Fig. 2 – supplementary movie, file "Movie 1.MOV"

Reaction wavefront theory can produce a sequential pattern without defects in the presence of noise. Simulations of (A) reaction wavefront theory Eqs. (15) and (16), and (B) Eqs. (13) and (14) lacking a reaction wavefront. Activator (green line) and inhibitor (red line) dimensionless concentrations (left axis scale) together with reaction wavefront $\gamma(x,t)$ (purple line and shade, right axis scale) at different times as indicated. (C) The bottom panel shows the inhibitor sinks profile (light blue line). Time is expressed in frames and 1 frame \approx 0.0004 time units. Parameters as in Figure 2 of the main text.

Fig. 3 – supplementary movie, file "Movie 2.MOV"

A perturbation in the posterior region of the notochord vanishes in a reaction wavefront scenario. Simulations of (A) reaction wavefront theory Eqs. (15) and (16), and (B) Eqs. (13) and (14) with $\sigma = 0$, without a reaction wavefront. A perturbation is introduced in the activator at frame t = 75. Color coding and plot layout as in Fig. 3. Initial conditions are (A) random with mean $\mu = 0.01$ and standard deviation $\sigma_0 = 0.05$ and (B) vanishing except for the anterior perturbation. Time is expressed in frames and 1 frame ≈ 0.0004 time units. Other parameters as in Table I of the main text.

Fig. 5 – supplementary movie, file "Movie 3.MOV"

Sequential pattern formation is lost when the wavefront shape is too gradual. (A) Simulation of reaction wavefront theory Eqs. (15) and (16) with wavefront steepness $\beta = 0.5$. Activator (green line) and inhibitor (red line) dimensionless concentrations (left axis scale) together with reaction wavefront $\gamma(x,t)$ (purple line and shade, right axis scale) at different times as indicated. (B) The bottom panel shows the inhibitor sinks profile (light blue line). Time is expressed in frames and 1 frame ≈ 0.0004 time units. Parameters as in Figure 5 of the main text.

Fig. 6A – supplementary movie, file "Movie 4.MOV"

Unmatched sinks are the predominant type of defect for sufficiently small sink profile wavelengths. (A) Simulation of reaction wavefront theory Eqs. (15) and (16) for a sink profile of wavelength λ/λ_0 = 0.86. Here λ_0 = 0.398 is the wavelength of the unforced pattern. Activator (green line) and inhibitor (red line) dimensionless concentrations (left axis scale) together with reaction wavefront $\gamma(x,t)$ (purple line and shade, right axis scale) at different times as indicated. (B) The bottom panel shows the inhibitor sinks profile (light blue line). Time is expressed in frames and 1 frame \approx 0.0004 time units. Parameters as in Figure 6 of the main text.

Fig. 6B – supplementary movie, file "Movie 5.MOV"

Misplaced peaks are the predominant type of defect for sufficiently large sink profile wavelengths. (A) Simulation of reaction wavefront theory Eqs. (15) and (16) for a sink profile of wavelength λ/λ_0 = 2.086. Here λ_0 = 0.398 is the wavelength of the unforced pattern. Activator (green line) and inhibitor (red line) dimensionless concentrations (left axis scale) together with reaction wavefront $\gamma(x,t)$ (purple line and shade, right axis scale) at different times as indicated. (B) The bottom panel shows the inhibitor sinks profile (light blue line). Time is expressed in frames and 1 frame \approx 0.0004 time units. Parameters as in Figure 6 of the main text.