Supplementary Table 1: Ethogram of most commonly observed behaviours for the animals most frequently observed to eat at giving-up density stations: brown antechinus (*Antechinus stuartii*), northern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon macrourus*), common brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), brown and black rats (*Rattus norvegicus, R. rattus*), and birds (as detailed in Fardell et al., 2021a).

Behaviour	Description
Foraging around outside of bowl	Animal is sniffing and foraging on ground outside of bowl,
	including eating away from bowl – after foraging from
	bowl (includes time eating).
Foraging from edge of bowl	Animal is foraging in the bowl, including sniffing through
	materials, from a perched on side of bowl position, and
	does not have full body in the bowl (includes time eating).
Foraging in bowl	Animal is foraging inside the bowl, including sniffing
	through materials, with full body inside the bowl (includes
	time eating).
Inspecting bowl	Sniffs and walks around bowl from outside and edge
	looking in, does not dig, sniff, or forage inside.
Inspecting treatment	Sniffs and looks closely directly at towel containing the
	treatment.
Vigilant	Animal suddenly stops a behaviour to actively look and
	listen, head and upper body may be upright or remain
	horizontal and stiff, in both positions, ears, eyes, and nose
	are alert and active.
Movement	Animal moves around the field of view either walking,
	running, jumping and or climbing.
Cleans self or other	Licks / chews / scratches at stomach ventral side, feet or
	hands, licks hands and then brushes at head / ears, either
	on self or to other, includes close sniffing of other
	individual.
Fights other	Chases, launches at, or rears and attacks other individual.
Rapid exit	Animal exits bowl and moves off screen suddenly and very
	quickly, is not measured in seconds to leave screen as is
	subjective to species instead this is recorded as a question
	answer (yes, no, or n/a- if still in bowl, or never entered
	bowl).

Supplementary Table 2: Generalised linear mixed models with zero inflation and dispersion parameter results, for givingup density (GUD) responses under the explanatory variables of treatment and environment. Random effects were survey session (1-4), survey night (1-6), and station number (1-24 per environment). Surveys were conducted across an urban disturbance gradient between January and September of 2019. Estimates given are on the log scale. Significant results are bold.

Conditional model (estimate on log scale):				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far)	0.25	0.25	1.00	0.3194000
Treatment: cat	0.29	0.26	1.11	0.2667000
Treatment: cat with disturbance	0.99	0.22	4.60	0.0000042
Treatment: dist	0.48	0.20	2.36	0.0183000
Treatment: fox	0.38	0.26	1.45	0.1463000
Treatment: fox with disturbance	0.89	0.21	4.32	0.0000159
Treatment: pre-treatment	0.54	0.22	2.45	0.0143000
Location: mid	1.33	0.21	6.37	0.0000000
Location: close	0.47	0.27	1.75	0.0805000
Treatment: cat, Location: mid	-0.19	0.31	-0.61	0.5447000
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: mid	-0.54	0.27	-2.00	0.0458000
Treatment: disturbance, Location: mid	0.01	0.26	0.04	0.9695000
Treatment: fox, Location: mid	-0.25	0.31	-0.79	0.4290000
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: mid	-0.51	0.26	-1.98	0.0478000
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: mid	-0.09	0.22	-0.41	0.6816000
Treatment: cat, Location: close	-0.17	0.36	-0.48	0.6314000
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: close	-0.51	0.33	-1.53	0.1258000
Treatment: disturbance, Location: close	-0.09	0.32	-0.28	0.7811000
Treatment: fox, Location: close	-0.41	0.38	-1.10	0.2702000
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: close	-0.60	0.33	-1.82	0.0692000
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: close	0.02	0.31	0.08	0.9388000
Zero-inflation model:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far)	-16.84	1659.67	-0.01	0.9920000
Treatment: cat	14.51	1659.67	0.01	0.9930000
Treatment: cat with disturbance	15.04	1659.67	0.01	0.9930000
Treatment: dist	-11.04	408617.22	0.00	1.0000000
Treatment: fox	15.15	1659.67	0.01	0.9930000
Treatment: fox with disturbance	13.94	1659.67	0.01	0.9930000
Treatment: pre-treatment	15.83	1659.67	0.01	0.9920000
Location: mid	-28.54	297554.41	0.00	1.0000000
Location: close	-1.43	1.33	-1.07	0.2830000
Dispersion model:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: session 1, far)	-0.55	0.26	-2.11	0.0345000
Session 2	0.16	0.28	0.56	0.5790000
Session 3	1.02	0.21	4.81	0.0000015
Session 4	0.42	0.32	1.31	0.1907000
Location: mid	1.57	0.28	5.64	0.0000000

