

## **Dynamics and Control of Flagella Assembly in Salmonella typhimurium**

Chandrani Das<sup>1,2†</sup>, Chaitanya Mokashi<sup>1†</sup>, Sharmila S. Mande<sup>2</sup> and Supreet Saini<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India, <sup>2</sup> Bio-Sciences R&D Division, TCS Research, Tata Consultancy Services Limited, Pune, India

The food-borne pathogen Salmonella typhimurium is a common cause of infections and diseases in a wide range of hosts. One of the major virulence factors associated to the infection process is flagella, which helps the bacterium swim to its preferred site of infection inside the host, the M-cells (Microfold cells) lining the lumen of the small intestine. The expression of flagellar genes is controlled by an intricate regulatory network. In this work, we investigate two aspects of flagella regulation and assembly: (a) distribution of the number of flagella in an isogenic population of bacteria and (b) dynamics of gene expression post cell division. More precisely, in a population of bacteria, we note a normal distribution of number of flagella assembled per cell. How is this distribution controlled, and what are the key regulators in the network which help the cell achieve this? In the second question, we explore the role of protein secretion in dictating gene expression dynamics post cell-division (when the number of hook basal bodies on the cell surface is reduced by a factor of two). We develop a mathematical model and perform stochastic simulations to address these questions. Simulations of the model predict that two accessory regulators of flagella gene expression, FliZ and FliT, have significant roles in maintaining population level distribution of flagella. In addition, FliT and FlgM were predicted to control the level and temporal order of flagellar gene expression when the cell adapts to post cell division consequences. Further, the model predicts that, the FliZ and FliT dependent feedback loops function under certain thresholds, alterations in which can substantially affect kinetics of flagellar genes. Thus, based on our results we propose that, the proteins FlgM, FliZ, and FliT, thought to have accessory roles in regulation of flagella, likely play a critical role controlling gene expression during cell division, and frequency distribution of flagella.

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Stefania Spano, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

#### Reviewed by:

Tohru Minamino, Osaka University, Japan Carmen R. Beuzón, University of Málaga, Spain

#### \*Correspondence:

Supreet Saini saini@che.iitb.ac.in

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Received: 06 January 2017 Accepted: 25 January 2018 Published: 08 February 2018

#### Citation:

Das C, Mokashi C, Mande SS and Saini S (2018) Dynamics and Control of Flagella Assembly in Salmonella typhimurium. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 8:36. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00036 Keywords: Salmonella typhimurium, flagella, mathematical model, gene regulation, gene expression dynamics

## **INTRODUCTION**

*Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium (*S. typhimurium*) is a food-borne pathogen associated with a number of diseases in a wide range of hosts. Depending on the host and the particular strain of the bacterium, infections can be localized to the gastrointestinal tract and local lymphatics, or spread to organs like spleen, liver, etc. (Everest et al., 1999). Severe cases of these infections/diseases may lead to several clinical complications including death (Zhang et al., 2003). Although overall burden of food borne infections has been reduced in the last few decades, the incidence of *S. typhimurium* related illness remained nearly consistent, indicating requirement of improved therapeutic regimes (DiMarzio et al., 2013). Moreover, emergence of multi-drug resistance strains

1

and ability to spread the resistance factors to other strains are making the existing treatment strategies less effective.

One of the virulence factors involved in the initial phase of the infection process is the flagella. Flagella are long helical, rotatable appendages which are located on the bacterial cell surface, and enable the bacterium to swim in liquid media and swarm upon solid surfaces. Upon infection, it is thought that the flagella help the bacterium reach the invasion site, Microfold (M)-cells, lining the small intestine (Jones et al., 1992; Saini et al., 2011).

The structural components of flagella mainly include three elements—basal body, hook, and filament (Macnab, 1999). While the basal body acts as an anchor to the flagellum by attaching it to the cell membrane, rotation of the helical filament drives forward movement. The hook serves as a flexible linker between the basal body and the rigid filament. Additionally, chemotaxis and motor proteins help the bacterium sense the environment and move in an appropriate direction. Around 50 genes, arranged in more than 17 operons, which include structural components of the flagella, regulators, chaperones, are known to be involved in the assembly of flagella (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000). Moreover, these genes have been shown to be expressed in hierarchical manner consistent with the assembly process (Kalir et al., 2001).

The major regulators of the flagellar assembly include FlhD, FlhC, FliA, FliZ, FlgM, FliD, and FliT (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Liu and Matsumura, 1995; Yanagihara et al., 1999; Prüss et al., 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006, 2010; Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006; Saini et al., 2008; Figure 1). The promoters controlling expression of flagellar genes have been divided in three classes based on the timing of expression of the genes under their control (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000). A single class 1 promoter (PflhDC promoter) encodes two proteins, FlhD and FlhC, which come together to form a transcriptional activator complex FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> (Kutsukake, 1997; Yanagihara et al., 1999; Chilcott and Hughes, 2000; Prüss et al., 2001). This promoter integrates inputs from a large number of global regulators, and makes the decision whether the cell will be motile or non-motile (Yanagihara et al., 1999; Ko and Park, 2000; Wei et al., 2001; Clegg and Hughes, 2002; Lehnen et al., 2002; Sperandio et al., 2002; Tomoyasu et al., 2002; Ellermeier and Slauch, 2003; Francez-Charlot et al., 2003; Teplitski et al., 2003; Clarke and Sperandio, 2005). The FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> transcription factor is essential for activation of class 2 promoters (Liu and Matsumura, 1994; Liu et al., 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a).

Class 2 promoters control expression of all proteins constituting the structural elements of the hook-basal body (HBB), filament cap protein (FliD), and regulators (FliA, FlgM, FliZ, and FliT) (Jones and Macnab, 1990; Ohnishi et al., 1990; Gillen and Hughes, 1993; Kutsukake and Ide, 1995; Yokoseki et al., 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a). FliA is a flagella-specific sigma factor ( $\sigma^{28}$ ) and is responsible for activation of all class 3 promoters (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Liu and Matsumura, 1995), which control expression of proteins forming the filament and motor, and chemotaxis proteins (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Liu and Matsumura, 1995). In addition, FliA positively regulates its own expression, as well as that of the genes encoding FlgM, FliZ, FliD, and FliT (Gillen and Hughes, 1993; Kutsukake and Ide, 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a,b; Kutsukake et al., 1999). Thus, the genes



FIGURE 1 | Regulatory network controlling expression of flagellar genes in S. typhimurium. The master regulator of flagella FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> is at the top hierarchy of the regulatory cascade. Once it is expressed upon receiving multiple internal and external signals, a series of regulatory events take place for timely and proper expression of flagellar genes. The initial events have been represented by green arrows. These include activation of class 2 genes encoding HBB (hook, basal body) by  $\text{FlhD}_4\text{C}_2.$  At the same time,  $\text{FlhD}_4\text{C}_2$  also activates the genes encoding five regulators-FliA (o factor), FlgM (anti- o factor), FliD (cap protein), FliT (anti- FlhD $_4C_2$  factor), and FliZ (regulator). The subsequent events have been depicted by brown arrows which include post-translational regulation through formation of two protein complexes FliA-FlgM (complex of FliA and FlgM) and FliT-FliD (complex of FliD and FliT). These complexes get disassociated only after complete assembly of HBB. The subsequent events after disassociation of these two protein complexes have been shown by purple dotted arrows. As the protein complexes get disrupted, FlgM and FliD are exported out of the cell (represented by  $\varphi$ ), leaving free FliA and FliT inside the cell. Consequently, FliA carries out the next set of events represented by blue arrows. FliA activates class 3 genes encoding filament, motor and chemotaxis proteins. At the same time, FliA also activates itself and other class 2 genes encoding FliZ, FlgM, FliD and FliT. Finally, two feedback loops, represented by red edges, act on the master regulator  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FlhD}_4\mathsf{C}_2}.$  These include FliZ dependent positive and FliT dependent negative feedback loops. FliZ acts as a repressor of a non-flagellar protein YdiV, which participates in nutritional status (of the cell) dependent regulation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. FliT forms protein complex with FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and prevents FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-dependent activation of class two genes.

encoding these five proteins are under control of both class 2 and class 3 promoters.

