

Supplementary Material

1 CUBIC SMOOTHING SPLINE FIT

For obtaining missing data (joint acceleration, base link velocity and acceleration) we apply a cubic smoothing spline fit the source data De Boor (1978). The smoothing spline minimizes the term

$$p\sum_{j=i}^{n} w_j |y_j - f(x_j)|^2 + (1-p)\int \lambda(t) |D^2 f(t)|^2 dt$$
(S1)

where the first and second term are for the error and roughness measure, respectively. The second derivative of f is denoted as $D^2 f$. We apply a smoothing parameter p of 0.99.

2 EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Table S1. Differences in joint angles. The table compares the differences of the actuated joints during the StS-transitions among all trials for the two different protocols *P*1-lower chair height and *P*2-increased ankle distance. For each joint the avg. [std] and the L2 norm of the difference in degrees between the actual and simulated data are reported.

	Trial	Hip Join	nt	Knee Jo	oint	Ankle Joint		
1	115%	0.98 [1.3]	9.14	0.07 [0.11]	0.72	0.04 [0.03]	0.29	
Protocol	110%	3.41 [3.24]	25.94	0.28 [0.43]	2.83	0.15 [0.14]	1.14	
	105%	2.12 [2.66]	16.14	0.01 [0.01]	0.07	0.01 [0.01]	0.05	
	100%	9.51 [13.81]	78.69	0.04 [0.06]	0.34	0.02 [0.02]	0.16	
5	25%	0.42 [0.44]	3.44	0.13 [0.22]	1.44	0.08 [0.07]	0.57	
col	30%	0.77 [0.62]	5.46	0.19 [0.33]	2.1	0.12 [0.1]	0.83	
Proto	35%	1.51 [1.97]	13.14	0.1 [0.17]	1.07	0.07 [0.06]	0.51	
	40%	2.43 [2.43]	17.73	0.06 [0.08]	0.54	0.05 [0.04]	0.33	

		Torso Jo	int	Shoulder	Joint	Elbow Joint		
1	115%	0.11 [0.09]	0.8	0.09 [0.13]	0.88	0.09, [0.13]	0.88	
rotocol	110%	0.19 [0.24]	1.68	0.83 [0.88]	6.67	0.15, [0.34]	2.03	
	105%	0.54 [0.93]	5.1	0.75 [0.73]	4.96	0.1, [0.14]	0.8	
Ρ	100%	0.72 [0.86]	5.31	1.98 [2.49]	15.06	0.11, [0.18]	0.97	
2	25%	0.11 [0.06]	0.71	0.38 [0.52]	3.66	0.07 [0.08]	0.62	
col	30%	0.4 [0.45]	3.34	1.6 [1.93]	13.78	0.15 [0.34]	2.03	
roto	35%	1.11 [1.49]	9.84	2.01 [2.91]	18.71	0.15 [0.24]	1.48	
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{I}}$	40%	1.62 [1.36]	10.93	6.72 [6.95]	49.87	1.35 [2.12]	12.97	

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
ľ	1	115%	3.33 [2.59]	-0.81	7.8	[92.5, 7.5]	170.17
	Protocol	110%	2.13 [4.49]	-7.16	7.9	[67.07, 32.93]	219.38
		105%	0.68 [4.73]	-7.88	7.8	[56.25, 43.75]	213.01
IP		100%	-1.43 [8.78]	-23.23	8.05	[63.06, 36.94]	318.68
Z	7	25%	4.47 [2.43]	-0.71	7.85	[93.51, 6.49]	224.88
	col	30%	2.79 [3.15]	-4.14	7.97	[83.33, 16.67]	183.34
	roto	35%	0.12 [4.41]	-7.21	7.84	[48.89, 51.11]	187.42
	P	40%	-1.75 [6.73]	-18.28	7.79	[39.36, 60.64]	279.94

Table S2. Results based on the point based metrics. The table shows the distance from the location of the corresponding point based metric to the Base of Support (BoS) among all trials for the two different protocols *P*1-lower chair height and *P*2-increased ankle distance. For each metric and trial the aggregate functions report the avg. [std], minima, maxima, percentage [inside, outside] of the BoS and the integrated values normalized by experimental duration.

