



# The Longitudinal Association Between Cardiovascular Risk and Cognitive Function in Middle-Aged and Older Adults in China: A Nationally Representative Cohort Study

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Takatoshi Kasai, Juntendo University, Japan

#### Reviewed by:

Ryo Naito, Juntendo University, Japan Bernhard Maisch, University of Marburg, Germany

#### \*Correspondence:

Wen Wang Youansuid2020@hotmail.com Caiping Guo gcpdt001@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to General Cardiovascular Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

Received: 03 July 2020 Accepted: 11 September 2020 Published: 19 October 2020

#### Citation:

Hua W, Hou J, Jiang T, Su B, Fu J, Sun R, Chang B, Xia W, Wu H, Zhang T, Guo C and Wang W (2020) The Longitudinal Association Between Cardiovascular Risk and Cognitive Function in Middle-Aged and Older Adults in China: A Nationally Representative Cohort Study. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 7:560947. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.560947 Wei Hua<sup>1†</sup>, Jianhua Hou<sup>1,2†</sup>, Taiyi Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Bin Su<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiangning Fu<sup>3</sup>, Runsong Sun<sup>4</sup>, Biru Chang<sup>5</sup>, Wei Xia<sup>1</sup>, Hao Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Tong Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Caiping Guo<sup>1\*</sup> and Wen Wang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Infectious Diseases, Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory for HIV/AIDS Research, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, <sup>5</sup> Department of Psychology, Research Institute for International and Comparative Education, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, China

**Objective :**China has the largest population living with dementia, causing a tremendous burden on the aging society. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) may trigger a cascade of pathologies associated with cognitive aging. We aim to investigate the association between cardiovascular risk (CVR) factors and cognitive function in the nationally representative cohort in China.

**Methods:** Participants were recruited from 150 counties in 28 provinces via a four-stage sampling method. The outcomes included several cognitive tasks. The independent variable was a composite score of cardiovascular risk calculated from sex-specific equations. We fitted the time-lagged regression to model the association between CVR and cognition. Besides, we performed cross-group analyses to test for model invariance across sex and age. We thus constrained path coefficients to be equal across each grouping variable (e.g., sex) and compared the fit of this constrained model with an unconstrained model in which the path coefficients were allowed to vary by group.

**Results:** A total of 3,799 participants were included in the final analyses. We found that the CVR had a negative linear association with global cognition ( $\beta = -0.1$ , p < 0.01). Additionally, CVR had inverse linear associations with domain-specific measurements of memory and learning, calculation, orientation, and visual–spatial ability (all values of p < 0.01). Regarding sex and age moderation, males had a more pronounced association between higher CVR and worse general cognition, immediate recall, orientation, calculation, and visual–spatial ability (all values of p < 0.0001).

1

In contrast, females exhibited a slightly larger negative association in delayed recall. Older participants (>65 years old) had a more pronounced association between higher CVR and worse calculation ability (p = 0.003).

**Conclusion:** CVD are risk factors for lower global cognition and cognitive subdomains in middle-aged and older adults in China.

Keywords: cardiovascular risk, cognition, longitudinal study, older adult, national representative data set

# INTRODUCTON

China has the largest population of patients aged over 60 living with dementia in the world, and it has an estimated population of 9.5 million (1), causing a severe public and economic burden on the social and health care systems (2). Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in China (3). Compared to the non-Asian older adults, the prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia in China and other Asian countries might be higher and persists upward (4–6). Worsened cardiovascular health triggers a cascade of pathologies associated with cognitive impairment and dementia in older adults (7). Most of the clinical evidence is based on non-Asian populations (8–14).

Few studies exploring the association between composite cardiovascular risk (CVR) and cognition have been conducted in Chinese or other East Asia populations. Most studies examining the specific CVR (e.g., hypertension or diabetes) and cognition decline provided the mixed evidence (15–20). Most studies lacked rigorous sampling methods (17), large sample size, longitudinal follow-ups, or detailed information for other confounders (e.g., depression symptoms) (11), leading to unreliable results.

CVRs are prone to cluster in older and middle-aged adults (11). The sex-specific cardiac and vascular aging patterns in early adulthood play an essential role in later life (21). Independent

examination of specific CVR may hinder our understanding of the complex interplay of different CVRs on cognition. To date, some studies examining the association of composite CVR [e.g., Framingham Cardiovascular Risk Score (FCRS)] and cognition (e.g., episodic memory) found a significant association between composite CVR and cognitive impairment, cognitive decline, dementia, and mortality rate in Whites (8, 9, 22) and Latino (23). Despite the wide use of FCRS, some have challenged the external validity to non-Whites (10). It is an urgent need to test whether FCRS is also a useful tool among Chinese adults.

This study uses the data from a nationally representative sample in Chinese to examine the composite CVR and cognitive function. Specifically, we investigate longitudinal associations between global vascular risk and domain-specific measurements. We hypothesize that higher CVR will be associated with lower cognitive performance. We also expect that sex and age modification may present in the current sample due to the heterogeneity of age- and sex-specific trajectories in cardiac and cognitive aging.

## **METHODS**

## **Participants and Protocol**

The data were drawn from the first and fourth waves of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS),



