the prior for α , (16e) from the prior for $\{\mu_e\}$, (16f) from the prior for $\{\alpha_{\text{lo}}, \alpha_{\text{hi}}\}$, and (16g, 16h) from the prior for $\{(s_m, t_m)\}$.

An MCMC algorithm

To draw parameter samples and forecasts from the posterior distribution we construct a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) computational scheme that employs a Metropolis-Hastings approach for the vectors $\{\mu_e\}$, $\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$, and $\{s_m, t_m\}$, and Gibbs sampling for the scalar α whose posterior distribution is known in closed form. For each complete MCMC step we cycle through the four parameters in turn.

We implement these M-H steps by identifying for each parameter (let's call it “ θ ”) the specific terms $\ell_\theta(\theta)$ of the log posterior pdf (16) that depend on that parameter. After generating the first t steps of the algorithm, arriving at value $\theta^{(t)}$ for the parameter, we make a proposal $\theta^* \sim q(\theta^* | \theta^{(t)})$ for a new value from a proposal distribution with symmetric pdf

$q(\theta_1 | \theta_2) = q(\theta_2 | \theta_1)$ described below. We “accept” the proposal and set $\theta^{(t+1)} := \theta^*$ if

$$\ell_\theta(\theta^*) + e^{(t)} > \ell_\theta(\theta^{(t)}) \quad (18)$$

for independent identically-distributed (iid) standard exponentially-distributed random variables $\{e^{(t)}\} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathbf{Ex}(1)$. Otherwise the proposal is rejected and $\theta^{(t+1)} := \theta^{(t)}$ remains unchanged. This is mathematically equivalent to, but numerically more stable than, accepting the proposal with probability $\min(H, 1)$ for the Hastings ratio $H := \exp(\ell_\theta(\theta^*)) / \exp(\ell_\theta(\theta^{(t)}))$, the ratio of posterior pdfs at the proposed θ^* and old $\theta^{(t)}$ parameter values. Typically the proposal distributions $q(\theta^* | \theta)$ are symmetric random walks with step sizes σ_θ chosen empirically to achieve acceptance rates in the range 5%–60%, near enough to the optimum 23.4% (Rosenthal, 2011). To accomplish this, acceptance rates must be monitored separately for each parameter θ .

For computational reasons it is helpful to re-parametrize the low and high rates $(\lambda_{\text{lo}}, \lambda_{\text{hi}})$ by logistics $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &:= \log(\lambda_{\text{lo}} + \lambda_{\text{hi}}) & \eta_2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}}/\lambda_{\text{lo}}) \\ \lambda_{\text{lo}} &= e^{\eta_1} / (1 + e^{2\eta_2}) & \lambda_{\text{hi}} &= e^{\eta_1} / (1 + e^{-2\eta_2}) \\ &= \frac{\exp(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{2 \cosh(\eta_2)} & &= \frac{\exp(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2 \cosh(\eta_2)} \end{aligned}$$

under which $(\lambda_{\text{hi}} + \lambda_{\text{lo}}) = \exp(\eta_1)$ and $(\lambda_{\text{hi}} - \lambda_{\text{lo}}) = \exp(\eta_1) \tanh(\eta_2)$. The Jacobian of this transformation is $\lambda_{\text{lo}}^{-1} \lambda_{\text{hi}}^{-1} d\lambda_{\text{lo}} d\lambda_{\text{hi}} = 2d\eta_1 d\eta_2$, leading to the replacement of (16f) with $[a_{\text{lo}} \log \lambda_{\text{lo}} + a_{\text{hi}} \log \lambda_{\text{hi}}]$. Similarly, we employ a symmetric random walk for $\{(\vec{s}_m, \vec{t}_m)\}$ on the *log* scale, and so replace (16g) with $[+ \alpha_{\text{lo}} \sum_{m=1}^M \log(s_m - t_{m-1}) + \alpha_{\text{hi}} \sum_{m=1}^M \log(t_m - s_m)]$. In both cases this amounts to simply removing each “−1” from $(\alpha_{\text{lo}} - 1)$, $(\alpha_{\text{hi}} - 1)$, $(a_{\text{lo}} - 1)$, and $(a_{\text{hi}} - 1)$ in (16).

