Supplementary Material

**Supplementary Table 1** Radiomic texture features from different categories performed with MaZda software

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lesion geometry (GEO) | First-order histogram (HIS) | Absolute gradient (GRA) | Run length matrix (RLM) | Co-occurrence matrix (COM) | Autoregressive model (ARM) | Wavelet transform (WAV) |
| • Total number of geometricparameters: 73 | • Mean• Variance• Skewness• Kurtosis• 1 % percentile• 10 % percentile• 50 % percentile• 90 % percentile• 99 % percentile | • Mean• Variance• Skewness• Kurtosis•Percentage of pixels with nonzero gradient | • Run length nonuniformity• Gray level nonuniformity• Long run emphasis• Short run emphasis• Fraction of image in runs | • Angular second moment• Contrast• Correlation• Sum of squares• Inverse difference moment• Sum average• Sum variance• Sum entropy• Entropy• Difference variance• Difference entropy | • Teta 1• Teta 2• Teta 3• Teta 4• Sigma | • Wavelet energy |

**Supplementary Table 2** Parameters of some abdominal MRI sequences

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sequences Parameters | T1WI  | T2WI  | DWI |
| echo time (TE) (ms)  | 1.44  | 70  | 52 |
| repetition time (TR) (ms)  | 3.1  | 1610  | 934 |
| field of view (FOV) (mm x mm)  | 280 × 305 | 280 × 305 | 280 × 305 |
| matrix  | 244 × 186  | 176 × 201  | 100 × 124 |
| slice thickness (mm)  | 3  | 7  | 7 |
| slice gap (mm)  | 1.5  | 1  | 1 |
| flip angle (degrees)  | 10  | 90  | 90 |
| number of excitations (NEXs)  | 1  | 2  | 4 |
| number of slices (slices)  | 120  | 24  | 48 |
| b values (s/mm2) | - | - | 0, and 800 |

**Supplementary Table 3** optimal features were selected based on Fisher coefficients, minimization of both classification error probability and average correlation coefficients, and mutual information coefficients

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 30 optimal texture features |  |  |
| 1 "S(3,0)Contrast" | 11 Teta4 | 21 "S(5,-5)SumOfSqs" |
| 2 "S(5,-5)Contrast" | 12 GeoX | 22 "S(5,-5)DifVarnc" |
| 3 "S(5,5)Entropy" | 13 GeoYo | 23 "S(5,-5)SumAverg" |
| 4 "S(3,-3)Contrast" | 14 "S(5,5)AngScMom" | 24 "S(5,-5)DifEntrp" |
| 5 "S(5,-5)SumEntrp" | 15 GrKurtosis | 25 "S(5,5)Contrast" |
| 6 "S(5,0)Correlat" | 16 GeoAox | 26 GeoW8 |
| 7 "S(3,3)SumOfSqs" | 17 GeoY | 27 "S(4,0)Contrast" |
| 8 "S(3,3)DifVarnc" | 18 Perc.50% | 28 "S(4,-4)SumEntrp" |
| 9 "S(3,0)DifVarnc" | 19 WavEnHH\_s-4 | 29 "S(5,-5)Entropy" |
| 10 "S(1,1)Contrast" | 20 "S(4,0)Correlat" | 30 "S(4,4)DifVarnc" |

**Supplementary Figure 1 ROC curve for the performance of quantitative metabolic and diffusion parameters to differentiate HCC with and without mVI.**



Supplementary Figure 2 Calibration curves of the hybrid model for MVI prediction in the training (a) and test (b) cohorts.
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