

Swiss Army Pathogen: The Salmonella Entry Toolkit

Peter J. Hume, Vikash Singh, Anthony C. Davidson and Vassilis Koronakis*

Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Salmonella causes disease in humans and animals ranging from mild self-limiting gastroenteritis to potentially life-threatening typhoid fever. Salmonellosis remains a considerable cause of morbidity and mortality globally, and hence imposes a huge socio-economic burden worldwide. A key property of all pathogenic Salmonella strains is the ability to invade non-phagocytic host cells. The major determinant of this invasiveness is a Type 3 Secretion System (T3SS), a molecular syringe that injects virulence effector proteins directly into target host cells. These effectors cooperatively manipulate multiple host cell signaling pathways to drive pathogen internalization. Salmonella does not only rely on these injected effectors, but also uses several other T3SS-independent mechanisms to gain entry into host cells. This review summarizes our current understanding of the methods used by Salmonella for cell invasion, with a focus on the host signaling networks that must be coordinately exploited for the pathogen to achieve its goal.

Keywords: Salmonella invasion, T3SS effectors, membrane ruffling, actin cytoskeleton, Salmonella pathogenicity islands, SPI1-independent entry

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Leigh A. Knodler, Washington State University, United States

Reviewed by:

James E. Casanova, University of Virginia, United States Kenneth G. Campellone. University of Connecticut, United States

> *Correspondence: Vassilis Koronakis vk103@cam.ac.uk

Received: 13 June 2017 Accepted: 21 July 2017 Published: 09 August 2017

Citation:

Hume PJ, Singh V, Davidson AC and Koronakis V (2017) Swiss Army Pathogen: The Salmonella Entry Toolkit. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7:348. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00348

INTRODUCTION

Salmonella enterica is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in both humans and animals. Different serovars have distinct species specificity as well as diverse clinical manifestations (Gal-Mor et al., 2014). For example, the human-specific Salmonella enterica serotype typhi (S. typhi) causes a serious systemic disease known as typhoid fever, and is responsible for up to 600,000 deaths annually, especially in southeast Asia (Crump and Mintz, 2010). In contrast, non-Typhoidal S. enterica serotypes such as Typhimurium and Enteritidis (Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella enteritidis) usually cause a self-limiting inflammatory diarrhoeal disease in immunocompetent humans (Rivera-Chavez and Baumler, 2015). Salmonella gastroenteritis (caused by these and other serotypes) is also a significant cause of mortality and is thought to be responsible for around 155,000 deaths annually (Majowicz et al., 2010). In immunocompromised or malnourished individuals, in particular in sub-Saharan Africa, non-Typhoidal Salmonella infection can manifest as an invasive disease, characterized by bacteraemia and a high mortality rate, made more serious by increasing rates of antibiotic resistance (Feasey et al., 2012; Uche et al., 2017). Despite, these differences in disease outcome, all Salmonella serotypes must overcome the same initial barrier to infection. Following ingestion and passage through the stomach, Salmonella must cross the intestinal epithelium in order to successfully colonize the host.

S. typhimurium exploits phagocytic intestinal cells, such as antigen-sampling M cells and dendritic cells, but also forces its own uptake into non-phagocytic epithelial cells (Figure 1; Santos and Baumler, 2004; Tahoun et al., 2012). Once across the epithelium, S. typhimurium can efficiently invade further epithelial cells from the basolateral side (Criss and Casanova, 2003), where the



pathogen can survive and replicate. S. typhimurium usually remain localized to intestinal tissues, where the host's inflammatory response to the invading pathogen is responsible for the symptoms of gastroenteritis (Zhang et al., 2003). It has recently become apparent that in cases of Salmonella gastroenteritis, only a small proportion of the ingested bacteria invade the epithelium in this manner. Instead the bulk of the population remain in the lumen of the intestine, where they gain a selective advantage over the resident microbiota due to the host's inflammatory response to the small invading sub-population (Stecher et al., 2007; Raffatellu et al., 2009; Winter et al., 2010). Although, S. typhimurium can survive and replicate within macrophages, the invading sub-population will eventually be cleared, likely by host neutrophils. However, when this fails, e.g., in the immunocompromised, systemic spread, and bacteraemia can occur (Gordon, 2008).

S. typhi enters and crosses the intestinal epithelium in an analogous manner to S. typhimurium, however largely due to its polysaccharide capsule this does not trigger an inflammatory response (Wangdi et al., 2012; Keestra-Gounder et al., 2015). The invading pathogen can also survive and replicate in macrophages, which allows systemic spread. S. typhi colonizes host organs such as the spleen, liver, and gallbladder, inducing the symptoms of typhoid fever (Dougan and Baker, 2014). Studies using the mouse model of typhoid fever (i.e., infection of mice with S. typhimurium) have identified phagocytic cells such as macrophages, and later PMNs and mononuclear cells, as the predominant cell type infected during the systemic phase of disease (Mastroeni and Grant, 2013), though it remains to be proven if this holds true for S. typhi infection in humans. The role of entry into extra-intestinal epithelial cells is uncertain, but may be especially important in the gallbladder, where SPI1-dependent entry could allow chronic infection and consequent long term shedding (Gonzalez-Escobedo and Gunn, 2013).

It is clear that the ability to enter host cells is fundamental to *Salmonella* pathogenesis. It is therefore perhaps not surprising that the pathogen has evolved multiple seemingly redundant mechanisms to achieve this in a range of host cell types. While some of these pathways have been the subjects of intense research, others are only beginning to be identified. The aim of this review is to summarize our understanding of how *Salmonella* promotes its own uptake by non-phagocytic cells, with a focus on the host cell signaling pathways that are subverted.

THE CANONICAL VIEW – SALMONELLA PATHOGENICITY ISLAND 1 ENTRY EFFECTORS

Almost 30 years ago, genes responsible for the ability of Salmonella to enter non-phagocytic cultured cells were identified (Galan and Curtiss, 1989), and shown to be part of a pathogenicity island termed SPI1 (Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 1; Collazo and Galan, 1997b). SPI1 has been the subject of decades of research, which has shown it to encode a Type Three Secretion System (T3SS), a molecule syringe that directly delivers a cohort of virulence effector proteins (encoded both within SPI1 and elsewhere in the Salmonella chromosome) into host cells (Deng et al., 2017). These effectors both drive the forced uptake of the pathogen by non-phagocytic cells, and also manipulate host cell signaling pathways, especially those involved in the inflammatory response (McGhie et al., 2009). While the biochemical activities of the entry effectors are wellcharacterized, their contributions to the invasion process are multi-faceted and only beginning to be fully understood.

The paradigm of *Salmonella* forced uptake is that the SPI1delivered effectors induce rearrangements of the host cell actin cytoskeleton, leading to the production of large lamellipodia-like surface protrusions termed membrane ruffles, which eventually engulf the pathogen in a process similar to macropinocytosis, the uptake of large volumes of extracellular solutes and nutrients by cells (Garcia-del Portillo and Finlay, 1994). Mutagenesis studies have identified the effectors responsible for ruffling, and therefore entry.

SopB, SopE, and SopE2 have been identified as the major drivers of uptake, as strains in which all three genes are mutated are virtually non-invasive (Zhou et al., 2001). SopB is a lipid phosphatase which can remove phosphates from the 4' and 5' position of various phosphatidylinositol species, and both of these activities are required for SopB-mediated ruffle formation (Piscatelli et al., 2016). Infection of cultured cells leads to a SopB-dependent increase in phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P), phosphatidylinositol-3,4-bisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P₃) (Mallo et al., 2008). The production of these lipids allows the recruitment of various host proteins, e.g., SGEF, which in turn activates RhoG, which may be important for *Salmonella* entry (Patel and Galan, 2006). The signaling cascades triggered by these phosphatidylinositol species are described in later sections.

The precise mechanism by which the lipid phosphatase SopB leads to increased levels of these phosphoinostide species remains uncertain. SopB activity is required for Rab5 recruitment to the SCV. Vps34, a PI3-kinase, then associates with active Rab5, and is thought to be responsible for PI3P formation on SCVs (Mallo et al., 2008). SopB manipulation of phosphoinositide dynamics at the plasma membrane is more complex. In cells expressing SopB, $PI(4,5)P_2$ in the plasma membrane is dephosphorylated (Terebiznik et al., 2002), generating PI4P and PI5P. It has been proposed that this increase in PI5P may activate Class II PI3-kinases, which leads to the production of PI(3,4)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃ (Mallo et al., 2008), and direct hydrolysis of these by SopB may produce PI3P at membrane ruffles (Piscatelli et al., 2016). It is therefore likely that SopB controls dynamic cycles of phosphoinositide production at sites of Salmonella entry (Piscatelli et al., 2016).

