



# **Carbon Dioxide and Water Electrolysis Using New Alkaline Stable Anion Membranes**

Jerry J. Kaczur\*, Hongzhou Yang, Zengcai Liu, Syed D. Sajjad and Richard I. Masel\*

Dioxide Materials Inc., Boca Raton, FL, United States

### Edited by:

**OPEN ACCESS** 

Florent Allais, AgroParisTech Institut des Sciences et Industries du Vivant et de L'environnement, France

#### Reviewed by:

Sarbjit S. Giddey, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia Aniruddha Pramod Kulkarni, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia Emilia Morallon, University of Alicante, Spain Andre Heel, Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

#### \*Correspondence:

Jerry J. Kaczur jerry.kaczur@dioxidematerials.com Richard I. Masel rich.masel@dioxidematerials.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Chemical Engineering, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 27 March 2018 Accepted: 12 June 2018 Published: 03 July 2018

## Citation:

Kaczur JJ, Yang H, Liu Z, Sajjad SD and Masel RI (2018) Carbon Dioxide and Water Electrolysis Using New Alkaline Stable Anion Membranes. Front. Chem. 6:263. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00263

The recent development and market introduction of a new type of alkaline stable imidazole-based anion exchange membrane and related ionomers by Dioxide Materials is enabling the advancement of new and improved electrochemical processes which can operate at commercially viable operating voltages, current efficiencies, and current densities. These processes include the electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to formic acid (HCOOH), CO<sub>2</sub> to carbon monoxide (CO), and alkaline water electrolysis, generating hydrogen at high current densities at low voltages without the need for any precious metal electrocatalysts. The first process is the direct electrochemical generation of pure formic acid in a three-compartment cell configuration using the alkaline stable anion exchange membrane and a cation exchange membrane. The cell operates at a current density of 140 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at a cell voltage of 3.5 V. The power consumption for production of formic acid (FA) is about 4.3-4.7 kWh/kg of FA. The second process is the electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO, a key focus product in the generation of renewable fuels and chemicals. The CO<sub>2</sub> cell consists of a two-compartment design utilizing the alkaline stable anion exchange membrane to separate the anode and cathode compartments. A nanoparticle IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst on a GDE structure is used as the anode and a GDE utilizing a nanoparticle Ag/imidazolium-based ionomer catalyst combination is used as a cathode. The CO<sub>2</sub> cell has been operated at current densities of 200 to 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at voltages of 3.0 to 3.2 respectively with CO<sub>2</sub> to CO conversion selectivities of 95–99%. The third process is an alkaline water electrolysis cell process, where the alkaline stable anion exchange membrane allows stable cell operation in 1 M KOH electrolyte solutions at current densities of 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at about 1.90 V. The cell has demonstrated operation for thousands of hours, showing a voltage increase in time of only 5 µV/h. The alkaline electrolysis technology does not require any precious metal catalysts as compared to polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) design water electrolyzers. In this paper, we discuss the detailed technical aspects of these three technologies utilizing this unique anion exchange membrane.

Keywords: anion exchange membranes, electrochemical, formic acid, carbon monoxide, CO<sub>2</sub> utilization, alkaline water electrolysis

1

# INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, increasing interest has been directed in utilizing carbon dioxide in generating liquid fuels and chemicals as a means toward a sustainable, carbon-neutral based economy. The efficient generation of energy-dense carbon-based products from captured and anthropogenic  $CO_2$  using renewable energy sources such as solar energy, wind, nuclear, and hydroelectric provides the basis for sourcing sustainable chemical feedstocks that are not derived from fossil fuels (Halmann, 1993; Aresta and Dibenedetto, 2007; Aresta, 2010; Whipple and Kenis, 2010; Quadrelli et al., 2011; Sankaranarayanan and Srinivasan, 2012; Hu et al., 2013; Masel et al., 2014a, 2016a; Aresta et al., 2016).

The efficient generation of carbon monoxide (CO) from CO<sub>2</sub> is one of the key focus areas in producing sustainable chemical feedstocks. It is an industrially valuable chemical that is typically produced from methane, producing various mixture ratios of CO and hydrogen, called syngas. Syngas is used in the manufacture of fuels, such as diesel and gasoline, as well as other chemicals via Fischer-Tropsch (F-T) chemistry using selected catalysts and operating conditions (Appel, 2013; Costentin et al., 2014; Masel et al., 2014a, 2016a; Liu et al, 2015).

The generation of renewable hydrogen is the other key renewable energy product focus area. Hydrogen is used in many industrial processes and a component of the "Hydrogen Economy" concept. It is a way of storing and generating renewable energy that can be used as a fuel for automobiles and other applications (Ursua et al., 2012; U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2018).

# NEW ALKALINE STABLE ANION MEMBRANES

Dioxide Materials (DM) has developed a group of novel imidazole-functionalized membranes using a polystyrene-based backbone that are surprisingly stable in strong alkaline solutions (Masel et al., 2017a,b,c). These membranes are now available for researchers for developing other process applications. Details on these membranes are provided in the next sections.

# Sustainion<sup>®</sup> Pre-polymer and Anion Membrane Description

The anion exchange Sustanion<sup>®</sup> membranes are based on a cheap and abundant, but more importantly, alkaline stable polystyrene backbone. The polymer synthesis and subsequent fabrication of the membrane have been described in detail in several past publications (Masel et al., 2016b, 2017d; Kutz et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017a; Sajjad et al., 2017). The synthesis involves a two-step process (**Figure 1**) of copolymerization followed by subsequent functionalization. The membrane can be cast as a film or as a reinforced membrane using various reinforcement materials. The chloride form of the membrane is then typically converted to the hydroxide form using KOH.

Briefly, a copolymer of styrene and vinyl benzyl chloride is prepared by free radical addition polymerization. The copolymer product is then washed and precipitated in ethanol. Afterwards, it is filtered, dried and subsequently functionalized with 1,2,4,5-tetramethylimidazole in a Dowanol<sup>®</sup> PM (1-methoxy-2 propanol) solvent. Divinylbenzene is also added as a crosslinker to help improve membrane strength. The cast membrane is then activated for 8-16h in a 1M potassium hydroxide solution for conversion to the hydroxide form.

## Membrane Production Scale-Up

The synthetic route for the ionomer shown in **Figure 1** has been ramped up to industrial sized reactor volumes and transferred to a commercial size pilot coater for membrane manufacturing. A membrane production run typically produces several hundred to a thousand feet of membrane having a width of about 24 cm. The final width and total membrane footage can be altered according to customer requirements.

## **Anion Membrane Properties**

The anion exchange membranes are typically supplied in a dry, chloride form supported on a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) liner. They consequently have to be converted to the hydroxide form by exposing them to a strong base. DM recommends soaking the membrane in a large bath of 1M KOH for 24–48 h in order to convert the membrane chloride form into the hydroxide form. This activation also helps in strengthening the membrane via crosslinking with KOH as identified in a recent nuclear magnetic spectroscopy (NMR) study (Pellerite et al., 2017). Furthermore, during this process the membrane swells and separates from the PET liner, which is discarded.