**TABLE 1** Characteristics of participants (N = 3,799).

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

Demographic characteristic variables           Age (years) $62.12 \pm 7.75$ 50-65 $69.4$ (2,638)           Above 65 $30.6$ (1,161)           3ender         Male           Male $49.4$ (1,877)           Female $50.6$ (1,922)           +ukou         Agricultural hukou $84.4$ (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou $84.4$ (3,207)           Wairled $87.3$ (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed $12.0$ ( $457$ )           Never maried $0.7$ (26)           Socioeconomic factors $32.8$ ( $1.245$ )           Wid-China $27.5$ ( $1.045$ )           Westerr China $39.7$ ( $1.509$ )           Type of address $7arrlig$ Farming home $0.2$ ( $8$ )           Non-farming $0.1$ ( $8$ )           Non-farming $0.1$ ( $8$ )           Non-farming $0.1$ ( $8$ )           Non-farming and non-farming         <		%(n)/M ± SD
Age (years)         62.12 ± 7.75           50-65         69.4 (2,638)           Above 65         30.6 (1,161)           Sender         Male           Male         49.4 (1,877)           Female         50.6 (1,922)           tukou         Agricultural hukou           Agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Zucation level         Below high school           Below high school         91.7 (3,482)           High school or above         8.3 (317)           Warital status         Married           Separated, divorced, and widowed         1.2.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socieeconomic factors         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         22.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         22.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         22.8 (1,045)           Vestern China         39.7 (1,509)           Type of address         Farming           Farming home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         Farming           Farming non_farming         8.4 (3200)           Non-farming         0.1 (8)           Non	Demographic characteristic variables	
50-65         69.4 (2,638)           Above 65         30.6 (1,161)           Gender         49.4 (1,877)           Fernale         50.6 (1,922)           tukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Maried         87.3 (3,316)           Below high school or above         8.3 (317)           Married         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Scoiceconomic factors         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Vestern China         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Vestern China         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Vestern China         32.8 (1,245)           Moley of address         Family housing         93.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)         0.5 (19)           Employment status         Faming         63.4 (2,408)           Non-farm	Age (years)	$62.12 \pm 7.75$
Above 65         30.6 (1,161)           Gender         Male         49.4 (1,877)           Female         50.6 (1,922)           Ukou         Agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Education level         Below high school         91.7 (3,482)           High school on above         8.3 (317)           Varital status         Married         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socioeconomic factors         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Vestern China         39.7 (1,509)           Spe of address         Family housing           Family housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         Farming         8.4 (320)           Both farming and non—farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1,067)         Yes           Yes         4.16 (1,552)         3.4 (2,408)           Nother         0.5 (4 ± 08         5           Smok	50–65	69.4 (2,638)
Sender         49.4 (1,877)           Female         50.6 (1,922)           Hukou         49.4 (1,877)           Agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Education level         81.3 (317)           Below high school or above         8.3 (317)           Waried         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socieeconomic factors         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         32.8 (1,245)           Western China         39.7 (1,509)           Tamily housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         5           Farming         63.4 (2,408)           Non-farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1,067)           Health and behavior variables         5           SMI         23.42 ± 3.91           Noh-farming and non-farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.4 (2,217)	Above 65	30.6 (1,161)
Male         49.4 (1,877)           Female         50.6 (1,922)           tukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Education level         83.3 (317)           Below high school         91.7 (3,482)           High school or above         8.3 (317)           Warital status         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socioeconomic factors         8           Regional distribution         Eastern China         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Western China         39.7 (1,509)           Type of address         Family housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)         5           Employment status         Farming         63.4 (2,408)           Non-farming         0.4 (320)         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1,067)         44.320)           Both farming and non—farming         0.1 (8)         None job           Non of these         66.3 (2,217)         Yes           Yes         41.6 (1,582)	Gender	
Female         50.6 (1,922)           Hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Education level         80           Below high school         91.7 (3,482)           High school or above         8.3 (317)           Married         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socioeconomic factors         30.7 (1,509)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         22.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         22.7 5 (1,045)           Western China         39.7 (1,509)           Vestern China         39.7 (1,509)           Type of address         7           Family housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         8.4 (320)           Employment status         8.4 (320)           Both farming and non-farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1.067)           Health and behavior variables         3           Smoking status         5           No         58.4 (2.217)<	Male	49.4 (1,877)
Hukou84.4 (3,207)Non-agricultural hukou15.6 (592)Education level2Below high school91.7 (3,482)High school or above8.3 (317)Warital status87.3 (3,316)Separated, divorced, and widowed12.0 (457)Never married0.7 (26)Socieconomic factors7Regional distribution2.8 (1,245)Eastern China32.8 (1,245)Mid-China27.5 (1,045)Western China39.7 (1,509)Type of address99.3 (3,771)Nursing home0.2 (8)Hospital0.0 (1)Other0.0 (1)Other0.0 (1)Other0.1 (8)Non-farming8.4 (320)Both farming and non-farming0.1 (8)None job28.1 (1,067)Hett and behavior variables31Simoking status16 (1,582)No58.4 (2,217)Yes41.6 (1,582)Noch isstans21.2 (493)Drink more than once a month7.4 (283)Dink but less than once a month7.4 (283)Dick cheesterol (mg/	Female	50.6 (1,922)
Agricultural hukou         84.4 (3,207)           Non-agricultural hukou         15.6 (592)           Education level         8.3 (317)           Wartial status         8.3 (317)           Wartial status         8.3 (317)           Wartial status         8.3 (317)           Wartial status         9.7 (3,482)           Married         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socioeconomic factors         9.3 (3,711)           Regional distribution         28.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Western China         39.7 (1,509)           Type of address         9.9 (3, (3,771)           Family housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         63.4 (2,408)           Non-farming         8.4 (320)           Both farming and non—farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1,067)           Health and behavior variables         8           Smoking status         0.54 4 (2,217)           Yes	Hukou	
Non-agricultural hukou15.6 (592)Education level91.7 (3,482)Below high school91.7 (3,482)High school or above8.3 (317)Wartial status87.3 (3,316)Separated, divorced, and widowed12.0 (457)Never married0.7 (26)Socioeconomic factors8Regional distribution28.8 (1,245)Eastern China32.8 (1,245)Mid-China27.5 (1,045)Western China39.7 (1,509)Family housing99.3 (3,771)Wursing home0.2 (8)Hospital0.0 (1)Other0.5 (19)Employment status7Farming63.4 (2,408)Non-farming0.1 (8)None job28.1 (1,067)Health and behavior variables3Sinking status0.5 4 ± 08None job28.4 (2,217)Yes41.6 (1,582)Alcohol status0.5 4 ± 08Dink more than once a month26.2 (997)Dink more than once a month7.4 (283)None of these66.3 (2,519)HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 201151.51 ± 16.38HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015185.76 ± 12.57Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015185.76 ± 12.57Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015130.26 ± 20.62Systolic reading at 2015130.26 ± 20.62Systolic reading at 2015130.26 ± 20.62Nohopertension7.9.8 (3,032)	Agricultural hukou	84.4 (3,207)
Education level 91.7 (3,482) Below high school or above 8.3 (317) Warital status Married 87.3 (3,316) Separated, divorced, and widowed 12.0 (457) Never married 0.7 (26) Socioeconomic factors Regional distribution Eastern China 32.8 (1,245) Mid-China 27.5 (1,045) Western China 39.7 (1,509) Type of address Family housing 99.3 (3,771) Nursing home 0.2 (8) Hospital 0.0 (1) Other 0.2 (8) Hospital 0.0 (1) Other 0.5 (19) Employment status Farming 63.4 (2,408) Non-farming 8.4 (320) Both farming and non—farming 0.1 (8) None job 28.1 (1,067) Health and behavior variables SIMI 23.42 $\pm$ 3.91 WHR 0.54 $\pm$ 08 Smoking status No 58.4 (2,217) Yes 41.6 (1,582) Acotol status Drink more than once a month 26.2 (997) Drink but less than once a month 7.4 (283) None of these 66.3 (2,519) HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 51.31 $\pm$ 16.38 HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 194.70 $\pm$ 39.79 Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 194.70 $\pm$ 39.70 Explore reading at 2015 185.76 $\pm$ 37.02 Systolic reading at 2015 130.26 $\pm$ 20.62 Anthypertension Yes 20.2 (767) No 79.8 (3,032)	Non-agricultural hukou	15.6 (592)