SopE2 is encoded by essentially all Salmonella strains, and is a mimic of host guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) that activates the Rho family GTPase Cdc42 (Stender et al., 2000). In addition to SopE2, a number of strains have been lysogenized with a bacteriophage which encodes SopE (Mirold et al., 1999), a second GEF mimic, highly similar to SopE2. SopE activates Cdc42 and also Rac1 (Hardt et al., 1998a; Friebel et al., 2001), which as discussed below is crucial for triggering membrane ruffling and pathogen uptake, and deletion of *sopE* in strains that encode it reduces invasion of cultured cells by around 50% (Hardt et al., 1998b). However, Rac1 activity is also central to promoting the inflammatory response associated with Salmonella infection, and it has been proposed that this activity, along with the selective advantage it gives Salmonella in the lumen of the inflamed intestine in gastroenteritis, is the evolutionary driver for SopE acquisition (Lopez et al., 2012). The cellular consequences of SopE/E2 activation of Cdc42 and Rac1 are discussed further below.

In addition to these effectors which indirectly target the actin cytoskeleton by manipulating signaling networks, the SPI1 effectors SipA and SipC are direct actin-binding proteins, and

consequently prime candidates for promoting pathogen uptake (Hayward and Koronakis, 2002). SipC both nucleates actin filament polymerization and bundles pre-existing actin filaments (Hayward and Koronakis, 1999). Studying the role of SipCmediated actin assembly in Salmonella entry is complicated due to SipC being a key component of the SPI1 T3SS translocon, the pore-like structure that inserts in the host cell plasma membrane and allows the translocation of effectors into the target cell. SipC null mutants strains of Salmonella are non-invasive (Kaniga et al., 1995), but also defective in delivery of any effector proteins (Collazo and Galan, 1997a). Attempts have been made to separate the two activities of SipC by making a series of small internal deletions in the protein. One such mutant is capable of restoring effector delivery when expressed in a sipC deletion strain, but does not fully complement the invasion defect, suggesting SipC is indeed directly involved in promoting entry (Chang et al., 2005; Myeni and Zhou, 2010).

SipA potentiates the actin nucleating and bundling activities of SipC (and also the host bundling protein T-plastin; McGhie et al., 2001). SipA binds with high affinity and stabilizes actin filaments, both mechanically and by preventing their depolymerization by host proteins such as ADF and cofilin (McGhie et al., 2004). SipA mutants have small, but significant, decrease in invasiveness, suggesting that SipA enhances *Salmonella* entry but is not strictly required (Jepson et al., 2001).

CELLULAR PATHWAYS TARGETED BY SALMONELLA ENTRY EFFECTORS

The direct cellular targets of the *Salmonella* entry effectors (e.g., Rho GTPases, phosphoinositide lipids) are master regulators of numerous divergent signaling networks. Consequently, the injection of these effectors into targets cells triggers many different pathways, often with overlapping outcomes. While these signaling pathways influence multiple aspects of *Salmonella* pathogenesis, the focus here will be those that have been shown to drive pathogen uptake.

The Wave Regulatory Complex

Early studies of Salmonella entry showed that the membrane ruffles that are generated by the pathogen morphologically resemble lamellipodia, flat sheet-like extensions of the plasma membrane that the cell uses to adhere to and move over surfaces (Takeuchi, 1967). Lamellipodia formation requires the host WAVE Regulatory Complex (WRC; Bisi et al., 2013), a heteropentameric complex composed of WAVE (WASP family verprolin homolog), Abi (abl-interactor), Cyfip (cytoplasmic FMR1 interacting protein), Nap1 (NCK-associated protein 1), and HSPC300 (heat shock protein C300), or their homologs (Chen et al., 2010). WAVE is a nucleation promoting factor (NPF), an activator of the Arp2/3 complex, the cells major actin nucleation machinery, which in turn drives the formation of branched networks of actin filaments that form the lamellipodium (Bisi et al., 2013). It is well-established that the same pathway promotes the pronounced membrane ruffling associated with *Salmonella* entry (Hanisch et al., 2010), and recent work has identified the multiplicity of signaling events that must be coordinated by *Salmonella* in order to correctly subvert WRC function (**Figure 2**).

The activity of the WRC is governed by a remarkable interplay between Rac1 and Arf1 GTPases (Singh et al., 2017), which are both required to recruit the WRC to the membrane and promote subsequent Arp2/3 activation (Koronakis et al., 2011). As described above, the Salmonella entry effector SopE is a GEF mimic which directly activates Rac1, a process that possibly requires the host membrane protein caveolin (Lim et al., 2014). However, Salmonella does not encode any known Arf GEFs, and instead must exploit host regulatory networks to achieve the Arf1 activation necessary for WRC activation (Humphreys et al., 2012). Arf1 is best known for its activities in membrane trafficking at the Golgi membrane, but can be recruited to the plasma membrane by the cellular GEF ARNO (Arf nucleotide-binding-site opener). ARNO is maintained in the cytosol in an autoinhibited conformation but is recruited and activated at the plasma membrane via GTP-bound Arf6 and acidic phospholipids such as $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ that interact with the protein's Pleckstrin Homology (PH) domain (Cohen et al., 2007). The production of PI(3,4,5)P₃ at sites of Salmonella internalization is triggered by SopB, but Arf6 is activated by the cellular GEFs BRAG and EFA6 (Humphreys et al., 2013). These host factors are known to be activated at least in part through interaction with $PI(4,5)P_2$, though the means by which they are specifically recruited and activated by the pathogen has yet to be uncovered.

GTPases such as Rac1 and Arf1 are turned off by proteins called GAPs, GTPase activating proteins, which trigger hydrolysis of bound GTP to GDP. *Salmonella* encodes its own Rac1 GAP, SptP, which following pathogen uptake, returns the cell's

signaling to the resting state (Fu and Galan, 1999). As is the case for the GEFs, *Salmonella* encodes no known Arf GAP, but instead hijacks host proteins (Davidson et al., 2015). Remarkably however, the function of these extends beyond simply turning off signaling. When the expression of Arf6 GAPs ACAP1 and ADAP1 or the Arf1 GAP ASAP1 was knocked down by RNAi, entry of *Salmonella* into cultured cells was significantly impaired (Davidson et al., 2015). This suggests that WRC-driven actin assembly requires cycles of Arf activation and inactivation. *Salmonella*-induced membrane ruffling thus represents a fascinating interplay between Arf and Rac1 GTPases, between host and pathogen regulatory proteins, and between positive and negative control mechanisms.

The WASH Complex

Salmonella-induced membrane ruffling has long been thought of as the driving force behind internalization, but in recent years it has become apparent that the two activities can be separable, at least in certain cell types. In cultured fibroblasts, if components of the WRC are depleted by RNAi, membrane ruffles are completely abolished but pathogen uptake can still be observed (Hanisch et al., 2010). This residual entry could be further reduced by additional Arp2/3 knockdown, suggesting that an NPF other than WAVE must be responsible for this activity. Surprisingly this NPF is not NWASP, which is activated by Cdc42 and was previously thought to be required for Salmonella invasion. In fact, an NWASP knockout cell line showed increased levels of pathogen entry (Hanisch et al., 2010), A screen of other known NPFs identified a role for the WASH complex (Hanisch et al., 2010), a heteropentamer with a similar architecture to the WRC, composed of the NPF WASH (Wiskott-aldrich syndrome protein and scar homolog), Strumpelin, SWIP (Strumpelin and WASH interacting protein), FAM21 (Family number 21, also known as



Vaccina Penetration Factor or VPEF), and CCDC53 (coiled-coil domain containing protein 53).

The WASH complex was only discovered fairly recently (Linardopoulou et al., 2007; Derivery et al., 2009; Gomez and Billadeau, 2009; Jia et al., 2010) and little is known about its regulation in the cell. Its main function seems to be in promoting Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization on endosomes and lysosomes. The WASH complex was however shown to accumulate at sites of Salmonella entry into fibroblasts, and knockdown of complex components resulted in a small, though statistically significant, impairment of pathogen uptake (Hanisch et al., 2010). The WASH complex accumulates on phagosomes and macropinosomes in Dictyostelium, where it plays a key role in recycling certain proteins to the plasma membrane and avoiding their degradation (Buckley et al., 2016). It remains to be determined whether WASH has a similar function during Salmonella uptake, or whether it plays a more direct role in actually driving actin-dependent pathogen entry. The Salmonella effectors responsible for subverting WASH have vet to be identified. It has been reported that WASH acts downstream of Rho1 (Liu et al., 2009), the Drosophila ortholog of mammalian RhoA. The Salmonella effector SopB can indirectly trigger RhoA activation (Figure 3; see below), but any link between SopB and WASH has not been reported.