The membrane has an ion exchange capacity (IEC) of  $\sim 1.1$  mmol/g calculated through standard back titration technique (Vengatesan et al., 2015). Even more impressive is the area specific resistance (ASR) of the membrane. **Table 1** shows that the measured normalized resistance for Sustanion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 at the same alkaline conditions is more than an order lower than the other commercially available membranes. The anion exchange membrane has shown excellent performance ability in multiple cell runs of 1,000–3,000 h and more of run time in electrochemical cell testing in a wide pH range as exemplified in published experimental carbon dioxide and alkaline water electrolyzer data (Masel et al., 2016b; Kutz et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017a,b; Sajjad et al., 2017). Some of the latest results are highlighted in later sections of this paper.

The Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membranes undergo moderate swelling, about 5% in lateral directions and about 50% in thickness during conversion to the hydroxide form using 1M KOH. This membrane swelling or high-water uptake (~80%) explains the low area specific resistance (ASR) (i.e., high ionic conductivity) measurement values, as water absorption seems an important mechanism for hydroxide ion transport. Recognizing the need for more dimensionally stable and robust membranes, DM has now been also producing more robust reinforced versions of the Sustanion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membranes, suitable for use in larger electrolyzers and more demanding electrochemical applications. The reinforcement support makes these membranes stronger in both the wet and dry states.



# ELECTROCHEMICAL CONVERSION OF $CO_2$ TO FORMIC ACID

Numerous researchers over the past 30 years have been examining the performance of various catalysts in the electrochemical reduction conversion of  $CO_2$  to formate/formic acid. Various papers have provided excellent summary reviews on previous experimental work (Jitaru, 2007; Rosen et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2014; Pletcher, 2015; Du, 2017) and will not be further described here. More recently, a number of investigators have gone one step further, conducting and reporting studies providing performance data on complete electrochemical  $CO_2$  cell conversion performance in producing formate/formic acid (Mahmood et al., 1987; Li and Oloman, 2005, 2006, 2007; Oloman and Li, 2008; Whipple et al., 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011; Alvarez-Guerra et al., 2012, 2014; Kopljar et al., 2014; White et al., 2014; Du et al, 2016; Sen et al., 2016).

DM has been able to successfully produce high concentrations of pure formic acid directly in an electrochemical cell from the electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> (Kaczur et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017a,b). This reduces the need for acid conversion of alkali metal formate salt-based products (e.g., potassium formate) which are typically produced using these alternative electrochemical cell and process configurations.

# Formic Acid (FA) Cell Design

The DM electrochemical formic acid cell configuration is based on a three-compartment design consisting of an anode compartment, a center flow compartment containing a cation ion exchange media where the formic acid product is collected and removed from the cell, and a cathode compartment where the electrochemical reduction of  $CO_2$  to formate ions occurs (Kaczur et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017a,b).

The general electrochemical formic acid cell configuration is shown in **Figure 2A**. The anode compartment utilizes an MMO (mixed metal oxide) coated titanium anode where deionized water is electrolyzed producing oxygen and hydrogen ions (H<sup>+</sup>). A cation ion exchange membrane adjoining the anode compartment is used to block the transport of formate anions to the anode, where it would be oxidized to  $CO_2$ . The formed hydrogen ions pass through the cation exchange membrane into the center flow compartment. The preferred **TABLE 1** | Area specific resistance (ASR) measurements of Sustanion 37-50 and other commercial ion exchange membranes in 1M KOH at  $60^{\circ}$ C.

Membrane	ASR in 1 M KOH at 60°C (Ω-cm <sup>2</sup> )	Membrane Operational pH Range (Mfg. Spec Sheet)
Sustainion® 37-50	0.045	2–14
Nafion® N115	0.52	0–13
Fumasep FAPQ-375	0.83	0-11
AMI-7001	2.0	0–10
PBI (polybenzimidazole)	8.3	2-10
Neosepta® ACN	>50	0–8

design cation membrane is a perfluorinated sulfonic acid type membrane which is both oxidation stable and efficient in blocking formate anion transport. The anolyte uses a deionized water anolyte since the anode-membrane has a zero-gap contact arrangement.

In the cathode compartment,  $CO_2$  is efficiently reduced at low overpotentials to formate using a tin nanoparticle and imidazole-based ionomer catalyst coating combination in a carbon GDE (gas diffusion electrode) structure. The formate ions produced, as well as hydroxide and bicarbonate/carbonate ions that are formed, then pass through the adjoining Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane into the center flow compartment.

In the center flow compartment, a cation ion exchange media is used to provide the solution conductivity needed for the formic acid product that is being formed in the compartment. Formate ions formed in the cathode compartment pass through the adjoining Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion membrane and combine with the hydrogen ions transporting through the cation exchange membrane from the anode compartment to form a pure formic acid product. Deionized water metered into the center flow compartment is used to collect and remove the formic acid product from the compartment. The formic acid concentration depends on the input water flowrate into the center flow compartment and the operating cell current, forming a formic acid end product at concentrations that can range from 2 to 30 wt% and at high Faradaic efficiencies of 85 to 95%. Any hydroxide ions entering the center flow



FIGURE 2 | The electrochemical three compartment formic acid cell design configuration is shown in (A). The experimental formic acid cell operating system and performance summary is shown in (B).

compartment react with hydrogen ions to form water, and any bicarbonate/carbonate ion transport will react with the hydrogen ions to form  $CO_2$ , exiting with the formic acid product as gaseous  $CO_2$ . The cation ion exchange media used in the design, Amberlite<sup>®</sup> IR120 hydrogen form cation ion exchange resin beads, provided improved ionic conductivity in the center flow compartment since formic acid solutions have a significantly lower conductivity. The cation exchange media provided a lower operating cell voltage (Yang et al., 2017a).

# Formic Acid Cell Experimental Operating System

**Figure 2B** shows a schematic of the experimental formic acid cell operating system as well as a brief summary of the cell operating performance. The cell produced formic acid concentrations of between 5 to 30% depending on the single pass flow rate of DI water feed into the center compartment. The FA cell operating current density range is from 140 to 200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at corresponding cell voltages of 3.5 and 4.0 volts operating at room temperature. The Faradaic cell efficiency ranged from 80

to 95% when utilizing a Nafion<sup>®</sup> 324 cation exchange membrane and a Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37-50 anion exchange membrane in the cell configuration (Yang et al., 2017a).

## Formic Acid Cell Chemistry

The formic acid (FA) cell has a complex set of reactions that occur at the anode, the GDE cathode, and the center flow compartment that is bounded by a cation exchange membrane on the anode side and an anion exchange membrane on the cathode side. **Figure 3** shows the proposed main and secondary reactions as well as ion transport that may occur during cell operation.

