



OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Dexter Canoy, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Christoph Sinning, University Heart and Vascular Center Hamburg (UHZ), Germany Wei Gan, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Fuzhong Xue xuefzh@sdu.edu.cn orcid.org/0000-0003-0378-7956

[†]ORCID:

Shucheng Si orcid.org/0000-0001-9858-7089

[‡]Present address:

Fuzhong Xue, Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Prevention, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 15 July 2020 Accepted: 14 December 2020 Published: 22 January 2021

Citation:

Si S, Li J, Li Y, Li W, Chen X, Yuan T, Liu C, Li H, Hou L, Wang B and Xue F (2021) Causal Effect of the Triglyceride-Glucose Index and the Joint Exposure of Higher Glucose and Triglyceride With Extensive Cardio-Cerebrovascular Metabolic Outcomes in the UK Biobank: A Mendelian Randomization Study. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 7:583473. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.583473 Causal Effect of the Triglyceride-Glucose Index and the Joint Exposure of Higher Glucose and Triglyceride With Extensive Cardio-Cerebrovascular Metabolic Outcomes in the UK Biobank: A Mendelian Randomization Study

Shucheng Si^{1,2,3†}, Jiqing Li¹, Yunxia Li¹, Wenchao Li¹, Xiaolu Chen¹, Tonghui Yuan¹, Congcong Liu¹, Hongkai Li^{1,2,3}, Lei Hou¹, Bojie Wang¹ and Fuzhong Xue^{1,2,3*‡}

¹ Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China, ² Institute for Medical Dataology, Shandong University, Jinan, China, ³ National Institute of Health Data Science of China, Jinan, China

Background: The causal evidence of the triglyceride–glucose (TyG) index, as well as the joint exposure of higher glucose and triglyceride on the risk of cardio-cerebrovascular diseases (CVD), was lacking.

Methods: A comprehensive factorial Mendelian randomization (MR) was performed in the UK Biobank cohort involving 273,368 individuals with European ancestry to assess and quantify these effects. The factorial MR, MR-PRESSO, MR-Egger, meta-regression, sensitivity analysis, positive control, and external verification were utilized. Outcomes include major outcomes [overall CVD, ischemic heart diseases (IHD), and cerebrovascular diseases (CED)] and minor outcomes [angina pectoris (AP), acute myocardial infarction (AMI), chronic IHD (CIHD), heart failure (HF), hemorrhagic stroke (HS), and ischemic stroke (IS)].

Results: The TyG index significantly increased the risk of overall CVD [OR (95% CI): 1.20 (1.14–1.25)], IHD [OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.15–1.29)], CED [OR (95% CI): 1.14 (1.05–1.23)], AP [OR (95% CI): 1.29 (1.20–1.39)], AMI [OR (95% CI): 1.27 (1.16–1.39)], CIHD [OR (95% CI): 1.21 (1.13–1.29)], and IS [OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.06–1.40)]. Joint exposure to genetically higher GLU and TG was significantly associated with a higher risk of overall CVD [OR (95% CI): 1.17 (1.12–1.23)] and IHD [OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.16–1.29)], but not with CED. The effect of GLU and TG was independent of each other genetically and presented dose–response effects in bivariate meta-regression analysis.

Conclusions: Lifelong genetic exposure to higher GLU and TG was jointly associated with higher cardiac metabolic risk while the TyG index additionally associated with several cerebrovascular diseases. The TyG index could serve as a more sensitive pre-diagnostic indicator for CVD while the joint GLU and TG could offer a quantitative risk for cardiac metabolic outcomes.

Keywords: glucose, triglyceride, triglyceride-glucose index, cardio-cerebrovascular diseases, causal association

INTRODUCTION

The lifelong genetic exposure to lower levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and systolic blood pressure was demonstrated and quantified to be associated with a lower risk of cardio-cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) (1). However, a considerable residual risk remains after achieving the recommended targets (2). Studies showed that the residual risk can be partly attributed to triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (3); moreover, insulin resistance (IR)-related glucose (GLU) and triglyceride (TG) were also thought to play an important role in the development of CVD (4).

To clarify these additional risk factors, researchers have committed to exploring the independent effect of circulating TG or GLU on some cardio-cerebrovascular metabolic outcomes (5–9). However, individuals exposed to both higher TG and GLU widely existed in the general population. The causal association of combined lifelong exposures with the risk of CVD has not been reliably quantified. Meanwhile, the triglyceride–glucose (TyG) index, a surrogate indicator of combined TG and GLU, which represented IR to some extent, has also been widely used to investigate the relationship with health-related outcomes. Still, the causal role of the TyG index on the risk of CVD and various subtypes has not been explored as well.