Myosin-Mediated Contractility

While Arp2/3 is the cell's best-characterized nucleator of actin polymerization, other pathways do exist. When expression of Arp2/3 is knocked down in cultured fibroblasts, *Salmonella* invasion is severely reduced but is not completely abolished (Hanisch et al., 2010). This suggests the existence of Arp2/3

independent entry pathways. It was noticed that *Salmonella* infection of fibroblasts often led to the generation of actin stress fibers in the cells, which were specifically decorated with myosins IIA and IIB (Hanisch et al., 2011). Myosins are molecular motors and ATP hydrolysis by myosins can cause them to slide along and generate contractive forces on anchored actin filaments. When cells were treated with chemical inhibitors of mysoin II, pathogen uptake was reduced by around 50% (Hanisch et al., 2011). Importantly, this inhibition was additive with Arp2/3 RNAi, confirming that the two represent separate pathways of bacterial entry.

Entry of $\triangle sopB$ Salmonella (assumed to be driven in the main by SopE/E2) was unaffected by myosin inhibition, whereas $\Delta sop E/E2$ strains (in which it is assumed that SopB is responsible for uptake) were efficiently inhibited, suggesting that SopB is the effector responsible for hijacking myosin II (Hanisch et al., 2011). The GTPase RhoA and its downstream target Rho Kinase are known activators of myosin II, and indeed chemical inhibition of either gave a similar phenotype to myosin inhibition for SopB-mediated uptake (Hanisch et al., 2011). Consequently a pathway can be drawn in which SopB activates RhoA, this activates Rho Kinase which in turn phosphorylates and activates myosin II-dependent contractility, allowing it to contribute to Salmonella uptake (Figure 3). The molecular detail of how the lipid phosphatase SopB activates RhoA remain to be fully determined. The products of cellular phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), such as PI(3,4)P2 and PI(3,4,5)P₃, are proposed to act upstream of RhoA activation. Despite being a phosphatase that cleaves phosphate from both the 4' and 5' position, both PI(3,4)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃ (along with PI3P) accumulate in infected cells in a SopB-dependent manner



(Mallo et al., 2008), which could explain the activation of the RhoA pathway.

Formins

Formins are a family of proteins that control cell shape, adhesion, and cytokinesis by promoting actin polymerization independently of Arp2/3. The existence of Arp2/3-independent entry pathways for Salmonella made the formin family an obvious target for investigation, and indeed studies with chemical inhibitors and RNAi identified the formin FHOD1 as playing a role in pathogen uptake (Truong et al., 2013). When FHOD1 is knocked down, Salmonella ruffles are much smaller, whereas Arp2/3 knockdown leads to the production of long filopodia-like extensions rather than the typical lamellipodia-like structures. It has been suggested that FHOD1 may generate new actin filaments early in the entry process, which Arp2/3 can then bind to and generate the branched actin network required for broad ruffle formation. Consistent with this, FHOD1 is recruited to Salmonella entry sites before Arp2/3 (Truong et al., 2013).

Interestingly, as with myosin II, FHOD1 lies downstream of RhoA and Rho Kinase in signaling pathways, suggesting that SopB is likely responsible for targeting the formin (**Figure 3**). Consistent with this, $\Delta sopB$ Salmonella showed reduced activation of FHOD1 (Truong et al., 2013). However, a similar phenotype was observed with a $\Delta sopE/E2$ strain (Truong et al., 2013). Early studies suggested that the GEF SopE could activate RhoA *in vitro* (Hardt et al., 1998a), though this is not thought to happen during Salmonella invasion (Criss and Casanova, 2003). It has been suggested that FHOD1 can also be directly activated by Rac1 (Westendorf, 2001), which can certainly be activated by SopE (Patel and Galan, 2006). Consequently, the Salmonella effectors and the precise pathway that regulates FHOD1 remains to be determined conclusively.

FHOD1 is not the only non-Arp2/3 actin nucleator implicated in Salmonella entry. A recent genome-wide siRNA screen identified a role for SPIRE1 and 2 (Andritschke et al., 2016), proteins which nucleate the assembly of straight actin filaments. However, the nature of the involvement of SPIRE remains somewhat uncertain. The two isoforms seem to act at different stages of pathogen entry, with SPIRE1 effecting the initial pathogen binding event and SPIRE2 playing more of a role in establishing a replicative niche following entry (Andritschke et al., 2016). An "effectorless" Salmonella strain complemented only with either SipA (which invades cells without triggering ruffling) or with SopE (which promotes profuse ruffles) were each impaired equivalently by SPIRE knockdown. In fact, a similar phenotype was still observed in a SPI1 T3SS mutant strain in which uptake is driven by expression of the Yersinia Invasin gene (Andritschke et al., 2016), which triggers uptake by the "zipper" mechanism mediated by interaction with $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrins. Therefore, impact of SPIRE knockdown on bacterial uptake is independent of the mechanism of entry, and it is unclear whether SPIRE actually plays a direct role in the actin assembly that drives the internalization of Salmonella, or whether it has a more indirect function.

The Exocyst Complex

In addition to directly nucleating actin polymerization and bundling actin filaments, a yeast two-hybrid screen identified Exo70 as a potential binding partner of the SipC C-terminal domain (Nichols and Casanova, 2010). Exo70 is part of the hetero-octameric exocyst complex, the machinery that targets vesicles to the plasma membrane prior to their fusion. The exocyst complex was shown to accumulate at sites of Salmonella invasion in cultured cells, and knockdown of exocyst components led to a modest, though significant, decrease in internalization (Nichols and Casanova, 2010). Assembly and recruitment of the exocyst complex is known to require RalA, a small GTPase (Nichols and Casanova, 2010). Importantly, and consistent with a role for this complex in invasion, Salmonella activates RalA. The effector SopE is responsible for activating RalA, with a possible contribution from SopE2, though it remains uncertain whether this is a result of direct GEF activity for RalA or, perhaps more likely, an indirect pathway involving a cellular RalA GEF (Figure 4). Consistent with this hypothesis, transfection of cultured myoblasts with constitutively-active Rac1 (i.e., the confirmed substrate for SopE) leads to activation and membrane recruitment of RalA (Nichols and Casanova, 2010).

The precise role of exocyst recruitment in Salmonella uptake remains to be confirmed. The canonical internalization pathway induces pronounced membrane ruffles to drive pathogen uptake. A long-standing question has been whether the cell's membrane is truly flexible enough to form these long protrusions without extra phospholipids being incorporated. This would be a particular problem for cells that internalize multiple bacteria, as phospholipids are removed from the membrane as SCVs form. The suggestion that Salmonella may exploit the exocyst complex to promote fusion of vesicles at sites of internalization would provide a source of extra phospholipids. Interestingly, when expression of exocyst components is knocked down, Salmonella induces much smaller membrane ruffles (Nichols and Casanova, 2010). However, the exocyst complex has been reported to directly bind and recruit the WRC (Biondini et al., 2016), suggesting that its role could be in delivering not only lipids but also the protein responsible for membrane ruffling. The situation is further complicated by the observation that the exocyst can directly bind and activate Arp2/3 (Zuo et al., 2006), suggesting it could actually play a more direct role in the cytoskeletal rearrangements underlying pathogen uptake. The precise reason for Salmonella recruiting the exocyst complex remains to be determined. However, it is clear that in the cell, vesicle trafficking and membrane-localized cytoskeletal reorganization are intimately linked, a fact that a specialized invasive pathogen such as Salmonella must have evolved to exploit.

In support of this, the actin motor protein Myosin 1c has also been shown to be important for delivering signaling components to the plasma membrane for pathogen entry (**Figure 4**). Cholesterol-rich membrane microdomains termed lipid rafts are enriched in signaling proteins, and can be internalized and stored in the perinuclear region of the cell. Myosin 1c is required to recycle these lipid rafts back to the plasma membrane. When this pathway is blocked by Myosin 1c



RNAi, *Salmonella* entry is reduced (Brandstaetter et al., 2012). The role of specific effectors in subverting Myosin 1c have not been investigated, however interestingly, RalA is known to bind to Myosin1c (Chen et al., 2007), suggesting a synergy with the exocyst complex. It is thus possible that Myosin 1c is required for the delivery of raft-containing vesicles to the plasma membrane, where the exocyst complex drives fusion between these vesicles and the membrane.

IQGAP1

IQGAP1 is a protein which consists of multiple domains, including several calmodulin-binding IQ domains and a domain with homology to GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs, inactivators of Rho GTPases), which give the protein its name (Watanabe et al., 2015). IQGAP1 has been shown to localize to *Salmonella* entry sites, probably via its actin binding domain, and its knockdown or knockout reduces invasion significantly (Brown et al., 2007). The putative GAP domain is actually a Rho GTPase binding domain which maintains these GTPases in an active, GTP-bound state, and in its absence active Rac1 and Cdc42 no longer accumulate in infected cells (Brown et al., 2007), suggesting that following activation by SopE/E2, IQGAP1 is required to protect GTPases from inactivation.

