



Engineered Cell-Based Therapeutics: Synthetic Biology Meets Immunology

Fabio Caliendo^{1†‡}, Marina Dukhinova^{1†} and Velia Siciliano^{1,2*}

¹ Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia-IIT, Largo Barsanti e Matteucci, Naples, Italy, ² Imperial College London, South Kensington, London, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Pablo Carbonell,
University of Manchester,
United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Paolo Tieri,
Italian National Research Council
(CNR), Italy
Antoine Danchin,
Institut de Cardiométabolisme et
Nutrition (ICAN), France

*Correspondence:

Velia Siciliano
velia.siciliano@iit.it

[†]These authors have contributed
equally to this work

[‡]Present Address:

Fabio Caliendo,
Massachusetts Institute of
Technology, Cambridge, MA,
United States

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Synthetic Biology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Bioengineering and
Biotechnology

Received: 27 November 2018

Accepted: 20 February 2019

Published: 18 March 2019

Citation:

Caliendo F, Dukhinova M and
Siciliano V (2019) Engineered
Cell-Based Therapeutics: Synthetic
Biology Meets Immunology.
Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7:43.
doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00043

Synthetic Biology has enabled new approaches to several medical applications including the development of immunotherapies based on bioengineered cells, and most notably the engineering of T-cells with tumor-targeting receptors, the Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR)-T cells. CAR-T-cells have successfully treated blood tumors such as large B-cell lymphoma and promise a new scenario of therapeutic interventions also for solid tumors. Learning the lesson from CAR-T cells, we can foster the reprogramming of T lymphocytes with enhanced survival and functional activity in depressing tumor microenvironment, or to challenge diseases such as infections, autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders. This review will focus on the most updated bioengineering approaches to increase control, and safety of T-cell activity and to immunomodulate the extracellular microenvironment to augment immune responses. We will also discuss on applications beyond cancer treatment with implications toward the understanding and cure of a broader range of diseases by means of mammalian cells engineering.

Keywords: synthetic biology, immunology, T cell engineering, synthetic immunology, engineered cell-based therapy

INTRODUCTION

Synthetic biology is particularly suited for the development of safer immune-therapeutics by means of genetic reprogramming of immune cells (Kitada et al., 2018; Tokarew et al., 2018), or other mammalian cells to confer immune-mimetic characteristics (Liu et al., 2018). Genetically engineered circuits are based on the assembly of well characterized biological parts with a predictable behavior (di Bernardo et al., 2012; Menolascina et al., 2012; Wieland and Fussenegger, 2012), providing insights into dynamics of network topologies (Tigges et al., 2009; Siciliano et al., 2011; di Bernardo et al., 2012) and uncovering new roles of biomolecules (Siciliano, 2013).

Synthetic devices with therapeutic applications rely on *smart interfaces* that is the assembly of sensing and actuating modules with high bio-computing capabilities. By implementing Boolean logic gates, genetic circuits integrate multiple extracellular and intracellular inputs, achieving sophisticated control of timing, localization, specificity, and strength of transgene expression, outsmarting classical cell engineering approaches typically based on ON-OFF switches. Sensor modules use intracellular (e.g., microRNA, proteins; Xie et al., 2011; Wroblewska et al., 2015; Siciliano et al., 2018) or extracellular (e.g., soluble molecules or surface proteins) inputs to reshape cellular fate (Kipniss et al., 2017; Scheller et al., 2018), whereas actuator modules rely on transcriptional (Stanton et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; MacDonald and Siciliano, 2017), or translational regulation (Wroblewska et al., 2015; Cella et al., 2018). Synthetic biology can facilitate

the reprogramming of T-cells in a predictable and safer manner, by using safety switches and linking specific input sensing to gene expression induction (Roybal et al., 2016a; Nissim et al., 2017; Siciliano et al., 2018), potentially overcoming side effects such as on-tumor-off target effects and over-activation.