### Formic Acid Cell Main Reactions

The electrochemical reduction of  $CO_2$  occurs in the presence of water at the cathode, forming formate (HCOO<sup>-</sup>) and hydroxide (OH<sup>-</sup>) ions:

$$CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOO^- + OH^-$$
(1)

Simultaneously, the oxidation of water occurs at the anode, forming oxygen gas and hydrogen ions (H<sup>+</sup>). Hydrogen ions are actually hydronium or oxonium cations (H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>) in aqueous solutions, and in this paper we are using H<sup>+</sup> as the substitute for hydronium ions.

$$2H_2O \rightarrow 4H^+ + 4e^- + O_2$$
 (2)

Both formate ions and hydroxide ions migrate through the anion exchange membrane into the center flow compartment, where they combine with hydrogen ions produced in the anode compartment passing through the cation membrane to yield water and formic acid as follows:

$$\mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{OH}^{-} \to \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \tag{3}$$

$$H^{+} + HCOO^{-} \to HCOOH \tag{4}$$

## Formic Acid Cell Side Reactions

Side reactions that can occur at the cathode and catholyte are as follows:

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} + 2\mathrm{e}^- \to \mathrm{CO} + 2\mathrm{OH}^- \tag{5}$$

$$2\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} + 2\mathrm{e}^{-} \to \mathrm{H}_{2} + 2\mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{6}$$

$$CO_2 + OH^- \rightarrow HCO_3^-$$
 (7)

Bicarbonate  $(HCO_3^-)$  anions formed at the cathode layer migrate through the anion exchange membrane into the center flow compartment, reacting with hydrogen ions entering the center flow compartment from the anode compartment through the adjoining cation membrane to produce  $CO_2$ :

$$\mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \to \mathrm{CO}_{2} + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$$
(8)

Formic acid product will be lost if it transports through the cation membrane into the analyte compartment, being subsequently oxidized at the anode to CO<sub>2</sub>:

$$\text{HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \tag{9}$$

## Formic Acid Cell Performance

Reference (Yang et al., 2017a) provides detailed performance information of the 3-compartment formic acid cell. Figure 4 shows the performance data for the  $5 \text{ cm}^2$  3-compartment formic acid cell having a cell configuration utilizing an IrO2 coated titanium sintered fiber anode on a titanium flow field, Nafion<sup>®</sup> 324 cation membrane, and Sustanion<sup>®</sup> 37-50 anion exchange membrane operating at a current density of 140 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Table 2 provides the detailed cell configuration information. The cell was operated for about 142 h, producing a formic acid product concentration increasing from about 8.1 to 9.4% w%, and operating at a Faradaic efficiency of 80% at the start and at 94% near the end of the run until it was shutdown for inspection. No deterioration of the cell anode/cathode components was noted. The key in obtaining high FA Faradaic efficiency was employing a Nafion<sup>®</sup> 324 cation membrane, which minimized the transport of formate/formic acid ions into the anolyte compartment.

The calculated formic acid cell design power consumption is in the range of 4.3–4.7 DC kWh/kg FA at these ambient operating conditions. This corresponds to an electrical operating cost of \$214–\$232 per ton of FA using a power cost of \$0.05/kWh.

# Future Scale-Up and Formic Acid Product Applications

The worldwide market for formic acid and the commercial chemical production route as well some product applications are shown in **Figure 5A**.

A sustainable route in producing formic acid from renewable energy resources is shown in **Figure 5B**. The various FA product applications include silage/food, chemicals, energy storage, and fuels. The use of formic acid as a feedstock in biological reactors, replacing sugars and/or agricultural grains, is another promising feedstock route.

DM is currently working with industrial partners in scaling up the electrochemical formic acid technology.

## ELECTROCHEMICAL CO<sub>2</sub> ELECTROLYSIS: CONVERSION OF CO<sub>2</sub> TO CO

Interest in the development of process methods of converting  $CO_2$  to a commercially useful and valuable product such as CO has been increasing over the last 20 years. CO in combination with hydrogen is the basis for the Fischer-Tropsch (F-T) process that is capable of producing a wide variety of fuels and chemicals. One important research area is using electrochemical processing in the conversion of  $CO_2$  to CO. Some of the research work in this area has been summarized in several review papers (Appel, 2013; Costentin et al., 2014; Masel et al., 2014a, 2016b).

The development of the DM-based  $CO_2$  electrolyzer technology in efficiently producing CO at high selectivities is based on three technological improvements. These are:

• Development of a GDE cathode structure comprising an imidazole-based ionomer as a co-catalyst with nanoparticle Ag, reducing the potential at the cathode for the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction to CO.



- Development of a high conductivity and alkaline stable anion membrane (Sustainion<sup>®</sup> membrane) that can conduct OH<sup>-</sup> and bicarbonate/carbonate ions.
- Development of a GDE anode structure comprising a nanoparticle IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst that is stable for more than 3,000 h of operation.

All of these developments have helped create an electrochemical  $CO_2$  technology that can simultaneously operate at high current densities, high CO selectivity, and low cell potentials. Much of the recent work on the  $CO_2$  electrolysis technology are summarized in various papers and patents (Masel et al., 2014b, 2015; 2016c Masel and Rosen, 2014; Masel and Chen, 2015). Some of the aspects of the  $CO_2$  electrolyzer design, technology improvements, and performance are described in the next few sections.

# CO<sub>2</sub> Electrolysis Cell Design

The basic DM CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis cell configuration is shown in **Figure 6A**. The cell design employs a titanium serpentine flow path anode current collector and a graphite or 316L stainless steel serpentine flow field cathode current collector. The GDE anode utilizes a nanoparticle IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst on a carbon paper support. The GDE cathode uses a nanoparticle Ag with Sustainion<sup>®</sup> imidazole-based ionomer catalyst combination on a carbon paper support that suppresses the formation of hydrogen at the



**FIGURE 4** Formic acid cell performance data for the cell configuration tabulated in **Table 2** utilizing an IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst coated titanium sintered fiber anode, Nafion<sup>®</sup> 324 cation membrane, and Sustanion<sup>®</sup> 37-50 anion exchange membrane.

cathode, thus obtaining very high selectivities of 95 to 99% of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  to  $\mathrm{CO}$ .

# CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Chemistry

**Figure 6B** shows the main complex ion flow paths in the electrochemical  $CO_2$  cell. The  $CO_2$  cell operates using a dilute 10 mM potassium bicarbonate solution anolyte and a humidified

TABLE 2 | Formic acid cell 142 h extended run electrolyzer configuration.

#### Anode

 $\mbox{IrO}_2$  based thermal coating on titanium sintered fiber anode on a titanium serpentine flow field, DI water anolyte

#### Cathode

GDE, Nanoparticle Sn, Sn Loading: 5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> 5 wt% PTFE, 5 wt% carbon black, 5wt % imidazolium-based Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37-50 ionomer CO<sub>2</sub> gas flow rate: 20 mL/min

#### Anion Membrane

Dioxide Materials Sustainion  $\ensuremath{^{\textcircled{\tiny B}}}$  X37-50 imidazolium-based anion exchange membrane

#### **Cation Membrane**

Chemours Nafion<sup>®</sup> N324

#### **Center Flow Compartment**

Resin Fill: Amberlite  $^{60}$  IRC 120 Hydrogen form, 620-830  $\mu m$  bead size DI water single-pass mode input flow rate: 0.10 mL/min

#### Operating Temperature: Ambient

**Operating Current:** 0.7 amps, **CD:** 140 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> **Cell Active Membrane Area:** 5 cm<sup>2</sup>