Below high school         91.7 (3,482)           High school or above         8.3 (317)           Married         87.3 (3,316)           Separated, divorced, and widowed         12.0 (457)           Never married         0.7 (26)           Socioeconomic factors         3           Regional distribution         2           Eastern China         32.8 (1,245)           Mid-China         27.5 (1,045)           Western China         39.7 (1,509)           Family housing         99.3 (3,771)           Nursing home         0.2 (8)           Hospital         0.0 (1)           Other         0.5 (19)           Employment status         7           Farming         63.4 (2,408)           Non-farming         8.4 (320)           Both farming and non-farming         0.1 (8)           None job         28.1 (1,067)           Health and behavior variables         3           Smoking status         0.54 ± 0.8           No         58.4 (2,217)           Yes         41.6 (1,582)           Mochol status         0           Drink more than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)	Education level	
High school or above8.3 (317)Varital statusMarried $87.3 (3,316)$ Separated, divorced, and widowed $12.0 (457)$ Never married $0.7 (26)$ Socioeconomic factors $32.8 (1,245)$ Regional distributionEastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Eastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Fype of addressFamily housingFamily housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment statusFarmingFarming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ Non-farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variablesSalusSonoking statusNoNo $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol statusDrink more than once a monthDink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $135.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ AnthypertensionYes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3,032)$	Below high school	91.7 (3,482)
Varial status Married $87.3 (3,316)$ Separated, divorced, and widowed $12.0 (457)$ Never married $0.7 (26)$ Socioeconomic factors Regional distribution Eastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Fype of address Family housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non—farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ NHtR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.51 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3,032)$	High school or above	8.3 (317)
Married $87.3 (3,316)$ Separated, divorced, and widowed $12.0 (457)$ Never married $0.7 (26)$ Socioeconomic factors $23.8 (1,245)$ Regional distribution $23.8 (1,245)$ Eastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Fype of address $7$ Family housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status $7$ Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $23.42 \pm 3.91$ Sinking status $N_0$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension $Yes$ $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3,032)$	Marital status	
Separated, divorced, and widowed $12.0 (457)$ Never married $0.7 (26)$ Socioeconomic factorsRegional distributionEastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Type of address $7$ Family housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status $7$ Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $23.42 \pm 3.91$ Smoking status $NoNo58.4 (2,217)Yes41.6 (1,582)Alcohol status26.2 (997)Drink more than once a month7.4 (283)None of these66.3 (2,519)HOL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 201151.31 \pm 16.38HOL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 201513.026 \pm 2.0.62AntihypertensionYes20.2 (767)No79.8 (3,032)$	Married	87.3 (3.316)
DepartureDefinitionNever married $0.7$ (26)Socioeconomic factors32.8 (1,245)Aegional distribution27.5 (1,045)Eastern China39.7 (1,509)Western China39.7 (1,509)Type of address99.3 (3,771)Family housing99.3 (3,771)Nursing home0.2 (8)Hospital0.0 (1)Other0.5 (19)Employment status8.4 (320)Both farming and non-farming0.1 (8)None job28.1 (1,067)Health and behavior variables39.1Simoking status0.54 ± 08No58.4 (2,217)Yes41.6 (1,582)Alcohole status0.54 ± 08Drink more than once a month26.2 (997)Drink but less than once a month7.4 (283)None of these66.3 (2,519)HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011131.3 ± 16.38HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015130.26 ± 20.62Systolic reading at 2011131.38 ± 25.99Systolic reading at 2015130.26 ± 20.62Antihypertension20.2 (767)No79.8 (3,032)	Separated divorced and widowed	12 0 (457)
Active Market $200 (420)$ Socioeconomic factorsRegional distributionEastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Type of address $99.3 (3,771)$ Family housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status $Farming$ Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variablesSIMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHtR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $No$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $Drink kut less than once a monthDrink but less than once a month7.4 (283)None of these66.3 (2,519)HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011131.38 \pm 25.99Systolic reading at 2011131.38 \pm 25.99Systolic reading at 2011131.38 \pm 25.99Systolic reading at 2015130.26 \pm 20.62Antihypertension20.2 (767)No79.8 (3,032)$	Never married	0.7 (26)
Regional distributionEastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Fype of address $99.3 (3,771)$ Family housing $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status $Farming$ Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $Smoking status$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $V14R$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink more than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Anthypertension $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3,032)$	Socioeconomic factors	0.17 (20)
Eastern China $32.8 (1,245)$ Mid-China $27.5 (1,045)$ Western China $39.7 (1,509)$ Fype of address $99.3 (3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2 (8)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status       Farming         Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $3500$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink more than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ <td< td=""><td>Begional distribution</td><td></td></td<>	Begional distribution	
Laserr Oninda $32.5(1,24)$ Mid-China $27.5(1,045)$ Western China $39.7(1,509)$ Fype of address $99.3(3,771)$ Nursing home $0.2(8)$ Hospital $0.0(1)$ Other $0.5(19)$ Employment status       Farming         Farming $63.4(2,408)$ Non-farming $0.1(8)$ Non-farming and non—farming $0.1(8)$ None job $28.1(1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $39.7(1,509)$ Smoking status $No$ No $58.4(2,217)$ Yes $41.6(1,582)$ Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2(997)$ Drink more than once a month $7.4(283)$ None of these $66.3(2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Anthypertension <t< td=""><td>Eastern China</td><td>32.8 (1.245)</td></t<>	Eastern China	32.8 (1.245)
Western China       21.5 (1,040)         Western China       39.7 (1,509)         Fype of address       99.3 (3,771)         Nursing home       0.2 (8)         Hospital       0.0 (1)         Other       0.5 (19)         Employment status       Farming         Farming       63.4 (2,408)         Non-farming       8.4 (320)         Both farming and non—farming       0.1 (8)         None job       28.1 (1,067)         Health and behavior variables       39.7         Smoking status       0.54 ± 08         No       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       0         Drink more than once a month       26.2 (997)         Drink more than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 ± 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 ± 12.57         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       194.70 ± 39.79         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Anthypertension       Yes	Mid-Chipa	27.5 (1,245)
Type of address       5.5.7 (1,505)         Family housing       99.3 (3,771)         Nursing home       0.2 (8)         Hospital       0.0 (1)         Other       0.5 (19)         Employment status       5.5.7 (1,505)         Farming       63.4 (2,408)         Non-farming       8.4 (320)         Both farming and non – farming       0.1 (8)         None job       28.1 (1,067)         Health and behavior variables       35.8         SMI       23.42 ± 3.91         NHR       0.54 ± 08         Smoking status       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       7.4 (283)         Drink more than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.56 ± 12.57         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 ± 12.57         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at	Western China	39.7 (1,509)
Family housing       99.3 (3,771)         Nursing home       0.2 (8)         Hospital       0.0 (1)         Other       0.5 (19)         Employment status       63.4 (2,408)         Non-farming       8.4 (320)         Both farming and non – farming       0.1 (8)         None job       28.1 (1,067)         Health and behavior variables       3MI         SMI       23.42 ± 3.91         NHTR       0.54 ± 08         Smoking status       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       58.4 (2,217)         Drink more than once a month       26.2 (997)         Drink more than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 ± 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 ± 12.57         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Anthypertension       Yes       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)		09.7 (1,009)