As recently T-cell engineering has extensively overviewed (Marshall and Djamgoz, 2018; Si et al., 2018; Tokarew et al., 2018), here we review recent developments of synthetic biology-based strategies to improve efficacy, specificity, and strength of current T-cell therapies, mostly advanced in blood and tumor malignancies. We will also discuss the design of synthetic devices to treat viral or bacterial infections that are implemented in different subpopulation of T-cells or other mammalian cells which are then conferred of immune-mimetic characteristics.

Chronicles of T Cell Engineering

T lymphocytes are critical components of adaptive immunity and participate in immune responses against disorders including cancer, viral and bacterial infections, autoimmune conditions, and chronic inflammation. Cytotoxic CD8⁺ T-cells express the T-cell receptor (TCR) that recognize epitopes displayed by MHC class I molecules on the surface of almost every cell in the body. CD8⁺ T-cells activated upon antigen recognition directly kill target cells through the release of cytotoxic granules.

Engineered cell-based immunotherapies, were initially based on the integration of exogenous T-cell receptor (TCR) in cancer patient's autologous T-cells. The TCR is a heterodimeric protein consisting of variable α and β chain, associated with invariable dimeric signaling molecules: CD3 δ/ϵ , CD3 γ/ϵ , CD247 ζ/ζ . The variable chains recognize the antigen expressed on the surface of target cells whereas the invariable CD3 chains propagate the signal. This is further strengthened by the simultaneous binding of the co-receptor (in proximity of TCR) to MHC molecule on the surface of target cells. This approach used to target MART-1 melanoma antigen, resulted in regression of metastatic melanoma in 13% of patients after adoptive T-cell transfer (Morgan et al., 2006), but the non-perfect matching between exogenous TCR and HLA molecules of the recipient limited its efficacy.

Adoptive T-cell therapy using chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) obtained greater clinical success than TCR for engineered T-cell-based cancer immunotherapy (Harris and Kranz, 2016; **Figure 1A**). CAR-T-cells represent the most relevant synthetic biology-inspired therapeutic, and the most clinical advanced T-cell engineering platform to fight hematologic malignancies. CARs consist of an extracellular single chain fragment domain (scFv), that recognize the desired antigen fused to the intracellular activating (CD3 ζ) domain and costimulatory (CD28 and 4-1BB) domains (Sadelain et al., 2017; **Figure 1B**). CARs can recognize different antigens molecules, and activation is MHC independent (Chmielewski et al., 2013).

Recently, CAR-T therapies Axicabtagene ciloleucel and Tisagenlecleucel were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for the treatment of large B-cell lymphoma and B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. They both consist of engineered autologous anti-CD19 CD8⁺ T-cells targeting B-cells,

but Axicabtagene ciloleucel uses the CD28 costimulatory domain, whereas Tisagenlecleucel adopts 4-1BB. The choice of costimulatory domain has clinical impact on overall response rate (ORR) and associated toxicity including the cytokine release syndrome (CRS). Patients treated with Axicabtagene ciloleucel, showed ORR in 82% and CRS in 93% of the cases (Neelapu et al., 2017). In contrast, patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with Tisagenlecleucel, showed ORR in 53% and CRS in 58% of the cases (Schuster et al., 2017). CRS is triggered by massive secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines potentially leading to fatal side effects (Barrett et al., 2014; Davila et al., 2014) and is thus detrimental to broad applications of CAR-T therapy. Strategies to control T-cell activation are therefore a major goal of synthetic biology.

Improving Safety of T Cell-Based Therapies With Synthetic Biology Approaches

State-of-the-art methods to enhancing CAR-T-cell safety include the use of drug-controlled kill switches that can eliminate CAR-T-cells in case of severe toxicity (Straathof et al., 2005; Stasi et al., 2011). However, kill switches do not provide control over T-cell activation and expansion, and the elimination of potentially therapeutic CAR-T-cells is irreversible.