In addition to direct control of transcription, several posttranslational regulatory interactions play an important role in regulating the flagellar assembly process. First, FlgM, an antisigma factor for FliA, binds to FliA resulting in the FliA-FlgM protein complex (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006). This sequestration of FliA by FlgM does not leave any free FliA in the cell to activate class 3 promoters (Chadsey et al., 1998; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001). Upon completion of a functional HBB, however, the FliA-FlgM complex interacts with the flagellar export apparatus resulting in secretion of FlgM out of the cell (Hughes et al., 1993; Kutsukake, 1994; Aldridge et al., 2006). Secretion of FlgM frees FliA to activate class 3 promoter (Hughes et al., 1993; Aldridge et al., 2006). The second interaction involves the cap protein, FliD and its chaperone, FliT (Fraser et al., 1999; Aldridge et al., 2010). FliD is secreted from the HBB and assembles at the tip of the flagella (Fraser et al., 1999; Bennett et al., 2001). Prior to completion

of HBB, FliT binds FliD and forms a FliD-FliT complex inside the cell (Fraser et al., 1999; Bennett et al., 2001; Aldridge et al., 2010). On completion of the HBB, FliD is secreted through the HBB structure (Aldridge et al., 2010). The free FliT inside the cell interacts with the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex and prevents FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>dependent activation of class 2 genes (Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006; Aldridge et al., 2010; Imada et al., 2010). This feedback loop is thought to negatively control expression of flagella genes in response to increased secretion of FliD from the cell (Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006).

In addition, FliS, expressed in the same operon as *fliD* and *fliT*, is known to be a chaperone for the filament protein, FliC. The absence of *fliS* is known to lead to production of shorter filament lengths in the flagella (Auvray et al., 2001; Galeva et al., 2014). Lastly, FlgN (expressed in an operon with the anti-sigma factor, FlgM) is known to be a chaperone for the hook-associated proteins (HAPs) FlgK and FlgL. The proteins FlgK and FlgL form the junction between the flexible hook and the rigid filament (Fraser et al., 1999).

An indirect positive feedback loop involving FliZ tunes flagellar genes expression (Wada et al., 2011b). FliZ negatively regulates the expression of YdiV by repressing expression from the PydiV promoter (Wada et al., 2011b).YdiV acts via sequestering the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex and preventing the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>dependent activation of class 2 promoters (Wada et al., 2011b). In addition, YdiV binding to FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> also enhances the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> degradation rates via ClpXP (Takaya et al., 2012). Physiologically, YdiV is known to be a sensor of the nutritional status of the cell, and therefore, it is thought that YdiV is the link between flagellar biosynthesis and metabolism in *Salmonella*.

A large number of experimental studies have led to a detailed understanding of the regulatory network controlling flagellar assembly in *Salmonella*. In addition, a few modeling efforts to analyze and understand the regulation of flagella assembly have also been reported recently (Saini et al., 2011; Jain et al., 2015). In this study we focus on two aspects associated with flagellar assembly dynamics. We develop a model describing the assembly process, and through stochastic simulations of the model, address the following questions.

- (a) A wild type population of *Salmonella* is known to exhibit a normal distribution of flagella per cell. What or which regulatory interactions accounts for this variation in an isogenic population?
- (b) Salmonella class 1 promoter (PflhDC promoter) is always in a fully or partially ON state (there are no experimental conditions where the promoter has been found to be in a completely OFF state). Since models analyzing flagellar assembly have focused on the gene expression dynamics as cells transit from a non-flagellated to a flagellated state, we do not yet understand how gene expression dynamics gets tuned as cells move from one flagellated state to another. Particularly, how do cells tune gene expression post celldivision?

Through our work, we explain and quantify the above factors in flagellar assembly in *Salmonella*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Mathematical Model

The following regulatory network is represented in the mathematical model studied in this work. The FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex acts as master regulator necessary for class 2 gene expression (Liu and Matsumura, 1994; Liu et al., 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a) and the sigma factor FliA is essential for class 3 gene expression (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Liu and Matsumura, 1995). FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> activates all class 2 genes i.e., HBB, FliA, FlgM, FliZ, FliT, and FliD (Liu and Matsumura, 1994; Liu et al., 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a). FliA positively auto regulates itself as well as activates all class 3 genes, which include the filament, motor, and the chemotaxis proteins (Gillen and Hughes, 1993; Kutsukake and Ide, 1995; Ikebe et al., 1999a,b; Kutsukake et al., 1999). FliA and FliZ are expressed from a single operon, and are activated independently by FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and FliA (Kutsukake et al., 1999; Brown et al., 2008). Similarly, FliT and FliD are part of an operon and are also activated independently by FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and FliA (Kutsukake and Ide, 1995; Yokoseki et al., 1995).

FlgM binds with FliA to form the FlgM-FliA complex (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006). Upon completion of the HBB structure, the FliA-FlgM interacts with the export apparatus leading to export/secretion of FlgM (Hughes et al., 1993; Aldridge et al., 2006). The newly freed FliA then activates class 3 genes (Gillen and Hughes, 1991a; Hughes et al., 1993; Chadsey et al., 1998; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006). FliD binds with FliT to form a FliD-FliT complex (Aldridge et al., 2010). This complex, upon interaction with the export apparatus, on completion of the HBB structure, exports FliD (Aldridge et al., 2010). The newly freed FliT forms a complex with FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and prevents activation of class 2 promoters (Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006; Aldridge et al., 2010). The PydiV promoter is activated by the external stimulus of starvation and is repressed in rich media (Wada et al., 2011a). The YdiV protein enhances the rate of degradation of the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex and thereby acts as a negative regulator of class 2 gene expression (Wada et al., 2011b). FliZ represses expression from the PydiV promoter and hence, aids class 2 gene expression via  $FlhD_4C_2$  (Wada et al., 2011b).

In development of the model, the following assumptions were made.

- i. The regulatory network was modeled as a network of chemical reactor according to the scheme proposed by Gillespie (Gillespie, 1976, 1977).
- ii. There is little evidence regarding the dynamics of assembly of multiple HBBs in a cell. In our model, we assume that HBBs are synthesized and subsequently assembled one after another in a sequential manner.
- iii. Degradation rate of a protein was chosen to be either "high" or "low." *In-vivo*, components such as FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, HBB, FliT, FliZ, YdiV, and Class 3 genes are comparatively stable and thus degradation rates of these proteins/protein complex were considered to be low (**Table 1**). On the other hand, the degradation rates of FliA, FlgM, and FliD were considered to be comparatively higher (**Table 1**) as, free FliA,

TABLE 1 | Details on the parameters used while building the model.

Variable	Description	Value (in N h <sup>-1</sup> )
K <sub>1</sub>	Class 1 promoter activity	3
K <sub>2</sub>	Activation coefficient for FIhDC dependent expression of HBB	5
K <sub>2m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FIhDC dependent expression of HBB	1,000
K <sub>3</sub>	Activation coefficient for FIhDC dependent expression of FIgM	50
K <sub>3m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FIhDC dependent expression of FIgM	2
K <sub>4</sub>	Activation coefficient for FIhDC dependent expression of FIiAZ	2
K <sub>4m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FIhDC dependent expression of FIiAZ	10
< <sub>5</sub>	Rate of FlgM secretion	2
K <sub>6</sub>	Activation coefficient for FliA dependent expression of class 3 genes	10
K <sub>6m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FliA dependent expression of class 3 genes	100
K <sub>7</sub>	Activation coefficient for FliA dependent expression of FlgM	10
≺ <sub>7m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FliA dependent expression of FlgM	1
< <sub>8</sub>	Activation coefficient for FliA dependent expression of FliAZ	10
≺ <sub>8m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FliA dependent expression of FliAZ	5
K9	Activation coefficient for FIhDC dependent expression of FIiDT	1
≺ <sub>9m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FIhDC dependent expression of FliDT	1
< <sub>10</sub>	Activation coefficient for FliA dependent expression of FliDT	1
≺ <sub>10m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FliA dependent expression of FliDT	1
< <sub>14</sub>	Rate of FliD secretion	3
< <sub>16</sub>	Activation coefficient for FliZ dependent repression of YdiV	20
< <sub>16m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant for FliZ dependent repression of YdiV	1
<	Constant for YdiV dependent repression of FlhDC	0.1
۲d	Rate of degradation for FIhDC, HBB, FIiT, FIiZ, YdiV and Class 3 genes	0.1
Kd2	Rate of degradation for FliA, FlgM and FliD	1
< <sub>DCT</sub>	Rate constant for FIhDC.FliT complex formation	0.8
<pre>Contract</pre>	Rate constant for FIhDC.FIIT complex disassociation	0.0004
KAM	Rate constant for FliA.FlgM complex formation	1,000
KAMrev	Rate constant for FliA.FlgM complex disassociation	0.3
< <sub>DT</sub>	Rate constant for FliD.FliT complex formation	6,500
< <sub>DTrev</sub>	Rate constant for FliD.FliT complex disassociation	6
α	Starvation level of the cell	[0-1]

The parameters have been provided in terms of dimensionless concentration units. The disassociation rate of FliA-FlgM has been acquired from Chadsey et al. (1998).