Parting Hodding       99.3 (5,771)         Nursing home       0.2 (8)         Hospital       0.0 (1)         Other       0.5 (19)         Employment status       Farming         Farming       63.4 (2,408)         Non-farming       8.4 (320)         Both farming and non—farming       0.1 (8)         None job       28.1 (1,067)         Health and behavior variables       3MI         Smoking status       0.54 ± 08         Smoking status       Smoking status         No       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       Drink more than once a month         Drink more than once a month       26.2 (997)         Drink but less than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 ± 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       194.70 ± 39.79         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Antihypertension       Yes       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)		00.2 (2.771)
Norshig home $0.2 (6)$ Hospital $0.0 (1)$ Other $0.5 (19)$ Employment status       Farming         Farming $63.4 (2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4 (320)$ Both farming and non-farming $0.1 (8)$ None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $3MI$ Simoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3.032)$ $79.8 (3.032)$		99.3 (3,771)
Hospital $0.00(1)$ Other $0.5(19)$ Employment status       Farming         Farming $63.4(2,408)$ Non-farming $8.4(320)$ Both farming and non—farming $0.1(8)$ None job $28.1(1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $3811$ SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status       No         No $58.4(2,217)$ Yes $41.6(1,582)$ Alcohol status       Drink more than once a month         Drink but less than once a month $7.4(283)$ None of these $66.3(2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2(767)$ No $79.8(3,032)$		0.2 (8)
Other       0.5 (19)         Employment status       Farming $63.4$ (2,408)         Non-farming       8.4 (320)         Both farming and non—farming       0.1 (8)         None job       28.1 (1,067)         Health and behavior variables       3MI         SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHR       0.54 ± 08         Smoking status       No         No $58.4$ (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       Drink more than once a month         Drink more than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 ± 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 ± 12.57         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       194.70 ± 39.79         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Antihypertension       Yes       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)       20.2 (767)	Hospital	0.0 (1)
Employment statusFarming $63.4$ (2,408)Non-farming $8.4$ (320)Both farming and non – farming $0.1$ (8)None job $28.1$ (1,067)Health and behavior variablesBMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHtR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4$ (2,217)Yes $41.6$ (1,582)Alcohol status $0.1 (8)$ Drink more than once a month $26.2$ (997)Drink but less than once a month $7.4$ (283)None of these $66.3$ (2,519)HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ AnthypertensionYes $20.2$ (767)No $79.8$ (3,032)		0.5 (19)
Farming $63.4$ (2,408)         Non-farming $8.4$ (320)         Both farming and non—farming $0.1$ (8)         None job $28.1$ (1,067)         Health and behavior variables $23.42 \pm 3.91$ SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $No         No       58.4 (2,217)         Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       Drink more than once a month         Drink more than once a month       26.2 (997)         Drink but less than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 \pm 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 \pm 12.57         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       194.70 \pm 39.79         Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       130.26 \pm 20.62         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 \pm 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 \pm 20.62         Antihypertension       Yes       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)       42.77 $	Employment status	
Non-farming $8.4$ (320)         Both farming and non-farming $0.1$ (8)         None job $28.1$ (1,067)         Health and behavior variables $3MI$ 3MI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHtR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4$ (2,217)         Yes $41.6$ (1,582)         Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2$ (997)         Drink more than once a month $7.4$ (283)         None of these $66.3$ (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2$ (767)         No $79.8$ (3,032)	Farming	63.4 (2,408)
Both farming and non-tarming       0.1 (8)         None job $28.1 (1,067)$ Health and behavior variables $34.1 \pm 3.91$ SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3.032)$	Non-farming	8.4 (320)
None job         28.1 (1,067)           Health and behavior variables         3MI         23.42 ± 3.91           WHR         0.54 ± 08         3moking status           No         58.4 (2,217)           Yes         41.6 (1,582)           Alcohol status         26.2 (997)           Drink more than once a month         26.2 (997)           Drink more than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         Yes         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)         20.2 (767)	Both farming and non—farming	0.1 (8)
Health and behavior variables         SMI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ NHTR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4 (2,217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $26.2 (997)$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3,032)$ $20.2 (767)$	None job	28.1 (1,067)
3MI $23.42 \pm 3.91$ WHR $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ Smoking status $0.54 \pm 08$ No $58.4 (2.217)$ Yes $41.6 (1,582)$ Alcohol status $0.54 \pm 08$ Drink more than once a month $26.2 (997)$ Drink but less than once a month $7.4 (283)$ None of these $66.3 (2,519)$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3.032)$	Health and behavior variables	
WHtR         0.54 ± 08           Smoking status         No         58.4 (2,217)           Yes         41.6 (1,582)           Alcohol status         Drink more than once a month         26.2 (997)           Drink more than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	BMI	23.42 ± 3.91
Smoking status         58.4 (2,217)           Yes         41.6 (1,582)           Alcohol status         58.4 (2,217)           Drink more than once a month         26.2 (997)           Drink but less than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3.032)	WHtR	$0.54 \pm 08$
No         58.4 (2,217)           Yes         41.6 (1,582)           Alcohol status         Drink more than once a month         26.2 (997)           Drink but less than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         Yes         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Smoking status	
Yes       41.6 (1,582)         Alcohol status       Drink more than once a month       26.2 (997)         Drink but less than once a month       7.4 (283)         None of these       66.3 (2,519)         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       51.31 ± 16.38         HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       51.56 ± 12.57         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Anthypertension       Yes         Yes       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)	No	58.4 (2,217)
Alcohol status         26.2 (997)           Drink more than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Anthypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Yes	41.6 (1,582)
Drink more than once a month         26.2 (997)           Drink but less than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         Yes           Yes         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Alcohol status	
Drink but less than once a month         7.4 (283)           None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Drink more than once a month	26.2 (997)
None of these         66.3 (2,519)           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         51.31 ± 16.38           HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         51.56 ± 12.57           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011         194.70 ± 39.79           Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015         185.76 ± 37.02           Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Drink but less than once a month	7.4 (283)
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $51.31 \pm 16.38$ HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       Yes         Yes $20.2 (767)$ No $79.8 (3.032)$	None of these	66.3 (2,519)
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $51.56 \pm 12.57$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011 $194.70 \pm 39.79$ Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015 $185.76 \pm 37.02$ Systolic reading at 2011 $131.38 \pm 25.99$ Systolic reading at 2015 $130.26 \pm 20.62$ Antihypertension       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)	HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011	$51.31 \pm 16.38$
Iotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011       194.70 ± 39.79         Fotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Antihypertension       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)	HUL cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015	51.56 ± 12.57
Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015       185.76 ± 37.02         Systolic reading at 2011       131.38 ± 25.99         Systolic reading at 2015       130.26 ± 20.62         Antihypertension       20.2 (767)         No       79.8 (3,032)	Iotal cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2011	194.70 ± 39.79
Systolic reading at 2011         131.38 ± 25.99           Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Total cholesterol (mg/dl) at 2015	185.76 ± 37.02
Systolic reading at 2015         130.26 ± 20.62           Antihypertension         20.2 (767)           No         79.8 (3,032)	Systolic reading at 2011	$131.38 \pm 25.99$
Antihypertension Yes 20.2 (767) No 79.8 (3,032)	Systolic reading at 2015	$130.26 \pm 20.62$
Yes 20.2 (767) No 79.8 (3,032)	Antihypertension	
No 79.8 (3,032)	Yes	20.2 (767)
Pantinuas	No	79.8 (3,032)
p Antinina		Continues