 $\rm CO_2$  gas catholyte stream. High KHCO<sub>3</sub> anolyte concentrations can result in the precipitation of the potassium salts in the cathode GDE, resulting in  $\rm CO_2$  gas flow blockage in the cathode serpentine flow path.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Cathode Reactions

Below are the proposed cathode reactions in the  $CO_2$  cell.  $CO_2$  is reduced to CO on an Ag nanoparticle catalyst and formed hydroxide ions are transported through the anion exchange membrane or react with  $CO_2$  to form carbonate/bicarbonate anions which will also pass through the anion exchange membrane.

a. CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction on nanoparticle Ag catalyst producing CO and hydroxide ions:

$$CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + 2OH^- - 0.52 \text{ V vs SHE @pH7}$$
(5)

b. CO<sub>2</sub> reaction with hydroxide ions forming carbonate anions:

$$CO_2 + 2OH^- \to CO_3^{-2} + H_2O$$
 (10)

c. CO<sub>2</sub> reaction with hydroxide ions forming bicarbonate anions:

$$CO_2 + OH^- \rightarrow HCO_3^-$$
 (11)

d. Carbonate ions further reaction with CO<sub>2</sub> to form bicarbonate anions:

$$\mathrm{CO}_3^{-2} + \mathrm{CO}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \to 2\mathrm{HCO}_3^{-} \tag{12}$$

e. Water reduction electrolysis side reaction producing both hydrogen gas and hydroxide ions:

$$2H_2O + 2e \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-$$
(13)

### CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Anode Reactions

Below are the proposed anode reactions in the  $CO_2$  cell. The anode reactions are complex and may be a set of mixed reactions. Water may be electrolyzed on the nanoparticle  $IrO_2$  oxidation catalyst forming oxygen and hydrogen ions with an alternate possible anode reaction being the electrolysis of hydroxide ions at the catalyst, forming oxygen and water. The presence of the carbonate/bicarbonate ions in the anolyte and their conversion to  $CO_2$  provides complex bulk solution buffering in the anolyte compartment.

a. Electrolysis of water on anode catalyst making oxygen and hydrogen ions at pH 7 and pH 0:

$$\begin{array}{l} 2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \ 0.815 \, V \, vs \, SHE @\, pH \, 7 & (11) \\ 2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \ 1.23 \, V \, vs \, SHE @\, pH \, 0 & (13) \end{array}$$

b. Electrolysis of hydroxide ions on the anode catalyst forming oxygen and electrons:

$$4OH^- \rightarrow O_2 + 2H_2O + 2e^- 0.40 \text{ V vs SHE} @ pH$$
 (14)

c. Bicarbonate decomposition by hydrogen ions forming CO<sub>2</sub> and water:

$$HCO_3^- + H^+ \to CO_2 + H_2O \tag{14}$$

d. Carbonate decomposition by hydrogen ions forming CO<sub>2</sub> and water:

$$CO_3^{-2} + 2H^+ \to CO_2 + H_2O$$
 (15)

e. Hydroxide ions reacting with hydrogen ions producing water:

$$\mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{OH}^{-} \to \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \tag{16}$$

## Overall CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Reactions—Determining If Bicarbonate and Carbonate Are the Predominant Mobile Ionic Current Carrier Species

The complex ion transport in the CO<sub>2</sub> cell is theorized to mainly consist of bicarbonate and carbonate anions as the predominant current carriers as well as any hydroxide anions that had not reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> gas in the catholyte reactions at the GDE cathode. Experimental investigation of the ratios of the transport of these anion species in the cell and through the membrane have not yet been fully investigated. Testing of the DM 250 cm<sup>2</sup> cell at LanzaTech (Skokie, IL) provided important data on the cell anolyte gas composition by GC. Table 3 shows some of the potential reactions based on (A) bicarbonate or (B) carbonate anion transport respectively in the cell. Net anode reaction (17) in Table 3A would predict that the anode gas composition should have a  $CO_2$  to  $O_2$  ratio of 4:1 or gas composition consisting of 80% CO2 and 20% O2 if bicarbonate was the only anion current carrier in the cell. Alternatively, net anode reaction (20) in Table 3B would predict a 2:1 ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> to O<sub>2</sub> or gas composition consisting of 67% CO2 and 33% O2 if carbonate was the only anion current carrier in the cell.





DM's measurement of the cell anode gas composition in the past had showed variability in  $CO_2$  content, ranging from 30 to 60% depending on the operating conditions of the cell. One GC gas analysis set of an operating 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell at LanzaTech showed a gas composition as follows:

64% CO <sub>2</sub> , 33% O <sub>2</sub> by volume
39% CO, 57% CO <sub>2</sub> , 0.34% H <sub>2</sub>
99.2%
1,000 cc/min
100 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> (25 Amps)
2.89 V

The  $CO_2$  cell typically has a bulk anolyte pH of about 6.0 when operating on a 10 mM KHCO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte, which is equivalent to a 50:50 mixture of carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and bicarbonate. These anolyte gas results point to carbonate ions as potentially being the main charge carrier because the  $CO_2:O_2$  molar gas ratio was about 2:1. So the definitive answer to the predominant ionic charge carriers in the  $CO_2$  cell will need to be further investigated.

# CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Performance

Conducting long term tests of any electrochemical cells is the key in determining the performance and stability of all the cell components, particularly membranes and the anode/cathode catalysts. Here we discuss some of the long term performance of the DM laboratory 5 and 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer cells.

## 5.0 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Long Term Performance

The DM  $CO_2$  cell testing program employs numerous cells, evaluating different Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion membrane compositions as well as anode and cathode composition configurations for operational periods of up to 4,000 h and more to ensure that the desired cell membrane and catalyst configuration performance is stable. Cell operation details and data have been detailed in



several papers (Rosen et al., 2013; Liu et al, 2015; Masel and Chen, 2015). An example of a 5.0 cm<sup>2</sup> cell long term test run for 4,000 h is shown in **Figure 7A**. A cell voltage polarization plot for the 5 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell operating at room temperature ( $24^{\circ}$ C) is shown in **Figure 7B**.

## 250 cm<sup>2</sup> Design CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Performance

The 5.0 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell was subsequently scaled-up to a cell having a geometric active area of 250 cm<sup>2</sup>. In addition to testing at DM, one cell was sent to LanzaTech (Skokie, IL) for comparative testing. **Table 4** shows the cell configuration details. The cell at DM was operated for a total of 760 h until shut down in preparation for further test work at LanzaTech. **Figure 8A** shows the cell operating performance at DM showing a stable voltage range of 2.9–3.0 V over the test period. The cell operating current density was 120 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, with an anolyte operating temperature of about  $45^{\circ}$ C, without the need for any external cooling.

## 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Test Operation at LanzaTech

The 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell operation at LanzaTech helped in confirming the CO<sub>2</sub> cell CO selectivity performance and gas product compositions. **Figure 8B** shows the test results of the 90 h test run. LanzaTech employed an automated Agilent Technologies Model 490 Micro GC with a Mol Sieve 5A (MS5A) column to analyze and monitor the cell catholyte gas product composition automatically over time. The outlier points are occasional analysis blips in the GC analysis. The cell CO selectivity ranged from

TABLE 3   Summary of reactions having (A) bicarbonate or (B) carbonate as the
selected mobile anion specie through the anion membrane in the $\rm CO_2$ cell.