FlgM and FliD have been experimentally reported to be unstable (Fraser et al., 1999; Aldridge et al., 2006). Division of all variables in these two categories (with respect to protein degradation rate) helped reduce the number of free parameters used in the study considerably.

- iv. At the start of each simulation (unless otherwise stated), we assume that the number of protein molecules of each species is zero.
- v. The rate of  $FlhD_4C_2$  protein formation is assumed to be constant. The class 1 promoter is known to be regulated by a number of global regulators which feed in information regarding the environment and cellular physiology into the promoter (Ko and Park, 2000; Clarke and Sperandio, 2005). However, little is known about the mechanistic details and physiological significance of these individual interactions. Also, the operon encoding FlhD and FlhC has been reported to contain as much as six promoters (Yanagihara et al., 1999), indicating its complex regulation. In fact, in order to avoid the complexity associated with the class 1 promoter, many experimental flagellar studies work with a strain where the class 1 promoter is replaced with a *tetRA* cassette, making expression of flagellar genes, and their subsequent assembly

contingent on addition of tetracycline to the media (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000; Saini et al., 2010a).

- vi. In our model, we do not incorporate the roles of accessory regulators FliS and FlgN. FliS is the chaperone for the flagellin protein, FliC; and therefore, is implicated in controlling the filament length of the flagella (Auvray et al., 2001). Although, earlier studies have reported control of flagella number by FliS, we think that the observed phenomena may be the result of a polar mutation on *fliT* in that strain. FlgN is known to stabilize the HAPs FlgK and FlgL (Fraser et al., 1999). The HAP proteins are known to be required in small numbers for forming the hookfilament junction. Thus, we do not include this interaction in our model. While these two regulatory interactions could be incorporated in the model without making the model qualitatively complex, doing so would make the model increasingly cumbersome. Additionally, experimental studies reported on these interactions are relatively less, and hence, kinetic parameters associated with these interactions are much more open to speculation compared to the other regulatory interactions dictating flagellar biosynthesis.
- vii. The model (for cell division) assumed linear growth of volume with time. As the cell volume becomes equal to or more than  $V_0$ , the cell divides into two progenies, and the resultant protein molecules are split equally between the two resultant cells. Mathematically, for variable cell volume, the concentration of a species was replaced by the protein molecules divided by the cell volume at a given time.

The above described network (**Figure 1**) can be mathematically represented by the following ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{d [FlhDC]}{dt} = K_1 - K_{DCT} [FlhDC] [FliT] + K_{DCTrev} [FlhDC.FliT] - k_d [FlhDC] (1 + k' [YdiV]) (1)$$

$$\frac{d[HBB]}{dt} = \frac{K_2[FlhDC]}{K_{2m} + [FlhDC]} - k_d [HBB]$$
(2)

$$\frac{d[FliA]}{dt} = \frac{K_4[FlhDC]}{K_{4m} + [FlhDC]} + \frac{K_8[FliA]}{K_{8m} + [FliA]} - K_{AM}[FliA][FlgM]$$

+ 
$$K_{AMrev}$$
 [FliA.FlgM] -  $k_{d2}$  [FliA] (3)

$$\frac{d[right]}{dt} = \frac{K_{S}[rim2G]}{K_{3m} + [FlhDC]} + \frac{K_{7m}[rim3]}{K_{7m} + [FliA]}$$
$$-K_{AM}[FliA][FlgM] + K_{AMrev}[FliA.FlgM]$$
$$-[HBB][FliA.FlgM] - k_{42}[FlgM] \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{d[FliZ]}{dt} = \frac{K_4[FlhDC]}{K_{4m} + [FlhDC]} + \frac{K_8[FliA]}{K_{8m} + [FliA]} - k_d [FliZ] (5)$$
  
d [FliT]  $K_9 [FlhDC] = K_{10} [FliA]$ 

$$\frac{dt}{dt} = \frac{1}{K_{9m} + [FlhDC]} + \frac{1}{K_{10m} + + [FliA]} - K_{DT} [FliD] [FliT] + K_{DTrev} [FliD.FliT] - k_d [FliT]$$
(6)

d [FloM]

$$\frac{d[FliD]}{dt} = \frac{K_9[FlhDC]}{K_{9m} + [FlhDC]} + \frac{K_{10}[FliA]}{K_{10m} + [FliA]} - K_{DT}[FliD][FliT] + K_{DTrev}[FliD.FliT] - K_{14}[HBB][FliD.FliT] - k_{d2}[FliD]$$
(7)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Y}\mathrm{di}\mathrm{V}]}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{\mathrm{K}_{16}^{*}\alpha}{\mathrm{K}_{16\mathrm{m}} + [\mathrm{Fli}Z]} - \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}[\mathrm{Y}\mathrm{di}\mathrm{V}]$$
(8)

$$\frac{d[\text{Class3}]}{dt} = \frac{K_6[\text{FliA}]}{K_{6m} + [\text{FliA}]} - k_d [\text{Class3}]$$
(9)

#### Simulation Using Gillespie Algorithm

The model was simulated using the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie, 1976, 1977). The proteins from nine different genes in the model take part in 24 different reactions. Each of these reactions is represented by a propensity function. These propensity functions are taken from the rates of the corresponding reactions from the deterministic model. It is assumed that only one of these reactions happen at a particular time step and its probability of occurring is proportional to magnitude of its propensity function. This model was simulated for 10,000 cells and the average of these cells is reported.

Mutant cells here represent cells devoid of one or more genes from the above described network. Mutants are generated in the simulation by fixing the protein numbers corresponding to the mutation equal to zero. The activity of the *PflhDC* promoter represented class 1 activity. All proteins constituting structural parts of an HBB were assumed to be expressed from a single promoter. The activity of this promoter was taken to be representative class 2 promoter activity.

The HBB distribution data and figures represent the distribution of HBB expression among the 10,000 cells in the simulations. The distribution of HBB numbers in each cell once the steady state of expression was reached in the simulations is reported.

The cell division data and figures represent the expression of class 1, 2, and 3 genes after cell division. Here, it is assumed that the number of molecules of a particular protein is equally distributed between the two daughter cells. To generate this data, for a particular genotype (wild type or mutant) two sets of simulations are performed. The first simulation is run with initial values of all proteins as zero and the steady state expression levels of all the nine species are noted. These values are halved and are then used as initial value for the second simulation representing a daughter cell. The data is also normalized with the maximum expression value of the particular class of gene from the mother cell.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity of parameters corresponding to four crucial reactions of the model: (1) The FliA-FlgM complex formation, (2) The FliT-FliD complex formation, (3) The FliT feedback i.e., interaction between FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and FliT, and (4) Activation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> by FliZ (via YdiV), were analyzed. In each case the parameters describing the strength of association or disassociation are increased and decreased by 10% to see the effect on expression kinetics, HBB distribution at steady state, and kinetics after cell division.

#### Secretion Analysis

The secretion analysis was performed to study the variation in expressions of class 2 and 3 genes with excretion of FlgM and FliD proteins out of the cell in the process of flagella formation. New variables representing "newly freed FlgM" and "newly freed FliD" are created in the simulation for FlgM and FliD proteins which are generated by breaking of their complexes with FliA and FliT respectively. The class 2 and 3 expressions at a particular time are then plotted with the cumulative amount of FlgM or FliD excreted till that time.

#### **Starvation Analysis**

The simulations are run with three different values of the starvation variable  $\alpha$ -0.1, 0.5, and 1 to check its effect on class 2 and class 3 expressions.

#### Mutants

Mutants are strains in which a particular reaction is silenced. Four different mutants are simulated:

- i. Activation of FliT by FliA is silenced (but FliT is still being activated by  $FlhD_4C_2$ ). In this case, the FliT and FliD genes are not treated as operonic and the FliA-dependent activation term is deleted only in the equation of FliT and not from that of FliD.
- ii. Activation of FliZ by FliA is silenced. In this case also, FliZ and FliA are not treated as in an operon and the FliA-dependent activation term is deleted only from the FliZ equation. Here  $FlhD_4C_2$  still activates FliZ and FliA still positively auto regulates itself.
- iii. Activation of FliT by  $FlhD_4C_2$  is silenced. Here only the  $FlhD_4C_2$ -dependent activation of FliT equation is deleted and FliA is still able to activate FliT.
- iv. Formation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-FliT complex i.e., FliT-dependent suppression of class 2 promoter activation by FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> is silenced.