Collectively, the effectiveness of the TyG index, as well as the combined exposures in causality, was still unclear. Mendelian randomization (MR) could use genetic variation as instrumental variables to quantify the causality of lifelong exposure to certain factors; at the same time, factorial MR was conducive to estimate the effects of combined exposures. So, we aimed to evaluate these associations in a comprehensive design by using the UK Biobank individual dataset and comprehensive factorial MR design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

This study was performed based on the UK Biobank, a prospective population-based cohort comprising around 500,000 people aged 40–69 years who were recruited from 2006 to 2010 across the UK (10). The database contains genome-wide genotyping of all participants, blood biochemistry, and detailed health information from self-reported and electronic health records (11). This research performed quality control procedures on both UK Biobank participants and genotypes (see details in **Figure 1**). Here, we derived two datasets according to the above procedure: dataset A enrolled 273,368 participants after excluding individuals with diabetes mellitus (DM) and disorders of lipoprotein metabolism (DLM) to avoid the potential effect of hypoglycemic drugs or lipid-lowering drugs on non-fasting GLU and TG levels; dataset B enrolled 347,076 participants, including those with DM/DLM to assess the performance of generalization.

Exposures and Outcomes

Non-fasting blood biochemistry was measured by the UK Biobank using standard hematological tests within 24 h of blood collection for all of the participants. Blood samples were taken at random and the time (hours) since the last meal and the fasting time were recorded when collecting. The random plasma TG and GLU in mmol/L were applied in this research. Since the original TG were non-normally distributed, we made a logarithmic transformation (log TG, we called TG in the following text). The non-fasting TyG index was calculated through the formula $\ln(\text{triglycerides (mg/dl)} \times \text{glucose (mg/dl)/2})$ (12).

The outcome in this research was defined by self-reported code, ICD-10, and ICD-9 codes from the health records of UK Biobank. It was divided into three categories: (1) major outcomes including the overall cardiovascular and cerebrovascular metabolic diseases (overall CVD), ischemic heart diseases (IHD), and cerebrovascular diseases (CED); (2) minor outcomes (CVD subtypes) including angina pectoris (AP), acute myocardial infarction (AMI), chronic ischemic heart disease (CIHD), heart failure (HF), hemorrhagic stroke (HS), and ischemic stroke (IS); (3) two related metabolic diseases including DM and DLM as positive controls. The detailed information about the definition of these diseases is shown in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Instrumental Variables in MR

The instrumental variables were divided into three SNP sets (**Figure 1**). Set A and set B were acquired from genome-wide association analysis based on dataset A and dataset B, respectively, while set C was determined by external GWAS or MR studies. The process is as follows:

First, we performed a genome-wide association analysis in the UK Biobank cohort to acquire the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are significantly associated with circulating GLU, TG, and TyG index. The imputation genotype file was used with the quality scores >0.3, the minor allele frequency >0.01, missing rate <0.05, and Hardy-Weinberg test $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$. The effects of the instrumental SNPs were acquired at the genome-wide level of significance $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ by using linear regression adjusted for age, sex, and the top 5 genetic principal components to control population stratification. This step was performed by Plink and Plink 2 software in the Linux system. To ensure independence, we further pruned these SNPs by linkage disequilibrium with each other by the $R^2 < 0.01$ using the R function "clump_data" of the "TwoSampleMR" package. A total of 86, 225, and 212 candidate SNPs were selected for GLU, TG, and the TyG index, respectively (for dataset A). Then, we excluded the SNPs that were significantly associated with both TG and GLU and associated with non-lipid and nonglycemic components including SBP, DBP, and BMI to control the potential horizontal pleiotropic with the threshold $P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$. Besides, we also ruled out the SNP outliers (unknown pleiotropic SNPs) through the MR-PRESSO method (13). In total, 77, 192, and 192 IVs were selected for GLU, TG, and the TyG index, respectively (SNP set A). We repeated the above process to acquire 96, 307, and 301 IVs for GLU, TG, and the TyG index for participants including DM and DLM (SNP set B).

