

# Appendices to “Central Limit Theorem for Linear Eigenvalue Statistics for Submatrices of Wigner Random Matrices”

Lingyun Li <sup>\*</sup>      Matthew Reed <sup>†</sup>      Alexander Soshnikov <sup>‡</sup>

May 25, 2020

## Abstract

This text contains the Appendices to [1]. We discuss the Decoupling Formula in Appendix 1 and prove technical Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.9 from [1] in Appendices 2 and 3 correspondingly.

## 1 Appendix 1

Decoupling Formula is a valuable tool developed in the resolvent analysis of statistical properties of random matrices.

**Theorem 1.1** (Decoupling Formula). [3] *Let  $\xi$  be a random variable such that  $\mathbb{E}\{|\xi|^{p+2}\} < \infty$  for a certain nonnegative integer  $p$ . Then for any function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the class  $C^{p+1}$  with bounded derivatives  $f^{(l)}, l = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\{\xi f(\xi)\} = \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{\kappa_{l+1}}{l!} \mathbb{E}\{f^{(l)}(\xi)\} + \varepsilon_p. \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\kappa_l$  denotes the  $l$ th cumulant of  $\xi$  and the remainder term  $\varepsilon_p$  admits the bound

$$|\varepsilon_p| \leq C_p \mathbb{E}\{|\xi|^{p+2}\} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f^{(p+1)}(t), \quad C_p \leq \frac{1 + (3 + 2p)^{p+2}}{(p + 1)!}. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $\xi$  is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean,

$$\mathbb{E}\{\xi f(\xi)\} = \mathbb{E}\{\xi^2\} \mathbb{E}\{f'(\xi)\}. \quad (1.3)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Beijing Technology And Business University, No. 11/33 Fangshan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100048, China, lilingyun@btbu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-8633, USA, currently at Zumper, San Francisco, USA, matthewcreed86@gmail.com

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-8633, USA soshniko@math.ucdavis.edu; research has been supported in part by the Simons Foundation award #312391

## 2 Appendix 2

Below we prove Proposition 3.7 formulated in Section 3 of [1].

*Proof.* Since  $\langle x^k, x^q \rangle_{lr} = 0$  if  $k + q$  is odd, it follows by linearity that

$$\langle U_k^{\gamma_l}, U_q^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = 0, \quad \text{if } k + q \text{ is odd.} \quad (2.1)$$

We begin by computing  $\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr}$  and  $\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_l}}\right)^{2k} \langle x^{2k}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r}(x) \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \gamma_l^{-k} \sum_{p=0}^q (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_l}}\right)^{2q-2p} \binom{2q-p}{p} \langle x^{2k}, x^{2q-2p} \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_l^{-k} \gamma_r^{-q}}{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p \gamma_l^p (2j+1)^2}{2q-2p+1} \binom{2k+1}{k+j+1} \binom{2q-p}{p} \binom{2q-2p+1}{q-p+j+1} \gamma_l^{k-j} \gamma_r^{q-p-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+1)^2 \binom{2k+1}{k+j+1} \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p (2q-p)!}{p!(q-p+j+1)!(q-p-j)!} \right] \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_l}}\right)^{2k+1} \langle x^{2k+1}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r}(x) \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_l}}\right)^{2k+1} \sum_{p=0}^q (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_r}}\right)^{2q-2p+1} \binom{2q-p+1}{p} \langle x^{2k+1}, x^{2q-2p+1} \rangle_{lr} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+2} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p \gamma_r^p (2j+2)^2}{2q-2p+2} \binom{2k+2}{k+j+2} \binom{2q-p+1}{p} \binom{2q-2p+2}{q-p+j+2} \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-p-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+2} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+2)^2 \binom{2k+2}{k+j+2} \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p (2q-p+1)!}{p!(q-p+j+2)!(q-p-j)!} \right] \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Denote by

$$H_1(q, j) = \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p (2q-p)!}{p!(q-p+j+1)!(q-p-j)!}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$H_2(q, j) = \sum_{p=0}^{q-j} \frac{(-1)^p (2q-p+1)!}{p!(q-p+j+2)!(q-p-j)!}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then