A. BICARBONATE		
Cathode:	$CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + 2OH^-$	(5)
	$+ 2[CO_2 + OH^- \rightarrow HCO_3^-]$	(7)
Net Cathode:	$3CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + 2HCO_3^-$	(16)
Anode:	$2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$	(12)
	$+ 4[HCO_3^- + H^+ \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O]$	(8)
Net Anode:	$4\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow 4\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2$	(17)
Overall Net:	$2CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + O_2$	(18)
B. CARBONATE		
Cathode:	$CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + 2OH^-$	(5)
	$+ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{-2} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	(10)
Net Cathode:	$2\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{CO}_3^{-2}$	(19)
Anode:	$2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$	(12)
	$+ 2[CO_3^{-2} + 2H^+ \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O]$	(15)
Net Anode:	$2CO_3^{-2} \rightarrow 2CO_2 + O_2 + 4e^-$	(20)
Overall Net:	$2CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + O_2$	(18)

97.5% (i.e., 1%  $\rm H_2$  content) to about 99% (0.38%  $\rm H_2$  content).

## 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Operating System

Figure 9a shows the 250  $\text{cm}^2$  CO<sub>2</sub> cell experimental test system configuration. Figures 9b,c show the Fuel Cell Technologies cell that was modified for use in the DM 250  $\text{cm}^2$  CO<sub>2</sub> cell design. The left photo Figure 9b shows the entire cell and right photo Figure 9c shows the titanium serpentine anode flow field design that was fabricated for the cell. CO<sub>2</sub> gas was metered using a CO<sub>2</sub> gas flow controller and bubbled through a water filled gas humidifier tank. The humidified CO2 gas enters the top of the CO<sub>2</sub> cell cathode and exits at the bottom as a depleted CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream containing the CO product and a small amount of byproduct H<sub>2</sub>. Excess condensed water is collected and separated from the gas stream product. The typical gas product from the CO<sub>2</sub> cell was mainly CO with a small amount of byproduct H<sub>2</sub> and operating with a stoichiometric excess of CO<sub>2</sub>. The cell CO selectivity ranged from about 95 to 99% depending on the applied current density and amount of excess CO<sub>2</sub> over stoichiometric used in the catholyte gas feed as shown in Figure 8. The anolyte loop consists of a 10 mM KHCO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte, having a conductivity of about 1.0 mS, which was pumped through the cell GDE anode at selected flowrates. A heat exchanger was used to control the anolyte loop temperature by controlling the flow of cooling water. A conductivity controller was used to control the addition of a concentrated KHCO3 solution to the anolyte loop as needed to maintain anolyte conductivity.

## CO<sub>2</sub> Cell Scale-Up Progress

Work on scale-up of the DM  $CO_2$  cell is continuing with other partners. In addition to scale-up, development work is proceeding in finding suitable stable non-precious metal catalyst replacements for the nanoparticle  $IrO_2$  used in the anode GDE design.

An estimate of the CO<sub>2</sub> cell process power consumption, using the 250 cm<sup>2</sup> cell data of 2.95 V at 30 amps (120 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) with 98% CO selectivity, was calculated to be 5.8 DC kWh/kg of CO at  $45^{\circ}$ C.

## **ALKALINE WATER ELECTROLYSIS**

Alkaline water electrolysis is recognized as a mature technology that is reliable and safe, with electrolyzers having operational lifetimes as long as 15 years. Their biggest advantage is that they employ abundant, non-precious metal electrode catalysts. The conventional alkaline water electrolyzer cell design typically uses a diaphragm type separator. The separator typically requires that the distance between the anode and cathode electrodes to be about 2-3 mm apart to prevent gas crossover, thus limiting the operating current density to about 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> in order to operate at a reasonable operating voltage and corresponding overall energy efficiency. In order to increase the current density, while maintaining the same or higher energy efficiency, the next technology step would be to develop stable alkaline stable anion exchange membranes that would allow true zero-gap water electrolyzers. DM has developed alkaline water electrolyzer technology based on the development of a stable anion exchange membrane. The development work is summarized in various published papers and patents (Pletcher and Li, 2011; Deavin et al., 2012; Appel, 2013; Rosen et al., 2013; Masel et al., 2016b; Kutz et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017a,b).

## DM Alkaline Water Electrolyzer Cell Design

The development of an alkaline stable Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane has enabled the development of zerogap design CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzers that can actually improve current density by an order of magnitude at the same or lower cell voltage as compared to the current diaphragm-based alkaline water electrolyzers. In order to test Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane in a water electrolyzer configuration, a decision was made to use a similar cell design, **Figures 9A,B**, as employed in the CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer, but using 316L stainless, or more preferred, pure nickel 200 flow fields in both the cathode and anode designs.

## Alkaline Electrolysis Cell Chemistry

The alkaline water electrolysis cell operates using a 1 M KOH electrolyte solution at temperatures from ambient to  $60^{\circ}$ C. Operation at  $60^{\circ}$ C provides the lowest operating cell voltage using the current Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membranes to separate the anode and cathode reactions.

## Alkaline Electrolysis Cell Electrode Reactions

Sustainion<sup>®</sup> membranes, which are prepared in the chloride  $(Cl^-)$  form, need to be converted to the hydroxide  $(OH^-)$  form. This is done by soaking in 1 M KOH for at least 12 h. In the presence of a KOH electrolyte, both cathode and anode are operating at a local pH is close to 14. At the cathode, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) uses water as proton donor, producing hydrogen and OH<sup>-</sup> (Equation 6). OH<sup>-</sup> ions transport from



FIGURE 7 | 5.0 cm<sup>2</sup> long term cell test data showing stable performance for 4,000 h is shown on the left in (A). A cell voltage polarization plot for the 5.0 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell operating at room temperature is shown on the right in (B).

**TABLE 4** | 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell configuration details.

#### 250 cm<sup>2</sup> cell construction details:

- Fuel Cell Technologies, Model 250SCH, modified with titanium anode and graphite flow fields
- DM Sustainion® T ePTFE reinforced membrane (88  $\mu m$  wet thickness)
- Anode GDE:  $IrO_2$  on 5% CFP (carbon fiber paper)
- Cathode GDE: Nanoparticle Ag/Sustainion® ionomer on Sigracet 39BC paper

#### **Operation:**

- Anolyte solution flow: 200 mL /min, 10 mM KHCO3
- CO2 gas rate: 1,000 mL/min, hydrated with water vapor

cathode to anode and recombine at the anode to generate  ${\rm O}_2$  and electrons (Equation 14). The overall reaction is written in Equation 21.