Then, to avoid the overestimated results, we additionally utilized external SNPs (set C) including 36 for GLU and 97 for TG, which was reported by other large genome-wide metaanalyses or MR studies (14–22). Since there were no reported SNPs for the TyG index, the combined IVs of TG and GLU were



utilized. The effect values of these IVs for the TyG index were acquired by performing genome-wide association analysis in dataset A. Then, we performed the same procedures mentioned above (here, the MR-MRESSO has not been applied since some standard errors for SNPs were not available in some research and to keep enough IVs as well). Last, a total of 30 SNPs for GLU, 79 SNPs for TG, and 64 SNPs for the TyG index were determined. The detailed information of these instrumentals is shown in **Supplementary Tables 2, 3**.

Finally, we constructed the genetic risk score (GRS) for GLU, TG, and the TyG index by both weighted and unweighted method. The weighted GRS was calculated for each participant by summing the number of exposure-increasing alleles weighted by the β coefficients acquired from our genome-wide association analysis. The weighted GRS from externally reported SNPs were weighted using the corresponding β coefficients reported by other research, namely, the external effect size. For an SNP reported by more than one research, we used the β coefficients

with the lowest *P*-values. The effect of a missing SNP was set to zero. Furthermore, an unweighted GRS was also built by only summing the number of effective alleles directly as a sensitivity analysis.

Study Design

The main design of this research is presented in **Figure 1**. Each GRS for the exposure was discretized to two or four subgroups by the median or quantiles. To conduct the 2×2 factorial analysis, the GRS was used as an instrument of randomization to divide participants into four groups. First, participants were divided into two groups based on whether their genetic GLU score was equal to or lower than, or higher than the median value. Next, participants in either of these two groups were further divided into two more groups in the same way. Then, by quantile, the GRS for the TyG index was also discretized into four subgroups that are the same as the number of 2×2 combinations of GLU and TG score.

To conduct the 2 \times 4 factorial analysis, the GRS for one of the exposures (GLU and TG) was dichotomized into a binary exposure by the median; meanwhile, another GRS was divided into four subgroups by quantiles (Q1-Q4). Firstly, we measured the independent effect of binary GLU (higher GRS vs. lower GRS) and test the heterogeneity by Q1-Q4 TG subgroups. Then, the effect of TG was measured in the same way. The 3 \times 3 (or 4 \times 4 in dataset B since it has a larger sample size) analysis required both quantiles of TG and GLU scores that will produce 9 (or 16) subgroups. We set the lowest combination (combined Q1 GLU and Q1 TG) as the reference and estimate the causal effects of the other 8 (or 15) TG and GLU combinations. The 3×3 (or 4×4) MR analysis could show the potential dose-response relationship and predict the causal effect from any combination of genetically determined TG and GLU. Additionally, we also take the GLU, TG, and the TyG index as the continuous variable (centralized to the same scale) to perform two-step MR analysis and made an external verification in the MR-Base platform (23).

Statistical Analysis

Among the factorial subgroups, all continuous baseline variables were summarized by mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and compared using one-way ANOVA analysis, while categorical variables were summarized by percentage and tested via Chisquared statistics. The differences in GLU and TG between a specific subgroup and the reference group were calculated by the difference in the crude means, which will further be utilized in the meta-regression when scaling the causal effect to the suitable clinical unit. The differences in the risk of cardiovascular metabolic disease by factorial subgroups were measured by multivariable logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoke status, fasting time, and LDL. The two-step multivariable MR analysis also adjusted for the same covariates when regressing the continuous GRS and outcome. The causal effects were presented by odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A z-test was used to assess interactions between pairs of subgroups, and the Cochran Q-test was used when comparing more than two subgroups.

To estimate the effect of combined 1-SD increased GLU (per 0.62 mmol/L) and TG (per 0.5 log mmol/L) on CVD and subtypes, the bivariate meta-regression was applied to regress the causal effects in the 3×3 (or 4×4) factorial analysis and then to predict the causal effect from any combination of GLU and TG. The external replication was performed in the MR-Base platform by two-sample MR (TSMR) analysis that matches our instrumental SNPs to the outcome from Coronary Artery Disease Genome-Wide Replication, Meta-analysis (CARDIOGRAM) plus the Coronary Artery Disease (C4D) genetics consortium (CARDIoGRAMplusC4D) (24, 25) and the MEGASTROKE Consortium (26), including coronary heart disease (CHD), myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, ischemic stroke (IS), cardioembolic stroke (CS), large artery stroke (LAS), and small vessel stroke (SVS). We performed external verification by both univariate and multivariate (BMIadjusted) TSMR models.

