1 Supplemental data



2

3 Fig. S1 A minimum of two populations for MS2 protein are shown by the jump distance analysis. JD analysis shows the distribution of the particles' displacements in a fixed time 4 interval, plotted in a histogram (A,C,E,G). The probability-probability plots display the 5 goodness of the fit of predicted (red dotted lines) and measured data, shown with the blue 6 7 solid lines (B,D,F,H). All triple fit models are shown in blue (C,G), double fits are depicted in red (A,E). Different dotted lines represent the subpopulations for a double or triple fit, while 8 9 the solid lines represent the totality of the subpopulations. Double and triple fit, as well as the quantile-quantile plot in comparison to each other for the two different exposure times of the 10 11 tracked MS2-mVenus fusion. (A) shows the double fit for the MS2 tag tracked with 8 ms 12 exposure time and its belonging quantile-quantile plot (B). 75 ms is the other tested exposure 13 time, with the double fit (E) and quantile-quantile plot (F). The triple fit for MS2-mVenus tracked with an exposure time of 8 ms (C), its quantile-quantile plot (D) and tracked with 75 14 15 ms exposure time (G) and its belonging quantile-quantile plot (H) are shown. For the determination of the diffusive coefficient and the fraction size, square displacement analysis 16 17 (SQD) was used (I). The bubble plot shows the size of the fraction where each bubble is proportional to the area of its corresponding diffusion coefficients. Table (J) –displays SQD 18 19 results - the shown data are the population sizes in % at its fixed, corresponding diffusion coefficient $[\mu m^2 s^{-1}]$ for each condition. 20



Fig. S2 Growth curves of constructs with the MS2-mVenus fusions. Every 12 minutes, 24 measurement of the optical density (OD) was done. For each condition, cells were grown in a 25 96 well plate with the rich media Luria-Bertani (LB). Each condition consists of a biological 26 triplicate, done on three different days. Each replicate consists of eight technical replicates. 27 The LB condition is growth media without cells, that acts as a control for the OD (in grey). WT 28 is the other control for the growing behavior of B. subtilis, which consists of the B. subtilis wild 29 type 3610 without any fusion, shown in yellow. MS2-mVenus is the MS2 coat protein with a 30 mVenus fusion, shown in black. Every mRNA construct consists also of the MS2-mVenus 31 fusion. With one MS2 binding sites are the mRNAs MS2-mVenus +rplK-rplA_MS2BS1x 32 33 (orange), MS2-mVenus + rplJ-rplL_MS2BS1x (blue) and MS2-mVenus + ylxM-rplS_MS2BS1x 34 (green). With two MS2 binding sites are the mRNA constructs MS2-mVenus + *ftsY_*MS2BS2x (dark red) and MS2-mVenus + mreB-minD MS2BS2x (red). 35

36



Fig. S3 Jump distance analysis of the tracked ribosomal protein L1 with two different exposure times. Jump distance analysis shows the distribution of the particles' displacements in a fixed time interval, plotted in a histogram (A,C,E,G). The probability-probability plot displays the goodness of the fit of what is predicted (red dotted lines) and how the data actually behaves, shown with the blue solid lines (B,D,F,H). All triple fit models are shown in blue (C,G), double fits are depicted in red (A,E). Different dotted lines represent the subpopulations for a double or triple fit, while the solid lines represent the totality of the subpopulations. Double and triple fit, as well as the quantile-quantile plot in comparison to each other for the three different exposure times of the tracked L1. (A) shows L1 tracked with 20 ms exposure time and its belonging quantile-quantile plot (B). For the tracking condition with 75 ms, the double fit (E) and the quantile-quantile plot (F) are shown. The triple fit for L1 tracked with an exposure time of 20 ms (C), its quantile-quantile plot (D), and tracked with 75 ms exposure time (G) and its quantile-quantile plot (H) are shown.