$4H_2O+4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2+4OH^-$	$E_c^0 = 0.826 \text{ V vs SHE}$	(6)
$4OH^- \rightarrow O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^-$	$E_{a}^{0} = -0.404 \text{ V vs SHE}$	(14)
$2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$	$E^0 = 1.23 V$	(21)

# Laboratory Alkaline Water Electrolysis Test System

**Figure 10A** shows a general diagram of a DM 5 cm<sup>2</sup> experimental alkaline water electrolysis operating system. The bottom of electrochemical cell anolyte and catholyte compartments are separately fed a pumped stream of 1 M KOH from a common gas disengagement 1 M KOH solution reservoir. Both the anolyte and catholyte compartment solution/gas product streams are separately routed to the individual O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> gas disengager sections of the gas/liquid disengager unit. The O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> gas products are separately vented from the disengager. One DM cell design uses silicone flexible strip heater pads mounted on the anode and cathode external plates to supply the heat for cell operation at 60°C. The cell temperature is controlled using a PID temperature controller using a thermocouple mounted on the cell anode or cathode body.





## Alkaline Water Electrolysis Cell Performance

**Figure 10B** shows typical cyclic voltammogram of the alkaline water electrolyzer with a Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane based on functionalization with 1-methyl imidazole. Pt and IrO<sub>2</sub>



**FIGURE 9** | Schematic of the 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> cell experimental test system is shown in (a). The DM modified 250 cm<sup>2</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer cell as shown in (b) on the left. The design used a titanium serpentine anode flow field design as shown in (c).

black were used as cathode and anode, respectively. The onset potential for water electrolysis with anion exchange membrane started at 1.42 V, and the current increased quickly at a current density of 0.52 A/cm<sup>2</sup> (the maximum current of potentiostat) was 1.74 V. The long term test was conducted at constant current of 0.8 A/cm<sup>2</sup> using a power supply, and the results are shown in **Figure 10C**. The cell voltage reached 2 V in 5 min, and gradually increased to 2.3 V in 80 min. The cell voltage then increased quickly and reached a cut off voltage of 3.5 V in less than 120 min. These results showed that the 1-methyl imidazolebased membrane works in an alkaline water electrolyzer, but was not stable in 1 M KOH. This was due to the three active hydrogen molecules in the imidazole ring that are attacked by hydroxyl radicals ( $\cdot$ OH) in 1 M KOH, especially at higher temperatures.

In order to improve the stability of anion exchange membrane, tetramethyl imidazole was grafted onto the styrene backbone instead of 1-methyl imidazole. This membrane was named Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50. **Figure 10C** shows the polarization curves of the cell with Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 membrane running in 1 M KOH at 60°C. The cell with Pt cathode and IrO<sub>2</sub> anode achieved current densities of 1 and 3 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.62 and 1.77 V, respectively. As a comparison, the cell with NiFeCo cathode and NiFeOx anode reached 1 and 3 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.90 and 2.13 V, respectively. The cell with base metal/metal oxide electrodes and Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 anion exchange membranes achieved a 5-fold higher current density at 1.90 V than that (200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2.0 V) of the commercial alkaline water electrolysis with diaphragms as separator.

Two questions were then needed to be answered. Does the Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane have long term KOH stability and how long can it maintain performance? **Figure 11A** compares the cell performance of the Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 anion exchange membrane with a commercial Fumatech FAS-50 anion exchange membrane in identical DM water electrolysis cells operating at a constant current density of 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> in



1 M KOH at 60°C. The results show that the Sustanion<sup>®</sup> membrane cell, operating at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> for almost 2,000 h, had a cell voltage increase rate of only 5  $\mu$ V/h. This indicated that Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 anion exchange membrane functionalized with 1,2,4,5-tetramethylimidazole was chemically very stable in KOH compared to the membrane functionalized with 1-methyl imidazole. This also confirmed that the instability of the 1-methyl imidazole functionalized membrane was due to the active hydrogen in the ring. The Fumasep FAS-50<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane showed a significant increase of 200  $\mu$ V/h in cell voltage over time and exhibited a high cell leakage current of 0.2 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at the 200 h point, and was then shut down.

**Figure 11B** shows alkaline electrolysis cell current at an applied potential of 2 V in evaluating the performance of various commercial membranes using a NiFeCo catalyst coated cathode and NiFeOx catalyst coated anode operating in 1 M KOH at 60°C. The Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane showed the highest cell current at the applied voltage. This compares with the membrane ASR membrane measurement data shown in **Table 1**.

**Figure 11C** shows the polarization curves of the same cell comparing precious metal electrode catalysts (Pt on cathode/IrO<sub>2</sub> on anode) to base metal electrode catalysts (NiFe on anode/NiFeCo on cathode) using a Sustainion<sup>®</sup> 37–50 anion exchange membrane in 1 M KOH at 60°C. At a current

density of 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, the cell using the precious metal catalysts operated at a cell voltage of 1.63 V, and the base metal catalysts cell operated at 1.9 V. The alkaline electrolysis cell CAPEX (capital expenditure) and OPEX (operating cost) which will need to include the availability/cost of both Ir and Pt catalysts in worldwide large scale installations then become important variables in the analysis decision for installing these systems.

# Alkaline Water Electrolysis Cell Scale-Up

Commercial alkaline water electrolysis operate cells with electrode geometric areas varying from several hundred  $cm^2$  up to several  $m^2$ . DM is now scaling up the zero-gap alkaline water electrolyzer design from 5 cm<sup>2</sup> to larger 100–600 cm<sup>2</sup> cells. In operating these larger alkaline water electrolyzers at high current densities of 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> or more, both water and heat management are critically important. Based on energy and mass calculations, operating a 100 cm<sup>2</sup> cell at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> and 1.90 V, the water consumption would be about 806 mL per day with the generation of about 67 W of waste heat that has to be removed. The waste heat for a single cell can be handled with air cooling, but a large cell stack would require a recirculating cooling water system having a heat exchanger. A deionized water make-up system would be required for maintain the system liquid KOH electrolyte concentration and volume.



The power consumption estimate for the alkaline water electrolysis cell, operating at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.90 V, was calculated to be 50.5 DC kWh/kg H<sub>2</sub>. The electrolyzer energy consumption will be lower at lower operating current densities, but the capital costs for the electrolyzer cells will be significantly higher. All of these factors have to be considered when comparing the electrolyzer H<sub>2</sub> power consumption to the DOE electrochemical hydrogen target goals which have stack energy efficiencies of 43 kWh/kg and hydrogen levelized cost of \$2.00 for 2020 (U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2018).

# SUMMARY

Electrochemical technology in the conversion of  $CO_2$  to various products such as formic acid and CO as well as hydrogen using alkaline water electrolysis cells is advancing to commercialization with the development of the new Dioxide Materials Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membranes. These membranes are both highly conductive and show excellent stability in highly alkaline solution environments at temperatures up to  $60^{\circ}$ C. The electrochemical systems utilizing these new membranes are showing good stability in long term bench-scale tests over thousands of hours of operation. These anion exchange membranes are still in the development stage, and work is being done in improving the membrane mechanical and ionic conductivity properties.