Through these simulations, we wanted to understand the precise effect/logic of several of the regulatory proteins in the flagellar regulatory cascade, which are under the control of both, class 2 and class 3 promoters.

### RESULTS

#### **Dynamics of Flagellar Gene Expression**

A distinctive feature of flagellar assembly dynamics in *Salmonella* pertains to expression of class 2 and class 3 genes in a sequential manner. That is, initiation of class 3 gene expression occurs only after the assembly of a functional HBB (Kutsukake et al., 1990; Karlinsey et al., 2000a,b; Kalir et al., 2001). This delay in induction of expression from class 3 promoters has been attributed to the FliA-FlgM interaction (Hughes et al., 1993; Kutsukake, 1994; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006). The FliA-FlgM check-point ensures that resource expenditure on production of class 3 genes only starts if the cell has built a functional base structure. The dynamics associated with class 2 and class 3 genes, and their dependence on various regulatory proteins in the network has been well characterized in a number of

experimental studies (Ohnishi et al., 1992; Liu and Matsumura, 1995; Yanagihara et al., 1999; Kalir et al., 2001; Prüss et al., 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006, 2010; Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006; Saini et al., 2008).

We start by validating our model against these previously observed experimental results, and then explore the following two aspects of the assembly process. First, in a given population, there exists a distribution associated with number of flagella assembled per cell (Kusumoto et al., 2008; Balaban and Hendrixson, 2011). We use our model to explore this question in detail, and identify the quantitative roles played by various regulatory interactions in dictating this distribution. Secondly, most studies regarding flagella assembly dynamics consider assembly as cells transit from a non-flagellated to a flagellated state. However, experimentally, it has been known that the PflhDC promoter in Salmonella is never in a totally OFF condition (Karlinsey et al., 2000a). Hence, the non-flagellated state is perhaps not a representative of physiology of the organism. Lastly, we seek our model to explain how the cell adjusts its gene expression dynamics post cell-division, when number of flagella (and hence channels for secretion) per cell and cellular volume are halved.

The model was first used to simulate the wild type expression dynamics of flagellar genes. The hierarchical expression of class 1, class 2, and class 3 genes (**Figure 2**) supports previous experimental observations (Karlinsey et al., 2000b; Saini et al., 2011). Further, simulations were performed for regulatory mutants and found to be in agreement with the previously reported studies. Simulation of the  $\Delta flgM$  mutant resulted in initiation of class 2 and class 3 genes at the same time, eliminating the delay that was observed for class 3 gene in wild type and an enhanced expression of class 3 genes as compared to the wild type (Figure 2B; Brown et al., 2008; Saini et al., 2011). In the  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant, expression levels of both class 2 and class 3 flagellar genes were shown to be reduced (Figure 2C; Saini et al., 2008). Simulation of the model with  $\Delta fliT$  resulted in an increase in class 2 gene expression (Figure 2D), while a  $\Delta fliD$  mutant exhibited reduced levels of both class 2 class 3, as compared to the wild type (Figure 2E). These results support previous experimental observations, and suggest that the model developed in the present study successfully captures the dynamics of flagellar gene expression in wild type and mutant strains.

## In an Isogenic Population, Number of Flagella Assembled Per Cell Varies as a Normal Distribution

The number of HBB structures assembled per cell has been suggested to be important for efficient movement (Schuhmacher et al., 2015). While too low a number is thought to leave the



**FIGURE 2** Dynamics of flagellar class 2 and class 3 gene expression in (A) wild type, (B)  $\Delta flgM$ , (C)  $\Delta fliZ$ , (D)  $\Delta fliZ$ , and (E)  $\Delta fliD$ . Class 2 and class 3 genes have been represented by solid and dashed lines, respectively. Here, class 2 genes represent the genes encoding the constituent proteins of HBB. Class 3 genes include the genes encoding filament, motor and chemotaxis proteins. A. U. denotes arbitrary units. For mutants, the expression levels of class 2 and class 3 genes have been normalized with respect to those in wild type and subsequently plotted. The plot for wild type shows the characteristic delay in class 3 gene expression. FlgM is responsible for this delay as class 2 and class 3 genes are expressed at similar time in  $\Delta flgM$  as shown in B. Deletion of the activators FliZ and FliD results in reduced expression levels of class 2 and class 3 genes, while, deletion of the repressor FliT leads to increase in the expression levels. Standard deviation in each curve is <10% of the mean values.

bacterium with too little flagella power for movement, building too many flagella are thought to mechanically interfere with each other and hinder the formation of an efficient bundle (Partridge and Harshey, 2013). An additional role for flagellar filaments during infection by *Salmonella* is its use to help the host launch an immune response (Hayashi et al., 2001). Since the quantum of the response launched by the host is likely dependent on the antigen amounts, the number of flagella control the immune response launched in the early stages of the infection. Hence, flagella number per cell is likely an important quantity both from the context of invasion leading to access to the host, and also, eliciting host immune response.

Simulations were performed to study the cell-to-cell variability of an isogenic population with respect to HBB number. Our results show that, in wild type, number of flagella assembled per cell varies as a normal distribution (Figure 3A). This distribution qualitatively captures previously observed experimental results regarding distribution of number of flagella in an isogenic population (Saini et al., 2010a). Simulations were also performed with varying nutrition level, and the effect of the YdiV-dependent regulation was quantified. With increase in starvation level, the distribution moves leftwards, representing a lower number of HBBs per cell. More specifically, number of cells with lower HBB number was increased, with rising starvation level (Figure 3A). This result corroborates with earlier experimental studies where, under poor nutritional status, a large fraction of the cells in a population were observed to be devoid of any flagella (Aldridge et al., 2010). This trend is in contrast to Salmonella's closely related species E. coli, where upon encountering starvation, the bacterium actively builds flagella-presumably to move to environments with greater availability of nutrients.

Next, our focus was to understand the effects of various mutations on the observed HBB distribution. Simulation of mutants indicated that, the mutations affect both the average and the standard deviation of the HBB numbers in a population. For example, the  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant was observed to lead to a decrease in the number of flagella per cell (**Figure 3B**). In fact, in a  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant, a significant fraction of cells were found to be harboring only single flagella. At high starvation level (starvation level 1),

above 90% of total flagellated bacterial cells were observed to harbor only one flagella. This may indicate that, the effect of FliZ on population level distribution of flagella is more prominent at higher starvation level. Therefore, with increase in starvation level, the significance of FliZ in maintaining population level HBB distribution increases. Hence, the flagellar regulators FliT and FliZ are not only involved in controlling the dynamics of gene regulation, but actively control the flagella number per cell. In addition, the relative significance of the control loop associated with each regulator depends on the nutritional status of the cell.

Further, in a  $\Delta fliT$  mutant, the distribution was observed to be skewed toward right at all starvation levels studied (**Figure 3C**). Thus, FliT is not only important for limiting overexpression of flagellar genes, but also helps maintain an optimal distribution of flagella numbers per cell in a population. This also suggests that the FliT-feedback loop acts in conjunction with the nutritional status of the cell to control flagellar numbers and their distribution. These results suggest an intricate link between flagellar biosynthesis and cellular metabolism, an aspect of flagellar gene regulation which has not been explored extensively.

# Dynamics of Flagellar Gene Expression after Cell Division

The dynamics of flagellar gene expression post cell division, and the role played by the HBB structures in its control is not clearly understood. Post cell division, the flagella of a particular cell are distributed randomly between the two daughter cells. Thus, each daughter cell receives (roughly) half the number of flagella in the parent cell. In addition, changes in protein secretion rate (which is proportional to the number of HBBs in a cell) also affect the amount of proteins inside the cell, and likely change the dynamics of gene expression (and hence, flagella assembly) post division (Aizawa and Kubori, 1998). In order to understand the dynamics associated with this change (at cell division) and the associated adjustments, simulations of a model mimicking progeny cell were performed.

