Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of linear regression models with and without a spatial lag term

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Risk factor domain** | **Ordinary Least Squares model** | | | | **Spatial lag model1** | | | |
| **Unadjusted model** | | **Adjusted model2** | | **Unadjusted model** | | **Adjusted model2** | |
| **β (SE)** | **p** | **β (SE)** | **p** | **β (SE)** | **p** | **β (SE)** | **p** |
| Metabolic score | 0.452 (0.16) | 0.001 | 0.036 (0.16) | 0.818 | 0.438 (0.14) | 0.002 | 0.038 (0.13) | 0.770 |
| Alcohol score | 0.579 (0.13) | <.001 | 0.253 (0.16) | 0.132 | 0.562 (0.11) | <.001 | 0.268 (0.14) | 0.048 |
| Infection score | 0.583 (0.10) | <.001 | 0.433 (0.13) | 0.002 | 0.549 (0.09) | <.001 | 0.387 (0.11) | <.001 |
| 1Weighted using inverse distances between neighborhood centroid coordinates  2All adjusted models include the following variables: metabolic score, alcohol score, infection score | | | | | | | | |