Future work will focus on improvements in these anion exchange membranes as well as in the development of improved catalysts that will extend the performance of all these electrochemical technologies.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JK, HY, ZL, SS, and RM have all fully contributed to the content in this article. JK, HY, and SS worked in the development of the formic acid technology. ZL, SS, RM, and JK all worked on the alkaline water electrolysis technology. SS, ZL, and RM have all worked on the development of the Sustainion<sup>®</sup> anion exchange membrane development. ZL, HY, and RM have all worked on the development of the  $CO_2$  electrolysis technology. All have contributed to the content and editing of this article.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Parts of this work were supported by DOE under contract DE-SC0004453 as well as in part by ARPA-E under contract DE-AR0000684 and 3M company. The opinions here are those of the authors and may not reflect the opinions of DOE or 3M. Assistance from DM colleagues, collaborators, and friends from 3M are gratefully acknowledged. In addition, special acknowledgment of support from LanzaTech, in particular

## REFERENCES

- Agarwal, A. S., Zhai, Y., Hill, D., and Sridhar, N. (2011). The Electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide to formate/formic acid: engineering and economic feasibility. *ChemSusChem* 4, 1301–1310. doi: 10.1002/cssc.2011 00220
- Alvarez-Guerra, M., Del Castillo, A., and Irabien, A. (2014). Continuous electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide into formate using a tin cathode: comparison with lead cathode. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 92, 692–701. doi: 10.1016/j.cherd.2013.11.002
- Alvarez-Guerra, M., Quintanilla, S., and Irabien, A. (2012). Conversion of carbon dioxide into formate using a continuous electrochemical reduction process in a lead cathode. *Chem. Eng. J.* 207, 278–284. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2012. 06.099
- Appel, A. M., Bercaw, J. E., Bocarsly, A. B., Dobbek, H., DuBois, D. L., Dupuis, M. et al., (2013). Frontiers, opportunities, and challenges in biochemical and chemical catalysis of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 6621–6658. doi: 10.1021/cr300463y
- Aresta, M. (2010). Carbon Dioxide as Chemical Feedstock. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 1–13.
- Aresta, M., and Dibenedetto, A. (2007). Utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> as a chemical feedstock: opportunities and challenges. *Dalton Trans.* 28, 2975–2992. doi: 10.1039/b700658f
- Aresta, M., Dibenedetto, A., and Quaranta, E. (2016). State of the art and perspectives in catalytic process for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion into chemicals and fuels: the distinctive contribution of chemical catalysis and biotechnology. *Catal. J.* 343, 2–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jcat.2016.04.003
- Costentin, C., Robert, M., and Savéant, J. M. (2014). Catalysis of the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 2423–2436. doi: 10.1039/C2CS35360A
- Deavin, O. I., Murphy, S., Ong, A. L., Poynton, S. D., Zeng, R., and Varcoe, J. R. (2012). Anion-exchange membranes for alkaline polymerelectrolyte fuel cells: comparison of pendent benzyltrimethylammonium- and benzylmethylimidazolium-head-groups. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5, 8584–8597. doi: 10.1039/C2EE22466F
- Du, D., Lan, R., Humpheys, J., and Tao, S. (2017). Progress in inorganic cathode catalysts for electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide into formate or formic acid. J.Appl. Electrochem. 47, 661–678. doi: 10.1007/s10800-017-1078-x
- Du, D., Lan, R., Humphreys, J., Sengodan, S., Xie, K., Wang, H., et al., (2016). Achieving both high selectivity and current density for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to formate on nanoporous tin foam electrocatalysts. *Chem. Sel.* 1, 1711–1715. doi: 10.1002/slct.201600451
- Halmann, M. M. (1993). Chemical Fixation of Carbon Dioxide: Methods for Recycling CO<sub>2</sub> into Useful Products. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 67–127.
- Hu, B., Guild, C., and Suib, S. L. (2013). Thermal, electrochemical, and photochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to fuels and value-added products. *J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util.* 1, 18–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2013.03.004
- Jitaru, M. (2007). Electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction Fundamental and applied topics (Review). *J. Univ. Chem. Technol. Metal.* 42, 333–344. Available online at: http://dl.uctm.edu/journal/node/j2007-4/1\_Jitaru\_333-344.pdf
- Kaczur, J. J., Yang, H., Sajjad, S. D., and Masel, R. I. (2017). Method and System for Electrochemical Production of Formic Acid from Carbon dioxide, Boca Raton, FL: U.S. Patent Application, 2017/0037522.
- Kopljar, D., Inan, A., Vindayer, P., Wagner, N., and Klemm, E. (2014). Electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to formate at high current density using gas diffusion electrodes. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 44, 1107–1116. doi: 10.1007/s10800-014-0731-x
- Kutz, R. B., Chen, Q., Yang, H., Sajjad, S., D., Liu, Z., and Masel, I. R. (2017). Sustainion Imidazolium-Functionalized Polymers for CO<sub>2</sub> Electrolysis. *Energy Technol.* 5, 929–936. doi: 10.1002/ente.201600636

Sean Simpson (CSO and Founder), Christophe Mihalcea (Director, Fermentation), Bruce Li (Sr. Dev. Engr.), and Nick Bourdakos (Sr. Fermentation Engr.) in providing us their valuable experimental operating data on the DM CO<sub>2</sub> cell.

- Li, H., and Oloman, C. (2005). The electro-reduction of carbon dioxide in a continuous reactor. J. Appl. Electrochem. 35, 955–965. doi: 10.1007/s10800-005-7173-4
- Li, H., and Oloman, C. (2006). Development of a continuous reactor for the electro-reduction of carbon dioxide to formate. part 1. Process variables. J. Appl. Electrochem. 36, 1105–1115. doi: 10.1007/s10800-006-9194-z
- Li, H., and Oloman, C. (2007). Development of a continuous reactor for the electro-reduction of carbon dioxide to formate. Part 2. Scaleup. J. Appl. Electrochem. 37, 1107–1117. doi: 10.1007/s10800-007-9371-8
- Liu, Z., Masel, R. I., Chen, Q., Kutz, R., Yang, H., Lutz, D. R. et al., (2015). Electrochemical generation of syngas from water and carbon dioxide at industrially important rates. J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util. 15, 50–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2016.04.011
- Liu, Z., Sajjad, S. D., Gao, Y., Yang, H., Kaczur, J. J., and Masel, R. I. (2017a). The effect of membrane on an alkaline water electrolyzer. *Intl. J. Hydrogen Energy* 42, 29661–29665. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.10.050
- Liu, Z., Sajjad, S., D., Gao, Y., Kaczur, J., J., and Masel, R. I. (2017b). An alkaline water electrolyzer with Sustainion<sup>TM</sup> membranes: 1A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.9V with Base Metal Catalysts. *ECS Trans.* 77, 71–73. doi: 10.1149/07709.0071ecst
- Lu, X., Leung, D. Y. C., Wang, H., Leung, M. K., H., and Xuan, J. (2014). Electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid. *ChemElectroChem* 1, 836–849. doi: 10.1002/celc.201300206
- Mahmood, M. N., Masheder, D., and Harty, C. J. (1987). Use of gasdiffusion electrodes for high-rate electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide.
  I. Reduction at lead, indium- and tin-impregnated electrodes. J. Appl. Electrochem. 17, 1159–1170. doi: 10.1007/BF01023599
- Masel, R. I., and Chen, Q. (2015). Carbon Dioxide Conversion to Fuels and Chemicals. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,193,593.
- Masel, R. I., Chen, Q., Liu, Z., and Kutz, R. (2017b). *Ion-Conducting Membranes*. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,580,824.
- Masel, R. I., Chen, Q., Liu, Z., and Kutz, R. (2017c). Ion-Conducting Membranes. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,370,773.
- Masel, R. I., Chen, Q., Liu, Z., and Kutz, R. (2016c). Electrochemical Device for Converting Carbon Dioxide to a Reaction Product. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,481,939.
- Masel, R. I., Liu, Z., and Sajjad, S. (2016b). Anion exchange membrane electrolyzers showing 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at less than 2V. ECS Trans. 75, 1143–1145. doi: 10.1149/07514.1143ecst
- Masel, R. I., Ni, R., Liu, Z., Chen, Q., Kutz, R and Lewinski, K. (2014a). Unlocking the potential of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to fuels and chemicals as an economically viable route to CCR. *Energy Proc.* 63, 7959–7962. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.832
- Masel, R. I., Rosen, B. A., and Zhu, W. (2014b). Devices and Processes for Carbon Dioxide Conversion into Useful Fuels and Chemicals. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,181,625.
- Masel, R. I., Sajjad, S., D., Gao, Y., Liu, Z., and Chen, Q. (2017a). Ion-Conducting Membranes. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,849,450.
- Masel, R. I., Salahi-Khogin, A., and Rosen, B. A. (2015). Catalysts for Electrochemical Conversion of Carbon Dioxide. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 9,012,345.
- Masel, R. I., Shajjad, S. D., and Pellerite, M. J. (2017d). Method of Making an Anion Exchange Membrane. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent Application 2017/0189898.
- Masel, R., Liu, Z., Zhao, D., Chen, Q., Lutz, D., and Nereng, L. (2016a). "CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to chemicals with emphasis on using renewable energy/resources to drive the conversion," in *Commercializing Biobased Products: Opportunities, Challenges, Benefits, and Risks RSC Green Chemistry, No.* 43, ed S. Snyder (Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry), 215–257. doi: 10.1039/9781782622444-00215
- Masel, R.,I., and Rosen, B. A. (2014). A Catalyst Mixtures. Boca Raton, FL. U.S. Patent 8,956,990.