A wild type bacterium post division was observed to result in a delay in activation of class 3 genes with respect to that of class 2 genes (**Figure 4A**), similar to the behavior of a wild type







**FIGURE 4** Dynamics of flagellar class 2 and class 3 gene expressions in (A) wild type, (B)  $\Delta flgM$ , and (C)  $\Delta fliT$  post cell division. Class 2 and class 3 genes have been represented by solid and dashed lines, respectively. A. U. denotes arbitrary units. For mutants, the expression levels of class 2 and class 3 genes have been normalized with respect to those in wild type and subsequently plotted. The delay in class 3 gene expression is also seen post cell division, similar to the observed phenomena during transition from non-flagellated to flagellated state.  $\Delta flgM$  mutant shows altered hierarchy of class 2 and class 3 genes in a daughter cell, whereas,  $\Delta fliT$  mutant leads to higher expression levels similar to its effect in a mother cell. Standard deviation in each curve is <10% of the mean values.

(when studied in the context of transition from non-flagellated to a flagellated state; Kutsukake et al., 1990; Kalir et al., 2001). However, the post cell-division dynamics of class 3 genes was seen to be slower initially followed by a rapid increase in expression (Figure 4A) compared to wild type transitioning from nonflagellated to a flagellated state. As expression of excessive class 3 genes before sufficient HBB structures are assembled would presumably result in wastage of cellular resources, preservation of the expression hierarchy is critical for efficient assembly process. Additionally, premature expression of class 3 genes has been suggested to be harmful for the cell growth (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000). This is likely due to the fact that excessive expression of FliC in the cells leads to polymerization of the FliC protein intracellularly, which may affect cell viability. Thus, the current result suggests that, the gene expression dynamics is slightly altered in progeny in response cell division, while at the same time, the cell tries to maintain the hierarchy associated with class 2 and class 3 gene expression.

Further simulations were performed to study the effects of various mutants on the dynamics of flagellar genes while the cell adjusts to post cell division consequences. Altered hierarchy of class 2 and class 3 genes was also observed in the  $\Delta flgM$  mutant (**Figure 4B**). Previous experimental studies have suggested the role of FlgM in preventing premature expression of class 3 genes by enforcing a time lag between expression initiation of class 2 and class 3 genes (Kutsukake et al., 1990; Karlinsey et al., 2000a,b; Kalir et al., 2001). The current result indicates that, FlgM is also important in maintaining the hierarchy immediately after cell division.

In the mutant  $\Delta fliT$ , the steady state expression level of class 2 gene was observed to be around 1.5-fold higher than that of wild type (**Figure 4C**). This result may indicate that, similar to the role of FliT as repressor of class 2 gene expression in a mother cell (Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006), FliT-dependent feedback loop is required for maintaining level of class 2 gene. Further, increased levels of class 2 genes may be harmful for the cell as

over-expression of flagella has been suggested to result in elevated host immune response, which in turn attenuates the pathogenesis (Yang et al., 2012). In addition, simulation of the  $\Delta fliT$  mutant resulted in loss of the delay in expression of class 3 genes. This behavior of  $\Delta fliT$  mutant was not apparent in a mother cell. Thus, it is possible that, post cell division, FliT plays a role in imposing the delay in class 3 gene expression, similar to the role of FlgM in a mother cell. On the other hand, the mutant  $\Delta fliZ$  was observed to produce very low levels of both class 2 and class 3 genes. However, in this mutant, the hierarchy of gene expression was maintained post cell-division (data not shown).

## Roles of FliZ and FliT Feedback Loops on Controlling Flagellar Gene Expression at a Population Level and at a Single-Cell Resolution

The regulatory network dictating flagella assembly includes feedback loops which help in timely and appropriate expression and assembly of flagellar genes. One such feedback loop corresponds to a positive feedback from FliZ that regulates expression of class 2 genes through a non-flagellar gene ydiV and the master regulator  $FlhD_4C_2$  (Ohnishi et al., 1990). On the other hand, a feedback loop from FliT down-regulates class 2 gene expression in an FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-dependent manner (Yamamoto and Kutsukake, 2006). Apart from these, two post-translational regulations through formation of protein complexes (FliA-FlgM and FliD-FliT) help in maintaining the temporal order and duration of flagellar gene expression (Aldridge et al., 2006, 2010; Saini et al., 2011). In order to better understand the role of these feedback loops in controlling the kinetics of flagellar genes, multiple simulations were performed with varying strength of the loops.

Simulation of a mutant progeny cell with 10-fold reduction in the strength of FliT feedback loop resulted in expression of class 2 and class 3 genes at almost same time (data not shown).

Thus, similar to FlgM and FliZ (described in previous section), the role of FliT on expression hierarchy was not observed in normal growing condition, but was visible after cell division. Thus, it is possible that, FliT-dependent negative feedback loop accompanies FlgM and FliZ in preserving expression hierarchy of flagellar genes after the cell divides. Interestingly, simulation of the  $\Delta fliT$  mutant didn't show any effect on hierarchical expression. This effect is likely significant only when other regulatory interactions involving FliT are functional. A mutant with 10-fold reduction in the association rate of FliA-FlgM complex also led to disruption of expression hierarchy. The role of FlgM in hierarchical activation of flagellar genes has been proposed by earlier studies (Kutsukake et al., 1990; Karlinsey et al., 2000a,b; Saini et al., 2011). The current model predicts that, even in presence of FlgM when the cell adapts to the changing environment after cell division, a certain critical minimum affinity for FliA-FlgM association is required for hierarchical expression of flagellar genes.

Apart from cellular level dynamics, the current model predicts the roles of feedback loops in controlling population level dynamics of flagella gene expression. A 10-fold reduction in the association rate of FliD-FliT increased the number of cells producing lower number of flagella. Further simulations were performed with alterations in the parameters describing the strengths of FliZ and FliT feedback loops. The results suggest that, alterations in the strengths of the feedback loops, especially FliZ-feedback loop, affect flagella number per cell in a population (Figure 5). Hence, our model predicts that the feedback loops not only regulate flagella expression at cellular level, but also contribute significantly to the distribution of flagella number in an isogenic population, which in turn may govern the pathogenicity of Salmonella. These results also indicate that the feedback loops act under certain thresholds and any mutation resulting in alterations in the strengths of these loops can affect the kinetics of flagellar genes.

# FliZ and FliT Regulate Flagella in Secretion Dependent Manner

Protein secretion plays an important role in flagellar assembly, and is thought to be used as a proxy for flagellar abundance in the cell (Brown et al., 2008; Saini et al., 2011). Secretion of FlgM from FliA-FlgM complex releases FliA inside the cell, which can then activate class 3 gene expression (Hughes et al., 1993; Kutsukake, 1994; Aldridge et al., 2006). FliT is a negative regulator of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> which acts once FliD, bound in FliD-FliT complex, is secreted from the cell (Aldridge et al., 2010). Thus, FliA-and FliT-dependent regulation of flagellar genes are controlled by rate of protein secretion. The FliZ-dependent positive feedback loop has been reported to be functional only at very low secretion rate or no secretion of FlgM (Saini et al., 2010a). In the current study, simulations were performed to understand dependence of various regulators on protein secretion.

In the simulated  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant, the effect of FlgM secretion on the FliZ-dependent regulation of class 2 and class 3 genes could be observed (**Figure 6B**), as previously seen experimentally (Saini et al., 2010a). In addition, the behavior of  $\Delta fliT$  mutant suggests



**FIGURE 5** | Effects of alterations in strength of FliZ and FliT feedback loops on class 2 gene expression. Here, class 2 gene expression represents the mean flagella number of a population of 10,000 cells. The values have been normalized to that of a wild type population. Reduction in the strengths of the feedback loops has a stronger influence on population level flagella number. Flagella number (and kinetics of flagellar gene expression) is sensitive to the strengths of the feedback loops.

that, the regulatory role played by FliT in expressions of class 2 and class 3 genes is probably more significant at higher secretion rate of FlgM (**Figure 6C**). Thus, the current results indicate that, not only FliA and FliZ, but FliT-dependent regulation of flagellar genes is also likely to be affected by FlgM secretion rate.

Next, expression of class 2 and class 3 genes with varying rates of FliD secretion were investigated. The result of  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant indicates that, the regulatory effect of FliZ on class 2 and class 3 gene expressions is functional at even lower secretion rate of FliD than that of FlgM (**Figure 6E**). Thus, the current observations suggest that, the effects of flagellar regulators and protein secretion are correlated in a manner to facilitate optimal flagella assembly.