	%(n)/M ± SD
Diabetes	
Yes	5.9 (226)
No	94.1 (3,573)
Stroke	
Yes	2.0 (77)
No	98.0 (3,722)
Depression at 2011	$0.86\pm62$
Depression at 2015	$0.84 \pm 65$
Preliminary variables	
CVR at 2011	$8.44\pm6.30$
CVR at 2015	$8.32 \pm 6.47$
Immediate recall at 2011	$3.49 \pm 2.00$
Delayed recall at 2,011	$2.60\pm2.02$
Orientation at 2011	$3.59 \pm 1.46$
Calculation at 2011	$2.10\pm1.13$
Figure copying at 2011	$0.61 \pm 49$
General cognition at 2011	$12.39 \pm 5.32$
Immediate recall at 2015	$3.39 \pm 1.95$
Delayed recall at 2015	$2.40\pm2.02$
Orientation at 2015	$3.38 \pm 1.63$
Calculation at 2015	$2.04\pm1.16$
Figure copying at 2015	$0.56\pm50$
General cognition at 2015	$11.77\pm5.69$

Values are  $M \pm SD$  (means  $\pm$  standard deviations) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. BMI, body-mass index; CVR, cardiovascular risk; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; WHtR, waist to height.

a nationally representative longitudinal survey of the older residents in China. The baseline sample was obtained via a four-stage random sampling method, covering participants from 150 counties in 28 provinces in China in 2011. The study design and sampling method's detailed information can be found in Zhao et al. (24). Only waves one (2011) and four (2015) were used in the final analyses due to the blood tests' availability in these waves. Participants were excluded if they (1) are aged younger than 50 years old, (2) did not receive fasting blood test or had no testing results on CVD factors, (3) did not respond to any cognitive tests, and (4) reported having received a diagnosis of memory-related disease (such as Alzheimer disease, Parkinson, brain atrophy, or brain cancer). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Beijing Youan Hospital.

#### **Measurements**

Cardiovascular risk was defined as a pooled score (Framingham cardiovascular risk score) using sex-specific equations combining age, systolic blood pressure and antihypertension treatment, diabetes, HDL, smoking, and total cholesterol.

Cognitive function comprised (1) a global cognitive measure, based on a pooled Z-score of immediate recall, delayed recall, figure copying, calculation, orientation, and digital-series reasoning, and (2) five domain-specific measurements. The Chinese verbal learning test is an episodic learning and memory test with two scores: (1) immediate recall, and (2) delayed recall. The summed total of correct words range from 0 to10 at each recall phase. We also adopted minus 7 and orientation tests from the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). Considering the relatively low literacy among Chinese older adults, we adopted two overlapped pentagons instead of cubic in the figure-copying test.

Covariates in the regression model for CVR-cognition associations were education (high school or above vs. below high school), Hukou (agricultural vs. non-agricultural), marital status (married, separated, divorced, and widowed, and never married), regional distribution (western China, eastern China, and mid-China), employment status (farming, non-farming, both, unemployed) depressive symptoms (using a cutoff of 10 in CESD-10), BMI, waist-to-height ratio, and residence status (family housing vs. nursing home, hospital, or other), drinking status (>once/per month,<=once per month, no) and stroke (yes, no).

#### **Statistic Strategies**

Our analyses were conducted in three stages. First, we generated the descriptive statistics for a sample of interest to provide detailed baseline information. We used mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and proportion for categorical variables. Second, we fitted the time-lagged regression to model the association between CVR and cognition, including

autoregression models and CVR main effect models. We used three primary indices to assess the adequacy of model-data fit: the comparative fit index (CFI), the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). The acceptable level of model fit was CFI higher than 0.90, RMSEA lower than 0.08, and SRMR lower than 0.08 (25). Third, we performed cross-group analyses to test for model invariance across sex and age. Specifically, we constrained path coefficients to be equal across each grouping variable (e.g., sex) and compared the fit of this constrained model with an unconstrained model in which the path coefficients were allowed to vary by group. Model invariance can be assumed when the constrained model does not yield substantially poorer fit to the data than does the unconstrained version. Two indexes were used to compare the fit of the constrained and unconstrained models, the scaled difference in Satorra-Bentler (S-B)  $\chi^2$  statistic and the difference in CFI values between models (26). The two models can be judged as invariant across moderator variables where their differences in fit do not reach the criterion for practical significance ( $\Delta$ CFI differences > 0.01) (26).

## RESULTS

## **Study Characteristics**

Of the total sample (n = 17,705), 10,715 participants from the baseline were excluded because they (1) were aged < 50 years old (n = 3,130), (2) had no fasting blood test or no results on

<b>FABLE 2</b>   Fit indices of the various models.												
	Model description	χ²	df	χ²/df	CFI	TLI	SRMR	RMSEA	[90% CI]	Δχ <b>2</b>	∆CFI	ΔTLI
Immediate recall	Model 1a: Autoregressive model	51.43	6	8.57	0.988	0.907	0.011	0.049	[0.037, 0.062]	-	-	-
	Model 2a: CVR main-effect model	31.06	5	6.21	0.993	0.936	0.007	0.041	[0.028, 0.055]	20.37**	0.005	0.029
Delayed recall	Model 1b: Autoregressive model	43.79	6	7.30	0.990	0.921	0.011	0.045	[0.033, 0.058]	-	-	-
	Model 2b: CVR main-effect model	20.85	5	4.17	0.996	0.960	0.006	0.032	[0.018, 0.046]	22.94**	0.006	0.039
Orientation	Model 1c: Autoregressive model	45.91	6	7.65	0.990	0.923	0.009	0.046	[0.034, 0.059]	-	-	-
	Model 2c: CVR main-effect model	37.73	5	7.55	0.992	0.924	0.007	0.046	[0.033, 0.060]	8.18**	0.002	0.001
Calculation	Model 1d: Autoregressive model	67.61	6	11.27	0.984	0.881	0.013	0.057	[0.045, 0.070]	-	-	-
	Model 2d: CVR main-effect model	31.94	5	6.39	0.993	0.937	0.007	0.041	[0.028, 0.056]	35.67**	0.009	0.056
Figure copying	Model 1e: Autoregressive model	36.07	6	6.01	0.992	0.936	0.009	0.040	[0.028, 0.053]	-	-	-
	Model 2e: CVR main-effect model	20.85	5	4.17	0.996	0.959	0.005	0.032	[0.018, 0.046]	15.22**	0.004	0.023
General cognition	Model 1f: Autoregressive model	70.98	6	11.83	0.985	0.887	0.013	0.059	[0.018, 0.046]	-	-	-
	Model 2f: CVR main-effect model	33.55	5	6.71	0.994	0.940	0.008	0.043	[0.030, 0.057]	37.98**	0.009	0.053

\*\*p < 0.01.



at least one CVD factor or cognition assessment (n = 7,441), (3) had the memory-related disease (n = 131), and (4) did not report gender (n = 13). We excluded 3,191 participants from the 2015 visit without CVR and cognition assessment. Finally, we included a total of 3,799 participants in the final analyses (**Figure 1**).