2.01

Location: close

0.26

7.78

0.0000000

Supplementary Table 3: Generalised linear mixed models with zero inflation and dispersion parameter results, for the number of fauna visitors to giving-up density stations under the interaction of the explanatory variables of treatment and environment. Random effects were survey session (1-4), survey night (1-6), and station number (1-24 per environment). Surveys were conducted across an urban disturbance gradient between January and September of 2019. Estimates given are on the log scale. Significant results are bold.

Conditional model (estimate on log scale):				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far)	2.48	0.09	26.19	< 0.00001
Treatment: cat	-0.06	0.08	-0.79	0.432658
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.30	0.09	-3.36	0.000793
Treatment: disturbance	-0.28	0.09	-3.19	0.001437
Treatment: fox	0.06	0.08	0.71	0.478136
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-0.17	0.08	-2.05	0.040596
Treatment: pre-treatment	0.17	0.09	1.87	0.061515
Location: mid	-0.79	0.13	-6.30	< 0.00001
Location: close	-1.13	0.13	-8.46	< 0.00001
Treatment: cat, Location: mid	0.01	0.14	0.04	0.969212
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: mid	-0.21	0.16	-1.39	0.165481
Treatment: disturbance, Location: mid	-0.16	0.16	-1.05	0.292697
Treatment: fox, Location: mid	-0.08	0.14	-0.56	0.576497
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: mid	-0.41	0.15	-2.70	0.006925
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: mid	-0.44	0.10	-4.21	0.000026
Treatment: cat, Location: mid	0.21	0.14	1.49	0.135750
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: close	0.39	0.15	2.59	0.009698
Treatment: disturbance, Location: close	0.33	0.15	2.15	0.031300
Treatment: fox, Location: close	0.40	0.14	2.86	0.004209
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: close	0.28	0.15	1.92	0.054387
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: close	0.37	0.11	3.38	0.000726
Zero-inflation model:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far)	-19.09	1300.76	-0.02	0.988000
Treatment: cat	14.87	1300.76	0.01	0.991000
Treatment: cat with disturbance	14.04	1300.77	0.01	0.991000
Treatment: disturbance	14.96	1300.76	0.01	0.991000
Treatment: fox	-8.15	60526.69	0.00	1.000000
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-5.65	26984.81	0.00	1.000000
Treatment: pre-treatment	14.93	1300.76	0.01	0.991000
Location: mid	0.85	0.64	1.33	0.185000
Location: close	0.14	0.72	0.20	0.844000
Dispersion model:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: session 1, far)	0.61	0.22	2.82	0.004790
Session 2	-0.98	0.35	-2.85	0.004340
Session 3	-2.28	0.72	-3.17	0.001510
Session 4	-0.46	0.25	-1.82	0.068670
Location: mid	-0.45	0.32	-1.39	0.165610
Location: close	-0.62	0.24	-2.64	0.008260

Supplementary Table 4: Generalised linear mixed models with zero inflation and dispersion parameter results, for the average time spent foraging at giving-up density feeding stations under the explanatory variables of treatment and environment. Random effects were survey session (1-4), survey night (1-6), and station number (1-24 per environment). Surveys were conducted across an urban disturbance gradient between January and September of 2019. Estimates given are on the log scale. Significant results are bold.