In addition to this activity, IQGAP1 has also been proposed to act as a molecular scaffold, and binds directly to various proteins including MEK (MAPK/ERK kinase). *Salmonella* invasion of IQGAP1 knockout cells complemented with a Rac1/Cdc42binding, or MEK-binding mutant of IQGAP1 was partially restored in both cases (Kim et al., 2011). In addition, entry into knockout cells complemented with the Rac1/Cdc42-binding mutant could be completely abrogated by a MEK inhibitor (Kim et al., 2011). This all suggests that the MEK actually plays an important role in the entry process. Interestingly, one of the substrates of MEK is ERK1/2 (extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and 2), which itself has been shown to phosphorylate Exo70 and promote assembly of the exocyst complex (Ren and Guo, 2012). In addition, IQGAP1 has been proposed to directly bind and regulate the function of the exocyst complex (Sakurai-Yageta et al., 2008). It is tempting to speculate that IQGAP1 contributes to *Salmonella* invasion by subverting membrane traffic in this way (**Figure 4**), though this has not been tested experimentally.

It is worth noting that IQGAP1 can also act as a scaffold for various phosphoinositide kinases (Choi et al., 2016). Such complexes can contain phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III α (PI4K α), phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase I α (PIPKI α) and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), i.e., all of the enzymes required to sequentially phosphorylate phosphatidylinositol for *de novo* generation of PI(3,4,5)P₃ (Choi et al., 2016). IQGAP1 could thus collaborate with SopB in the generation of PI(3,4,5)P₃ at *Salmonella* entry foci.

Annexins

Annexins are calcium-dependent membrane binding proteins. They play a key role in membrane organization and trafficking. A number of individual annexins, such as annexins A1, A2, and A5, have been demonstrated to also bind actin, and hence function to regulate cytoskeleton-membrane dynamics. As described in the previous section, the interface between the actin cytoskeleton and the plasma membrane is of central importance to *Salmonella*'s forced internalization and is consequently a key target for injected effectors. Perhaps predictably then, annexin A2 (AnxA2) has been reported to be required for efficient *Salmonella* invasion (Jolly et al., 2014).

At the plasma membrane, AnxA2 primarily forms a heterotetramer comprised of two molecules of AnxA2 and two molecules of a protein called p11. Microscopy studies showed that both AnxA2 and p11 are specifically enriched at Salmonella entry ruffles in cultured cells, and RNAi-mediated knockdown of either protein significantly reduced invasion (Jolly et al., 2014). The AnxA2/p11 complex binds directly to AHNAK, a very large phosphoprotein that is thought to cooperate in regulating membrane and cytoskeletal rearrangements. AHNAK too was recruited by Salmonella, and its knockdown reduced uptake (Jolly et al., 2014). The effectors responsible for subverting the AnxA2/p11/AHNAK pathway remain to be conclusively demonstrated. Deletion of either SopB or SopE/E2 reduced the enrichment of these proteins at entry foci, so it may be a multifactorial process, but no direct interactions have yet been reported. AHNAK is a substrate for the kinase AKT, which is known to be activated in cells by SopB. However, AKT was not required for AHNAK recruitment by Salmonella (Jolly et al., 2014).

Myosin VI

As described above, Myosin motor proteins use the energy derived from ATP hydrolysis to move cargo along actin tracks. Myosin VI is an unusual member of the Myosin family, as unlike almost all other myosins it moves toward the minus end of actin filaments (Buss et al., 2004). Myosin VI can deliver cargo to the cell surface and plays a key role in numerous cellular functions including endocytosis. A link between Myosin VI and *Salmonella* uptake was first identified from *in vitro* reconstitution of SopE signaling at model lipid membranes (Brooks et al., 2017). SopE was shown to specifically recruit Myosin VI (along with other proteins) to these lipid bilayers. SopE activates the GTPase Rac1 to trigger WRC-dependent actin polymerization (Humphreys et al., 2012), and this assembly of actin filaments was required for Myosin VI recruitment (Brooks et al., 2017). Rac1

also has other downstream signaling targets in the cell, one of which is p21 activated Kinase (PAK). Interestingly, Rac1-induced phosphorylation of Myosin VI by PAK was also necessary, showing that two distinct pathways converge to recruit Myosin VI to the membrane (Brooks et al., 2017).

Myosin VI is important for Salmonella uptake as its knockdown reduced invasion efficiency, and its role seems to revolve around the generation of the correct phospholipid signaling platform at the site of pathogen attachment (Figure 5). As described above, SopB is responsible for generating an enrichment of PI3P, PI(3,4)P₂, and PI(3,4,5)P₃, and this activity also requires a cellular PI3K (Mallo et al., 2008), Myosin VI is required for the recruitment of PI3K to the membrane, which allows SopB to generate this lipid platform, which presumably recruits a plethora of signaling protein required for membrane ruffling and pathogen uptake (Brooks et al., 2017). One such protein that has been identified is Frabin (FGD4; FYVE, RhoGEF, and PH domain-containing protein 4), a PI3P -binding, and actin-binding, GEF for Cdc42 (Ono et al., 2000). Frabin was enriched at Salmonella entry ruffles, and its knockdown reduced invasion by around 40% (Brooks et al., 2017). It remains to be seen which other proteins are recruited by Salmonella via the Myosin VI pathway. For example Sorting Nexin 9 (Snx9) has been reported to be recruited by SopB-generated PI(3,4)P₂, and Snx9 knockdown significantly reduced entry into cultured cells (Piscatelli et al., 2016), though the role of Myosin VI upstream of Snx9 recruitment has not been investigated.

Villin

Simple cell culture models using non-polarized cells such as HeLa have been invaluable in identifying pathways exploited by *Salmonella*, but they may inevitably misrepresent what happens in the polarized cells of the intestinal epithelium. An example of a protein required specifically for apical entry into polarized cells is villin, and actin-binding and -severing protein that is



only expressed and correctly localized in differentiated intestinal epithelial cells. Knockdown of villin leads to aberrant membrane ruffle morphology, characterized by greater ruffle diameters, and consequently causes a reduction in pathogen uptake (Lhocine et al., 2015). It is perhaps surprising that an actin severing protein is required for the correct generation of actin-driven membrane protrusions, but it has been suggested that the severing of pre-existing filaments generates an increased supply of barbed ends necessary for the new filament growth needed by *Salmonella*.

Logically, the activities of villin must be tightly controlled to prevent the severing of the new filaments induced by the invading pathogen, and in fact two Salmonella effectors have been shown to perform such a role (Lhocine et al., 2015). SipA binds directly to actin filaments, and when bound can prevent the severing activity of villin. In addition, phosphorylation has been reported to be required for villin's activity. A rapid increase in villin phosphorylation is seen upon Salmonella infection, however this is quickly reversed by the effector SptP, a tyrosine phosphatase. Thus, the activity of villin is highly regulated during Salmonella invasion, both spatially and temporally, to allow the highly dynamic rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton required for correct membrane ruffle generation. Importantly, the role of villin has been confirmed in a mouse model, where villin^{-/-} mice, or mice expressing only a derivative of villin that lacks severing activity, are more resistant to infection by Salmonella (Lhocine et al., 2015).

SPI1-INDEPENDENT INVASION

The SPI1 T3SS is the major factor driving Salmonella invasion of cells, both in vitro and in vivo. Nonetheless, recent studies demonstrate that several strains of Salmonella lacking expression of the SPI1 T3SS still possess the ability to invade cells of diverse origins in vitro, with the relative contribution of SPI1independent pathways varying with different cell types (Aiastui et al., 2010; Rosselin et al., 2011). In addition, it was shown that SPI1 is not required for Salmonella internalization into a cultured 3-dimensional model intestinal epithelium (Radtke et al., 2010). Moreover, Salmonella senftenberg strains lacking SP1-1 have been isolated from human clinical cases, suggests that the SPI1 is dispensable for the establishment of infection in humans by this serotype (Hu et al., 2008). Taken together, these observations indicate that SPI1-independent invasion mechanisms play an important role in Salmonella infection and pathogenesis. Several pathways for SPI1-independent cell entry have been identified, and these will be described below.