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	Protocol 1	115%	5.31 [1.26]	2.92	7.74	[100.0, 0.0]	263.46
		110%	3.03 [2.41]	-1.28	7.67	[82.93, 17.07]	163.56
		105%	1.96 [2.54]	-1.91	7.7	[70.54, 29.46]	120.97
E		100%	-2.39 [7.37]	-17.48	7.54	[61.26, 38.74]	260.06
E	rotocol 2	25%	6.02 [1.25]	3.13	7.9	[100.0, 0.0]	297.9
		30%	5.03 [1.31]	2.48	7.7	[100.0, 0.0]	251.04
		35%	4.12 [2.06]	0.36	7.75	[100.0, 0.0]	205.61
	P	40%	1.49 [2.96]	-3.62	7.44	[64.89, 35.11]	123.26

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	1	115%	5.2 [1.11]	3.55	7.64	[100.0, 0.0]	258.09
	Protocol	110%	2.94 [2.97]	-2.78	7.58	[78.05, 21.95]	182.11
		105%	1.74 [2.96]	-3.32	7.7	[67.86, 32.14]	135.25
Р		100%	-2.15 [7.63]	-18.87	7.65	[57.66, 42.34]	264.79
Ú	Protocol 2	25%	5.83 [0.85]	3.81	7.68	[100.0, 0.0]	288.53
		30%	4.75 [1.29]	2.13	7.72	[100.0, 0.0]	237.14
		35%	3.72 [2.5]	-0.28	7.78	[95.56, 4.44]	186.12
		40%	1.34 [3.34]	-3.17	7.15	[54.26, 45.74]	140.79

Table S3. Results of the COMx location and the normalized COM velocity. The two table show the results of the calculated metrics for COM(x) location relative to the sitting contact and the normalized COM velocity among all trials for the two different protocols *P*1-lower chair height and *P*2-increased ankle distance. For each metric and trial the aggregate functions report (if applicable) the avg. [std], minima, maxima, percentage [inside, outside] of the BoS and the integrated values normalized by experimental duration.

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	Protocol 1	115%	4.54 [2.48]	-0.38	7.96	[88.75, 11.25]	227.29
E		110%	6.51 [4.34]	-0.34	14.18	[89.02, 10.98]	322.84
atic		105%	4.42 [5.46]	-3.25	14.29	[66.96, 33.04]	219.29
Loc		100%	8.08 [9.17]	-2.36	27.27	[69.37, 30.63]	398.29
x	7	25%	3.82 [1.96]	-0.38	5.92	[89.61, 10.39]	189.26
MO	col	30%	5.42 [3.46]	-0.74	9.55	[83.33, 16.67]	271.6
Ũ	roto	35%	6.99 [4.65]	-0.5	14.36	[90.0, 10.0]	349.22
	P	40%	9.95 [6.31]	-2.38	18.03	[89.36, 10.64]	494.44

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	Protocol 1	115%	0.11 [0.04]	0.02	0.19	[100.0, 0.0]	5.48
city		110%	0.13 [0.05]	0.02	0.21	[100.0, 0.0]	6.68
/elo		105%	0.14 [0.08]	0.01	0.29	[100.0, 0.0]	7.14
M		100%	0.18 [0.11]	0	0.37	[100.0, 0.0]	8.91
CO CO	2	25%	0.08 [0.05]	0.02	0.16	[100.0, 0.0]	4.01
Norm. (col	30%	0.1 [0.05]	0.03	0.18	[100.0, 0.0]	5.07
	.oto	35%	0.13 [0.06]	0.02	0.24	[100.0, 0.0]	6.35
	d	40%	0.15 [0.1]	0.03	0.38	[100.0, 0.0]	7.63

Table S4. Minimum and maximum forces acting on the robot and chair. The table shows the z-forces in Nm measured by chair and force sensors in the robotic feet for the various difficulty settings among both trials.