## Effect of Starvation on Class 3/Class 2 Ratio

The relative abundance of class 3 and class 2 genes is likely critical for *Salmonella* in order to respond properly to the changes in environment. The effect of starvation on this ratio was investigated using the current model. Simulation of a wild type cell resulted in increase in the class 3/class 2 gene ratio with increasing class 2 gene expression (**Figure 7**). This indicates that, as class 2 genes (HBB) are produced, it indirectly accelerates expression of class 3 genes leading to increase in class3/class 2 ratio. This can be explained by earlier studies which reported that, completion of HBB leads to secretion of FlgM, which in turn increases the amount of free FliA inside the cell and this free FliA activates class 3 genes (Hughes et al., 1993; Chadsey et al., 1998; Chadsey and Hughes, 2001; Aldridge et al., 2006). In addition, the



ratio was observed to be similar at three different starvation levels studied (0.1, 0.5, and 1; **Figure 6**). This suggests that, unlike the levels of flagellar genes, the class 3/class 2 gene ratio is robust to the nutritional status of the cell.

Further simulations were performed in order to analyze the effect of starvation on class 3/class 2 gene ratio in various mutants. The ratio in  $\Delta flgM$  and  $\Delta fliZ$  mutants was observed to differ from that of wild type. As shown in the Figure 6, the wild type exhibits a characteristic curve between the class 2 and class 3 trajectory with time. This trajectory is dependent on the starvation levels of the cell. With increasing starvation, the relative amounts of both, class 2 and 3 proteins decrease initially. However, beyond a certain value of starvation the levels of class 2 and class 3 proteins no longer drop further. However, this response to starvation conditions is not seen in the two mutants, as shown in **Figure 6**. In a  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant, the expression levels of class 2 and class 3 proteins decrease continuously with increasing starvation conditions. While in a  $\Delta flgM$  mutant, the expression levels of the proteins belonging to the two classes were seen to decrease with increasing starvation. However, in this case, the decrease was not as pronounced as in the  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant. In addition, the dynamics of  $\Delta flgM$  mutant are also characterized by the lack of delay in initiation of class 3 gene expression, upon induction of flagellar genes. Overall, our results show that, in response to starvation, the bacterium maintains an appropriate balance between class 2 and class 3 genes. This balance, however, is disturbed, when one of the two ancillary regulators (FliZ and FlgM) is removed from the network.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, a mathematical model has been used to understand two specific aspects of flagellar gene regulation in Salmonella. First, we quantify the role of various regulators in the network leading to cell-cell variation in the number of flagella assembled, and second, we study the impact of cell division in dictating dynamics of gene expression. Simulations of our models predict that the regulators FliZ and FliT not only control expression levels of flagellar genes at cellular level, but also play significant roles in maintaining optimal distribution of flagella in a population. The relative role of this effective control toward pathogenicity is unknown, although non-flagellated mutants are known to be not able to cause infection effectively in mouse models. In addition, our predictions suggest role of FlgM in controlling hierarchical expression of flagellar genes post celldivision. Our simulation results also indicate roles of FliZ- and FliT-dependent feedback loops in maintaining population level distribution of flagella. Further, we investigate the influence of FliZ and FlgM on class 3-class2 gene product ratio, a number likely critical for the pathogenicity of the bacterium. Overall, we propose that, the roles of the accessory regulators FliZ, FlgM, and FliT are far more complex than what is currently understood.

One of the limitations of the current model pertains to simplification of the regulatory effects governing activation of the genes encoding the master regulator of flagella, FlhD, and FlhC. The operon encoding FlhD and FlhC has been reported to be regulated by multiple regulators, many of which have not been identified yet (Kutsukake, 1997; Teplitski et al., 2003; Clarke and Sperandio, 2005). Thus, in the current study, activation of this operon has been represented by a step function representing the cumulative effect of all its regulators (Saini et al., 2011). Although, this seems to be a fair representation of actual behavior, availability of more experimental data in this regard may enhance the model efficiency. Another drawback corresponds to inability of the model to capture switching OFF of the flagella gene regulation. Earlier studies have suggested that, RtsB represses class 1 promoter, thus contributing to switching off the flagellar gene expression (Ellermeier and Slauch, 2003). Thus, two of the important aspects (of flagella) that remain unexplored are-(i) What are the primary factors that control switching OFF of the flagellar gene expression? (ii) How does the flagellar system interact with other virulence factors (like SPI1, SPI2, SPI4) in controlling expression dynamically and launching a successful infection (Bustamante et al., 2008; Saini et al., 2010b; Martínez et al., 2011)?

The flagellar machinery is a characteristic feature of many intestinal pathogens (apart from *S. typhimurium*), such as *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Clostridium difficile, Listeria monocytogenes* etc. (Hayashi et al., 2001; Bustamante et al., 2008; Saini et al., 2010a,b; Martínez et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2012). In addition to basic structural similarity, the flagella systems in all these bacteria have been suggested to participate



in pathogenicity (Komeda, 1986; Shin and Park, 1995; Dasgupta et al., 2003; Gründling et al., 2004; Baban et al., 2013; Haiko and Westerlund-Wikström, 2013), resembling the physiological role it plays in S. typhimurium. In addition, the regulatory mechanism governing expression of flagella in S. typhimurium (involving the major regulators FlhD, FlhC, FliA, FliZ, FlgM, FliD, and FliT) has been found to be similar to that of E. coli (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000). For example, the master regulator,  $FlhD_4C_2$ , plays identical role in these two organisms (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000). However, the regulation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> itself seems to differ. In E. coli OmpR has been shown to negatively regulate flhDC unlike in S. typhimurium (Shin and Park, 1995; Kutsukake, 1997). Further, the regulatory effect of FlgM (in coupling flagella assembly with late flagellar gene (class 3 gene) expression) observed in S. typhimurium has been reported to be also functional in E. coli (Komeda, 1986; Gillen and Hughes, 1991b). On the other hand, the regulatory mechanisms significantly differ in mono-flagellated bacteria like P. Aeruginosa (Dasgupta et al., 2003). In this organism, a four-tiered hierarchy of regulation has been shown to control flagella expression (Dasgupta et al., 2003). The regulation of flagella in P. aeruginosa was observed to involve a set of new genes (such as fleQ, fleS, fleR etc.) not found in either S. typhimurium or E. coli. Another such gene mogR has been reported to be an important repressor of flagella in L. monocytogenes where the overall regulatory mechanism has not been characterized in detail yet (Gründling et al., 2004). Apart from the above mentioned similarities and differences across various genera, serovar level variations have

### REFERENCES

- Aizawa, S. I., and Kubori, T. (1998). Bacterial flagellation and cell division. Genes Cells 3, 625–634. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2443.1998.00219.x
- Aldridge, C., Poonchareon, K., Saini, S., Ewen, T., Soloyva, A., Rao, C. V., et al. (2010). The interaction dynamics of a negative feedback loop regulates flagellar number in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Mol. Microbiol.* 78, 1416–1430. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07415.x
- Aldridge, P. D., Karlinsey, J. E., Aldridge, C., Birchall, C., Thompson, D., Yagasaki, J., et al. (2006). The flagellar-specific transcription factor, sigma28, is the Type

also been demonstrated. For example, even within *Salmonella*, the effect of flagellum on adhesion and invasion have been suggested to be serovar and/or host-target specific (Haiko and Westerlund-Wikström, 2013).Thus, it is likely that, the multifactorial flagella machinery has evolved to be able to respond to diverse environment.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CD, CM, SM, and SS: Conceptualized the work and the design of experiments; CD and CM: Carried out model construction, validation and simulation; CD, SM, and SS: Analyzed and interpreted the results; CD: Drafted the manuscript; SS and SM: Edited the manuscript; All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was partially funded by the Innovative Young Biotechnologist Award (IYBA) by the Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ms. Debika Choudhury, Dr. Anirban Dutta, and Mr. Tungadri Bose for several helpful discussions and comments.

