**Supplemental Table 1**: Medical subject headings (MeSH) and non-MeSH terms used to search relevant publications on the relation between DAL and bone health1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Concept 1** | (Bone OR "Fractures, Bone" OR fractures OR fracture OR BMD OR “bone mineral density” OR “bone turnover” OR Osteoporosis OR Osteopenia OR “Bone health” OR BMC OR “bone mineral content” OR “Bone Density” OR “bone mass density”) |
| **Concept 2** | (“Dietary acid load” OR “dietary acid-base load” OR “dietary acidity” OR “net acid load” OR “acid excretion” OR “potential renal acid load” OR PRAL OR “net endogenous acid production” OR NEAP OR “protein to potassium ratio” OR “protein/ potassium ratio” OR “potential renal acid load”) |

1The combination of terms as mentioned above was used to search online databases: ("concept 1" AND "concept 2")

**Supplemental Table 2:** Characteristics of observational studies included in the current systematic review and meta-analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study | Design | Country | Age | Sample size | DAL assessmentmethod | Dietary assessment tool | Outcome | BMD (means± SDs) |  |  | NOS score |
| Lowest category | Highest category | RR (95 % CI) | B |
| McLean et al. 2011 | Cross-sectional (Framingham Original study)  | US | 68-92 | M:371 | PRAL | FFQ | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.889±0.1731.292±0.336 | 0.859±0.1661.295±0.332 | - | - | 8 |
| F:587 | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.716±0.1221.110±0.244 | 0.728±0.1201.087±0.239 |
| M:371 | NEAP | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.885±0.1741.276±0.338 | 0.842±0.1591.251±0.328 |
| F:587 | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.716±0.1221.103±0.231 | 0.737±0.1211.079±0.242 |
| Cross-sectional (Framingham Offspring Study) | 35-86 | M:1269 | PRAL | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.970±0.1251.327±0.196 | 0.970±0.1251.304±0.196 | - | - | 8 |
| F:1611 | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.865±0.1201.157±0.180 | 0.875±0.1201.159±0.180 |
| M:1269 | NEAP | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.972±0.1241.315±0.213 | 0.975±0.1251.338±0.196 |
| F:1611 | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.867±0.1201.157±0.181 | 0.866±0.1211.148±0.180 |
| Garcia-Gavilan et al.2020 | Cross-sectional(PREDIMED-Plus) | Spain | 55-80 | M/F: 1102 | PRAL | FFQ | Total Femur BMDFemoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMDTrochanter BMDFemoral diaphysis | 1.100±0.0070.930±0.0071.170±0.0100.840±0.0061.120±0.009 | 1.030±0.0070.910±0.0071.160±0.0100.870±0.0061.240±0.008 | - | - | 8 |
| NEAP | Total Femur BMDFemoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMDTrochanter BMDFemoral diaphysis | 1.010±0.0070.930±0.0071.170±0.0100.840±0.0061.220±0.008 | 1.030±0.0070.910±0.0071.160±0.0100.870±0.0061.240±0.008 | - | - |
| Longitudinal study (PREDIMED) | M/F: 870 | PRAL | Fracture | - | - | 1.91 (1.14-3.19) | - | 6 |
| NEAP | 1.87 (1.1-3.17) |
| Mangano et al.2014 | Cohort (NHANES) | US | ≥60 | M: 1218 | PRAL | Food recall | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.780±0.1341.084±0.302 | 0.776±0.1671.076±0.260 | - | - | 8 |
| F: 907 | Femoral neck BMDProximal Femur BMD | 0.706±0.1130.847±0.154 | 0.723±0.1540.842±0.113 |
| M: 1218 | NEAP | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.780±0.1341.072±0.306 | 0.783±0.1531.084±0.302 | - | - |
| F: 907 | Femoral neck BMDProximal femur BMD | 0.706±0.1190.849±0.159 | 0.722±0.1750.836±0.119 |
| Macdonald et al. 2005 | Cross-sectional | Scotland | 54.9 | F: 2929 | NEAP | FFQ | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.833±0.1201.002±0.160 | 0.835±0.1201.008±0.170 | - | - | 5 |
| New et al. 2004 | Cross-sectional | UK | 45-54 | F: 1056 | NEAP | FFQ | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMDForearm total BMDFemoral Ward’s area BMD | 0.893±0.0291.054±0.0160.382±0.0140.824±0.039 | 0.874±0.0271.074±0.0160.417±0.0140.852±0.047 | - | - | 6 |
| Rahbar et al. 2009 | Cross-sectional | Iran | 20-72 | F: 165 | NEAP | FFQ | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMDDistal third of radius BMD | 0.947±0.1420.739±0.1640.331±0.108 | 0.964±0.1490.898±0.1650.501±0.110 | - | - | 5 |
| Hayhoe et al. 2020 | Cohort (EPIC-Norfolk) | UK | 59.7 | M: 11511 | PRAL | Food diary | BUAFracture | 90.02±17.33 | 90.37±17.29 | 1.33 (1.03-1.72) | - | 7 |
| 58.9 | F: 13927 | BUAFracture | 72.78±14.11 | 71.67±14.07 | 1.20 (1.02-1.41) |
| Dargent-Molina et l. 2008 | Cohort (E3N) | France | 56.1 | F: 36217 | PRAL | Food recall | Fracture | - | - | 1.05 (0.93-1.19) |  | 7 |
| Jia et al. 2014 | Cohort (PIVUS) | Sweden | 70 | M/F: 861 | PRAL | Food record | Total body T-scoreLumbar spine T-scoreFemoral neck T-scoreTotal hip T-scoreFracture | - | - | 0.93 (0.55-1.55) | -0.02-0.02-0.02-0.02 | 6 |
| NEAP | Total body T-scoreLumbar spine T-scoreFemoral neck T-scoreTotal hip T-scoreFracture | - | - | 1.03 (0.57-1.85) | -0.05-0.03-0.05-0.06 |
| Papageorgiou et al. 2020 | Cross-sectional | Denmark | 65.1 | M/F: 704 | PRAL | Food record | Lumbar spine BMDTotal hip BMDTotal radius BMD | - | - | - | 0.00080.0001-0.0001 | 7 |
| De Jonge et al.2017 | Cross-sectional | Netherlands | 52-82 | M/F: 4672 | NEAP | FFQ | Trabecular bone scoreBone mineral density | - | - | - | -0.04-0.02 | 8 |
| Wynn et al. 2008 | Cross-sectional | Swiss | 80.6 | F: 256 | NEAP | FFQ | BUA | 98.8±9.5 | 95.6±1.1 | - | - | 4 |
| Thorpe et al. 2008 | Cross-sectional | US | 67.9 | F: 161 | PRAL | Food recall | Lumbar spine BMDTotal hip BMD | - | - | - | -0.030.12 | 4 |
| NEAP | Lumbar spine BMDTotal hip BMD | -0.030.08 |
| Shariati-Bafghi et al. 2014 | Cross-sectional | Iran | 50-85 | F: 151 | RNAE | FFQ | Femoral neck BMDLumbar spine BMD | 0.67+0.090.90+0.12 | 0.66+0.090.82+0.14 | - | - | 5 |