The resulting algorithm begins with the specification of initial values $\{\theta^{(0)}\}$ at step $t = 0$ and step sizes $\{\sigma_\theta\}$ for the four parameters, and proceeds at each step $t \geq 0$ as follows:

1. α : Draw $\alpha^{(t+1)} \sim \text{Ga}(N, \sum \log(V_j/\epsilon))$, its posterior distribution.
2. $\{\mu_e\}$: Let $e_{\text{max}} := \#\{j : V_j > \Omega\}$ be the number of epochs in $(0, T]$. Choose one of the epochs e uniformly from $\{1, \dots, e_{\text{max}}\}$. Add to μ_e a normally-distributed step $\delta \sim \text{No}(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$ to get central angle proposal $\mu_e^* = \mu_e^{(t)} + \delta \pmod{360}$, and (from Eqns (16a, 16b, 16e)) set

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\mu(\mu_e) &:= \log f_{\text{VM}}(\mu_e | \mu_{e-1}, \kappa_\mu) + \log f_{\text{VM}}(\mu_{e+1} | \mu_e, \kappa_\mu) \\ &+ \sum_{j: e_j=e} \log f_{\text{VM}}(\phi_j | \mu_e, \kappa_\phi) \\ &= \kappa_\mu^2 [\cos(\mu_e - \mu_{e-1}) + \cos(\mu_{e+1} - \mu_e)] + \kappa_\phi^2 \sum_{j: e_j=e} \cos(\phi_j - \mu_e) \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

(note we neglect terms that do not include μ_e , since they will cancel in the M-H step). If the randomly-drawn epoch e is the first $e = 0$ or last $e = e_{\max}$, omit the missing terms μ_{e-1} or μ_{e+1} in (19). Accept or reject the proposal as in (18).

An acceptable alternative is to add iid steps δ_e to *all* the $\{\mu_e\}$, and accept or reject the entire proposed vector using the sum

$$\ell_{\vec{\mu}}(\vec{\mu}) := \sum_{j=1}^N \log f_{\text{vM}}(\phi_j \mid \mu_{e_j}, \kappa_\phi) + \sum_e \log f_{\text{vM}}(\mu_e \mid \mu_{e-1}, \kappa_\mu). \quad (20)$$

3. $(\lambda_{\text{lo}}, \lambda_{\text{hi}})$: Keep track of the values of the logistic transforms $\eta_1 = \log(\lambda_{\text{lo}} + \lambda_{\text{hi}})$ and $\eta_2 = \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}}/\lambda_{\text{lo}})/2$. Add to $\{\eta_i^{(t)}\}$ increments $\delta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{No}(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ and, if necessary, reflect to ensure $\eta_2^* > 0$ to get proposals:

$$\eta_1^* = \eta_1^{(t)} + \delta_1 \quad \eta_2^* = |\eta_2^{(t)} + \delta_2|.$$

Compute the corresponding $\lambda_{\text{lo}}^* = \exp(\eta_1^* - \eta_2^*)/2 \cosh(\eta_2)$ and $\lambda_{\text{hi}}^* = \exp(\eta_1^* + \eta_2^*)/2 \cosh(\eta_2)$ and (from Eqns (16c, 16f), and using the Jacobian above) accept or reject the proposal (as in (18)) using

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\eta(\eta_1, \eta_2) &:= (N_{\text{lo}} + a_{\text{lo}}) \log \lambda_{\text{lo}} + (N_{\text{hi}} + a_{\text{hi}}) \log \lambda_{\text{hi}} \\ &\quad - (T_{\text{lo}} \lambda_{\text{lo}} + T_{\text{hi}} \lambda_{\text{hi}}) + r \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}} - \lambda_{\text{lo}}) - b(\lambda_{\text{lo}} + \lambda_{\text{hi}}). \end{aligned}$$

4. $\{(s_m, t_m) : m \leq M\}$: To generate proposal vectors $st^* = (\vec{s}^*, \vec{t}^*)$ at time step t , beginning with $st^{(t)} = (\vec{s}^{(t)}, \vec{t}^{(t)})$, fix $\sigma_{st} > 0$ and scale all the intervals $(s_m, t_m]$ and $(t_{m-1}, s_m]$ by independent log-normal factors as follows:

- a) Set $\vec{x} := (s_1^{(t)}, (t_1^{(t)} - s_1^{(t)}), (s_2^{(t)} - t_1^{(t)}), \dots, (t_M^{(t)} - s_M^{(t)})) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2M}$;
- b) Draw $\zeta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{No}(0, 1)$ and set $x_i^* := x_i \exp(\sigma_{st} \zeta_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2M$;
- c) Set $s_1^* := x_1^*$, $t_1^* := (x_1^* + x_2^*)$, $s_2^* := (x_1^* + x_2^* + x_3^*)$, \dots , $t_M^* := \sum x_i^*$;
- d) Compute $N_{\text{hi}}^* := \sum_{m,j} \mathbf{1}_{(s_m^*, t_m^*]}(\tau_j)$, $N_{\text{lo}}^* := N - N_{\text{hi}}^*$;
and $T_{\text{hi}}^* := \sum [(t_m^* \wedge T) - (s_m^* \wedge T)]$, $T_{\text{lo}}^* := T - T_{\text{hi}}^*$.

