

Supplementary Material for

Precipitation-derived effects on the characteristics of proteinaceous organic matter across the continental United States

Hualong Hong^{1,4*}, Li Ma^{1*}, David B. Smith³, Haoliang Lu⁴, Chongling Yan⁴, Kang Xia¹, Mark A. Williams^{1,2**}

¹ School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

² Rhizosphere and Soil Microbial Ecology Laboratory, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

³ US Geological Survey, MS 973, Denver, CO 80225, USA

⁴Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Coastal and Wetland Ecosystems, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China

*co-first author with equal contribution

** Correspondence: Corresponding Authors Mark A. Williams: markwill@vt.edu

List of contents

Figure S1 Soil texture of the investigated subset of USGS samples.

Figure S2 Chromatograms of derivatized amino acids.

Figure S3 Comparison of the molar ratios of HAAs in soils of different plant types.

Figure S4 Relationship between total hydrolyzable amino acids and soil organic carbon.

Figure S5 Comparison of the relative molar ratios of HAAs in soils of different plant types.

Figure S6 Relationship between non-polar: polar AA and soil organic carbon.

Figure S7 Relationship between relative molar ratios of HAAs and soil organic carbon.

Figure S8 Mean molar ratio of different HAAs.

Figure S9 Mean molar ratio of HAAs in different groups.

Figure S10 Changes in soil hydrolysable amino acids show different trends in the A relative to the C horizon along the North-South (MAT) gradient of continental US.

Figure S11 Correlation matrix between molar ratios of top ten richest HAAs.

Figure S12 PCoA-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

Figure S13 NMDS-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

Figure S14 PCA-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

Figure S15 Correlation matrix between environmental factors.

Figure S16 Correlation matrix between the HAAs' molar ratios and environmental factors.

Figure S17 Correlation between the molar ratios of some representative HAAs (Asx%, Glx%, Ser%, and Ala%) with environmental factors in A- and C- horizon.

Figure S18 Correlation of the HAAs' characteristics in carbon cycle (degradation index and contribution to organic carbon pool) with environmental factors (annual precipitation, organic carbon, and pH) in A- and C- horizon .

Figure S19 Distribution of annual mean temperature and precipitation for each VET.

Table S1 ANOVA results on 7 HAAs exhibiting strong correlation with the PCoA axes.

Table S2 PERMANOVA results on VET and environmental factors influencing HAAs .



Figure S1 Soil texture of the investigated subset of USGS samples.

(A) A-horizon, North-South transect, (B) C-horizon, North-South transect, (C) A-horizon, East-West transect, (D) C-horizon, East-West transect. The soil texture data was collected from The Web Soil Survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx).



Figure S2. Chromatograms of derivatized amino acids.

(above) 10μM standard; (below) an A-horizon soil sample from a grassland site in Minnesota. 1=Asp; 2=Glu; 3= 6-aminoquinoline; 4=Ser+Asn; 5=Gly; 6=Gln; 7=His; 8=NH₄⁺; 9=Arg; 10=Tau; 11=Cit; 12=Thr; 13=Ala; 14=GABA; 15=Pro; 16=AABA (internal standard); 17=Tyr; 18=Cys; 19=Val; 20=Met; 21=Orn; 22=Ile; 23=Lys; 24=Leu; 25=Phe; 26=Trp.



Figure S3 Comparison of the molar ratios of HAAs in soils of different vegetation (VET).

Purple and green box/points represent soil in A-horizon and C-horizon, respectively. Significant differences between horizons are depicted for each AA.



Figure S4 Relationship between total hydrolyzable amino acids and soil organic carbon.

Purple and green points represent soil in A-horizon and C-horizon, respectively. The red line depicts the regression of the data.



Figure S5 Comparison of the relative molar ratios of HAAs in soils of different plant types.

Purple and green box/points represent soil in A-horizon and C-horizon, respectively.



Figure S6 Relationship between non-polar: polar AA and soil organic carbon.

Purple and green points represent soil in A-horizon and C-horizon, respectively. The red line depicts the regression of the data.



Figure S7 Relationship between relative molar ratios of HAAs and soil organic carbon.

Purple and green points represent soil in A-horizon and C-horizon, respectively. Red lines indicate significant regression.



Figure S8 Mean molar ratio of different HAAs.

Red line indicated top 15 most abundant amino acids.



Figure S9 Mean molar ratio of HAAs in different groups.



Figure S10 Changes in soil hydrolysable amino acids show different trends in the A relative to the C horizon along the North-South (MAT) gradient of continental US (Fig. 1).

