1 Supplementary Material

2 Tables

3 Table S1

4 **Descriptive Statistics**

- 5 S.D.: Standard deviation, BDI: Beck Depression inventory, HAM-D: Hamilton Depression scale,
- 6 MADRS: Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale, EPI: Eysenck Personality Inventory,
- 7 ERQ: Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, CTQ: Childhood Trauma Questionnaire
- 8 Indicated are demographical facts as well as mean questionnaire scores of all participants, as well
- 9 as divided into subgroups of responders and non-responders.
- 10 There were no significant differences between responders and non-responders regarding the
- 11 results of EPI, ERQ, or CTQ.
- 12 ¹ 5-9 none to minimal, 10-14 low to moderate, 15-17 moderate to severe, >=18 severe to extreme
- 13 $^2 >= 5$ none to minimal, 6-7 low to moderate, 8-12 moderate to severe, >= 13 severe to extreme
- $14 \quad {}^{3}$ 5-7 none to minimal, 8-9 low to moderate, 10-12 moderate to severe, >=13 severe to extreme
- ⁴ 5-8 none to minimal, 9-12 low to moderate, 13-15 moderate to severe, >=16 severe to extreme

	All			Responder			Non-responder		
	n		S.D.	n		S.D.	n		S.D.
BDI T1	22	27.0	8.0	8	30.0	7.3	14	25.2	8.2
BDI T6	22	18.4	12.0	8	8.5	4.3	14	24.1	11.2
HAM-D T1	22	25.5	6.1	8	26.3	4.5	14	25	6.9
HAM-D T6	22	18.1	10.1	8	8.3	5.7	14	23.6	9.1
MADRS T1	22	28.6	7.4	8	30.5	7.3	14	27.6	7.6
MADRS T6	21	20.4	10.1	7	9.9	3.7	14	25.7	7.7
EPI									
Neuroticism	22	17.4	8.7	8	19.3	13.6	14	16.3	4.4
Extraversion	22	10.2	4.7	8	12.4	5.9	14	9.0	3.4
Lying	22	4.8	1.8	8	5.0	2.4	14	4.7	1.4
ERQ									
Reappraisal	21	3.8	1.1	7	4.0	1.2	14	3.7	1.1
Suppression	21	3.5	1.1	7	2.9	0.7	14	3.7	1.2
CTQ									
Emotional neglect ¹	22	13	4.9	8	12	4.4	14	13.6	5.3
Sexual abuse ²	22	6.9	3.5	8	7.4	3.2	14	6.6	3.7
Physical abuse ³	22	7	2.7	8	7.5	4.0	14	6.6	1.7
Emotional abuse ⁴	22	9.8	4.7	8	9.3	5.6	14	10.1	4.4
Physical neglect ³	22	8.3	2.9	8	7.9	3.0	14	8.6	2.9
Mean picture ratings									
All	21	5.0	0.4	8	4.9	0.4	13	5.1	0.4
Positive	21	7.6	1.2	8	7.2	1.7	13	7.9	0.7
Negative	21	2.2	0.7	8	2.4	0.9	13	2.1	0.7

16

17 **Table S2**

18 Paired sample T-Test for depression

- 19 T1: pre-treatment, T6: post-treatment, S.D.: Standard deviation, Std.: standard, C.I.: Confidence
- 20 Interval, *t:t*-value, df: degrees of freedom, Sig.: significance (2-tailed), BDI: Beck Depression
- 21 inventory, HAM-D: Hamilton Depression scale, MADRS: Montgomery-Åsberg Depression
- 22 Rating Scale,
- 23 Presented are the results of paired sample T-Tests for pre- and posttreatment depression first for
- 24 the whole sample, demonstrating a significant reduction of scores after treatment, as well as for
- 25 the group of responders and non-responders on their own.

	Mean S.D.		Std. Error	95% C.I. of the Difference		t	df	Sig.
			Mean	Lower	Upper			-
BDI T1 – BDI T6	8.545	12.031	2.565	3.211	13.880	3.332	21	.003
HAM-D T1 – HAM-D T6	7.409	10.340	2.205	2.824	11.994	3.361	21	.003
MADRS T1 – MADRS T6	8.333	11.297	2.465	3.191	13.476	3.380	20	.003
Responders								
BDI T1 – BDI T6	21.500	6.414	2.268	16.138	26.862	9.481	7	.000
HAM-D T1 – HAM-D T6	18.000	4.957	1.753	13.856	22.144	10.271	7	.000
MADRS T1 – MADRS T6	21.286	7.296	2.758	14.538	28.034	7.718	6	.000
Non-responders								
BDI T1 – BDI T6	1.143	7.026	1.878	-2.914	5.199	0.609	13	.553
HAM-D T1 – HAM-D T6	1.357	7.143	1.909	-2.767	5.481	0.711	13	.490
MADRS T1 – MADRS T6	1.857	6.024	1.610	-1.621	5.335	1.154	13	.269