Rck and PagN

Rck is an outer membrane protein encoded by the large virulence plasmid of most strains of *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium*, though the *rck* gene is absent from other serotypes, e.g., *S. choleraesuis and S. gallinarum* (Heffernan et al., 1992). By using both a non-invasive *E. coli* strain overexpressing Rck and latex beads coated with recombinant Rck, it was demonstrated that Rck alone is able to induce entry by a receptor-mediated process. This mechanism promotes local actin remodeling, and weak, closely adherent membrane extensions, morphologically

reminiscent of the "zipper mechanism" used by *Yersinia* species to invade non-phagocytic cells (Rosselin et al., 2010). Rck is poorly expressed in *Salmonella* sp. and deletion of the *rck* gene has no noticeable effect on the invasion of either wildtype or SPI1 mutants (Rosselin et al., 2010). The qurorm sensing molecule *N*-acyl homoserine lactone promotes expression of *rck* and results in the enhanced uptake of the bacteria (Rosselin et al., 2010). Whether rck has any effect on invasion *in vivo* remains unclear.

The minimal region of Rck necessary for uptake has been identified as residues 113-159. Recently it has been shown that when added to cells, this fragment can be co-immunoprecipitated with the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR), suggesting this is the receptor for Rck (Wiedemann et al., 2016). Consistent with this, over-expression of EGFR in cells enhanced Rckmediated uptake, whereas either RNAi knockdown or chemical inhibition of EGFR reduced it. EGFR is usually only localized on the basolateral side of intestinal epithelial cells, suggesting that the Rck pathway may be important only after Salmonella has crossed the epithelium (Wiedemann et al., 2016). The signaling downstream of Rck activation of EGFR is fairly wellcharacterized (Mijouin et al., 2012; Wiedemann et al., 2012). Binding triggers autophosphorylation of certain EGFR residues, which in turn allows recruitment of cellular kinases such as c-Src, which phosphorylate further EGFR residues. This then allows recruitment of class I PI3K, which leads to activation of both Rac1 and the kinase Akt. Both Akt and Rac1 have been shown to be necessary for the Rck-induced actin rearrangements that drive uptake, via activation of Arp2/3. RNAi knockdown, dominantnegative expression and chemical inhibitors have shown that all of these components are specifically required for Rck-mediated uptake. While none of the other components of this Rck signaling cascade are involved in SPI1-promoted invasion, Rac1 is central to both pathways. As described in previous sections, Rac1 promotes SPI1-dependent membrane ruffles by activating the WRC, in conjunction with Arf1 (Humphreys et al., 2012). It remains to be seen if a similar network lies downstream of Rck.

In addition to Rck, a second outer membrane protein, PagN, has also been identified as being involved in S. typhimurium invasion (Lambert and Smith, 2008). The pagN gene is much more widely conserved than Rck, and deletion of pagN in S. typhimurium leads to a 3-fold decrease in invasion of enterocytes without altering cell adhesion. At the cellular level, the PagN-mediated entry process is poorly characterized. It was shown that actin polymerization is required during invasion (Lambert and Smith, 2008) and that PagN is able to interact with extracellular heparin proteoglycans (Lambert and Smith, 2009). Interestingly, pagN is optimally expressed in intracellular conditions, i.e., following uptake. It has been postulated that this expression pattern inside cells would prime Salmonella to be invasive upon release from the infected cell, but the true role in pathogenesis remains to be demonstrated. S. typhi also encodes PagN (also known as T2544), but deletion of the pagN gene had no effect on invasion of cells (Chowdhury et al., 2015).

Evidence for the role of Rck and PagN in invasion all stems from *in vitro* cell culture studies, however an additional, related outer membrane protein has been implicated in cell entry of *S. typhi*, and its requirement for pathogenesis has been confirmed in an animal model (Chowdhury et al., 2015). T2942 is related to Rck, and is sufficient to promote uptake of laboratory *E. coli*. Importantly a double *t2942* and SPI1 deletion strain is minimally invasive and pathogenic in a mouse model, but expression of T2942 alone can substantially restore both properties (Chowdhury et al., 2015), suggesting SPI1 is non-essential for *S. typhi*. Interestingly STM3031, the homolog of T2942 from *S. typhimurium*, was found be redundant for invasion (Chowdhury et al., 2015), consistent with the view that SPI1 plays a much more essential role in cell entry and pathogenesis of colitis than it does for systemic disease such as typhoid fever. However, as *S. typhi* is a strict human pathogen, it remains to be determined whether results from mouse models will hold in the true host organism.

INDIRECT CONTRIBUTIONS TO INVASION?

The sections above describe the various mechanisms by which *Salmonella* proteins directly trigger cell invasion. However, there are also various reports of *Salmonella* genes that when deleted cause a defect in pathogen entry, but for which a direct role is either absent or unproven.

SiiE (*Salmonella* intestinal infection E) is encoded on SPI4, along with a type 1 secretion system responsible for its delivery from the bacterium. Deletion of SPI4 as a whole, or SiiE individually, reduced invasion of polarized cells (but not non-polarized cells such as HeLa; Lorkowski et al., 2014). This defect is only evident during invasion of the apical side of polarized cells. In addition, SiiE antibodies can block uptake by wild type *Salmonella*. However, although required for efficient invasion, SiiE is not sufficient, and instead requires SPI1-mediated membrane ruffling. SiiE likely acts as an adhesin, promoting the binding of *Salmonella* to the apical surface of polarized cells and enhancing the function of the SPI1 T3SS.

TolC is an outer membrane channel involved in the Type 1 export of toxins and multidrug efflux. Surprisingly, deletion of *tolC* gene causes a reduction in *Salmonella* invasion efficiency (Buckley et al., 2006). It was later shown however that the expression of SPI1 is significantly attenuated in the *tolC*-mutant strain (Webber et al., 2009), which explains the invasion deficit.

HlyE is a pore-forming toxin, best characterized in pathogenic *E.* coil (Hunt et al., 2010). A HlyE homolog is encoded on the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island SPI18 and is expressed by serotypes associated with systemic infection in humans including S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi A. A *hlyE* deletion strain of *S. typhi* invades cultured epithelial cells significantly less than wild type (Fuentes et al., 2008), however, the cellular events leading to HlyE-mediated invasion have not been characterized, and it is unclear whether HlyE can directly promote uptake or simply impacts on the efficiency of one of the other entry pathways.

The Type 6 Secretion System (T6SS) is a bacteriophage-like device for injecting protein into targets cells, and essentially all Gram-negative bacteria encode at least one such system. The primary target cells are thought to be other bacteria, and the T6SS thus represents a molecular weapon used by bacteria to eliminate competition (Cianfanelli et al., 2016). However, it is becoming increasingly apparent that certain T6SS can also be used to deliver proteins into eukaryotic cells (Hachani et al., 2016), and in several cases these are associated with pathogen invasion. *S. typhimurium* has several T6SS, and the overexpression of one component of one of these systems, ClpV, or a dominant-negative derivative of the same protein, almost abolished invasion of cultured epithelial cells (Schlieker et al., 2005). Conversely, deletion of clpV from *S. typhi* increased invasion (Wang et al., 2011). Again further work is required to determine whether these phenotypes are explained by changes in delivery or function of other invasion factors, or whether the T6SS itself represents a novel entry pathway.

CONCLUSIONS

The ability to invade non-phagocytic cells is fundamental to Salmonella pathogenesis, and understanding its molecular basis remains of critical importance. The increasing threat of antibiotic resistance means new therapeutic intervention strategies are urgently needed. The findings summarized here are the result of decades of research from numerous labs around the world. The picture that has emerged is that Salmonella has evolved to target multiple parallel host signaling networks to ensure that uptake takes place efficiently. It is important to remember that most Salmonella serotypes are zoonotic and can infect multiple species. Salmonella can also target multiple cell types within a given host, and these cells may be heterogeneous with respect to e.g., metabolic status, signaling activity and cell cycle phase. It is not surprising then that this pathogen can exploit so many aspects of host cell biology. The multiplicity of invasion pathways highlights the need for careful choice of model systems to study these events. The importance of an individual pathway will strongly depend on the cell type used, and this can often explain seemingly conflicting results.

The SPI1 T3SS is not always essential for pathogenesis, and certainly SPI1-independent invasion pathways exist. However, in most Salmonella serotypes SPI1 is the main determinant of uptake, with the level of expression of SPI1 genes closely correlated to invasiveness. It is remarkable that so few effectors are required for Salmonella to take over so many signaling networks within target host cells. This seems to be achieved by targeting the cell's central signaling hubs, such as Rho family GTPases or phosphoinositide lipids, and scaffold proteins such as IQGAP1. More and more components of the complex networks of signaling factors manipulated by Salmonella effectors are being discovered. This process has been, and will surely continue to be, aided by high-throughput technologies such as genomewide siRNA screening. However, understanding how all of these pathways intricately fit together will only be understood by a broad, multi-disciplinary approach, encompassing biochemistry, biophysics, cell biology, genomics and classical microbiology techniques. Although, as described here, we are starting to piece together this puzzle, it would be naïve to think we know everything about what Salmonella does to get inside our cells.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PH wrote the draft manuscript. VS prepared the figures. VS, AD, and VK revised the manuscript critically. All authors read and approved the final version of the article.