III secretion chaperone for the flagellar-specific anti-sigma28 factor FlgM. *Genes Dev.* 20, 2315–2326. doi: 10.1101/gad.380406

- Auvray, F., Thomas, J., Fraser, G. M., and Hughes, C. (2001). Flagellin polymerisation control by a cytosolic export chaperone. J. Mol. Biol. 308, 221–229. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2001.4597
- Baban, S. T., Kuehne, S. A., Barketi-Klai, A., Cartman, S. T., Kelly, M. L., Hardie, K. R., et al. (2013). The role of flagella in *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis: comparison between a non-epidemic and an epidemic strain. *PLoS ONE* 8:e73026. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0073026

- Balaban, M., and Hendrixson, D. R. (2011). Polar flagellar biosynthesis and a regulator of flagellar number influence spatial parameters of cell division in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *PLoS Pathog*. 7:e1002420. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002420
- Bennett, J. C., Thomas, J., Fraser, G. M., and Hughes, C. (2001). Substrate complexes and domain organization of the Salmonella flagellar export chaperones FlgN and FliT. *Mol. Microbiol.* 39, 781–791. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02268.x
- Brown, J. D., Saini, S., Aldridge, C., Herbert, J., Rao, C. V., and Aldridge, P. D. (2008). The rate of protein secretion dictates the temporal dynamics of flagellar gene expression. *Mol. Microbiol.* 70, 924–937. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06455.x
- Bustamante, V. H., Martínez, L. C., Santana, F. J., Knodler, L. A., Steele-Mortimer, O., and Puente, J. L. (2008). HilD-mediated transcriptional crosstalk between SPI-1 and SPI-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 14591–14596. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801205105
- Chadsey, M. S., and Hughes, K. T. (2001). A multipartite interaction between Salmonella transcription factor sigma28 and its anti-sigma factor FlgM: implications for sigma28 holoenzyme destabilization through stepwise binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* 306, 915–929. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2001.4438
- Chadsey, M. S., Karlinsey, J. E., and Hughes, K. T. (1998). The flagellar anti-sigma factor FlgM actively dissociates Salmonella typhimurium sigma28 RNA polymerase holoenzyme. Genes Dev. 12, 3123–3136. doi: 10.1101/gad.12.19.3123
- Chilcott, G. S., and Hughes, K. T. (2000). Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar assembly in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64, 694–708. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.64.4.694-708.2000
- Clarke, M. B., and Sperandio, V. (2005). Transcriptional regulation of flhDC by QseBC and sigma (FliA) in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 57, 1734–1749. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04792.x
- Clegg, S., and Hughes, K. T. (2002). FimZ is a molecular link between sticking and swimming in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 184, 1209–1213. doi: 10.1128/jb.184.4.1209-1213.2002
- Dasgupta, N., Wolfgang, M. C., Goodman, A. L., Arora, S. K., Jyot, J., Lory, S., et al. (2003). A four-tiered transcriptional regulatory circuit controls flagellar biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 50, 809–824. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03740.x
- DiMarzio, M., Shariat, N., Kariyawasam, S., Barrangou, R., and Dudley, E. G. (2013). Antibiotic resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium associates with CRISPR sequence type. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57, 4282–4289. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00913-13
- Ellermeier, C. D., and Slauch, J. M. (2003). RtsA and RtsB coordinately regulate expression of the invasion and flagellar genes in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 185, 5096–5108. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.17.5096-5108.2003
- Everest, P., Ketley, J., Hardy, S., Douce, G., Khan, S., Shea, J., et al. (1999). Evaluation of *Salmonella typhimurium* mutants in a model of experimental gastroenteritis. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 2815–2821.
- Francez-Charlot, A., Laugel, B., Van Gemert, A., Dubarry, N., Wiorowski, F., Castanié-Cornet, M. P., et al. (2003). RcsCDB His-Asp phosphorelay system negatively regulates the flhDC operon in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 49, 823–832. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03601.x
- Fraser, G. M., Bennett, J. C., and Hughes, C. (1999). Substrate-specific binding of hook-associated proteins by FlgN and FliT, putative chaperones for flagellum assembly. *Mol. Microbiol.* 32, 569–580. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01372.x
- Galeva, A., Moroz, N., Yoon, Y. H., Hughes, K. T., Samatey, F. A., and Kostyukova, A. S. (2014). Bacterial flagellin-specific chaperone FliS interacts with anti-sigma factor flgM. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 1215–1221. doi: 10.1128/JB.01278-13
- Gillen, K. L., and Hughes, K. T. (1991a). Molecular characterization of flgM, a gene encoding a negative regulator of flagellin synthesis in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 6453–6459.
- Gillen, K. L., and Hughes, K. T. (1991b). Negative regulatory loci coupling flagellin synthesis to flagellar assembly in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 173, 2301–2310.
- Gillen, K. L., and Hughes, K. T. (1993). Transcription from two promoters and autoregulation contribute to the control of expression of the Salmonella

*typhimurium* flagellar regulatory gene flgM. J. Bacteriol. 175, 7006–7015. doi: 10.1128/jb.175.21.7006-7015.1993

- Gillespie, D. T. (1976). A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions. J. Comp. Phys. 22, 403–434. doi: 10.1016/0021-9991(76)90041-3
- Gillespie, D. T. (1977). Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. *J. Phys. Chem.* 81, 2340–2361. doi: 10.1021/j100540a008
- Gründling, A., Burrack, L. S., Bouwer, H. G. A., and Higgins, D. E. (2004). Listeria monocytogenes regulates flagellar motility gene expression through MogR, a transcriptional repressor required for virulence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 12318–12323. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404924101
- Haiko, J., and Westerlund-Wikström, B. (2013). The role of the bacterial flagellum in adhesion and virulence. *Biology* 2, 1242–1267. doi: 10.3390/biology2041242
- Hayashi, F., Smith, K. D., Ozinsky, A., Hawn, T. R., Yi, E. C., Goodlett, D. R., et al. (2001). The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll-like receptor 5. *Nature* 410, 1099–1103. doi: 10.1038/35074106
- Hughes, K. T., Gillen, K. L., Semon, M. J., and Karlinsey, J. E. (1993). Sensing structural intermediates in bacterial flagellar assembly by export of a negative regulator. *Science* 262, 1277–1280. doi: 10.1126/science.8235660
- Ikebe, T., Iyoda, S., and Kutsukake, K. (1999a). Promoter analysis of the class 2 flagellar operons of Salmonella. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 74, 179–183.
- Ikebe, T., Iyoda, S., and Kutsukake, K. (1999b). Structure and expression of the fliA operon of Salmonella typhimurium. Microbiology 145(Pt 6), 1389–1396. doi: 10.1099/13500872-145-6-1389
- Imada, K., Minamino, T., Kinoshita, M., Furukawa, Y., and Namba, K. (2010). Structural insight into the regulatory mechanisms of interactions of the flagellar type III chaperone FliT with its binding partners. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 8812–8817. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1001866107
- Jain, K., Pradhan, A., Mokashi, C., and Saini, S. (2015). Mathematical model of flagella gene expression dynamics in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Syst. Synth. Biol.* 9, 19–31. doi: 10.1007/s11693-015-9160-3
- Jones, B. D., Lee, C. A., and Falkow, S. (1992). Invasion by Salmonella typhimurium is affected by the direction of flagellar rotation. *Infect. Immun.* 60, 2475–2480.
- Jones, C. J., and Macnab, R. M. (1990). Flagellar assembly in Salmonella typhimurium: analysis with temperature-sensitive mutants. J. Bacteriol. 172, 1327–1339. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.3.1327-1339.1990
- Kalir, S., McClure, J., Pabbaraju, K., Southward, C., Ronen, M., Leibler, S., et al. (2001). Ordering genes in a flagella pathway by analysis of expression kinetics from living bacteria. *Science* 292, 2080–2083. doi: 10.1126/science.1058758
- Karlinsey, J. E., Lonner, J., Brown, K. L., and Hughes, K. T. (2000b). Translation/secretion coupling by type III secretion systems. *Cell* 102, 487–497. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00053-2
- Karlinsey, J. E., Tanaka, S., Bettenworth, V., Yamaguchi, S., Boos, W., Aizawa, S. I., et al. (2000a). Completion of the hook-basal body complex of the Salmonella typhimurium flagellum is coupled to FlgM secretion and fliC transcription. Mol. Microbiol. 37, 1220–1231. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.02081.x
- Ko, M., and Park, C. (2000). Two novel flagellar components and H-NS are involved in the motor function of *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 303, 371–382. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4147
- Komeda, Y. (1986). Transcriptional control of flagellar genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 168, 1315–1318. doi: 10.1128/jb.168.3.1315-1318.1986
- Kusumoto, A., Shinohara, A., Terashima, H., Kojima, S., Yakushi, T., and Homma, M. (2008). Collaboration of FlhF and FlhG to regulate polar-flagella number and localization in *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Microbiology* 154, 1390–1399. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2007/012641-0
- Kutsukake, K. (1994). Excretion of the anti-sigma factor through a flagellar substructure couples flagellar gene expression with flagellar assembly in Salmonella typhimurium. Mol. Gen. Genet. 243, 605–612.
- Kutsukake, K. (1997). Autogenous and global control of the flagellar master operon, flhD, in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 254, 440–448. doi: 10.1007/s004380050437
- Kutsukake, K., and Ide, N. (1995). Transcriptional analysis of the flgK and fliD operons of Salmonella typhimurium which encode flagellar hook-associated proteins. Mol. Gen. Genet. 247, 275–281. doi: 10.1007/BF00293195
- Kutsukake, K., Ikebe, T., and Yamamoto, S. (1999). Two novel regulatory genes, fliT and fliZ, in the flagellar regulon of Salmonella. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 74, 287–292. doi: 10.1266/ggs.74.287