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far, brown antechinus)	-0.23	0.19	-1.20	0.22844
Treatment: cat	-0.20	0.11	-1.85	0.06463
Treatment: cat with disturbance	0.00	0.12	-0.02	0.98349
Treatment: disturbance	0.33	0.11	2.94	0.00333
Treatment: fox	-0.05	0.10	-0.50	0.61460
Treatment: fox with disturbance	0.20	0.11	1.84	0.06523
Treatment: pre-treatment	-0.37	0.24	-1.55	0.12043
Location: mid	0.05	0.14	0.36	0.71883
Location: close	0.28	0.15	1.93	0.05426
Species: northern brown bandicoot	1.04	0.06	17.04	0.00000
Species: bird	-0.30	0.07	-4.15	0.00003
Species: common brushtail possum	-1.79	0.22	-8.08	0.00000
Species: brown and black rats	0.18	0.04	4.40	0.00001
Treatment: cat, Location: mid	0.11	0.19	0.57	0.56926
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: mid	0.40	0.21	1.88	0.05967
Treatment: disturbance, Location: mid	-0.26	0.21	-1.23	0.21752
Treatment: fox, Location: mid	-0.20	0.19	-1.08	0.27989
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: mid	0.19	0.21	0.94	0.34812
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: mid	0.10	0.14	0.67	0.50492
Treatment: cat, Location: close	-0.26	0.18	-1.43	0.15387
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: close	-0.08	0.20	-0.38	0.70311
Treatment: disturbance, Location: close	-0.28	0.19	-1.46	0.14462
Treatment: fox, Location: close	-0.08	0.18	-0.45	0.64989
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: close	-0.23	0.19	-1.21	0.22774
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: close	-0.74	0.14	-5.33	0.00000
Zero-inflation model:				

Conditional model (estimate on log scale):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, brown antechinus)	-2.98	0.33	-9.16	0.00000
Treatment: cat	0.26	0.43	0.62	0.53568
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.30	0.48	-0.62	0.53841
Treatment: disturbance	-0.63	0.51	-1.25	0.21018
Treatment: fox	-0.10	0.44	-0.22	0.82563
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-0.05	0.46	-0.11	0.91216
Treatment: pre-treatment	-0.20	0.33	-0.61	0.54456
Species: northern brown bandicoot	-2.38	0.93	-2.57	0.01028
Species: bird	0.29	0.32	0.90	0.37005
Species: common brushtail possum	4.98	0.25	19.67	0.00000
Species: brown and black rats	-0.78	0.29	-2.70	0.00696

Dispersion model:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: session 1, control, far, brown antechinus)	2.16	0.13	16.13	0.00000
Session 2	0.25	0.09	2.71	0.00682
Session 3	0.26	0.09	2.85	0.00443
Session 4	0.34	0.09	3.70	0.00022
Treatment: cat	-0.29	0.15	-1.92	0.05457
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.46	0.15	-3.01	0.00263
Treatment: disturbance	-0.25	0.16	-1.59	0.11120
Treatment: fox	-0.05	0.15	-0.31	0.75375
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-0.24	0.16	-1.55	0.12067
Treatment: pre-treatment	-0.33	0.11	-2.84	0.00452
Location: mid	-0.96	0.08	-12.61	0.00000
Location: close	-0.82	0.08	-9.94	0.00000
Species: northern brown bandicoot	0.47	0.10	4.60	0.00000
Species: bird	-1.44	0.10	-15.09	0.00000
Species: common brushtail possum	-0.76	0.23	-3.32	0.00089
Species: brown and black rats	0.36	0.08	4.63	0.00000

Supplementary Table 5: Generalised linear mixed models with zero inflation and dispersion parameter results, for the average time spent vigilant on camera under the explanatory variables of treatment and environment. Random effects were survey session (1-4), survey night (1-6), and station number (1-24 per environment). Surveys were conducted across an urban disturbance gradient between January and September of 2019. Estimates given are on the log scale. Significant results are bold.

Conditional model (estimate on log scale):				
	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far, brown antechinus)	-3.35	0.12	-27.09	0.000000
Treatment: cat	0.12	0.12	1.02	0.306461
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.16	0.15	-1.03	0.302271
Treatment: disturbance	-0.39	0.14	-2.72	0.006553
Treatment: fox	-0.07	0.13	-0.57	0.566572
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-0.40	0.15	-2.73	0.006335
Treatment: pre-treatment	-0.34	0.11	-3.10	0.001911
Location: mid	0.02	0.16	0.10	0.923758
Location: close	-0.27	0.16	-1.64	0.101079
Species: northern brown bandicoot	0.40	0.10	3.92	0.000088
Species: bird	-0.05	0.18	-0.27	0.786941
Species: common brushtail possum	1.16	0.11	10.68	0.000000
Species: brown and black rats	0.72	0.07	10.48	0.000000
Treatment: cat, Location: mid	-0.04	0.22	-0.19	0.847798
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: mid	-0.23	0.30	-0.78	0.432769
Treatment: disturbance, Location: mid	0.23	0.25	0.91	0.364377
Treatment: fox, Location: mid	0.18	0.22	0.81	0.420011
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: mid	0.10	0.26	0.38	0.701069

Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: mid	-0.09	0.16	-0.58	0.563744	
Treatment: cat, Location: close	-0.29	0.21	-1.38	0.167121	
Treatment: cat with disturbance, Location: close	0.76	0.23	3.30	0.000976	
Treatment: disturbance, Location: close	0.70	0.23	3.04	0.002368	
Treatment: fox, Location: close	-0.01	0.21	-0.03	0.977015	
Treatment: fox with disturbance, Location: close	0.55	0.24	2.32	0.020266	
Treatment: pre-treatment, Location: close	0.03	0.16	0.20	0.841394	
Zero-inflation model:					

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: control, far, brown antechinus)	-1.19	0.31	-3.88	0.000106
Treatment: cat	0.02	0.37	0.05	0.963727
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.52	0.75	-0.70	0.483656
Treatment: disturbance	-20.54	8211.65	0.00	0.998004
Treatment: fox	-0.34	0.39	-0.88	0.378487
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-2.52	2.14	-1.17	0.240629
Treatment: pre-treatment	-1.33	0.48	-2.74	0.006107
Location: mid	0.70	0.51	1.38	0.168118
Location: close	-1.23	0.58	-2.13	0.033004
Species: northern brown bandicoot	0.56	0.77	0.73	0.466487
Species: bird	0.73	0.85	0.86	0.388283
Species: common brushtail possum	0.63	0.70	0.89	0.371397
Species: brown and black rats	-17.53	933.54	-0.02	0.985017

Dispersion model:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept: session 1, control, far, brown antechinus)	3.60	0.20	17.72	0.000000
Session 2	-0.41	0.12	-3.36	0.000784
Session 3	-0.38	0.12	-3.15	0.001629
Session 4	-0.71	0.11	-6.21	0.000000
Treatment: cat	-0.38	0.21	-1.80	0.071961
Treatment: cat with disturbance	-0.92	0.23	-4.09	0.000043
Treatment: disturbance	-0.78	0.21	-3.76	0.000170
Treatment: fox	-0.50	0.21	-2.41	0.015843
Treatment: fox with disturbance	-0.84	0.21	-3.93	0.000084
Treatment: pre-treatment	-0.27	0.17	-1.57	0.117222
Location: mid	-0.64	0.13	-4.86	0.000001
Location: close	-0.24	0.12	-2.10	0.035442
Species: northern brown bandicoot	0.06	0.17	0.33	0.742610
Species: bird	-1.95	0.18	-10.88	0.000000
Species: common brushtail possum	-1.16	0.17	-6.73	0.000000
Species: brown and black rats	0.09	0.14	0.67	0.502382

Supplementary Table 6: Overlap coefficient for the hours active at giving-up density stations (baited with live mealworms) for each frequently observed small mammal species (brown antechinus, northern brown bandicoot, brown and black rats combined, and common brushtail possum) along the three urban disturbance gradient environments (close, mid, far), under the different treatment conditions compared to the procedural control (pre-treatment: three nights of trials under natural conditions before the treatment trials, disturbance: human disturbance cues of continual sound and light, cat: domestic cat cues of cat integumentary scent captured on towels placed in their bedding, cat and disturbance: the combination of these stressors, fox: red fox cues of fox integumentary scent captured on towels placed in their bedding, fox and disturbance: the combination of these stressors). Results in bold are those notably lower in overlap for hours active.

