Supplementary information for

Reduced Graphene Oxide Carbon Yarn Electrodes for Drug Sensing

Sutthima Sriprasertsuk, ^a Shuai Zhang, ^b Gordon Wallace, ^b Jun Chen, ^b John R. Varcoe ^a and Carol Crean ^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

^b Intelligent Polymer Research Institute and ARC Centre of Excellence for Electromaterials Science, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, 2500, Australia

* Correspondence: c.crean@surrey.ac.uk



Figure S1. (a) Cyclic voltammograms during electrochemical reduction of GO into rGO using 20 CV scans with the scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ on carbon fibre electrode; (b, main graph) Electropolymerisation of pyrrole onto rGO fibre electrode from the solution containing of 0.2 M pyrrole and 0.2 M SDS using 5 CV scans with the scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹; (b, inset) a post polymerisation CVs recorded at 50 mV s⁻¹.



Figure S2. *Raman spectrum of uncoated carbon fibre (black line), potentiostatic deposition of RGO on carbon fibre (red line) and 3 scans CV deposition of RGO on carbon fibre (blue line).*



Figure S3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of: (a) Potentiostatic deposition of rGO coated carbon fibre; and (b) 10 CV scans rGO coated carbon fibre.



Figure S4. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) - mapping images of 20 scans CV deposition rGO coated carbon fibre consisting of Carbon, Oxygen, Sodium, Chlorine, Phosphorous and Potassium.

EDS	%C	%0	%N	%S	%Na	%Cl	%P	%K
Potentiostatic rGO	79.2	1.8	-	_	-	10.6	8.4	-
3 CV scans rGO	39.3	38.8	-	_	6.9	2.5	7.2	5.3
5 CV scans rGO	50.3	35.8	-	-	8.1	1.4	4.4	-
10 CV scans rGO	78.5	17.3	-	_	-	3.9	_	0.3
15 CV scans rGO	77.4	20.1	-	_	0.5	2.0	_	_
20 CV scans rGO	78.5	20.5	_	_	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1
PPy-rGO	70.1	15.2	6.2	7.6	0.6	0.3	_	_

Table S1. EDS measurement of chemical composition.



Figure S5. (*a*) Cyclic voltammograms (50 mVs⁻¹) of a rGO-coated carbon fibre (made using 15 CV scans) with various concentrations of paracetamol in aqueous 0.1 M PBS solution; (*b*) The resulting oxidation peak currents vs. paracetamol concentration (n = 3); (*c*) Differential pulse voltammograms of rGO-coated carbon fibres (made using 15 CV scans) with various concentrations of paracetamol in aqueous 0.1 M PBS solution; (*d*) The resulting oxidation peak currents vs. paracetamol concentration (n = 3).



Figure S6. (*a*) Differential pulse voltammograms of a rGO-coated carbon fibre (made using 20 CV scans) with various concentrations of dopamine in aqueous 0.1 M PBS solution; (*b*) Differential pulse voltammograms of a 20 CV scans rGO coated carbon fibre with various concentrations of ascorbic acid in aqueous 0.1 M PBS solution; (*c*) CV curves recorded with 100 μ M paracetamol (black), 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine (red), 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine and 100 μ M ascorbic acid (blue), and 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine and 100 μ M ascorbic acid (green)



Figure S7. (a) Differential pulse voltammograms of a PPy- rGO coated carbon fibre with various concentrations of dopamine in aqueous 0.1 M PBS solution; (b) CV curves recorded with 100 μ M paracetamol (black), 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine (red), 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine (red), 100 μ M dopamine and 100 μ M ascorbic acid (blue), and 100 μ M paracetamol with the presence of 100 μ M dopamine of 100 μ M dopamine and 100 μ M ascorbic acid (green).