Rodgers and colleagues (Rodgers et al., 2016) constructed a peptide-specific switchable CAR-T-cells (sCARs) that improve tumor-specific activation in a reversible manner. sCARs system relies on CAR-T-cells engineered with a receptor that recognize a yeast-derived peptide neo-epitope tag (PNE). The PNE is fused to antibody fragments (Fab) specific to the tumor associated antigen-TAA. Thus, Fab-PNE functions as a bridge that create immunological synapses between the tumor cells and sCAR (**Figure 1C**). This strategy provides a potential universal platform that can be repurposed to the selected tumor by rewiring the Fab domain fused to PNE. In addition, as the Fab fragment has a shorter half-life than a whole antibody, this system allows precise temporal control over cytokines released by sCAR-T-cells. Low doses of switch favor the generation and maintenance of a large memory T-cell populations in Nalm-6 mice cancer model, which correlates with sustained remission in hematologic malignancies (Li et al., 2013). The sCAR approach was effective also to target ubiquitous antigens overexpressed in solid tumors such as HER2 in pancreatic cancer cells, reporting low toxicity in a xenograft PDAC mouse model (Raj et al., 2018).

A further step toward the development of flexible and controllable CAR-T-cells is the split, universal and programmable chimeric antigen receptor system (SUPRA-CARs) (Cho et al., 2018).

In SUPRA-CARs, T-cells integrate a leucine zipper domain in the extracellular portion of the receptor (zipCAR), whereas the scFv specific to TAA is fused to a second leucine zipper (zipFv) that binds the cognate one on zipCAR (**Figure 1C**). Like sCAR approach also here zipFv operates a bridge to form immunological synapses that triggers T-cell activation. By modulating zipFv and leucine zippers affinity as well as zipCAR expression levels, it is possible to tune T-cell activation. Of note, SUPRA-CARs offer the unique possibility to activate




FIGURE 1 | Adoptive T-cell therapy and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) design. **(A)** T-cells are isolated from the blood and genetically modified to express a cancer-targeting receptor. Engineered T cells are then expanded and transfused back into the patient. **(B)** The current CAR design comprises an extracellular recognition domain (a single chain fragment antibody-scFv for binding to the antigen) a transmembrane domain needed to anchor the receptor to the cell membrane, an activation domain (CD3 ζ chain) and a costimulatory domain (commonly CD28 and 4-1BB). **(C)** Synthetic devices to improve specificity of CAR-T-cell activity. The sCAR system includes a switchable CAR (sCAR) and a Fab fragment fused to a yeast-derived peptide neo-epitope (PNE_tag). The Fab domain of the Fab-PNE module recognizes a tumor-associated antigen (TAA) whereas the sCAR specifically binds the PNE domain of the switch. This interaction activates sCAR-T cells against tumor cells. SUPRA-CAR is a two-component system consisting of a universal domain CARs expressing a leucine zipper extracellular domain (zipCAR) and a tumor-targeting scFv adaptor (zipFv). The scFv of zipFv recognize the TAA while the zip binds the leucine zipper on the zipCAR acting as a switch. Also here it creates an immunological synapse that drives T-cell activation. iCAR consists of two incomplete CAR molecules that heterodimerize in presence of a small molecule which functions as a switch to activate T-cell response. SynNotch receptor comprises a scFv extracellular domain that recognizes the antigen, fused to a cleavable intracellular domain that retain a transcription factor (TF) to the membrane. The antigen recognition induces the cleavage of TF that translocate into the nucleus to activate output transcription. SynNotch/CAR AND-gate circuit to trigger activation when two distinct antigens are expressed on the target cell. SynNotch receptor activated by antigen "A" drives the expression of a CAR molecule which targets antigen "B." Interaction with antigen B leads to T-cell activation. ZASE is a dual-gated ZAP70 protein switch, obtained by fusing Zap70 to the ligand-binding domain of the estrogen receptor ER¹². Two distinct small molecules, 4OHT (green circle) and 3-MB-PP1 (red triangle) turn, respectively ON and OFF protein activity.

different signaling domains and T-cell subsets (i.e., CD4⁺ and CD8⁺) in a modular fashion using orthogonal (that is non-cross-interacting), leucine zipper combinations. These systems share the potential to establish a universal treatment of several malignancies by rewiring the TAA-specific scFvs.