Overlap Coefficient with control treatment conditions					
Species	Treatment	close	mid	far	
antechinus	pre-treatment	0.8	0.81	0.89	
antechinus	disturbance	0.72	0.83	0.9	
antechinus	cat	0.86	0.9	0.92	
antechinus	cat disturbance	0.85	0.86	0.9	
antechinus	fox	0.91	0.91	0.95	
antechinus	fox disturbance	0.85	0.68	0.87	
bandicoot	pre-treatment	0.76	0.36	na	
bandicoot	disturbance	0.91	0.72	na	
bandicoot	cat	0.82	0.68	na	
bandicoot	cat disturbance	0.92	0.55	na	
bandicoot	fox	0.9	0.66	na	
bandicoot	fox disturbance	0.87	0.82	na	
rat	pre-treatment	0.92	0.81	0.86	
rat	disturbance	0.92	0.77	0.85	
rat	cat	0.76	0.84	0.91	
rat	cat disturbance	0.92	0.83	0.89	
rat	fox	0.86	0.85	0.86	
rat	fox disturbance	0.88	0.79	0.85	
brushtail	pre-treatment	na	0.66	0.86	
brushtail	disturbance	na	0.81	0.84	
brushtail	cat	na	0.62	0.78	
brushtail	cat disturbance	na	0.73	0.74	
brushtail	fox	na	0.6	0.82	
brushtail	fox disturbance	na	0.55	0.7	

Supplementary Table 7: Overlap coefficients for the hours active between the observed species (brown antechinus, northern brown bandicoot, birds, common brushtail possum, domestic cat, pet dogs, short-beaked echidna, red fox, lace monitor, pet horse, person, brown and black rats combined, common ringtail possum) along the three urban disturbance gradient environments (close, mid, far), under the combined treatment conditions, at both giving-up density stations and baited predator cameras. Results in bold are the lowest overlap in hours active for each pairing of species.

Overlap Coefficient for species groups per location						
SppGroup1	SppGroup2	Close	Mid	Far		
antechinus	fox	0.4	0.18	0.55		
bandicoot	fox	0.46	0.2	0.49		
intro rat	fox	0.57	0.22	0.5		
brushtail	fox	0.53	0.22	0.53		
ringtail	fox	0.31	Nil	0.71		
echidna	fox	Nil	0.08	0.12		
bird	fox	0.52	0.009	0.03		

	antechinus	dog	0.25	0.08	0.008
	bandicoot	dog	0.16	0.04	1E-11
	intro rat	dog	0.08	0.05	3E-06
	brushtail	dog	0.1	0.04	3E-06
	ringtail	dog	0.0009	Nil	9E-07
	echidna	dog	Nil	0.002	0.02
	bird	dog	0.77	0.23	0.22
	antechinus	cat	0.47	Nil	Nil
	bandicoot	cat	0.4	Nil	Nil
	intro rat	cat	0.49	Nil	Nil
	brushtail	cat	0.39	Nil	Nil
	ringtail	cat	0.42	Nil	Nil
	echidna	cat	Nil	Nil	Nil
	bird	cat	0.36	Nil	Nil
	antechinus	lace monitor	Nil	0.04	0.05
	bandicoot	lace monitor	Nil	0.03	9E-13
	intro rat	lace monitor	Nil	0.02	0.001
	brushtail	lace monitor	Nil	0.02	0.001
	ringtail	lace monitor	Nil	Nil	5E-08
	echidna	lace monitor	Nil	0.0001	0.31
	bird	lace monitor	Nil	0.58	0.41
	antechinus	person	0.21	0.07	0.02
	bandicoot	person	0.14	0.03	7E-09
	intro rat	person	0.09	0.03	0.0004
	brushtail	person	0.09	0.03	0.0004
	ringtail	person	0.005	Nil	3E-05
	echidna	person	Nil	0.004	0.06
	bird	person	0.77	0.5	0.14
	bandicoot	antechinus	0.77	0.75	0.26
	intro rat	antechinus	0.75	0.81	0.74
	brushtail	antechinus	0.71	0.73	0.71
	ringtail	antechinus	0.37	Nil	0.42
	echidna	antechinus	Nil	0.59	0.46
	bird	antechinus	0.35	0.15	0.31
	intro rat	bandicoot	0.78	0.8	0.23
	brushtail	bandicoot	0.81	0.82	0.29
	ringtail	bandicoot	0.33	Nil	0.72
	echidna	bandicoot	Nil	0.7	0.01
	bird	bandicoot	0.25	0.07	4E-05
	brushtail	rat	0.73	0.88	0.84
	ringtail	rat	0.44	Nil	0.4
	echidna	rat	Nil	0.65	0.51
	bird	rat	0.17	0.07	0.13
	ringtail	brushtail	0.32	Nil	0.48
	echidna	brushtail	Nil	0.7	0.46
-	bird	brushtail	0.2	0.05	0.12