REFERENCES

- Aiastui, A., Pucciarelli, M. G., and Garcia-del Portillo, F. (2010). Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium invades fibroblasts by multiple routes differing from the entry into epithelial cells. Infect. Immun. 78, 2700–2713. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01389-09
- Andritschke, D., Dilling, S., Emmenlauer, M., Welz, T., Schmich, F., Misselwitz, B., et al. (2016). A genome-wide sirna screen implicates spire1/2 in sipadriven Salmonella typhimurium host cell invasion. PLoS ONE 11:e0161965. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161965
- Biondini, M., Sadou-Dubourgnoux, A., Paul-Gilloteaux, P., Zago, G., Arslanhan, M. D., Waharte, F., et al. (2016). Direct interaction between exocyst and Wave complexes promotes cell protrusions and motility. *J. Cell Sci.* 129, 3756–3769. doi: 10.1242/jcs.187336
- Bisi, S., Disanza, A., Malinverno, C., Frittoli, E., Palamidessi, A., and Scita, G. (2013). Membrane and actin dynamics interplay at lamellipodia leading edge. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25, 565–573. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2013.04.001
- Brandstaetter, H., Kendrick-Jones, J., and Buss, F. (2012). Myo1c regulates lipid raft recycling to control cell spreading, migration and Salmonella invasion. J. Cell Sci. 125, 1991–2003. doi: 10.1242/jcs.097212
- Brooks, A. B., Humphreys, D., Singh, V., Davidson, A. C., Arden, S. D., Buss, F., et al. (2017). MYO6 is targeted by *Salmonella* virulence effectors to trigger PI3kinase signaling and pathogen invasion into host cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* US.A. 114, 3915–3920. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1616418114
- Brown, M. D., Bry, L., Li, Z., and Sacks, D. B. (2007). IQGAP1 regulates Salmonella invasion through interactions with actin, Rac1, and Cdc42. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 30265–30272. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M702537200
- Buckley, A. M., Webber, M. A., Cooles, S., Randall, L. P., La Ragione, R. M., Woodward, M. J., et al. (2006). The AcrAB-TolC efflux system of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium plays a role in pathogenesis. *Cell. Microbiol.* 8, 847–856. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00671.x
- Buckley, C. M., Gopaldass, N., Bosmani, C., Johnston, S. A., Soldati, T., Insall, R. H., et al. (2016). WASH drives early recycling from macropinosomes and phagosomes to maintain surface phagocytic receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 113, E5906–E5915. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1524532113
- Buss, F., Spudich, G., and Kendrick-Jones, J. (2004). Myosin VI: cellular functions and motor properties. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20, 649–676. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.012103.094243
- Chang, J., Chen, J., and Zhou, D. (2005). Delineation and characterization of the actin nucleation and effector translocation activities of *Salmonella SipC. Mol. Microbiol.* 55, 1379–1389. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04480.x
- Chen, X. W., Leto, D., Chiang, S. H., Wang, Q., and Saltiel, A. R. (2007). Activation of RalA is required for insulin-stimulated Glut4 trafficking to the plasma membrane via the exocyst and the motor protein Myo1c. *Dev. Cell* 13, 391–404. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2007.07.007
- Chen, Z., Borek, D., Padrick, S. B., Gomez, T. S., Metlagel, Z., Ismail, A. M., et al. (2010). Structure and control of the actin regulatory WAVE complex. *Nature* 468, 533–538. doi: 10.1038/nature09623
- Choi, S., Hedman, A. C., Sayedyahossein, S., Thapa, N., Sacks, D. B., and Anderson, R. A. (2016). Agonist-stimulated phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5trisphosphate generation by scaffolded phosphoinositide kinases. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 1324–1335. doi: 10.1038/ncb3441
- Chowdhury, R., Mandal, R. S., Ta, A., and Das, S. (2015). An AIL family protein promotes type three secretion system-1-independent invasion and pathogenesis of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. *Cell. Microbiol.* 17, 486–503. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12379
- Cianfanelli, F. R., Monlezun, L., and Coulthurst, S. J. (2016). Aim, load, fire: the type VI secretion system, a bacterial nanoweapon. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 51–62. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2015.10.005

FUNDING

This work was funded by Wellcome Trust Grant 101828/Z/13/Z, Medical Research Council Grants MR/L008122/1 and by the Cambridge Isaac Newton Trust.

- Cohen, L. A., Honda, A., Varnai, P., Brown, F. D., Balla, T., and Donaldson, J. G. (2007). Active Arf6 recruits ARNO/cytohesin GEFs to the PM by binding their PH domains. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 18, 2244–2253. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E06-11-0998
- Collazo, C. M., and Galan, J. E. (1997a). The invasion-associated type III system of *Salmonella typhimurium* directs the translocation of Sip proteins into the host cell. *Mol. Microbiol.* 24, 747–756. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.3781740.x
- Collazo, C. M., and Galan, J. E. (1997b). The invasion-associated type-III protein secretion system in *Salmonella*-a review. *Gene* 192, 51–59. doi:10.1016/S0378-1119(96)00825-6
- Criss, A. K., and Casanova, J. E. (2003). Coordinate regulation of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium invasion of epithelial cells by the Arp2/3 complex and Rho GTPases. Infect. Immun. 71, 2885–2891. doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.5.2885-2891.2003
- Crump, J. A., and Mintz, E. D. (2010). Global trends in typhoid and paratyphoid fever. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 50, 241–246. doi: 10.1086/649541
- Davidson, A. C., Humphreys, D., Brooks, A. B., Hume, P. J., and Koronakis, V. (2015). The Arf GTPase-activating protein family is exploited by Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium to invade nonphagocytic host cells. MBio 6:e02253-14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02253-14
- Deng, W., Marshall, N. C., Rowland, J. L., McCoy, J. M., Worrall, L. J., Santos, A. S., et al. (2017). Assembly, structure, function and regulation of type III secretion systems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 323–337. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2017.20
- Derivery, E., Sousa, C., Gautier, J. J., Lombard, B., Loew, D., and Gautreau, A. (2009). The Arp2/3 activator WASH controls the fission of endosomes through a large multiprotein complex. *Dev. Cell* 17, 712–723. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.09.010
- Dougan, G., and Baker, S. (2014). Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi and the pathogenesis of typhoid fever. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 68, 317–336. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-091313-103739
- Feasey, N. A., Dougan, G., Kingsley, R. A., Heyderman, R. S., and Gordon, M. A. (2012). Invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella disease: an emerging and neglected tropical disease in Africa. *Lancet* 379, 2489–2499. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61752-2
- Friebel, A., Ilchmann, H., Aepfelbacher, M., Ehrbar, K., Machleidt, W., and Hardt, W. D. (2001). SopE and SopE2 from *Salmonella typhimurium* activate different sets of RhoGTPases of the host cell. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 34035–34040. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M100609200
- Fu, Y., and Galan, J. E. (1999). A Salmonella protein antagonizes Rac-1 and Cdc42 to mediate host-cell recovery after bacterial invasion. *Nature* 401, 293–297. doi: 10.1038/45829
- Fuentes, J. A., Villagra, N., Castillo-Ruiz, M., and Mora, G. C. (2008). The Salmonella Typhi hlyE gene plays a role in invasion of cultured epithelial cells and its functional transfer to S. typhimurium promotes deep organ infection in mice. Res. Microbiol. 159, 279–287. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2008.02.006
- Galan, J. E., and Curtiss, R. III. (1989). Cloning and molecular characterization of genes whose products allow Salmonella typhimurium to penetrate tissue culture cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86, 6383–6387. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.16.6383
- Gal-Mor, O., Boyle, E. C., and Grassl, G. A. (2014). Same species, different diseases: how and why typhoidal and non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* serovars differ. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:91. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00391
- Garcia-del Portillo, F., and Finlay, B. B. (1994). Salmonella invasion of nonphagocytic cells induces formation of macropinosomes in the host cell. *Infect. Immun.* 62, 4641–4645.
- Gomez, T. S., and Billadeau, D. D. (2009). A FAM21-containing WASH complex regulates retromer-dependent sorting. *Dev. Cell* 17, 699–711. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.09.009
- Gonzalez-Escobedo, G., and Gunn, J. S. (2013). Gallbladder epithelium as a niche for chronic *Salmonella* carriage. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 2920–2930. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00258-13