A third approach to achieve spatial and temporal control over engineered T-cells is the inducible CAR system (iCAR) that consists of two incomplete CAR molecules that heterodimerize in presence of a small molecule which functions as a switch to activate T-cell response (Figure 1C; Wu et al., 2015). Here, one iCAR includes the TAA-specific scFv domain fused to a heterodimerizing domain. The second iCAR contains the T-cell receptor activating the signaling domain, fused to the heterodimerizing domain. To enable precise activation of T cell response the authors used two very well-known heterodimerizing domains, namely FK506 binding protein (FKBP) domain and the T2089L mutant of FKBP-rapamycin binding domain (FRB*) that heterodimerize in the presence of the rapamycin analog AP21967. This ON-switch enables titratable pharmacologic regulation allowing precise control of timing, location, and dosage of T-cell activity.

A further way to control T-cells activity is to modulate Zeta-chain-associated protein kinase 70 (Zap70), a tyrosine

kinase that upon TCR engagement starts downstream activation signaling. This strategy was envisioned by Wong and colleagues (Wong and Wong, 2018) that created a dual-gated ZAP70 protein switch, by fusing Zap70 to the ligand-binding domain of the estrogen receptor ER¹² (ZASE). The system exhibits swift temporal control using two distinct small molecules, 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4OHT) and 3-MB-PP1, to turn ON, and OFF protein activity, respectively (Figure 1C). This is a promising tool for the rapid and fine control of the T-cell activation in a time scale of minutes. However, two main drawbacks emerge. First, it fails to modulate cytokine release. Second, the ON switch (induction by 4OHT) is highly leaky in CARs making it difficult to use for CAR-based therapy.

Synthetic Receptors That Activate Genetic Transcriptional Programs in T-Cells

Differently from CARs, SynNotch receptors do not activate endogenous signaling pathways, but are coupled to synthetic transcriptional systems. SynNotch receptor comprises a scFv extracellular domain that recognizes a desired epitope, fused to a user-defined cleavable intracellular domain that retain a transcription factor (TF) to the membrane. The antigen recognition induces the cleavage of TF that translocate into the



effectively boost the immune antitumor response both *in vitro* and *in vivo* settings.

Beyond Tumor Treatment: Engineering Approaches to Viral Infections

Although a huge effort has been devoted to tumor therapies, T-cell engineering may provide alternative treatments also to other diseases including viral or bacterial infections.

Haran et al. (2018) engineered CAR-T cells with CXCR5 receptor along with an all-rhesus variant of the CD4–MBL CAR to target SIV-infected T-follicular-helper-cells (Tfh) located in B-cell follicles where viral replication concentrate. CXCR5 mediates T-cell migration, whereas mannose-binding lectin (MBL) binds to the dense oligo-mannose patch that is highly conserved on clinically relevant HIV-1 variants. The authors showed potent suppression of two SIV strains *in vitro* and concentration in B-cell follicles in tissues *ex vivo*, paving the way to potential long-term durable remission of SIV and HIV infections.

In our recent work (Siciliano et al., 2018) we developed a modular cellular intrabody-based platform that detects intracellular proteins serving as diseases' biomarkers. Cytosolic proteins are identified by two intracellular antibodies, one membrane-tethered and fused to a transcription factor (TF) via a TEV protease cleavage site (TCS), and the other fused to the viral TEV protease (TEVp) (Figure 2B). The presence of the target protein and subsequent binding of the two intrabodies results in TEVp cleavage of TCS and release of TF, converting protein detection into transcriptional output. We tailored the device to detect HCV and HIV infection by targeting NS3 and Tat and NEF proteins, respectively. We also demonstrated that CD4⁺ T-cells engineered with the genetic network were able to release XCL-1 chemokines and to interfere with HIV-1-mediated downregulation of HLA molecules.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