Sensitivity analysis is defined as using both internally and externally reported SNP sets and both weighted and unweighted GRS in different datasets. All analysis based on dataset A with weighted GRS (SNP set A) was taken as the principal results. Meanwhile, we further repeated our analysis with unweighted GRS (SNP set A) in dataset A, weighted GRS (SNP set B) in dataset B, and weighted GRS (SNP set C) in dataset A with external-reported effect values. The capability of these GRS was assessed through the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve according to the predictive performance for the three phenotypes (binary variables) by 10-fold cross-validation. The variance explained by GRS was acquired from the regression between GRS and the corresponding phenotype. Then, we also made an observational analysis to repeat these processes by using the GLU, TG, and TyG index phenotypes directly. The DM and DML were taken as positive control outcomes to show the effectiveness of instrumental GRS of GLU and TG (only in dataset B). The remaining pleiotropy was assessed using the MR-Egger method by testing whether the intercept term was equal to zero. All analyses were two-tailed with a significance level of P < 0.05 and performed using R (Version 3.6.2), Plink, and Plink 2 software.

RESULTS

A total of 273,368 participants were included in this research. **Supplementary Table 4** showed the baseline characteristics of the study population by the 2×2 subgroup of the TG and GLU subgroup. Among these participants, the non-lipid and non-glycemic characteristics including fasting time, sex, smoke, and drink presented no significant differences across 2×2 factorial subgroups. The IVs of GLU, TG, and the TyG index explained the variance by 2.3, 6.9, and 6.9%, respectively. Baseline characteristics of participants including DM and DLM are shown in **Supplementary Table 5**. The predictive performance of GRS for the three phenotypes shown in **Supplementary Figure 1** presented approximately equivalent AUC across internal/external and weighted/unweighted GRS. MR-Egger test showed no significant horizontal pleiotropy for

GLU by TG subgroup	No.Control	No.Case	ΔGLU	Odds Ratio		P value
Ovrall CVD						Q test:>0.05
Q1	64267	4075	0.62(1-SD)	1.17(0.90-1.52)	⊢┼╼╴╌┥	0.236
Q2	64300	4042	0.62(1-SD)	1.09(0.82-1.44)	·	0.553
Q3	64096	4246	0.62(1-SD)	1.26(0.96-1.64)	⊢_ ∎	0.091
Q4	64158	4184	0.62(1-SD)	1.24(0.95-1.61)	⊢_ ∎	0.116
Overall	256821	16547	0.62(1-SD)	1.19(1.04-1.36)	-	0.013
IHD						Q test:>0.05
Q1	65672	2670	0.62(1-SD)	1.53(1.11-2.10)		0.009
Q2	65617	2725	0.62(1-SD)	1.06(0.76-1.48)	·	0.745
Q3	65518	2824	0.62(1-SD)	1.42(1.03-1.96)		0.034
Q4	65523	2819	0.62(1-SD)	1.49(1.08-2.05)	·•	0.014
Overall	262330	11038	0.62(1-SD)	1.36(1.16-1.60)		<0.001
CED						Q test:>0.05
Q1	67025	1317	0.62(1-SD)	0.83(0.54-1.30)		0.419
Q2	67086	1256	0.62(1-SD)	0.97(0.60-1.57)		0.886
Q3	67004	1338	0.62(1-SD)	1.03(0.65-1.63)		0.887
Q4	67039	1303	0.62(1-SD)	0.84(0.53-1.33)		0.462
Overall	268154	5214	0.62(1-SD)	0.91(0.73-1.15)	-	0.435
TG by GLU subgroup	No.Control	No.Case	Δlog(TG)	Odds Ratio		P value
CVD						Q test:>0.05
Q1	64321	4021	0.50(1-SD)	1.23(1.05-1.43)	⊢ ∎	0.010
Q2	64182	4160	0.50(1-SD)	1.34(1.14-1.57)	⊢ ∎	<0.001
Q3	64166	4176	0.50(1-SD)	1.36(1.16-1.58)	⊢ −∎−−1	<0.001
Q4	64152	4190	0.50(1-SD)	1.37(1.17-1.59)	⊢ ∎	<0.001
Overall	256821	16547	0.50(1-SD)	1.32(1.22-1.43)	•	<0.001
IHD						Q test:>0.05
Q1	65699	2643	0.50(1-SD)	1.22(1.01-1.47)		0.036
Q2	65612	2730	0.50(1-SD)	1.38(1.14-1.67)	⊢	<0.001
Q3	65544	2798	0.50(1-SD)	1.35(1.12-1.63)	⊢ −∎−−−1	0.002
Q4	65475	2867	0.50(1-SD)	1.46(1.22-1.76)		<0.001
Overall	262330	11038	0.50(1-SD)	1.35(1.23-1.49)	-	<0.001
CED						Q test:>0.05
Q1	67035	1307	0.50(1-SD)	1.16(0.90-1.51)		0.258
Q2	66999	1343	0.50(1-SD)	1.27(0.98-1.66)	⊢_ ∎	0.074
Q3	67021	1321	0.50(1-SD)	1.28(0.98-1.67)		0.065
Q4	67099	1243	0.50(1-SD)	1.23(0.94-1.61)	·	0.137
Overall	268154	5214	0.50(1-SD)	1.24(1.08-1.41)	-	0.002
				0.5	Odds Ratio and 95% Cl	