There were no significant differences due to MAT, however the opposite trends with horizon are notable. Soils in colder environments may have less movement of carbon via roots and translocation to the C horizons than soils in warmer climates. The greater AA in the soils of the cooler environment follow expectations based on organic matter content.



Figure S11 Correlation matrix between molar ratios of top ten richest HAAs.

The color and size of the circle represent the magnitude of the correlation coefficients

Supplementary Material



Figure S12 PCoA-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

(A) Samples from different soil layers were exhibited separately. Colors represent different vegetation types. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size. (B) Samples from different vegetation types were exhibited separately. Colors represent different soil layers. FOR: evergreen or mixed forest, GR: grassland/herbaeous, SH: shrubland, DE:decidous forest, CR: small grains or crop, PA: pasture/hay. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size.



Figure S13 NMDS-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

(A) Samples from different soil layers were exhibited separately. Colors represent different vegetation types. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size. (B) Samples from different vegetation types were exhibited separately. Colors represent different soil layers. FOR: evergreen or mixed forest, GR: grassland/herbaeous, SH: shrubland, DE:decidous forest, CR: small grains or crop, PA: pasture/hay. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size.

Supplementary Material



Figure S14 PCA-based sorting on the molar ratio of HAAs.

(A,D) PCA loadings of PC1 *v.s.* PC2 and PC1 *v.s.* PC3. (B,E) Samples from different soil layers were exhibited separately. Colors represent different vegetation types. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size. (C,D) Samples from different vegetation types were exhibited separately. Colors represent different soil layers. FOR: evergreen or mixed forest, GR: grassland/herbaeous, SH: shrubland, DE:decidous forest, CR: small grains or crop, PA: pasture/hay. Samples from fallow or low intensity residential are not shown here due to the small sample size.



Figure S15 Correlation matrix between environmental factors.

The color and size of the circle represent the magnitude of the correlation coefficients.



Figure S16 Correlation matrix between the HAAs' molar ratios and environmental factors.

The color and size of the circle represent the magnitude of the correlation coefficients



Figure S17 Correlation between the molar ratios of some representative HAAs (Asx%, Glx%, Ser%, and Ala%) with environmental factors in A- (n = 84) and C- (n = 81) horizon .

Solid and dashed lines indicate significant and insignificant relationships, respectively.

Supplementary Material



Figure S18 Correlation of the HAAs' characteristics in carbon cycle (degradation index and contribution to organic carbon pool) with environmental factors (annual precipitation, organic carbon, and pH) in A- (n = 84) and C- (n = 81) horizon.

Solid and dashed lines indicate significant and insignificant relationships, respectively.



Figure S19 Distribution of annual mean temperature and precipitation for each VET.

HAAs	term	df	F	p
Asx	Horizon	1	32.9	< 0.001
	Vegetation	5	6.0	< 0.001
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	1.3	0.260
	Residuals	160		
Gly	Horizon	1	1.1	0.305
	Vegetation	5	0.5	0.812
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	1.6	0.154
	Residuals	160		
Ile	Horizon	1	26.6	< 0.001
	Vegetation	5	1.3	0.269
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	3.4	0.006
	Residuals	160		
Leu	Horizon	1	69.9	< 0.001
	Vegetation	5	0.7	0.597
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	2.7	0.023
	Residuals	160		
Phe	Horizon	1	59.1	< 0.001
	Vegetation	5	1.7	0.139
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	3.0	0.014
	Residuals	160		
Pro	Horizon	1	15.1	< 0.001
	Vegetation	5	1.3	0.248
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	1.1	0.374
	Residuals	160		
Val	Horizon	1	0.0	0.840
	Vegetation	5	1.2	0.289
	Horizon:Vegetation	5	2.2	0.053
	Residuals	160		

Table S1 ANOVA results on 7 HAAs exhibiting strong correlation with the PCoA axes.

Horizon	term	df	r^2	F	р
A	Vegetation	5	0.181	3.6	< 0.001
	Precipitation	1	0.025	2.5	0.057
	Fe	1	0.026	2.6	0.054
	Ca	1	0.042	4.2	0.007
	Al	1	0.018	1.8	0.132
	Residual	70	0.708		
С	Vegetation	5	0.095	1.7	0.021
	pН	1	0.059	5.1	< 0.001
	Fe	1	0.057	5.0	0.001
	Mn	1	0.018	1.5	0.168
	Residual	67	0.771		

Table S2 PERMANOVA results on VET and environmental factors influencing HAAs .