About half of the target participants were female (50.6%), and the mean age was 62.12 (SD = 7.75). Besides, 84.4% of the participants possessed agricultural hukou, while only 8.3% had high school or above degrees, and 87.3% were married. Around one third lived in eastern China (developed part), and almost all participants (99.3%) resided in family

	Model description	χ²	df	$\chi^2/df$	CFI	TLI	SRMR	RMSEA	[90% CI]	Δχ2	∆CFI	ΔTLI
Immediate recall	Model 1a: Free estimation model	29.50	10	2.95	0.995	0.951	0.008	0.035	[0.021, 0.050]	_	-	_
	Model 2a: Path equivalence model	172.03	51	3.37	0.967	0.940	0.023	0.039	[0.033, 0.045]	142.53**	-0.028	-0.011
Delayed recall	Model 1b: Free estimation model	19.38	10	1.94	0.997	0.976	0.006	0.024	[0.006, 0.041]	-	-	-
	Model 2b: Path equivalence model	150.32	51	2.95	0.972	0.949	0.022	0.035	[0.029, 0.042]	130.94**	-0.025	-0.027
Orientation	Model 1c: Free estimation model	21.91	10	2.19	0.997	0.971	0.007	0.028	[0.011, 0.043]	-	-	-
	Model 2c: Path equivalence model	177.25	51	3.48	0.967	0.940	0.024	0.040	[0.033, 0.046]	155.34**	-0.03	-0.031
Calculation	Model 1d: Free estimation model	18.87	10	1.89	0.998	0.978	0.006	0.024	[0.004, 0.040]	-	-	-
	Model 2d: Path equivalence model	171.86	51	3.37	0.967	0.941	0.025	0.039	[0.033, 0.045]	152.99**	-0.031	-0.037
Figure copying	Model 1e: Free estimation model	16.23	10	1.62	0.998	0.983	0.005	0.020	[0.000, 0.037]	-	-	-
	Model 2e: Path equivalence model	142.35	51	2.79	0.973	0.951	0.023	0.034	[0.027, 0.040]	126.12**	-0.025	-0.032
General cognition	Model 1f: Free estimation model	32.28	10	3.23	0.995	0.952	0.008	0.038	[0.024, 0.053]	-	-	-
	Model 2f: Path equivalence model	202.43	51	3.97	0.965	0.936	0.025	0.043	[0.024, 0.053]	170.15**	-0.030	-0.016

TABLE 3 | Fit indices of the various models across sex.

\*\*p < 0.01.

houses. Also, nearly three quarters conducted farming or/and no-farming jobs.

Other detailed information for health status and behavior are also shown in **Table 1**.

# Association Between Composite CVR and Cognition

The model fit index (CFI) ranged from 0.984 to 0.996, RMSEA from 0.032 to 0.059, and SRMR from 0.005 to 0.013 (**Table 1**). More indices for model fit are also shown in **Table 2**.

At baseline, we found that the FCRS had a consistent negative linear association with global cognition ( $\beta = -0.05$ , p < 0.01). Additionally, FCRS had inverse linear associations with domain-specific measurements of memory and learning (delayed recall and immediate recall), the calculation (100 minus 7), orientation, and visual-spatial ability (figure copying) (**Figure 2**).

At follow-up, we found that the baseline FCRS had an inverse linear association with follow-up global cognition ( $\beta = -0.07, p < 0.01$ ). Besides, baseline FCRS had inverse linear associations with domain-specific measurements of memory and learning (delayed recall and immediate recall), the calculation (100 minus 7), orientation, and visual-spatial ability (figure copying) (**Figure 2**).

#### **Sex Modification**

Tests of interactions for CVR with sex provided evidence for modification, indicated by  $\Delta CFI > 0.01$  in all outcomes

(**Table 3**). Males had a more pronounced association between higher CVR and worse general cognition, immediate recall, orientation, calculation, and visual–spatial ability (all values of p < 0.0001), while females exhibited a slightly larger negative association in delayed recall (**Figure 3**).

## Age Modification

Tests of interactions for CVR with age provided some evidence for modification in the calculation test ( $\Delta$ CFI = 0.11) (**Table 4**). Older participants (>65 years old) had a more pronounced association between higher CVR and worse calculation ability (p = 0.003) (**Figure 4**).

## DISCUSSION

We found that higher CVR was associated with cognitive decline in various cognitive tasks. These results extended the evidence from other ethnicities (8, 9, 22) to Chinese. Additionally, existing evidence drives mainly from young older adults. Our sample fixed this limitation by recruiting the participants with a wide range of age spectrum (50–93 years old). We also found age and sex modification effects on multiple cognitive tasks but in different patterns: age modification effects on the calculation test while sex modification effects on all tests.

Regarding the association between CVR and cognitive function, our results were consistent with previous original articles in older adults (27–31). The association remains significant even after adjusting other demographic and



socioeconomic covariates, indicating CVR might be an independent factor for cognition. Besides, our results found the negative association between CVR and all cognitive tasks. A recent fMRI study comprising 9,722 participants found that

CVR was associated with higher white matter hyperintensity volumes, poorer white matter microstructure in association and thalamic pathways, and lower frontal, temporal volumes (32). This large-scale brain atrophy may be the cause of the

	Model description	χ²	df	χ²/df	CFI	TLI	SRMR	RMSEA	[90% CI]	Δχ <b>2</b>	∆CFI	ΔTLI
Immediate recall	Model 1a: Free estimation model	38.81	10	3.88	0.991	0.916	0.008	0.043	[0.029, 0.057]	_	_	-
	Model 2a: Path equivalence model	103.91	51	2.04	0.983	0.970	0.016	0.026	[0.019, 0.033]	65.1**	-0.008	0.054
Delayed recall	Model 1b: Free estimation model	31.14	10	3.11	0.993	0.936	0.007	0.037	[0.023, 0.052]	-	-	-
	Model 2b: Path equivalence model	92.28	51	1.81	0.986	0.975	0.015	0.023	[0.015, 0.030]	61.14*	-0.007	0.039
Orientation	Model 1c: Free estimation model	64.84	10	6.48	0.984	0.853	0.010	0.059	[0.046, 0.073]	-	-	-
	Model 2c: Path equivalence model	114.78	51	2.25	0.981	0.966	0.016	0.028	[0.021, 0.035]	49.94	-0.003	0.113
Calculation	Model 1d: Free estimation model	47.86	10	4.79	0.989	0.898	0.009	0.049	[0.036, 0.063]	-	-	-
	Model 2d: Path equivalence model	126.96	51	2.49	0.978	0.960	0.018	0.031	[0.024, 0.038]	79.1**	-0.011	0.062
Figure copying	Model 1e: Free estimation model	32.26	10	3.23	0.993	0.932	0.007	0.038	[0.024, 0.053]	-	-	-
	Model 2e: Path equivalence model	90.58	51	1.78	0.987	0.976	0.015	0.022	[0.015, 0.030]	58.32*	-0.006	0.044
General cognition	Model 1f: Free estimation model	53.53	10	5.35	0.989	0.895	0.010	0.053	[0.039, 0.067]	-	-	-
	Model 2f: Path equivalence model	127.61	51	2.50	0.980	0.964	0.017	0.031	[0.024, 0.053]	74.08*	-0.009	0.069

TABLE 4 | Fit indices of the various models across age.

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01.

cognitive decline in multiple cognitive domains. Also, CVD (e.g., hypertension) may lead to cerebral hypoperfusion, which may also trigger cascade impacts on various brain regions (33).

Regarding the modification effects of sex, sex modified all cognitive tasks. Sex differences were observed in global cognitive function (34, 35) and in several cognitive domains (e.g., episodic memory and executive function) among older adults (36-38). Besides, sex differences were also overserved in CVD's prevalence or incidence (39-41), indicated by higher CVD in men. Middleaged and older Chinese have a much higher probability of abdominal obesity in men and more likely to meet the criteria of metabolic syndrome (42). Interestingly, Asperholm et al. (37) conducted a meta-analytic study examining sex differences in various episodic memory tasks and found that men may use their spatial advantage in spatially episodic memory tasks. In contrast, women do well in episodic memory tasks that are verbalized recall and non-verbal and non-spatial tasks that are neither verbal nor spatial. Though there are sex differences in both CVD and cognitive function, the role of sex in the relationship between CVD and cognition is complex and requires additional investigation.