FIGURE 2 Independent associations of 1-SD higher glucose and triglyceride with the risk of major CVD. CVD, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular metabolic diseases; IHD, ischemic heart diseases; CED, cerebrovascular diseases; GLU, glucose; TG, triglyceride. Δ GLU and Δ log TG: the difference of observed glucose level between the higher GLU genetic score group or the higher TG genetic score group and the reference group. The difference value and corresponding OR (95% CI) were scaled to the 1-SD difference (0.62 mmol/L or 0.50 log mmol/L).

the IVs on any CVD (**Supplementary Table 6**, *P* for intercept term >0.05), except the GLU for HF and IS (*P* for intercept term = 0.041 and 0.037, respectively).

Independent Associations of GLU and TG With CVD

Figure 2 shows the independent causal effect of GLU and TG on CVD and the corresponding effects in TG and GLU subgroups from Q1 to Q4 (2 \times 4 analysis). The genetically higher GLU (scaled to 1-SD difference) increased the risk of overall CVD and IHD by 19% [OR (95% CI): 1.19 (1.04-1.36)] and 36% [OR (95% CI): 1.36 (1.16–1.60)] and was not significantly associated with CED. The genetically higher TG (1-SD difference) increased the risk of overall CVD by 32%, the risk of IHD by 35%, and the risk of CED by 24% [OR (95% CI): 1.32 (1.22-1.43), 1.35 (1.23-1.49), and 1.24 (1.08-1.41), respectively]. Overall, no heterogeneity of effects was observed in the Q1-Q4 GLU/TG subgroups (Q-test: p > 0.05). For the minor CVD events, 1-SD higher GLU significantly increased the risk of AP, AMI, and CIHD by 34, 54, and 38%, respectively (Supplementary Figure 2). 1-SD higher TG significantly increased the risk of AP [OR (95% CI): 1.39 (1.23-1.58)], AMI [OR (95% CI): 1.64 (1.41-1.91)], CIHD [OR (95% CI): 1.35 (1.21-1.51)], HF [OR (95% CI): 1.36 (1.11-1.66)], and IS [OR (95% CI): 1.32 (1.05-1.67)] (Supplementary Figure 3). The non-scaled effects of GLU (0.150 mmol/L difference) and TG (0.210 logmmol/L difference) are shown in Supplementary Figures 4-6. Consistent results were reported by unweighted GRS for dataset A (Supplementary Figures 7-9), weighted GRS for dataset B (Supplementary Figures 10-12), and weighted GRS with external SNP set for dataset A (Supplementary Figures 13-15) in the sensitivity analysis. For dataset B, GLU and TG were also positively associated with DM and DLM. The observational results are shown in Supplementary Figures 16-18. Overall, the observational GLU presented an insignificant or even negative association with CVD or several subtypes, while TG is prone to the positive association.

Associations of Jointly Higher GLU and TG With CVD

As shown in Figure 3, the participants with GLU scores more than the median have 0.150 mmol/L higher GLU, and the OR was 1.03 (95% CI, 0.98–1.08, P = 0.212) for overall CVD compared to the reference. Meanwhile, the subgroup with TG scores more than the median has 0.210-unit log TG and an OR of 1.11 (95% CI, 1.06–1.16, P < 0.001). Participants in the group with both higher GLU and TG scores had an OR of 1.17 (95% CI, 1.12-1.23; P < 0.001) for overall CVD. In addition, the combined higher GLU and TG were strongly associated with an increased risk of IHD [OR (95% CI), 1.22 (1.16-1.29)] but insignificant with CED. When scaled by meta-regression, combined exposure to 1-SD higher GLU and TG was associated with 1.61-fold risk of overall CVD [OR (95% CI): 1.61 (1.44-1.80)], 1.80-fold risk of IHD [OR (95% CI): 1.80 (1.68-1.94)], and 1.39-fold risk of CED [OR (95% CI): 1.39 (1.06–1.83)] (Supplementary Figure 19). The magnitude of the association in the combined exposures was approximately equivalent to the log-additive associations with the risk of major CVD in the groups with higher GLU and TG, respectively.