- Gordon, M. A. (2008). Salmonella infections in immunocompromised adults. J. Infect. 56, 413–422. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2008.03.012
- Hachani, A., Wood, T. E., and Filloux, A. (2016). Type VI secretion and anti-host effectors. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 29, 81–93. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.006
- Hanisch, J., Ehinger, J., Ladwein, M., Rohde, M., Derivery, E., Bosse, T., et al. (2010). Molecular dissection of *Salmonella*-induced membrane ruffling versus invasion. *Cell. Microbiol.* 12, 84–98. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01380.x
- Hanisch, J., Kolm, R., Wozniczka, M., Bumann, D., Rottner, K., and Stradal, T. E. (2011). Activation of a RhoA/myosin II-dependent but Arp2/3 complexindependent pathway facilitates Salmonella invasion. *Cell Host Microbe* 9, 273–285. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.03.009
- Hardt, W. D., Chen, L. M., Schuebel, K. E., Bustelo, X. R., and Galan, J. E. (1998a). S. typhimurium encodes an activator of Rho GTPases that induces membrane ruffling and nuclear responses in host cells. Cell 93, 815–826. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81442-7
- Hardt, W. D., Urlaub, H., and Galan, J. E. (1998b). A substrate of the centisome 63 type III protein secretion system of *Salmonella typhimurium* is encoded by a cryptic bacteriophage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 2574–2579. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.5.2574
- Hayward, R. D., and Koronakis, V. (1999). Direct nucleation and bundling of actin by the SipC protein of invasive Salmonella. EMBO J. 18, 4926–4934. doi: 10.1093/emboj/18.18.4926
- Hayward, R. D., and Koronakis, V. (2002). Direct modulation of the host cell cytoskeleton by *Salmonella* actin-binding proteins. *Trends Cell Biol.* 12, 15–20. doi: 10.1016/S0962-8924(01)02183-3
- Heffernan, E. J., Harwood, J., Fierer, J., and Guiney, D. (1992). The Salmonella typhimurium virulence plasmid complement resistance gene rck is homologous to a family of virulence-related outer membrane protein genes, including pagC and ail. J. Bacteriol. 174, 84–91. doi: 10.1128/jb.174.1.84-91.1992
- Hu, Q., Coburn, B., Deng, W., Li, Y., Shi, X., Lan, Q., et al. (2008). Salmonella enterica serovar Senftenberg human clinical isolates lacking SPI-1. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46, 1330–1336. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01255-07
- Humphreys, D., Davidson, A. C., Hume, P. J., Makin, L. E., and Koronakis, V. (2013). Arf6 coordinates actin assembly through the WAVE complex, a mechanism usurped by *Salmonella* to invade host cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 110, 16880–16885. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1311680110
- Humphreys, D., Davidson, A., Hume, P. J., and Koronakis, V. (2012). Salmonella virulence effector SopE and Host GEF ARNO cooperate to recruit and activate WAVE to trigger bacterial invasion. Cell Host Microbe 11, 129–139. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.01.006
- Hunt, S., Green, J., and Artymiuk, P. J. (2010). Hemolysin E (HlyE, ClyA, SheA) and related toxins. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 677, 116–126. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-6327-7_10
- Jepson, M. A., Kenny, B., and Leard, A. D. (2001). Role of sipA in the early stages of Salmonella typhimurium entry into epithelial cells. Cell. Microbiol. 3, 417–426. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-5822.2001.00124.x
- Jia, D., Gomez, T. S., Metlagel, Z., Umetani, J., Otwinowski, Z., Rosen, M. K., et al. (2010). WASH and WAVE actin regulators of the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) family are controlled by analogous structurally related complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 10442–10447. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913293107
- Jolly, C., Winfree, S., Hansen, B., and Steele-Mortimer, O. (2014). The Annexin A2/p11 complex is required for efficient invasion of *Salmonella typhimurium* in epithelial cells. *Cell. Microbiol.* 16, 64–77. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12180
- Kaniga, K., Tucker, S., Trollinger, D., and Galan, J. E. (1995). Homologs of the Shigella IpaB and IpaC invasins are required for Salmonella typhimurium entry into cultured epithelial cells. J. Bacteriol. 177, 3965–3971. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.14.3965-3971.1995
- Keestra-Gounder, A. M., Tsolis, R. M., and Baumler, A. J. (2015). Now you see me, now you don't: the interaction of *Salmonella* with innate immune receptors. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 206–216. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3428
- Kim, H., White, C. D., Li, Z., and Sacks, D. B. (2011). Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium usurps the scaffold protein IQGAP1 to manipulate Rac1 and MAPK signalling. Biochem. J. 440, 309–318. doi: 10.1042/BJ20110419
- Koronakis, V., Hume, P. J., Humphreys, D., Liu, T., Horning, O., Jensen, O. N., et al. (2011). WAVE regulatory complex activation by cooperating GTPases Arf and Rac1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 14449–14454. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1107666108

- Lambert, M. A., and Smith, S. G. (2008). The PagN protein of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium is an adhesin and invasin. BMC Microbiol. 8:142. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-142
- Lambert, M. A., and Smith, S. G. (2009). The PagN protein mediates invasion via interaction with proteoglycan. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 297, 209–216. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01666.x
- Lhocine, N., Arena, E. T., Bomme, P., Ubelmann, F., Prevost, M. C., Robine, S., et al. (2015). Apical invasion of intestinal epithelial cells by *Salmonella typhimurium* requires villin to remodel the brush border actin cytoskeleton. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 164–177. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.12.003
- Lim, J. S., Shin, M., Kim, H. J., Kim, K. S., Choy, H. E., and Cho, K. A. (2014). Caveolin-1 mediates *Salmonella* invasion via the regulation of SopEdependent Rac1 activation and actin reorganization. *J. Infect. Dis.* 210, 793–802. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiu152
- Linardopoulou, E. V., Parghi, S. S., Friedman, C., Osborn, G. E., Parkhurst, S. M., and Trask, B. J. (2007). Human subtelomeric WASH genes encode a new subclass of the WASP family. *PLoS Genet.* 3:e237. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030237
- Liu, R., Abreu-Blanco, M. T., Barry, K. C., Linardopoulou, E. V., Osborn, G. E., and Parkhurst, S. M. (2009). Wash functions downstream of Rho and links linear and branched actin nucleation factors. *Development* 136, 2849–2860. doi: 10.1242/dev.035246
- Lopez, C. A., Winter, S. E., Rivera-Chavez, F., Xavier, M. N., Poon, V., Nuccio, S. P., et al. (2012). Phage-mediated acquisition of a type III secreted effector protein boosts growth of *Salmonella* by nitrate respiration. *MBio* 3:e00143-12. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00143-12
- Lorkowski, M., Felipe-Lopez, A., Danzer, C. A., Hansmeier, N., and Hensel, M. (2014). Salmonella enterica invasion of polarized epithelial cells is a highly cooperative effort. Infect. Immun. 82, 2657–2667. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00023-14
- Majowicz, S. E., Musto, J., Scallan, E., Angulo, F. J., Kirk, M., O'Brien, S. J., et al. (2010). The global burden of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* gastroenteritis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 50, 882–889. doi: 10.1086/650733
- Mallo, G. V., Espina, M., Smith, A. C., Terebiznik, M. R., Aleman, A., Finlay, B. B., et al. (2008). SopB promotes phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate formation on *Salmonella* vacuoles by recruiting Rab5 and Vps34. *J. Cell Biol.* 182, 741–752. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200804131
- Mastroeni, P., and Grant, A. (2013). Dynamics of spread of Salmonella enterica in the systemic compartment. *Microbes Infect.* 15, 849–857. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2013.10.003
- McGhie, E. J., Brawn, L. C., Hume, P. J., Humphreys, D., and Koronakis, V. (2009). Salmonella takes control: effector-driven manipulation of the host. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 12, 117–124. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2008.12.001
- McGhie, E. J., Hayward, R. D., and Koronakis, V. (2001). Cooperation between actin-binding proteins of invasive *Salmonella*: SipA potentiates SipC nucleation and bundling of actin. *EMBO J.* 20, 2131–2139. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.9.2131
- McGhie, E. J., Hayward, R. D., and Koronakis, V. (2004). Control of actin turnover by a *Salmonella* invasion protein. *Mol. Cell* 13, 497–510. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(04)00053-X
- Mijouin, L., Rosselin, M., Bottreau, E., Pizarro-Cerda, J., Cossart, P., Velge, P., et al. (2012). Salmonella enteritidis Rck-mediated invasion requires activation of Rac1, which is dependent on the class I PI 3-kinases-Akt signaling pathway. FASEB J. 26, 1569–1581. doi: 10.1096/fj.11-189647
- Mirold, S., Rabsch, W., Rohde, M., Stender, S., Tschape, H., Russmann, H., et al. (1999). Isolation of a temperate bacteriophage encoding the type III effector protein SopE from an epidemic Salmonella typhimurium strain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 9845–9850. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.17.9845
- Myeni, S. K., and Zhou, D. (2010). The C terminus of SipC binds and bundles F-actin to promote *Salmonella* invasion. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 13357–13363. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.094045
- Nichols, C. D., and Casanova, J. E. (2010). Salmonella-directed recruitment of new membrane to invasion foci via the host exocyst complex. Curr. Biol. 20, 1316–1320. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.05.065
- Ono, Y., Nakanishi, H., Nishimura, M., Kakizaki, M., Takahashi, K., Miyahara, M., et al. (2000). Two actions of frabin: direct activation of Cdc42 and indirect activation of Rac. *Oncogene* 19, 3050–3058. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1203631
- Patel, J. C., and Galan, J. E. (2006). Differential activation and function of Rho GTPases during Salmonella-host cell interactions. J. Cell Biol. 175, 453–463. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200605144