With respect to the modification effects of age, the aging process is associated with altered brain intrinsic connectivity (43) and brain atrophy (44). The aging process is also associated with cognitive decline in multiple cognitive domains (45, 46). The age and CVR interaction in predicting cognitive function also partially replicated in other ethnicity (22). Old-old participants demonstrated a steeper slope

in the calculation test than the young-old, which means calculation ability might be a sensitive behavior marker in evaluating the age moderation. Therefore, calculation training programs should be adopted as preventive strategies in middle-aged and young-old adults and mitigating methods for the old-old.

The study has several strengths. First, it used CHARLS data, the most representative and technically sound cohort with the largest sample size. Second, we adopted a composite CVR score to elucidate the association, which provided a relatively comprehensive picture of this issue. Third, the longitudinal study offers us opportunities to evaluate the temporal relationship between CVR and cognition.

Several limitations should also be addressed. First, we could not link genetic risk factors (e.g., APOE) with cognitive function. APOE plays a vital role in lipid metabolism and amyloid pathology cognitive function (47, 48). Second, we did not collect MRI data. A recent study using UK Biobank data has identified that the CVD is associated with brain atrophy in large-scale brain regions (32). These limitations should be solved in future megacohorts by comprehensively evaluating the risk profiles. Third, we did not adopt an in-depth multimodality cognitive assessment. Other cognitive domains (e.g., complex attention or executive function) should also be taken into consideration. Fourth, the rate of loss-tofollow is high, which may lead to selection bias. Participants in worse conditions at baseline may be more likely to drop out of the cohort due to illness or mortality in the followup visits.



# CONCLUSION

CVD are risk factors for lower global cognitive function and subdomains in middle-aged and older adults in China. Sex significantly moderates the CRV–cognition associations while age only moderating the CRV–calculation association.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: http://charls.pku.edu.cn/ index/en.html.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of Beijing Youan Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JH, WH, and WW were responsible for the study concept and design. JH, RS, and BC analyzed the data. JH, JF, RS, CG, and WW interpreted the data. JH drafted the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

## FUNDING

This work was also supported by the National 13th 5-Year Grand Program on Key Infectious Disease Control (2018ZX10715-005-002-002 to CG, 2017ZX10202101-001-004 to WW, 2017ZX10202101-004-001 to TZ, and 2018ZX10301-407-005 and 2018ZX10302103-001-003 to TJ), the Beijing Municipal of Science and Technology Major Project (D161100000416003 to HW and D161100000416005 to CG), Fund of Key Laboratory of Capital Medical University (BJYAHKF2017002 to WH), and the Beijing Key Laboratory for HIV/AIDS Research (BZ0089).

# REFERENCES

- 1. Wu YT, Ali GC, Guerchet M, Prina AM, Chan KY, Prince M, et al. Prevalence of dementia in mainland China, Hong Kong and Taiwan: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2018) 47:709–19. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy007
- Jia LF, Quan MN, Fu Y, Zhao T, Li Y, Wei CB, et al. Dementia in China: epidemiology, clinical management, research advances. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:81–92. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30290-X
- Zhao D, Liu J, Wang M, Zhang X, Zhou M. Epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in China: current features and implications. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2019) 16:203–12. doi: 10.1038/s41569-018-0119-4
- Mobasseri M, Shirmohammadi M, Amiri T, Vahed N, Hosseini Fard H, Ghojazadeh M. Prevalence and incidence of type 1 diabetes in the world: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Promotion Persp.* (2020) 10:98– 115. doi: 10.34172/hpp.2020.18
- Bao M, Wang L. The longitudinal trend of hypertension prevalence in Chinese adults from 1959 to 2018: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Pall Med.* (2020) 9:2485–97. doi: 10.21037/apm-19-377
- Noubiap JJ, Nansseu JR, Nyaga UF, Sime PS, Francis I, Bigna JJ. Global prevalence of resistant hypertension: a meta-analysis of data from 3.2 million patients. *Heart.* (2019) 105:98–105. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2018-313599
- Qiu C, Fratiglioni L. A major role for cardiovascular burden in age-related cognitive decline. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2015) 12:267–77. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2014.223
- Dregan A, Stewart R, Gulliford MC. Cardiovascular risk factors and cognitive decline in adults aged 50 and over: a population-based cohort study. *Age Ageing.* (2013) 42:338–45. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afs166
- Joosten H, van Eersel ME, Gansevoort RT, Bilo HJ, Slaets JP, Izaks GJ. Cardiovascular risk profile and cognitive function in young, middle-aged, elderly subjects. *Stroke.* (2013) 44:1543–9. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000496
- Warsch JR, Rundek T, Paik MC, Elkind MS, Sacco RL, Wright CB. Association between northern Manhattan study global vascular risk score and successful aging. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2013) 61:519–24. doi: 10.1111/jgs.12166
- Sacco RL, Khatri M, Rundek T, Xu Q, Gardener H, Boden-Albala B, et al. Improving global vascular risk prediction with behavioral and anthropometric factors. The multiethnic NOMAS (Northern Manhattan Cohort Study). J Am Coll Cardiol. (2009) 54:2303–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009. 07.047
- Houle B, Gaziano T, Farrell M, Gomez-Olive FX, Kobayashi LC, Crowther NJ, et al. Cognitive function and cardiometabolic disease risk factors in rural South Africa: baseline evidence from the HAALSI study. *BMC Public Health*. (2019) 19:1579. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7938-z
- 13. A NDV, Bernstein JPK, Weitzner D, Calamia M, Keller JN. The effects of cardiovascular risk factors on repeatable battery for the assessment of neuropsychological status (RBANS) performance in cognitively healthy

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the China Center for Economic Research, National School of Development, Peking University, for providing the data.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2020.560947/full#supplementary-material

older adults. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. (2019) 24:acz039. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acz039