T-cell engineering represents a novel promising direction of immunotherapy of various disorders including and beyond blood and solid tumors. The most developed area of T-cell-based immunotherapy application is cancer. By designing smart interfaces that process multiple input to activate specific genetic programs, synthetic biology may enable a new wave of smart adoptive T-cell therapy, addressing current issues and enhancing efficacy and safety of tumor treatments. Several efforts have shown alternative strategies to achieve control and specificity of T-cell activation, including multiple-antigen

recognition to activate T-cell response, small molecule-driven ON switches, and protein-tags for the creation of immunological synapses. Efforts focus also on immunomodulation of tumor microenvironment, to make cancer cells more susceptible to T-cell action. While CD8⁺ T-cells are the target of genetic modifications for cancer treatment, engineering of other T-cell populations may be of help to cure other disorders such as viral infections. For example, CD4⁺ T-cells have been successfully modified to respond to SIV or HIV-1 infections (Haran et al., 2018; Siciliano et al., 2018). These platforms can be useful also to monitor dynamic changes in the intra/extra-cellular environment, allowing studies of spatiotemporal characteristics of infection events and to induce localized release of therapeutic agents.

Of note, approaches that involve the engineering of other mammalian cells to confer immunocompetent characteristics are being explored. In a recent work from Liu et al. (2018) HEK293 cells were engineered with a synthetic bacteria-responsive signaling cascade that contains human Toll-like receptors as bacterial sensor and a synthetic promoter driving expression of lysostaphin, a bacteriolytic enzyme highly lethal to *Staphylococcus aureus*. Similarly, Kojima et al. (2018) built T-cell-receptor-like signal transduction devices for sensing specific cell contacts in HEK-293T cells and human MSCs (hMSCs) with potential application in cancer therapy. The synthetic biology artillery is thus continuing to grow, to face new challenges and to bring solutions to unmet medical needs. In perspective, these devices can augment the understanding of the complexity of other diseases such as immune disorders including T-cell exhaustion that prevent long-term efficacy of T-cell activity in chronic infections, inflammation, and cancer (Wherry and Kurachi, 2015), or autoimmune diseases like type-1 diabetes where autoreactive T lymphocytes trigger progressive inflammation and tissue degeneration (Bluestone et al., 2015).

These challenges will bring synthetic biology further closer to patients' needs.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FC and MD contributed equally to manuscript preparation. FC and MD wrote the manuscript. VS wrote and edited the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Federica Cella for helping with figure preparation.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, N., Brawley, V. S., Hegde, M., Robertson, C., Ghazi, A., Gerken, C., et al. (2015). Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) - Specific chimeric antigen receptor - Modified T cells for the immunotherapy of HER2-positive sarcoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 33, 1688–1696. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.58.0225
- Barrett, D. M., Teachey, D. T., and Grupp, S. A. (2014). Toxicity management for patients receiving novel T-cell engaging therapies. *Curr. Opin. Pediatr.* 26, 43–49. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000043
- Beatty, G. L., Haas, A. R., Maus, M. V., Torigian, D. A., Soulen, M. C., Plesa, G., et al. (2018). Mesothelin-Specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor mRNA-Engineered T Cells Induce Antitumor Activity in Solid Malignancies. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 2, 112–120. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-13-0170
- Bluestone, J. A., Trotta, E., and Xu, D. (2015). The therapeutic potential of regulatory T cells for the treatment of autoimmune disease. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 2015:1037282. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2015.1037282
- Brown, C. E., Badie, B., Barish, M. E., Weng, L., Ostberg, J. R., Chang, W. C., et al. (2015). Bioactivity and safety of IL13Rα2-redirection chimeric antigen receptor

CD8+T cells in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21, 4062–4072. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0428

Cella, F., Wroblewska, L., Weiss, R., and Siciliano, V. (2018). Engineering protein-protein devices for multilayered regulation of mRNA translation using orthogonal proteases in mammalian cells. *Nat. Commun.* 9:4392. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06825-7

Chmielewski, M., Hombach, A. A., and Abken, H. (2013). Antigen-specific T-cell activation independently of the MHC: chimeric antigen receptor-redirected T cells. *Front. Immunol.* 4:371. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00371