$$\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+1)^2 \binom{2k+1}{k+j+1} H_1(q, j) \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+1}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \frac{\gamma_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+2} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+2)^2 \binom{2k+2}{k+j+2} H_2(q, j) \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+2}. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.4-2.5) that

$$H_1(q, j) = \frac{(2q)!}{(q-j)!(q+j+1)!} = {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -(q-j), -(q+j+1) \\ -2q \end{matrix}; 1 \right), \quad (2.8)$$

$$H_2(q, j) = \frac{(2q+1)!}{(q-j)!(q+j+1)!} = {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -(q-j), -(q+j+2) \\ -(2q+1) \end{matrix}; 1 \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where  ${}_2F_1$  is a hypergeometric function. See [2] for the definition of hypergeometric functions. Below let  $(x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$  denote the rising factorial. By the Chu-Vandermonde identity (see e.g. [2]), it follows that

$$H_1(q, j) = \frac{(2q)!}{(q-j)!(q+j+1)!} \frac{(j-q+1)_{q-j}}{(-2q)_{q-j}} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq j < q \\ \frac{1}{2q+1} & j = q \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

$$H_2(q, j) = \frac{(2q+1)!}{(q-j)!(q+j+2)!} \frac{(j-q+1)_{q-j}}{(-2q-1)_{q-j}} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq j < q \\ \frac{1}{2q+2} & j = q \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ , we get that  $\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = 0$  and also  $\langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = 0$ . With  $k = q$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2k}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} &= \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+1)^2 \binom{2k+1}{k+j+1} H_1(k, j) \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+1} \\ &= \frac{(2k+1)^2}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2k+1} H_1(k, k) \gamma_l^{-k} \gamma_r^{-k} \gamma_{lr}^{2k+1} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{2k+1}}{\gamma_l^k \gamma_r^k} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2k+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} &= \frac{\gamma_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+2} \sum_{j=0}^k (2j+2)^2 \binom{2k+2}{k+j+2} H_2(k, j) \gamma_l^{-j} \gamma_r^{-j} \gamma_{lr}^{2j+2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_r^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2k+1)^2}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2k+1} H_2(k, k) \gamma_l^{-k} \gamma_r^{-k} \gamma_{lr}^{2k+1} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{2k+2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l \gamma_r}^{2k+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, for  $k < q$ ,

$$\langle U_{2k}^{\gamma_l}, U_{2q}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = 0, \quad \langle U_{2k+1}^{\gamma_l}, U_{2q+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and for  $k = q$

$$\langle U_{2k}^{\gamma_l}, U_{2k}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k}, U_{2k}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{2k+1}}{\gamma_l^k \gamma_r^k}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\langle U_{2k+1}^{\gamma_l}, U_{2k+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \langle (\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}})^{2k+1}, U_{2k+1}^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} = \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{2k+2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l \gamma_r}^{2k+1}}. \quad (2.16)$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.7, which is the diagonalization part of Lemma 2.5 of [1].  $\square$

### 3 Appendix 3

Below we prove Proposition 3.9 formulated in Section 3 of [1].

*Proof.* First it will be argued by approximation that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{lr}$  can be extended to the class of functions  $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$ , and then the bilinear form will be explicitly computed. It will be sufficient to approximate  $f, g$  below by truncated polynomials with rational coefficients in  $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$ , because of the estimate (3.3). Recall that functions of the Schwartz class are dense in  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , so after a triangle inequality argument it is in fact sufficient to suppose that  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$  be a function so that  $h(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [-3, 3]$ ,  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin [-4, 4]$  and is smoothly interpolated in between. Note that with overwhelming probability, the eigenvalues of the submatrices concentrate in the support of  $\mu_{sc}$ . As a consequence we may suppose that  $f, g$  are supported in  $[-3, 3]$ . We give a density argument. It is sufficient to argue that  $\|hf - hp_j\|_{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$  and  $\|hg - hq_j\|_{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$  converge to 0 as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\{p_j\}, \{q_j\}$  are appropriately chosen sequences of polynomials with rational coefficients. Note that  $hf = f$  and  $hg = g$ . We now focus on estimating  $\|f - hp_j\|_{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$ . Since  $f$  is a Schwarz function, we have that  $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ . We note that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^{3+\epsilon} dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^4 dt, \quad (3.1)$$

so it will be sufficient to approximate  $f$  in the larger  $\|\cdot\|_2$  norm. Also, since