- Oloman, C., and Li, H. (2008). Electrochemical processing of carbon dioxide. ChemSusChem 1, 1385–1391. doi: 10.1002/cssc.200800015
- Pellerite, M., Kaplun, M., Hartmann-Thompson, C., Lewinski, K., A., Kunz, N., Gregar, N., et al. (2017). Imidazolium-functionalized polymer membranes for fuel cells and electrolyzers. *ECS Trans.* 80, 945–956. doi: 10.1149/08008.0945ecst
- Pletcher, D. (2015). The cathodic reduction of carbon dioxide What can it realistically achieve? A mini review. *Electrochem. Commun.* 61, 97–101. doi: 10.1016/j.elecom.2015.10.006
- Pletcher, D., and Li, X. (2011). Prospects for alkaline zero gap water electrolyzers for hydrogen production. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 36, 15089–15104. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.08.080
- Qiao, J., Liu, Y., Hong, F., and Zhang, J. (2014). A review of catalysts for the electroreduction of carbon dioxide to produce low-carbon fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43, 631–675. doi: 10.1039/C3CS60323G
- Quadrelli, E. A., Centi, G., Duplan, J. L., and Perathoner, S. (2011). Carbon dioxide recycling: emerging large-scale technologies with industrial potential. *ChemSusChem* 4, 1194–1215. doi: 10.1002/cssc. 201100473
- Rosen, B. A., Salehi-Kohjin, A., Thorsen, M., R., Zhu, W., Whipple, P. J. A., Kenis, P. J. A., and Masel, R. I. (2011). Ionic liquid-mediated selective conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO at low overpotentials. *Science* 334, 643–644. doi: 10.1126/science.1209786
- Rosen, B. A., Zhu, W., Kaul, G., Salehi-Khojin, A., and Masel, R. I. (2013). Water enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion on silver in 1-Ethyl-3-Methylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 160, H138–H141. doi: 10.1149/2.004303jes
- Sajjad, S., Gao, Y., Liu, Z., Yang, H., and Masel, R. (2017). Tunable-High performance sustainion<sup>TM</sup> anion exchange membranes for electrochemical applications. *ECS Trans.* 77, 1653–1656. doi: 10.1149/07711.1653ecst
- Sankaranarayanan, S., and Srinivasan, K. (2012). Carbon dioxide a potential raw material for the production of fuel, fuel additives and bio-derived chemicals. *Indian Chem. J.* 51A, 1252–1262. Available Online at: http://nopr.niscair.res.in/ handle/123456789/14662
- Sen, S., Skinn, B., Hall, T., Inman, M., Taylor, E. J., and, Brushett, F. R. (2016). Pulsed electrodeposition of tin electrocatalysts onto gas diffusion layers for carbon dioxide reduction to formate. *MRS Adv.* 2, 451–458. doi: 10.1557/adv.2016.652
- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (2018). DOE Targets for Hydrogen Production from Electrolysis, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Fuel Cell

Technologies Office. Available online at: https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/ doe-technical-targets-hydrogen-production-electrolysis.

- Ursua, A., Gandia, L. M., and Sanchis, P. (2012). Hydrogen Production from water electrolysis: current status and future trends. *Proc. IEEE* 100, 410–426. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2011.2156750
- Vengatesan, S., Santhi, S., Jeevanantham, S., and Sozhan, G. (2015). Quaternized poly (styrene-co-vinylbenzyl chloride) anion exchange membranes for alkaline water electrolyzers. J. Pow. Sources 284, 361–368. doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.02.118
- Whipple, D. T., and Kenis, P. J. A. (2010). Prospects of CO<sub>2</sub> utilization via direct heterogeneous electrochemical reduction. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1, 3451–3458. doi: 10.1021/jz1012627
- Whipple, D. T., Finke, E. C., and Kenis, P. J. A. (2010). Microfluidic reactor for the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide: the effect of p H. *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.* 13, B109–B111. doi: 10.1149/1.3456590
- White, J. L., Herb, J. T., Kaczur, J. J., Majsztrik, P. W., and Bocarsly, A. B. (2014). Photons to formate: efficient electrochemical solar energy conversion via reduction of carbon dioxide. J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util. 7, 1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2014.05.002
- Yang, H., Kaczur, J. J., Sajjad, S. D., and Masel, R. I. (2017a). Electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to formic acid utilizing sustainion<sup>®</sup> membranes. *J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util.* 20, 208–217. doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2017.04.011
- Yang, H., Kaczur, J., Sajjad, S. D., and Masel, R. I. (2017b). CO<sub>2</sub> Conversion to formic acid in a three compartment cell with sustainion<sup>TM</sup> membranes. *ECS Trans.* 77, 1425–1431. doi: 10.1149/07711.1425ecst

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have submitted several patents on the membranes and designs disclosed here including US 9,370,773, US 9,481,939, US 9,580,824, and US patent applications 15/400,775, 15/406,909, 15/411,831. The authors are all employees of Dioxide Materials and have a financial interest in these patents. Dioxide Materials is offering all of our research materials (membranes, catalysts etc.) for sale to other research groups so that they can reproduce and build on the findings.

Copyright © 2018 Kaczur, Yang, Liu, Sajjad and Masel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.