For minor CVD events, the combined GLU and TG also significantly increased the risk of AP, AMI, CIHD, and HF [OR (95% CI): 1.23 (1.15–1.33), 1.36 (1.25–1.49), 1.23 (1.15–1.31), and 1.16 (1.03–1.31), respectively]. In summary, the combined GLU and TG were only significantly associated with cardiovascular metabolic outcomes but not with cerebrovascular metabolic outcomes. Consistent results were reported by both unweighted GRS for dataset A (**Supplementary Figure 20**), weighted GRS for dataset B (**Supplementary Figure 21**), and weighted external IV SNP set for dataset A (**Supplementary Figure 22**) in the sensitivity analysis. The observational results are shown in **Supplementary Figure 23**.

Associations of the TyG Index With CVD

Figure 4 presented the association between the TyG index and CVD events. Compared to the Q1 group, the highest TyG index (Q4) significantly increased the risk of major CVD including overall CVD [OR (95% CI): 1.20 (1.14–1.25)], IHD [OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.15–1.29)], CED [OR (95% CI): 1.14 (1.05–1.23)], and minor CVD events including AP [OR (95% CI): 1.29 (1.20–1.39)], AMI [OR (95% CI): 1.27 (1.16–1.39)], CIHD [OR (95% CI): 1.21 (1.13–1.29)], and IS [OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.06–1.40)]. In the sensitivity analysis, the above results were replicated. The weighted GRS in dataset B and the weighted external GRS additionally showed a significant association with HF, while the observational TyG index was not significant for IS (**Supplementary Figures 24–27**). The TyG index also significantly increased the risk of DM and DLM [OR (95% CI): 1.42 (1.36–1.48) and 1.78 (1.74–1.83)].

Association of Dose–Response

Figure 5 shows the results of meta-regression by 3×3 combined exposure to GLU and TG and the effect of the independent TyG index in the equal nine subgroups for the increased proportional risk for overall CVD and IHD, respectively. Both combined GLU/TG and the TyG index presented a significant doseresponse relationship for CVD and IHD (P < 0.001 for metaregression model). For overall CVD, the beta coefficients of GLU and TG in meta-regression was 0.32 (P = 0.01) and 0.56 (P < 0.001), and the beta coefficient for the TyG index was 0.46(P < 0.001). For IHD, the beta coefficients of GLU and TG in meta-regression were 0.44 (P < 0.001) and 0.64 (P < 0.001), and the beta coefficient for the TyG index was 0.61 (P < 0.001). The result of TG and GLU was largely consistent with the 2×2 analysis. Sensitivity analysis in **Supplementary Figures 28–31** further reproduces the above results.

Subgroup Analysis, Continuous Exposures, and External Verification

The subgroup analysis for overall CVD is showed in **Supplementary Figure 32**. Among the subgroup of sex, age, BMI, fasting time, HDL-C, HbA1c, SBP, and smoke status, we found few interactions for the combined exposure to GLU and TG and the TyG index. The combined exposures and