 Abbreviation: DAL: dietary acid load- BMD: bone mineral density- NEAP: net endogenous acid production- PRAL: potential renal acid load- BUA: broadband ultrasound attenuation- CI: confidence interval- M: male- F: female- FFQ: food frequency questionnaire- US: United States- RR: risk ratio

**Supplemental Table 3:** Subgroup analysis for the association of NEAP and BMD

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Femoral neck BMD | Lumbar spine BMD |
|  |  | ES (95% CI) | N2 | I2 (%) | P-heterogeneity4 |  ES (95% CI)3 | ES, n | I2 (%) | P-heterogeneity |
| Gender  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Both | -0.003 (-0.020 to -0.014) | 4 | 88.1 | <0.001 | -0.005 (-0.020 to 0.011) | 4 | 51.3 | 0.10 |
|  | female | -0.012 (-0.026 to -0.002) | 3 | 70.4 | 0.03 | -0.051 (-0.091 to -0.010) | 3 | 94.7 | <0.001 |
| Study location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | US | 0.004 (-0.006 to 0.013) | 3 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.001 (-0.022 to 0.025) | 3 | 27.9 | 0.25 |
|  | Non-US | -0.017 (-0.022 to -0.012) | 4 | 65.7 | 0.03 | -0.021 (-0.032 to -0.009) | 4 | 96.2 | <0.001 |
| Age  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age≥60 | -0.003 (-0.020 to 0.014) | 4 | 88.1 | <0.001 | -0.005 (-0.020 to 0.011) | 4 | 51.3 | 0.10 |
|  | Age<60 | -0.012 (-0.026 to 0.002) | 3 | 70.4 | 0.03 | -0.051 (-0.091 to -0.010) | 3 | 94.7 | <0.001 |
| Dietary assessment tools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FFQ | -0.012 (-0.019 to -0.005) | 6 | 78.6 | <0.001 | -0.016 (-0.027 to -0.005) | 6 | 94.1 | <0.001 |
|  | Food recall | 0.008 (-0.009 to 0.025)  | 1 | - | - | -0.012 (-0.059 to 0.035) | 1 | - | - |
| Adjustment for physical activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | -0.003 (-0.020 to 0.014) | 4 | 88.1 | <0.001 | -0.005 (-0.020 to 0.011) | 4 | 51.3 | 0.10 |
|  | No  | -0.012 (-0.026 to 0.002) | 3 | 70.4 | 0.03 | -0.051 (-0.091 to -0.010) | 3 | 94.7 | <0.001 |
| Adjustment for smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | -0.003 (-0.020 to 0.014) | 4 | 88.1 | <0.001 | -0.005 (-0.020 to 0.011) | 4 | 51.3 | 0.10 |
|  | No | -0.012 (-0.026 to 0.002)  | 3 | 70.4 | 0.03 | -0.051 (-0.091 to -0.010) | 3 | 94.7 | <0.001 |
| Adjustment for alcohol  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes  | 0.004 (-0.006 to 0.013) | 3 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.001 (-0.022 to 0.025) | 3 | 27.9 | 0.25 |
|  | No  | -0.017 (-0.022 to -0.012) | 4 | 65.7 | 0.03 | -0.021 (-0.032 to -0.009) | 4 | 96.2 | <0.001 |
| Adjustment for energy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | -0.003 (-0.020 to 0.014) | 4 | 88.1 | <0.001 | -0.005 (-0.020 to 0.011) | 4 | 51.3 | 0.10 |
|  | No | -0.012 (-0.026 to 0.002) | 3 | 70.4 | 0.03 | -0.051 (-0.091 to -0.010) | 3 | 94.7 | <0.001 |
|  | Adjustment for weight  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | -0.011 (-0.018 to -0.003) | 6 | 80.2 | <0.001 | -0.018 (-0.029 to -0.006) | 6 | 94.1 | <0.001 |
|  | No | -0.002 (-0.014 to 0.010) | 1 | - | - | -0.006 (-0.022 to 0.010) | 1 | - | - |

1Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; US, United States

2Number of risk estimates

3Obtained from the random-effects model

4Inconsistency- the percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity

5Obtained from the Q-test