Cho, J. H., Collins, J. J., and Wong, W. W. (2018). Universal chimeric antigen receptors for multiplexed and logical control of T cell responses. *Cell* 173, 1426–1438.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.038

Davila, M. L., Riviere, I., Wang, X., Bartido, S., Park, J., Curran, K., et al. (2014). Efficacy and toxicity management of 19-28z CAR T cell therapy in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 6:12. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3008226

di Bernardo, D., Marucci, L., Menolascina, F., and Siciliano, V. (2012). *Predicting Synthetic Gene Networks*. New York, NY: Humana Press. 57–81. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-412-4_4

Feng, K., Guo, Y., Dai, H., Wang, Y., Li, X., Jia, H., et al. (2016). Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for the immunotherapy of patients with EGFR-expressing advanced relapsed/refractory non-small cell lung cancer. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 59, 468–479. doi: 10.1007/s11427-016-5023-8

Golumba-Nagy, V., Kuehle, J., Hombach, A. A., and Abken, H. (2018). CD28- ζ CAR T cells resist TGF- β repression through IL-2 signaling, which can be mimicked by an engineered IL-7 autocrine loop. *Mol. Ther.* 26:9. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2018.07.005

Haran, K. P., Hajduczki, A., Pampusch, M. S., Mwakalundwa, G., Vargas-Inchaustegui, D. A., Rakasz, E. G., et al. (2018). Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)-specific chimeric antigen receptor-T cells engineered to target B cell follicles and suppress SIV replication. *Front. Immunol.* 9:492. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00492

Harris, D. T., and Kranz, D. M. (2016). Adoptive T cell therapies: a comparison of T cell receptors and chimeric antigen receptors. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 37, 220–230. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.11.004

Johnson, L. A., and June, C. H. (2017). Driving gene-engineered T cell immunotherapy of cancer. *Clin. Res.* 27, 38–58. doi: 10.1038/cr.2016.154

Johnson, L. A., Scholler, J., Ohkuri, T., Kosaka, A., Patel, P. R., McGettigan, S. E., et al. (2015). Rational development and characterization of humanized anti-EGFR variant III chimeric antigen receptor T cells for glioblastoma. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 7:275ra22. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa4963

Katz, S. C., Burga, R. A., McCormack, E., Wang, L. J., Mooring, W., Point, G. R., et al. (2015). Phase I hepatic immunotherapy for metastases study of intra-arterial chimeric antigen receptor-modified T-cell therapy for CEA+liver metastases. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21, 3149–3159. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1421

Kipniss, N. H., Dingal, P. C. D. P., Abbott, T. R., Gao, Y., Wang, H., Dominguez, A. A., et al. (2017). Engineering cell sensing and responses using a GPCR-coupled CRISPR-Cas system. *Nat. Commun.* 8:2212. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02075-1

Kitada, T., DiAndreth, B., Teague, B., and Weiss, R. (2018). Programming gene and engineered-cell therapies with synthetic biology. *Science* 2018:359

Koehler, H., Kofler, D., Hombach, A., and Abken, H. (2007). CD28 costimulation overcomes transforming growth factor- β -mediated repression of proliferation of redirected human CD4+ and CD8 + T cells in an antitumor cell attack. *Cancer Res.* 67, 2265–2273. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2098

Kojima, R., Scheller, L., and Fussenegger, M. (2018). Nonimmune cells equipped with T-cell-receptor-like signaling for cancer cell ablation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2018:2498. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.2498

Kunert, A., Chmielewski, M., Wijers, R., Berrevoets, C., Abken, H., and Debets, R. (2018). Intra-tumoral production of IL18, but not IL12, by TCR-engineered T cells is non-toxic and counteracts immune evasion of solid tumors. *Oncimmunology* 7:1378842. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1378842

Li, X. C., Kloc, M., and Ghobrial, R. M. (2013). Memory T cells in transplantation - progress and challenges. *Curr. Opin. Organ Transplant.* 18, 387–392. doi: 10.1097/MOT.0b013e3283626130

