Supplementary TABLE 1 | Performances for PJK risk prediction obtained from established models in the training stage by Leave-one-out cross-validation.

Oversampling	Without oversampling				RO		SMOTE		
Model	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC
RF	0.886	0.565	0.756	0.870	0.850	0.950	0.940	0.900	0.950
SVM	0.759	0	0.53	0.880	0.893	0.932	0.860	0.873	0.936
KNN	0.758	0.2	0.615	0.940	0.941	0.940	0.920	0.926	0.920
LR	0.788	0.363	0.655	0.900	0.900	0.891	0.860	0.898	0.899

RO, random oversampling; SMOTE, the synthetic minority technique; RF, random forest; SVM, support vector machine; KNN, k neighbors classifier; LR, linear regression.

Supplementary TABLE 2 | Performances for PJK risk prediction obtained from established models in the training stage by 3-fold cross-validation.

Oversampling	Without oversampling				RO		SMOTE		
Model	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC
RF	0.760	0.20	0.520	0.820	0.850	0.970	0.900	0.851	0.950
SVM	0.606	0.298	0.685	0.840	0.869	0.936	0.840	0.865	0.926
KNN	0.788	0.348	0.776	0.788	0.348	0.776	0.788	0.348	0.776
LR	0.759	0.685	0.590	0.757	0.756	0.869	0.741	0.735	0.842

RO, random oversampling; SMOTE, the synthetic minority technique; RF, random forest; SVM, support vector machine; KNN, k neighbors classifier; LR, linear regression.

Supplementary TABLE 3 | Performances for PJK risk prediction obtained from established models by Leave-one-out cross-validation. Data were split into training and testing sets at a random stratified ratio of 3:2.

Oversampling		Without oversampling				RO		SMOTE		
Model		Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC
RF	Train	0.823	0.801	0.856	0.898	0.861	0.901	0.912	0.899	0.930
	Test	0.778	0	0.339	0.833	0.400	0.286	0.778	0.333	0.339
CVM	Train	0.769	0	0.775	0.900	0.900	0.9375	0.775	0.781	0.900
SVM	Test	0.778	0	0.535	0.778	0.333	0.607	0.833	0.571	0.607
IZNINI	Train	0.654	0.308	0.541	0.825	0.844	0.983	0.775	0.781	0.900
KNN	Test	0.778	0.5	0.678	0.611	0.363	0.589	0.833	0.571	0.607
I D	Train	0.808	0.286	0.767	0.875	0.878	0.96	0.850	0.857	0.977
LR	Test	0.833	0.4	0.5	0.667	0.25	0.554	0.722	0.445	0.536

RO, random oversampling; SMOTE, the synthetic minority technique; RF, random forest; SVM, support vector machine; KNN, k neighbors classifier; LR, linear regression.

Supplementary TABLE 4 | Performances for PJK risk prediction obtained from established models by Leave-one-out cross-validation. Data were split into training and testing sets at a random stratified ratio of 1:1.

Oversampling		Without oversampling				RO		SMOTE		
Model		Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC	Accuracy	F1 score	AUC
RF	Train	0.85	0.578	0.8	0.878	0.686	0.875	0.88	0.726	0.899
	Test	0.818	0.333	0.541	0.772	0.285	0.565	0.818	0.333	0.553
CNA	Train	0.772	0	0.612	0.912	0.919	0.966	0.912	0.919	0.934
SVM	Test	0.772	0	0.648	0.681	0.461	0.694	0.681	0.461	0.694
IZNDI	Train	0.772	0	0.471	0.882	0.889	0.882	0.882	0.894	0.882
KNN	Test	0.772	0	0.805	0.591	0.308	0.524	0.591	0.4	0.594
I D	Train	0.772	0	0.635	0.824	0.842	0.958	0.882	0.882	0.955
LR	Test	0.818	0.5	0.659	0.636	0.429	0.682	0.682	0.462	0.671

RO, random oversampling; SMOTE, the synthetic minority technique; RF, random forest; SVM, support vector machine; KNN, k neighbors classifier; LR, linear regression.