Now accept or reject the proposal as in (18), using log Hastings numerator function

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha_{\text{hi}} \sum_{m=1}^M \log(t_m^* - s_m^*) + \alpha_{\text{lo}} \sum_{m=1}^M \log(s_m^* - t_{m-1}^*) - \beta t_M^* \\ &\quad + [N_{\text{hi}}^* \log(\lambda_{\text{hi}}) + N_{\text{lo}}^* \log(\lambda_{\text{lo}})] - [T_{\text{hi}}^* \lambda_{\text{hi}} + T_{\text{lo}}^* \lambda_{\text{lo}}] - [\log N_{\text{hi}}^*! + \log N_{\text{lo}}^*!] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

based on Eqns (16c, 16g, 16h).

5. Forecast $\{(V_j, \phi_j, \tau_j)\}$: For now, we ignore the initiation angles $\{\phi_i\}$ for future PDCs, and focus on their volumes $\{V_i \geq \epsilon\}$ and times $\{\tau_i > T\}$.

Proposal: Select some $T' > T$ which also satisfies $T' \ll M(\alpha_{\text{lo}} + \alpha_{\text{hi}})/\beta$, to ensure that $t_M \gg T'$ with high probability. Now simulate those event times $\{\tau_i\}$ in $(T, T']$ and the associated volumes $\{V_i\}$, and make overlay plots of the cumulative volume during $(T, T']$ similar to Figure (12).

One way to do that: Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 s'_m &:= (s_m \vee T) \wedge T', & t'_m &:= (t_m \vee T) \wedge T' \\
 T'_{\text{hi}} &= \text{Time in } (T, T'] \text{ with high rate } \lambda(t) = \lambda_{\text{hi}} \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^M (t'_m - s'_m) \\
 T'_{\text{lo}} &= (T' - T) - T'_{\text{hi}} \\
 N'_{\text{hi}} &\sim \text{Po}(T'_{\text{hi}} \lambda_{\text{hi}}), & N'_{\text{lo}} &\sim \text{Po}(T'_{\text{lo}} \lambda_{\text{lo}}), & N' &:= N'_{\text{hi}} + N'_{\text{lo}} \\
 \{V_i\} &\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pa}(\alpha, \epsilon), & 1 \leq i \leq N'
 \end{aligned}$$

and draw N'_{hi} random times uniformly $\{\tau_i\}$ from the union of the intervals $(s'_m, t'_m]$ and N'_{lo} times $\{\tau_i\}$ uniformly from $\cup(t'_{m-1}, s'_m]$; sort all the $\{\tau_i\}$, and plot the cumulative sum of the $\{V_i\}$ against $\{\tau_i\}$.

A mathematically equivalent approach is to cycle through the intervals $(s'_m, t'_m]$ with positive length $(t'_m - s'_m)$ and, for each of these, draw $N'_m \sim \text{Po}(\lambda_{\text{hi}}(t'_m - s'_m))$ pairs (τ_i, V_i) with $\tau_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Un}(s'_m, t'_m]$ and $V_i \sim \text{Pa}(\alpha, \epsilon)$, and similarly for the intervals $(t'_{m-1}, s'_m]$.

Now set $e'_{\text{max}} := e_{\text{max}} + \#\{j : j > N \text{ and } V_j > \Omega\}$, the number of epochs in the entire study and forecast period $[0, T']$ and, for $e_{\text{max}} < e \leq e'_{\text{max}}$, let $T_e := \min\{\tau_j > T_{e-1} : V_j > \Omega\}$ be the epoch ending times in the forecast period $(T, T']$. Draw forecast central angles successively as

$$\mu_e \sim \text{vM}(\mu_{e-1}, \kappa_\mu), \quad e_{\text{max}} < e \leq e'_{\text{max}}.$$

Once again identify the epoch for each forecast PDC by $e_j := \max\{e : T_e < \tau_j\}$ for $j > N$ and, finally, draw initiation angles

$$\phi_j \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \text{vM}(\mu_{e_j}, \kappa_\phi), \quad j > N.$$

This completes the simulation of PDCs $\{(V_j, \phi_j, \tau_j)\}$ in the forecast period $(T, T']$.

References

- J. O. Berger, J. M. Bernardo, and D. Sun. The formal definition of reference priors. *Annals of Statistics*, 37(2):905–938, 2009. doi: 10.1214/07-AOS587.
- J. S. Rosenthal. Optimal proposal distributions and adaptive MCMC. In S. Brooks, A. Gelman, G. L. Jones, and X.-L. Meng, editors, *Handbook of Markov Chain Monte Carlo*, chapter 4, pages 93–112. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2011.