Li, Y., Jiang, Y., Chen, H., Liao, W., Li, Z., Weiss, R., et al. (2015). Modular construction of mammalian gene circuits using TALE transcriptional repressors. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 11, 207–13. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1736

Liu, Y., Bai, P., Woischnig, A.-K., Charpin-El Hamri, G., Ye, H., Folcher, M., et al. (2018). Immunomimetic Designer Cells Protect Mice from MRSA Infection. *Cell* 174, 259–270.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.039

MacDonald, J. T., and Siciliano, V. (2017). “Computational sequence design with R2oDNA designer,” in *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 249–262. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7223-4_18

Marshall, H. T., and Djamgoz, M. B. A. (2018). Immuno-Oncology: Emerging Targets and Combination Therapies. *Front. Oncol.* 2018:00315doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00315

Menolascina, F., Siciliano, V., and Di Bernardo, D. (2012). Engineering and control of biological systems: A new way to tackle complex diseases. *FEBS Lett.* 586, 2122–2128. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2012.04.050

Morgan, R. A., Dudley, M. E., Wunderlich, J. R., Hughes, M. S., Yang, J. C., Sherry, R. M., et al. (2006). Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. *Science* 314, 126–129. doi: 10.1126/science.1129003

Morsut, L., Roybal, K. T., Xiong, X., Gordley, R. M., Coyle, S. M., Thomson, M., et al. (2016). Engineering customized cell sensing and response behaviors using synthetic notch receptors. *Cell* 164, 780–791. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.012

Neelapu, S. S., Locke, F. L., Bartlett, N. L., Lekakis, L. J., Miklos, D. B., Jacobson, C. A., et al. (2017). Axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T-cell therapy in refractory large B-cell lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 377, 2531–2544. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1707447

Nissim, L., Wu, M.-R., Pery, E., Binder-Nissim, A., Suzuki, H. I., Stupp, D., et al. (2017). Synthetic RNA-based immunomodulatory gene circuits for cancer immunotherapy. *Cell* 171, 1138–1150.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.049

Raj, D., Yang, M.-H., Rodgers, D., Hampton, E. N., Begum, J., Mustafa, A., et al. (2018). Switchable CAR-T cells mediate remission in metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Gut* 2018:316595. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316595

Rodgers, D. T., Mazagova, M., Hampton, E. N., Cao, Y., Ramadoss, N. S., Hardy, I. R., et al. (2016). Switch-mediated activation and retargeting of CAR-T cells for B-cell malignancies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2016:1524155113. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1524155113

Roybal, K. T., Rupp, L. J., Morsut, L., Walker, W. J., McNally, K. A., Park, J. S., et al. (2016a). Precision tumor recognition by T cells with combinatorial antigen-sensing circuits. *Cell* 164, 770–779. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.011

Roybal, K. T., Williams, J. Z., Morsut, L., Rupp, L. J., Kolinko, I., Choe, J. H., et al. (2016b). Engineering T cells with customized therapeutic response programs using synthetic notch receptors. *Cell* 167, 419–432.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.011

Sadelain, M., Rivière, I., and Riddell, S. (2017). Therapeutic T cell engineering. *Nature* 545, 423–431. doi: 10.1038/nature22395

Scheller, L., Strittmatter, T., Fuchs, D., Bojar, D., and Fussenegger, M. (2018). Generalized extracellular molecule sensor platform for programming cellular behavior. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 723–729. doi: 10.1038/s41589-018-0046-z

Schuster, S., Bishop, M. R., Tam, C., Waller, E. K., Borchmann, P., McGuirk, J., et al. (2017). Global pivotal phase 2 trial of the CD19-targeted therapy CTL019 in adult patients with relapsed or refractory (R/R) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)—an interim analysis. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 17, S373–S374. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2017.07.213

Si, W., Li, C., and Wei, P. (2018). Synthetic immunology: T-cell engineering and adoptive immunotherapy. *Synth. Syst. Biotechnol.* 2018:001. doi: 10.1016/j.synbio.2018.08.001