2×2 subgroup	No.control	No.case	∆GLU	Δlog(TG)	Odds Ratio		P value
Major CVD events							
Overall CVD							
Reference	64037	4027				•	
Higher GLU	64530	4090	0.150	0.005	1.03(0.98-1.08)	⊢ ∎-1	0.212
Higher TG	64466	4154	-0.009	0.210	1.11(1.06-1.16)	⊢ ∎ -1	<0.001
Higher GLU and TG	63788	4276	0.141	0.216	1.17(1.12-1.23)		- <0.001
IHD							
Reference	65425	2639				•	
Higher GLU	65864	2756	0.150	0.005	1.06(1.00-1.12)		0.041
Higher TG	65886	2734	-0.009	0.210	1.12(1.06-1.18)		<0.001
Higher GLU and TG	65155	2909	0.141	0.216	1.22(1.16-1.29)		<0.001
CED							
Reference	66753	1311				•	
Higher GLU	67358	1262	0.150	0.005	0.97(0.90-1.05)	⊢_ ∎	0.505
Higher TG	67281	1339	-0.009	0.210	1.09(1.01-1.18)	⊢	0.035
Higher GLU and TG	66762	1302	0.141	0.216	1.07(0.99-1.16)	H	0.095
Minor CVD events							
AP							
Reference	66655	1409					
Higher GLU	67139	1481	0.150	0.005	1.07(0.99-1.15)	F	0.085
Higher TG	67142	1478	-0.009	0.210	1.14(1.06-1.23)	► —	- <0.001
Higher GLU and TG	66516	1548	0.141	0.216	1.23(1.15-1.33)		<0.001
AMI							
Reference	67146	918					
Higher GLU	67643	977	0.150	0.005	1.08(0.98-1.18)	·	0.119
Higher TG	67605	1015	-0.009	0.210	1.19(1.09-1.31)		<0.001
Higher GLU and TG	66937	1127	0.141	0.216	1.36(1.25-1.49)		· <0.001
CIHD							
Reference	66281	1783					
Higher GLU	66730	1890	0.150	0.005	1.08(1.01-1.15)		0.032
Higher TG	66749	1871	-0.009	0.210	1.13(1.06-1.21)		< 0.001
Higher GLU and TG	66082	1982	0.141	0.216	1.23(1.15-1.31)	_	<0.001
HF					,		
Reference	67517	547				_	
Higher GLU	68090	530	0,150	0.005	0.98(0.87-1.11)		0.779
Higher TG	68063	557	-0.009	0.210	1.09(0.97-1.23)		- 0.147
Higher GLU and TG	67487	577	0.141	0.216	1.16(1.03-1.31)		0.015
HS							0.010
Reference	67721	343				_	
Higher GLU	68309	311	0 150	0.005	0.90(0.78-1.06)		0.205
Higher TG	68286	334	-0.000	0.210	0.99(0.95 1.10)		0.205
	67720	334	-0.009	0.210	0.99(0.95 4.40)		0.944
	6//30	334	0.141	0.216	0.99(0.85-1.16)		0.944
15	070.15					⊥	
Reference	67649	415	0.475	0.005	1 00/0 07 1 10	I	
Higher GLU	68214	406	0.150	0.005	1.00(0.87-1.15)		0.971
Higher TG	68175	445	-0.009	0.210	1.14(0.99-1.30)		0.063
Higher GLU and TG	67634	430	0.141	0.216	1.11(0.97-1.27)		0.136

FIGURE 3 Association of joint exposure to higher glucose and triglyceride with the risk of CVD. CVD, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular metabolic diseases; IHD, ischemic heart diseases; CED, cerebrovascular diseases; AP, angina pectoris; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CIHD, chronic ischemic heart disease; HF, heart failure; HS, hemorrhagic stroke; IS, ischemic stroke; GLU, glucose; TG, triglyceride. Δ GLU and Δ log TG: the difference of observed glucose level and log converted TG level between the higher GLU/TG genetic score group and the reference group.

I YG subgroup	No.control	No.case	ΔIYG	Odds Ratio		F value
Major CVD events						
Overall CVD						
Q1	64337	4005			•	
Q2	64199	4143	0.120	1.09(1.04-1.14)	► 	<0.001
Q3	64185	4157	0.210	1.13(1.08-1.18)		<0.001
Q4	64100	4242	0.350	1.20(1.14-1.25)		<0.001
IHD						
Q1	65701	2641				
Q2	65590	2752	0.120	1.10(1.04-1.17)	⊢	<0.001
Q3	65536	2806	0.210	1.16(1.10-1.23)	⊢	<0.001
Q4	65503	2839	0.350	1.22(1.15-1.29)		
CED						
Q1	67066	1276				
Q2	67015	1327	0.120	1.09(1.00-1.17)		0.042
Q3	67046	1296	0.210	1.08(1.00-1.17)		0.050
04	67027	1315	0.350	1.14(1.05-1.23)		0.001
Minor CVD events			0.000			0.001
01	66956	1386				
02	66885	1457	0 120	1 12/1 04-1 21)		0.003
03	66813	1529	0.210	1.2(1.04-1.21)		<0.000
01	66798	1544	0.350	1.20(1.14-1.02)		<0.001
	00750	1344	0.000	1.23(1.20-1.33)		
	67202	040				
	67333	1003	0.120	1 10(1 00 1 02)		0.014
02	67339	1003	0.120	1.12(1.02-1.23)		0.014
03	67320	1022	0.210	1.10(1.07-1.29)		<0.001
	6/2/9	1063	0.350	1.27(1.16-1.39)		<0.001
	00544	1700				
Q1	66544	1798				
Q2	66485	1857	0.120	1.09(1.02-1.16)		0.016
Q3	66406	1936	0.210	1.17(1.10-1.25)		<0.001
Q4	66407	1935	0.350	1.21(1.13-1.29)		
HF						
Q1	67794	548				
Q2	67795	547	0.120	1.05(0.93-1.18)		0.463
Q3	67766	576	0.210	1.12(1.00-1.27)		H 0.055
Q4	67802	540	0.350	1.11(0.98-1.25)		0.097
HS					\perp	
Q1	68029	313				
Q2	67993	349	0.120	1.12(0.96-1.31)		0.149
Q3	68015	327	0.210	1.07(0.91-1.25)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.401
Q4	68009	333	0.350	1.10(0.94-1.28)		- 0.249
IS						
Q1	67946	396			•	
Q2	67924	418	0.120	1.10(0.96-1.26)		0.185
Q3	67902	440	0.210	1.18(1.03-1.35)		0.020
Q4	67900	442	0.350	1.22(1.06-1.40)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.004

