

Supplementary methods

Ragwitz criterion

Assuming the process X to be Markovian i.e. stochastic with finite memory, the dimension d and the delay τ can be reconstructed from univariate time series using Ragwitz criterion (Ragwitz and Kantz 2002). For a given combination of d and τ , neighbours of every state \mathbf{x}_t within a spherical neighbourhood U_ϵ of diameter ϵ are iterated one time step. The mean of iterated neighbours is the prediction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}$ of \mathbf{x}_{t+1} .

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}^{d_x} = \frac{1}{|U_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_t^{d_x})|} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{t-\Delta t}^{d_x} \in U_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_t^{d_x})} \mathbf{x}_{t-\Delta t+1}^{d_x} \quad (\text{S1})$$

Where $t-\Delta t$ indicates that spatial neighbours temporally precede \mathbf{x}_t and $|\cdot|$ indicates the number of neighbours in U_ϵ . The combination of d and τ is chosen for which the root mean squared prediction error is minimum:

$$RMSPE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+\Delta t}^{d_x} - \mathbf{x}_{t+\Delta t}^{d_x})^2}{n}} \quad (\text{S2})$$

where n is the number of states predicted.

False nearest neighbours algorithm

For deterministic systems, the phase-space dimension may be alternatively reconstructed using the false nearest neighbourhood method (FNN, Hegger and Kantz 1999):

$$FNN(d) = \sum_i \theta \left(\frac{|x_{i+1} - n(x_i)_{j+1}|}{\|\mathbf{x}_i^d - \mathbf{n}_{xi}^d\|} - Rtol \right) \wedge \theta \left(\sqrt{(\|\mathbf{x}_i^d - \mathbf{n}_{xi}^d\|)^2 - (|x_{i+1} - n(x_i)_{j+1}|)^2} - SD(X) * Atol \right), \quad (\text{S3})$$

with θ indicating the Heaviside-step function, i being the temporal index of x , j representing the temporal index of n , $n(x_i)$ indicating the next neighbor of x_i , $\| \cdot \|$ indicating the maximum norm, R_{tol} being a distance threshold, A_{tol} being a loneliness threshold and SD indicating the standard deviation. If the embedding dimension is too low, false neighbours of points in phase-space may arise due to projections. The optimal embedding dimension is thus the dimension for which the percentage of false neighbours drops to zero.

Auto-mutual information

The embedding delay may be estimated using the auto-mutual information (AMI, Fraser and Swinney 1986). Here, the shared information between the present and past of a process X is calculated as a function of a delay Δt . The Δt for which AMI drops to zero may be used as τ for the embedding:

$$AMI(X_t; X_{t-\Delta t}, \Delta t) = H(X_t) - H(X_t | X_{t-\Delta t}), \quad (S4)$$

with

$$H(X) = - \sum p(X = x) \log_2 p(X = x) \quad (S5)$$

and $p(X=x)$ being the probability of X taking on the value of x .

Publication bibliography

Fraser; Swinney (1986): Independent coordinates for strange attractors from mutual information. In *Physical review. A, General physics* 33 (2), pp. 1134–1140. DOI: 10.1103/physreva.33.1134.

Hegger, R.; Kantz, H. (1999): Improved false nearest neighbor method to detect determinism in time series data. In *Physical review. E, Statistical physics, plasmas, fluids, and related interdisciplinary topics* 60 (4 Pt B), pp. 4970–4973. DOI: 10.1103/physreve.60.4970.

Ragwitz, M.; Kantz, H. (2002): Markov models from data by simple nonlinear time series predictors in delay embedding spaces. In *PHYSICAL REVIEW E* 6505 (5), p. 6201.