- ___



58

Fig. S4 Analyze of the coat protein and the mRNA spolllE-ymfC under Rifampicin stress. For 59 the stressed conditions, 25 µg/ml Rifampicin were added to the cells for 40 minutes. (A-D) In 60 standardized cells of 1 x 3 µm, all tracks of the different fusions are projected. From white to 61 red is the low to the high probability of distribution and spatial localization of the tracks 62 represented for tracking with an exposure time of 75 ms for the (A) unstressed and (B) 63 stressed MS2-mVenus. (C) is the unstressed and (D) stressed mRNA MS2-mVenus + spolllE-64 65 ymfC MS2 binding site 2x. (E-H) Also in standardized cells of 1 x 3 μ m, all tracks of the two constructs are depicted. Blue represents free diffusive tracks, red are tracks that are restricted 66 to a confined movement in a 120 nm circle with a minimum of 8 steps. In green are shown 67 tracks with a mixed behavior between mobile and confined movement and vice versa. (E) is 68 the MS2 tag MS2-mVenus without stress and in (F) under Rifampicin stress. (G) is the 69 unstressed MS2-mVenus + spollE-ymfC MS2 binding site 2x and (H) the condition of the 70 71 mRNA under Rifampicin stress. For the determination of the diffusive coefficient and the 72 fraction size, square displacement analysis (SQD) was used (I). The bubble plot shows the size of the fraction where each bubble is proportional to the area of its corresponding diffusion 73 coefficients. It can be distinguished between 3 populations, a static (lower bubbles), a slow 74 mobile (middle bubbles) and a mobile (upper bubbles) fraction. In table (J) – another way to 75 display the SQD results - the shown data are the population sizes in % at its fixed, 76 corresponding diffusion coefficient $[\mu m^2 s^{-1}]$ for each condition. 77





time interval, plotted in a histogram (A-D,I-L). The probability-probability plot displays the

82 goodness of the fit of what is predicted (red dotted lines) and how the data actually behaves, shown with the blue solid lines (E-H,M-P). All triple fit models are shown in blue. Different 83 84 dotted lines represent the subpopulations for a triple fit, while the solid lines represent the totality of the subpopulations. The triple fit model of the jump distance analysis was chosen 85 86 for all mRNAs: (A) MS2-mVenus + comN-secDF_MS2 binding site 1x and the belonging 87 quantile-quantile plot (E), (B) MS2-mVenus + *ftsY_MS2* binding site 1x and the belonging 88 quantile-quantile plot (F), (C) MS2-mVenus + hag MS2 binding site 1x and the belonging 89 quantile-quantile plot (G), (D) MS2-mVenus + mreB-minD MS2 binding site 1x and the belonging quantile-quantile plot (H), (I) MS2-mVenus + rplJ-rplL_MS2 binding site 1x and the 90 belonging quantile-quantile plot (M), (J) MS2-mVenus + rplK-rplA_MS2 binding site 1x and the 91 belonging quantile-quantile plot (N), (K) MS2-mVenus + ylxM-rplS MS2 binding site 1x and the 92 belonging quantile-quantile plot (O) and (L) MS2-mVenus + ypbR-ypzF MS2 binding site 1x 93 with its belonging quantile-quantile plot (P). 94

95





Fig. S6 Histograms of the probability of confined tracks along long (x) – or short (y) axis of cells. Histograms correspond to Fig. 8 I-P.

105 Table S1

۱ 	ypbR-ypzF		ftsY mreB-r		ninD spoIIIE-ymfC		E-ymfC			
Cells	87	1	23	85		93				
Cells with tracks	79	1	09	77		78				
Tracks	297		51	245		263				
Tracks per cell	4.0792		.4100	3.28	06	3.5907				
3	hag	rpIJ-rp	IL rp	olK-rplA	ypbR-y	pzF	comN-secDF	ftsY	mreB-minD	ymfC_rplS
Cells	71	56		94	80		90	62	62	118
Cells with tracks	52	44		64	63		55	42	52	74
Tracks	110	136		190	150		113	113	123	158
Tracks per cell	2.1159	3.057	1	2.4958	2.6179		1.9354	2.6333	2.3835	1.9970

106

107 (A) shows the statistics for the mRNAs with two MS2 binding sites, (B) are the artificial mRNAs

108 with one MS2 binding site.

109