Siciliano, V. (2013). miRNAs confer phenotypic robustness to gene networks by suppressing biological noise. *Nat. Commun.* 4:3364. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3364

Siciliano, V., DiAndreth, B., Monel, B., Beal, J., Huh, J., Clayton, K. L., et al. (2018). Engineering modular intracellular protein sensor-actuator devices. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1881. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03984-5

Siciliano, V., Menolascina, F., Marucci, L., Fracassi, C., Garzilli, I., Moretti, M. N., et al. (2011). Construction and modelling of an inducible positive feedback loop stably integrated in a mammalian Cell-Line. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7:e1002074. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002074

Stanton, B. C., Siciliano, V., Ghodasara, A., Wroblewska, L., Clancy, K., Trefzer, A. C., et al. (2014). Systematic transfer of prokaryotic sensors and circuits to mammalian cells. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 3:5002856. doi: 10.1021/sb5002856

Stasi, A., Di Tey, S.-K., Dotti, G., Fujita, Y., and Kennedy-Nasser, A., Martinez, C., et al. (2011). *Inducible Apoptosis as a Safety Switch for Adoptive Cell Therapy Abstract*. Available online at: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa1106152> (Accessed February 1, 2019)

- Straathof, K. C., Pulè, M. A., Yotnda, P., Dotti, G., Vanin, E. F., Brenner, M. K., et al. (2005). An inducible caspase 9 safety switch for T-cell therapy. *Blood* 105, 4247–4254. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-11-4564
- Tigges, M., Marquez-Lago, T. T., Stelling, J., and Fussenegger, M. (2009). A tunable synthetic mammalian oscillator. *Nature* 457, 309–312. doi: 10.1038/nature07616
- Tokarew, N., Ogonek, J., Endres, S., von Bergwelt-Baildon, M., and Kobold, S. (2018). Teaching an old dog new tricks: next-generation CAR T cells. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2018:1. doi: 10.1038/s41416-018-0325-1
- Vignali, D., and Kallikourdis, M. (2017). Improving homing in T cell therapy. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 36, 107–116. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2017.06.009
- Wherry, E. J., and Kurachi, M. (2015). Molecular and cellular insights into T cell exhaustion. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2018:3862. doi: 10.1038/nri3862
- Wieland, M., and Fussenegger, M. (2012). Engineering molecular circuits using synthetic biology in mammalian cells. *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.* 3, 209–234. doi: 10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-061010-114145
- Wong, N. M. L., and Wong, W. W. (2018). Engineering a Dual Small Molecule Gated ZAP70 Switch in T Cells. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7, 969–977. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.7b00394
- Wroblewska, L., Kitada, T., Endo, K., Siciliano, V., Stillo, B., Saito, H., et al. (2015). Mammalian synthetic circuits with RNA binding proteins delivered by RNA. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 839–841. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3301
- Wu, C.-Y., Roybal, K. T., Puchner, E. M., Onuffer, J., and Lim, W. A. (2015). Remote control of therapeutic T cells through a small molecule-gated chimeric receptor. *Science* 350:77. doi: 10.1126/science.aab4077
- Xie, Z., Wroblewska, L., Prochazka, L., Weiss, R., and Benenson, Y. (2011). Multi-input RNAi-based logic circuit for identification of specific cancer cells. *Science* 333, 1307–1311. doi: 10.1126/science.1205527
- Yu, J., Wu, X., Yan, J., Yu, H., Xu, L., Chi, Z., et al. (2018). Anti-GD2/4-1BB chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy for the treatment of Chinese melanoma patients. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 11. doi: 10.1186/s13045-017-0548-2
- Zhang, E., Yang, P., Gu, J., Wu, H., Chi, X., Liu, C., et al. (2018). Recombination of a dual-CAR-modified T lymphocyte to accurately eliminate pancreatic malignancy. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 11:102. doi: 10.1186/s13045-018-0646-9

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Caliendo, Dukhinova and Siciliano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.