			Proto	ocol 1		Protocol 2			
Robot		115%	110%	105%	100%	25%	30%	35%	40%
	Min	-123.8	-112.4	-136.6	-168.4	-183.4	-173.4	-163.8	-129
	Max	1012	798.2	773.2	703.2	1005.6	956.2	818.2	1048.2
lair	Min	0.32	0.1	-1.19	-3.34	0.52	0.84	0	-28.18
CF	Max	659.61	659.56	901.08	766.08	623.81	662.91	678.95	854.18

Table S5. Results based on the Angular Momentum (AM) metrics. The table reports the experimental results for the AM normalized by $m \times l^2$ where m is the mass and l the total leg length of the robot among all trials for the two different protocols P1-lower chair height and P2-increased ankle distance. For each metric and trial the aggregate functions report the avg. [std], minima, maxima, percentage [positive, negative] of the BoS and the integrated values normalized by experimental duration.

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	Protocol 1	115%	0.0013 [0.0008]	-0.0029	0.0022	[38.75, 61.25]	0.0632
		110%	0.0017 [0.0015]	-0.0052	0.0017	[56.0976, 43.9024]	0.0851
[(x)		105%	0.0005 [0.0005]	-0.0018	0.0014	[47.3214, 52.6786]	0.0271
AM		100%	0.0061 [0.0069]	-0.0233	0.0009	[20.7207, 79.2793]	0.3013
.m.	Protocol 2	25%	0.0025 [0.0014]	-0.0049	0.0045	[64.9351, 35.0649]	0.1228
No		30%	0.0043 [0.0025]	-0.0071	0.008	[36.9048, 63.0952]	0.2148
		35%	0.0022 [0.0016]	-0.0057	0.0024	[50.0, 50.0]	0.1083
		40%	0.001 [0.0008]	-0.0025	0.0012	[40.4255, 59.5745]	0.0483

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	1	115%	0.0364 [0.0208]	-0.0916	0.0423	[61.25, 38.75]	1.8246
	col	110%	0.0339 [0.0124]	-0.0558	0.0477	[67.0732, 32.9268]	1.6903
(x)	roto	105%	0.0243 [0.0154]	-0.0469	0.0579	[67.8571, 32.1429]	1.2193
AM		100%	0.0386 [0.0306]	-0.0765	0.1091	[80.1802, 19.8198]	1.9105
.m.	7	25%	0.0331 [0.0178]	-0.0744	0.039	[63.6364, 36.3636]	1.6467
Nor	col	30%	0.0325 [0.0197]	-0.0685	0.0533	[69.0476, 30.9524]	1.6375
	Proto	35%	0.0333 [0.0273]	-0.0833	0.0784	[67.7778, 32.2222]	1.6726
		40%	0.0379 [0.0234]	-0.0481	0.097	[57.4468, 42.5532]	1.8912

		Trial	Average	Min	Max	Percentage	Integral
	1	115%	0.0006 [0.0004]	-0.0013	0.0014	[46.25, 53.75]	0.0326
(z)]	col	110%	0.0005 [0.0003]	-0.001	0.001	[63.4146, 36.5854]	0.0237
	roto	105%	0.0003 [0.0003]	-0.0015	0.0007	[41.0714, 58.9286]	0.0171
AN	D	100%	0.0041 [0.0068]	-0.0017	0.0234	[59.4595, 40.5405]	0.201
m.	7	25%	0.0008 [0.0009]	-0.0035	0.0011	[45.4545, 54.5455]	0.0398
Nor	col	30%	0.0007 [0.0006]	-0.0024	0.002	[48.8095, 51.1905]	0.0347
	roto	35%	0.0006 [0.0008]	-0.0037	0.0011	[41.1111, 58.8889]	0.0311
	P	40%	0.0008 [0.0006]	-0.0024	0.0018	[52.1277, 47.8723]	0.0379

REFERENCES

De Boor, C. (1978). A practical guide to splines, vol. 27 (springer-verlag New York)