- Ashrafi F, Taheri MS, Farzaneh A, Behnam B, Ahmadi MA. Cognitive functions and white matter lesions on magnetic resonance images in a sample of normal Iranian population with cardiovascular risk factors. *Neuroradiol J.* (2019) 32:108–14. doi: 10.1177/1971400919825862
- Streit S, Poortvliet RKE, den Elzen WPJ, Blom JW, Gussekloo J. Systolic blood pressure and cognitive decline in older adults with hypertension. *Ann Fam Med.* (2019) 17:100–7. doi: 10.1370/afm.2367
- Devore E, Hall KE, Schernhammer ES, Grodstein F. Midlife hypertension and hypercholesterolemia in relation to cognitive function later in life in black women. *Am J Nurs.* (2019) 119:22–30. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000553179.89954.bc
- Weidung B, Littbrand H, Nordstrom P, Carlberg B, Gustafson Y. The association between SBP and mortality risk differs with level of cognitive function in very old individuals. *J Hypertens*. (2016) 34:745–52. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000000831
- Cukierman T, Gerstein HC, Williamson JD. Cognitive decline and dementia in diabetes - systematic overview of prospective observational studies. *Diabetologia*. (2005) 48:2460–9. doi: 10.1007/s00125-005-0023-4
- Liang XN, Shan Y, Ding D, Zhao QH, Guo QH, Zheng L, et al. Hypertension and high blood pressure are associated with dementia among chinese dwelling elderly: the shanghai aging study. *Front Neurol.* (2018) 9:7. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00664
- Gao SJ, Jin YL, Unverzagt FW, Liang CK, Hall KS, Ma F, et al. Hypertension and cognitive decline in rural elderly chinese. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2009) 57:1051–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02267.x
- Merz AA, Cheng S. Sex differences in cardiovascular ageing. *Heart.* (2016) 102:825–31. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308769
- 22. Harrison SL, de Craen AJ, Kerse N, Teh R, Granic A, Davies K, et al. Predicting risk of cognitive decline in very old adults using three models: the framingham stroke risk profile; the cardiovascular risk factors, aging, and dementia model; and oxi-inflammatory biomarkers. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2017) 65:381–9. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14532
- 23. Tarraf W, Kaplan R, Daviglus M, Gallo LC, Schneiderman N, Penedo FJ, et al. Cardiovascular risk and cognitive function in middle-aged and older hispanics/latinos: results from the hispanic community health study/study of latinos (HCHS/SOL). J Alzheimer's Dis. (2020) 73:103–16. doi: 10.3233/JAD-190830
- Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the china health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol.* (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203
- Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria vs. new alternatives. *Struct Equ Modeling*. (1999) 6:1–55. doi: 10.1080/10705519909540118
- Satorra A, Bentler PM. A scaled difference chi-square test statistic for moment structure analysis. *Psychometrika*. (2001) 66:507–14. doi: 10.1007/BF02296192

- Lai MMY, Sharman MJ, Ames DJ, Ellis KA, Cox KL, Hepworth G, et al. Relationship of established cardiovascular risk factors and peripheral biomarkers on cognitive function in adults at risk of cognitive deterioration. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* (2020) 74:163–71. doi: 10.3233/JAD-190953
- Karalija N, Wahlin A, Ek J, Rieckmann A, Papenberg G, Salami A, et al. Cardiovascular factors are related to dopamine integrity and cognition in aging. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* (2019) 6:2291–303. doi: 10.1002/acn3.50927
- Guiney H, Lucas SJE, Cotter JD, Machado L. Investigating links between habitual physical activity, cerebrovascular function, and cognitive control in healthy older adults. *Neuropsychologia.* (2019) 125:62–9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2019.01.011
- Callisaya ML, Beare R, Moran C, Phan T, Wang W, Srikanth VK. Type 2 diabetes mellitus, brain atrophy and cognitive decline in older people: a longitudinal study. *Diabetologia*. (2019) 62:448–58. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4778-9
- Palta P, Carlson MC, Crum RM, Colantuoni E, Sharrett AR, Yasar S, et al. Diabetes and cognitive decline in older adults: the ginkgo evaluation of memory study. J Gerontol Ser A. Biol Sci Med Sci. (2017) 73:123–30. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx076
- Cox SR, Lyall DM, Ritchie SJ, Bastin ME, Harris MA, Buchanan CR, et al. Associations between vascular risk factors and brain MRI indices in UK Biobank. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40:2290–300. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz100
- Cipolla MJ, Liebeskind DS, Chan SL. The importance of comorbidities in ischemic stroke: impact of hypertension on the cerebral circulation. J Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol. (2018) 38:2129–49. doi: 10.1177/0271678X18800589
- Xu H, Vorderstrasse AA, Dupre ME, McConnell ES, Ostbye T, Wu B. Gender differences in the association between migration and cognitive function among older adults in China and India. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2019) 81:31–8. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2018.11.011
- Lee S, Lee S, Lee E, Youm Y, Cho HS, Kim WJ. Gender differences in social network of cognitive function among community-dwelling older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2020) 20:467–73. doi: 10.1111/ggi.13906
- 36. Seinstra M, Grzymek K, Kalenscher T. Gender-specific differences in the relationship between autobiographical memory and intertemporal choice in older adults. *PloS ONE*. (2015) 10:e0137061. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137061
- Asperholm M, Hogman N, Rafi J, Herlitz A. What did you do yesterday? A meta-analysis of sex differences in episodic memory. *Psychol Bull.* (2019) 145:785–821. doi: 10.1037/bul0000197
- McCarrey AC, An Y, Kitner-Triolo MH, Ferrucci L, Resnick SM. Sex differences in cognitive trajectories in clinically normal older adults. *Psychol Aging*. (2016) 31:166–75. doi: 10.1037/pag0000070
- Mathenge N, Fan W, Wong ND, Hirsch C, Delaney CJ, Amsterdam EA, et al. Pre-diabetes, diabetes and predictors of incident angina among older women and men in the cardiovascular health study. *Diabet Vasc Dis Res.* (2020) 17:1479164119888476. doi: 10.1177/1479164119888476

- 40. Qu Y, Yang J, Zhang F, Li C, Dai Y, Yang H, et al. Gender-related differences in clinical characteristics and outcomes of premature coronary artery disease: insight from the focus registry. *J Int cardiol.* (2019) 2019:6762089. doi: 10.1155/2019/6762089
- Ricciardi D, Arena G, Verlato R, Iacopino S, Pieragnoli P, Molon G, et al. Sex effect on efficacy of pulmonary vein cryoablation in patients with atrial fibrillation: data from the multicenter real-world 1STOP project. *J Inter Cardiac Electrophysiol.* (2019) 56:9–18. doi: 10.1007/s10840-019-00601-3
- Yang F. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the middle-aged and older Chinese population. *Int Diabetes Dev Ctries*. (2017) 37:176–82. doi: 10.1007/s13410-016-0472-y
- Damoiseaux JS. Effects of aging on functional and structural brain connectivity. *NeuroImage*. (2017) 160:32–40. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.077
- Pini L, Pievani M, Bocchetta M, Altomare D, Bosco P, Cavedo E, et al. Brain atrophy in alzheimer's disease and aging. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2016) 30:25–48. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.01.002
- 45. Olaya B, Bobak M, Haro JM, Demakakos P. Trajectories of verbal episodic memory in middle-aged and older adults: evidence from the english longitudinal study of ageing. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2017) 65:1274–81. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14789
- Zettel-Watson L, Suen M, Wehbe L, Rutledge DN, Cherry BJ. Aging well: processing speed inhibition and working memory related to balance and aerobic endurance. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2017) 17:108–15. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12682
- Yamazaki Y, Zhao N, Caulfield TR, Liu CC, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: pathobiology and targeting strategies. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2019) 15:501–18. doi: 10.1038/s41582-019-0228-7
- Uddin MS, Kabir MT, Al Mamun A, Abdel-Daim MM, Barreto GE, Ashraf GM. APOE and Alzheimer's disease: evidence mounts that targeting APOE4 may combat alzheimer's pathogenesis. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2019) 56:2450–65. doi: 10.1007/s12035-018-1237-z

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Hua, Hou, Jiang, Su, Fu, Sun, Chang, Xia, Wu, Zhang, Guo and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.