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^4 dt \leq Const \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^4 |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 dt \right], \quad (3.2)$$

we only need to approximate the two terms on the right hand side. Consider polynomials  $\{p_j\}$  with rational coefficients so that  $\sup_{-4 \leq x \leq 4} |f''(x) - p_j(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then denote by  $\tilde{p}_j(x) = \int_{-4}^x p_j(t) dt$ , and  $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j(x) = \int_{-4}^x \tilde{p}_j(t) dt$ . As a consequence of Parseval's theorem, it will be sufficient to show that

$$\|f - h\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j\|_{L^2([-4,4])} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|f'' - (h\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j)''\|_{L^2([-4,4])} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

But observe that

$$\|f'' - (h\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j)''\|_{L^2([-4,4])} \leq \|f'' - hp_j\|_{L^2([-4,4])} + \|h''\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j + 2h'\tilde{p}_j\|_{L^2([-4,4])}. \quad (3.4)$$

The first term on the right hand side converges to 0 because of the uniform approximation. Noting that  $h'(x) = 0$  and  $h''(x) = 0$  on  $(-3, 3)$ , and also that  $\tilde{p}_j$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_j$  converge to 0 uniformly

on  $[-4, -3] \cup (3, 4]$ , it follows that the second term on the right hand side converges to 0 as well. Finally we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - h\tilde{p}_j\|_{L^2([-4,4])}^2 &= \int_{-4}^4 |f(x) - h(x)\tilde{p}_j(x)|^2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{-4}^4 h^2(x) \left| \int_{-4}^x \int_{-4}^t [f''(u) - p_j(u)] du dt \right|^2 dx \\
&\leq Const \cdot \left( \sup_{-4 \leq u \leq 4} |f''(u) - p_j(u)| \right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

It follows that  $\|f - h\tilde{p}_j\|_{L^2([-4,4])}^2 \rightarrow 0$  because of the uniform approximation. This completes the approximation argument, so we now turn toward computing the bilinear form.

Setting

$$f_k = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma_l} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_l}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}} f(x) U_k^{\gamma_l}(x) \sqrt{4\gamma_l - x^2} dx, \quad g_k = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma_r} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_r}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_r}} g(y) U_k^{\gamma_r}(y) \sqrt{4\gamma_r - y^2} dy, \tag{3.6}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g \rangle_{lr} &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k U_k^{\gamma_l}(x), \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} g_p U_p^{\gamma_r}(x) \right\rangle_{lr} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} f_k g_p \langle U_k^{\gamma_l}, U_p^{\gamma_r} \rangle_{lr} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k g_k \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{k+1}}{\gamma_l^{k/2} \gamma_r^{k/2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \gamma_l \gamma_r} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_l}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_r}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_r}} f(x) g(y) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k^{\gamma_l}(x) U_k^{\gamma_r}(y) \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{k+1}}{\gamma_l^{k/2} \gamma_r^{k/2}} \right] \sqrt{4\gamma_l - x^2} \sqrt{4\gamma_r - y^2} dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It also follows, using (3.140), that a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \left\{ P^{(l)} f(M^{(l)}) \cdot P^{(l,r)} \cdot g(M^{(r)}) P^{(r)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \gamma_l \gamma_r} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_l}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_l}} \int_{-2\sqrt{\gamma_r}}^{2\sqrt{\gamma_r}} f(x) g(y) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k^{\gamma_l}(x) U_k^{\gamma_r}(y) \frac{\gamma_{lr}^{k+1}}{\gamma_l^{k/2} \gamma_r^{k/2}} \right] \sqrt{4\gamma_l - x^2} \sqrt{4\gamma_r - y^2} dy dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proposition 3.9 follows. □

## References

- [1] L.Li, M.Reed, A. Soshnikov. *Central Limit Theorem for Linear Eigenvalue Statistics for Submatrices of Wigner Random Matrices*. Front. Appl. Math. Stat. 6:17, 2020.

- [2] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy. *Special Functions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999.
- [3] A. Lytova, L. Pastur. *Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with independent entries*. *Annals of Probability* 37(5): 1778–1840, 2009.