- Piscatelli, H. L., Li, M., and Zhou, D. (2016). Dual 4- and 5-phosphatase activities regulate SopB-dependent phosphoinositide dynamics to promote bacterial entry. *Cell. Microbiol.* 18, 705–719. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12542
- Radtke, A. L., Wilson, J. W., Sarker, S., and Nickerson, C. A. (2010). Analysis of interactions of *Salmonella* type three secretion mutants with 3-D intestinal epithelial cells. *PLoS ONE* 5:e15750. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.00 15750
- Raffatellu, M., George, M. D., Akiyama, Y., Hornsby, M. J., Nuccio, S. P., Paixao, T. A., et al. (2009). Lipocalin-2 resistance confers an advantage to *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium for growth and survival in the inflamed intestine. *Cell Host Microbe* 5, 476–486. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009. 03.011
- Ren, J., and Guo, W. (2012). ERK1/2 regulate exocytosis through direct phosphorylation of the exocyst component Exo70. Dev. Cell 22, 967–978. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2012.03.005
- Rivera-Chavez, F., and Baumler, A. J. (2015). The pyromaniac inside you: Salmonella metabolism in the host gut. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 69, 31–48. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-091014-104108
- Rosselin, M., Abed, N., Virlogeux-Payant, I., Bottreau, E., Sizaret, P. Y., Velge, P., et al. (2011). Heterogeneity of type III secretion system (T3SS)-1-independent entry mechanisms used by *Salmonella* enteritidis to invade different cell types. *Microbiology* 157, 839–847. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.044941-0
- Rosselin, M., Virlogeux-Payant, I., Roy, C., Bottreau, E., Sizaret, P. Y., Mijouin, L., et al. (2010). Rck of *Salmonella enterica*, subspecies enterica serovar enteritidis, mediates zipper-like internalization. *Cell Res.* 20, 647–664. doi: 10.1038/cr.2010.45
- Sakurai-Yageta, M., Recchi, C., Le Dez, G., Sibarita, J. B., Daviet, L., Camonis, J., et al. (2008). The interaction of IQGAP1 with the exocyst complex is required for tumor cell invasion downstream of Cdc42 and RhoA. J. Cell Biol. 181, 985–998. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200709076
- Santos, R. L., and Baumler, A. J. (2004). Cell tropism of Salmonella enterica. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 294, 225–233. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2004.06.029
- Schlieker, C., Zentgraf, H., Dersch, P., and Mogk, A. (2005). ClpV, a unique Hsp100/Clp member of pathogenic proteobacteria. *Biol. Chem.* 386, 1115–1127. doi: 10.1515/BC.2005.128
- Singh, V., Davidson, A. C., Hume, P. J., Humphreys, D., and Koronakis, V. (2017). Arf GTPase interplay with Rho GTPases in regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. *Small GTPases.* doi: 10.1080/21541248.2017.1329691. [Epub ahead of print].
- Stecher, B., Robbiani, R., Walker, A. W., Westendorf, A. M., Barthel, M., Kremer, M., et al. (2007). Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium exploits inflammation to compete with the intestinal microbiota. PLoS Biol. 5, 2177–2189. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050244
- Stender, S., Friebel, A., Linder, S., Rohde, M., Mirold, S., and Hardt, W. D. (2000). Identification of SopE2 from *Salmonella typhimurium*, a conserved guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Cdc42 of the host cell. *Mol. Microbiol.* 36, 1206–1221. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01933.x
- Tahoun, A., Mahajan, S., Paxton, E., Malterer, G., Donaldson, D. S., Wang, D., et al. (2012). Salmonella transforms follicle-associated epithelial cells into M cells to promote intestinal invasion. Cell Host Microbe 12, 645–656. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.10.009
- Takeuchi, A. (1967). Electron microscope studies of experimental Salmonella infection. I. Penetration into the intestinal epithelium by Salmonella typhimurium. Am. J. Pathol. 50, 109–136.
- Terebiznik, M. R., Vieira, O. V., Marcus, S. L., Slade, A., Yip, C. M., Trimble, W. S., et al. (2002). Elimination of host cell PtdIns(4,5)P(2) by bacterial SigD promotes membrane fission during invasion by *Salmonella. Nat. Cell Biol.* 4, 766–773. doi: 10.1038/ncb854

- Truong, D., Brabant, D., Bashkurov, M., Wan, L. C., Braun, V., Heo, W. D., et al. (2013). Formin-mediated actin polymerization promotes *Salmonella* invasion. *Cell. Microbiol.* 15, 2051–2063. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12173
- Uche, I. V., MacLennan, C. A., and Saul, A. (2017). A systematic review of the incidence, risk factors and case fatality rates of invasive nontyphoidal *Salmonella* (iNTS) disease in Africa (1966 to 2014). *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 11:e0005118. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005118
- Wang, M., Luo, Z., Du, H., Xu, S., Ni, B., Zhang, H., et al. (2011). Molecular characterization of a functional type VI secretion system in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. *Curr. Microbiol.* 63, 22–31. doi: 10.1007/s00284-011-9935-z
- Wangdi, T., Winter, S. E., and Baumler, A. J. (2012). Typhoid fever: "you can't hit what you can't see." *Gut Microbes* 3, 88–92. doi: 10.4161/gmic.18602
- Watanabe, T., Wang, S., and Kaibuchi, K. (2015). IQGAPs as key regulators of actin-cytoskeleton dynamics. *Cell Struct. Funct.* 40, 69–77. doi: 10.1247/csf.15003
- Webber, M. A., Bailey, A. M., Blair, J. M., Morgan, E., Stevens, M. P., Hinton, J. C., et al. (2009). The global consequence of disruption of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in *Salmonella enterica* includes reduced expression of SPI-1 and other attributes required to infect the host. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 4276–4285. doi: 10.1128/JB.00363-09
- Westendorf, J. J. (2001). The formin/diaphanous-related protein, FHOS, interacts with Rac1 and activates transcription from the serum response element. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 46453–46459. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M105162200
- Wiedemann, A., Mijouin, L., Ayoub, M. A., Barilleau, E., Canepa, S., Teixeira-Gomes, A. P., et al. (2016). Identification of the epidermal growth factor receptor as the receptor for *Salmonella* Rck-dependent invasion. *FASEB J.* 30, 4180–4191. doi: 10.1096/fj.201600701R
- Wiedemann, A., Rosselin, M., Mijouin, L., Bottreau, E., and Velge, P. (2012). Involvement of c-Src tyrosine kinase upstream of class I phosphatidylinositol (PI) 3-kinases in Salmonella Enteritidis Rck protein-mediated invasion. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 31148–31154. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.392134
- Winter, S. E., Thiennimitr, P., Winter, M. G., Butler, B. P., Huseby, D. L., Crawford, R. W., et al. (2010). Gut inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for *Salmonella*. *Nature* 467, 426–429. doi: 10.1038/nature09415
- Zhang, S., Kingsley, R. A., Santos, R. L., Andrews-Polymenis, H., Raffatellu, M., Figueiredo, J., et al. (2003). Molecular pathogenesis of Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium-induced diarrhea. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 1–12. doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.1.1-12.2003
- Zhou, D., Chen, L. M., Hernandez, L., Shears, S. B., and Galan, J. E. (2001). A Salmonella inositol polyphosphatase acts in conjunction with other bacterial effectors to promote host cell actin cytoskeleton rearrangements and bacterial internalization. *Mol. Microbiol.* 39, 248–259. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02230.x
- Zuo, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Hsu, S. C., Zhou, D., and Guo, W. (2006). Exo70 interacts with the Arp2/3 complex and regulates cell migration. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 1383–1388. doi: 10.1038/ncb1505

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Hume, Singh, Davidson and Koronakis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.