- Kutsukake, K., Ohya, Y., and Iino, T. (1990). Transcriptional analysis of the flagellar regulon of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 172, 741–747. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.2.741-747.1990
- Lehnen, D., Blumer, C., Polen, T., Wackwitz, B., Wendisch, V. F., and Unden, G. (2002). LrhA as a new transcriptional key regulator of flagella, motility and chemotaxis genes in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 45, 521–532. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03032.x
- Liu, X., Fujita, N., Ishihama, A., and Matsumura, P. (1995). The C-terminal region of the  $\alpha$  subunit of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase is required for transcriptional activation of the flagellar level II operons by the FlhD/FlhC complex. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 5186–5188. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.17.5186-5188.1995
- Liu, X., and Matsumura, P. (1994). The FlhD/FlhC complex, a transcriptional activator of the *Escherichia coli* flagellar class II operons. J. Bacteriol. 176, 7345–7351. doi: 10.1128/jb.176.23.7345-7351.1994
- Liu, X., and Matsumura, P. (1995). An alternative sigma factor controls transcription of flagellar class-III operons in *Escherichia coli*: gene sequence, overproduction, purification and characterization. *Gene* 164, 81–84. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(95)00480-T
- Macnab, R. M. (1999). The bacterial flagellum: reversible rotary propellor and type III export apparatus. *J. Bacteriol.* 181, 7149–7153.
- Martínez, L. C., Yakhnin, H., Camacho, M. I., Georgellis, D., Babitzke, P., Puente, J. L., et al. (2011). Integration of a complex regulatory cascade involving the SirA/BarA and Csr global regulatory systems that controls expression of the Salmonella SPI-1 and SPI-2 virulence regulons through HilD. *Mol. Microbiol.* 80, 1637–1656. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07674.x
- Ohnishi, K., Kutsukake, K., Suzuki, H., and Iino, T. (1990). Gene fliA encodes an alternative sigma factor specific for flagellar operons in *Salmonella typhimurium. Mol. Gen. Genet.* 221, 139–147. doi: 10.1007/BF00261713
- Ohnishi, K., Kutsukake, K., Suzuki, H., and Lino, T. (1992). A novel transcriptional regulation mechanism in the flagellar regulon of *Salmonella typhimurium*: an antisigma factor inhibits the activity of the flagellum-specific sigma factor, sigma F. *Mol. Microbiol.* 6, 3149–3157. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992.tb01771.x
- Partridge, J. D., and Harshey, R. M. (2013). Swarming: flexible roaming plans. J. Bacteriol. 195, 909–918. doi: 10.1128/JB.02063-12
- Prüss, B. M., Liu, X., Hendrickson, W., and Matsumura, P. (2001). FlhD/FlhCregulated promoters analyzed by gene array and lacZ gene fusions. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 197, 91–97. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(01)00092-1
- Saini, S., Brown, J. D., Aldridge, P. D., and Rao, C. V. (2008). FliZ is a posttranslational activator of FlhD4C2-dependent flagellar gene expression. J. Bacteriol. 190, 4979–4988. doi: 10.1128/JB.01996-07
- Saini, S., Floess, E., Aldridge, C., Brown, J., Aldridge, P. D., and Rao, C. V. (2011). Continuous control of flagellar gene expression by the σ28-FlgM regulatory circuit in *Salmonella enterica*. *Mol. Microbiol*. 79, 264–278. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07444.x
- Saini, S., Koirala, S., Floess, E., Mears, P. J., Chemla, Y. R., Golding, I., et al. (2010a). FliZ induces a kinetic switch in flagellar gene expression. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 6477–6481. doi: 10.1128/JB.00751-10
- Saini, S., Slauch, J. M., Aldridge, P. D., and Rao, C. V. (2010b). Role of cross talk in regulating the dynamic expression of the flagellar salmonella pathogenicity Island 1 and type 1 fimbrial genes. J. Bacteriol. 192, 5767–5777. doi: 10.1128/JB.00624-10
- Schuhmacher, J. S., Thormann, K. M., and Bange, G. (2015). How bacteria maintain location and number of flagella? *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 39, 812–822. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuv034
- Shin, S., and Park, C. (1995). Modulation of flagellar expression in *Escherichia coli* by acetyl phosphate and the osmoregulator OmpR. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 4696–4702. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.16.4696-4702.1995

- Sperandio, V., Torres, A. G., and Kaper, J. B. (2002). Quorum sensing *Escherichia coli* regulators B and C (QseBC): a novel two-component regulatory system involved in the regulation of flagella and motility by quorum sensing in *E. coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 43, 809–821. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002. 02803.x
- Takaya, A., Erhardt, M., Karata, K., Winterberg, K., Yamamoto, T., and Hughes, K. T. (2012). YdiV: a dual function protein that targets FlhDC for ClpXPdependent degradation by promoting release of DNA-bound FlhDC complex. *Mol. Microbiol.* 83, 1268–1284. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08007.x
- Teplitski, M., Goodier, R. I., and Ahmer, B. M. M. (2003). Pathways leading from BarA/SirA to motility and virulence gene expression in Salmonella. J. Bacteriol. 185, 7257–7265. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.24.7257-7265.2003
- Tomoyasu, T., Ohkishi, T., Ukyo, Y., Tokumitsu, A., Takaya, A., Suzuki, M., et al. (2002). The ClpXP ATP-dependent protease regulates flagellum synthesis in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 645–653. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.3.645-653.2002
- Wada, T., Morizane, T., Abo, T., Tominaga, A., Inoue-Tanaka, K., and Kutsukake, K. (2011a). EAL domain protein YdiV acts as an anti-FlhD4C2 factor responsible for nutritional control of the flagellar regulon in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 1600–1611. doi: 10.1128/JB. 01494-10
- Wada, T., Tanabe, Y., and Kutsukake, K. (2011b). FliZ acts as a repressor of the ydiv gene, which encodes an anti-FlhD4C2 factor of the flagellar regulon in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 5191–5198. doi: 10.1128/JB.05441-11
- Wei, B. L., Brun-Zinkernagel, A. M., Simecka, J. W., Prüss, B. M., Babitzke, P., and Romeo, T. (2001). Positive regulation of motility and flhDC expression by the RNA-binding protein CsrA of *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 40, 245–256. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02380.x
- Yamamoto, S., and Kutsukake, K. (2006). FliT acts as an anti-FlhD2C2 factor in the transcriptional control of the flagellar regulon in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 188, 6703–6708. doi: 10.1128/JB.00799-06
- Yanagihara, S., Iyoda, S., Ohnishi, K., Iino, T., and Kutsukake, K. (1999). Structure and transcriptional control of the flagellar master operon of *Salmonella typhimurium. Genes Genet. Syst.* 74, 105–111. doi: 10.1266/ggs.74.105
- Yang, X., Thornburg, T., Suo, Z., Jun, S., Robison, A., Li, J., et al. (2012). Flagella overexpression attenuates salmonella pathogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 7:e46828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046828
- Yokoseki, T., Kutsukake, K., Ohnishi, K., and Jino, T. (1995). Functional analysis of the flagellar genes in the fliD operon of Salmonella typhimurium. Microbiology 141(Pt 7), 1715–1722. doi: 10.1099/13500872-141-7-1715
- Zhang, S., Kingsley, R. A., Santos, R. L., Andrews-Polymenis, H., Raffatellu, M., Figueiredo, J., et al. (2003). Molecular pathogenesis of Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium-induced diarrhea. Infect. Immun. 71, 1–12 doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.1.1-12.2003

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Das, Mokashi, Mande and Saini. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.