FIGURE 4 | Association of the triglyceride–glucose index with the risk of CVD. CVD, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular metabolic diseases; IHD, ischemic heart diseases; CED, cerebrovascular diseases; AP, angina pectoris; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CIHD, chronic ischemic heart disease; HF, heart failure; HS, hemorrhagic stroke; IS, ischemic stroke. ΔTyG: the difference of the observed triglyceride–glucose index level between the higher TyG index genetic score group (Q2–Q4) and the reference group (Q1).



the TyG index presented strong interactions in the LDL-C subgroup (P < 0.001), so it was viewed as a potential covariate to be adjusted. Similar results for other CVD subtypes are also shown in Supplementary Tables 7, 8. Supplementary Figure 33 shows the effect of 1-SD changed (continuous variable) GLU, TG, and the TyG index on any CVD. The three exposures significantly increased the risk of CVD, IHD, AP, AMI, and CIHD, which is consistent with the factorial analysis. The TG and TyG index additionally predicted an increased risk of CED and IS while the TyG index also increased the risk of HF. Supplementary Figures 34, 35 show the results of external verification with univariate and multivariate TSMR analysis adjusted for BMI. These results were still consistent with the previous reports that show that GLU, TG, and TyG significantly increased the risk of cardiovascular metabolic diseases (including coronary heart disease and myocardial infarction) but not robust for cerebrovascular metabolic diseases. Also, TSMR showed that GLU is significantly associated with IS while TG and the TyG index are positively associated with LAS. The TyG index is

additionally associated with SVS. In multivariable MR, the effect values only slightly changed, although a few were insignificant.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the causal association of the TyG index and the independent and combined association of GLU and TG with major and minor cardiovascular and cerebrovascular metabolic outcomes. The effect of GLU and TG was independent to each other at the genetic level. The combined exposures and TyG index predicted similar, robust, and a significantly increased risk for cardiovascular metabolic outcomes, while the TyG index was also significant for cerebrovascular metabolic outcomes.

For the independent TG and GLU, several studies have provided evidence that genetically elevated triglycerides are causally associated with an increased risk of CVD. Do et al. reported that the genetically determined elevated triglyceride levels were strongly associated with IHD (27). Our findings further confirmed this evidence and extended the scope of the cardiovascular metabolic diseases to more subtypes including the AP, AMI, CIHD, and HF. Besides, we proved that the effect of observational and genetically elevated TG levels was independent of the GLU with each other. Our research also reported some positive results of TG on cerebrovascular metabolic diseases like CED and IS and negative results of TG on HS. These findings were consistent with some observational studies that show that TG was related to a higher risk of stroke (28, 29).

The non-fasting GLU independently predicted the increased risk of IHD, AP, AMI, and CIHD, but not significant for CED, HF, HS, and IS. MR studies have also confirmed that glucose levels are prospectively associated with a high risk of IHD and MI (7, 8). The association between GLU and stroke was still controversial. Lee G et al. reported that increased fasting glucose in the nondiabetic population is associated with risks of MI and stroke in a retrospective cohort, but the effect for MI was larger than that for stroke (30). However, MR research further supports our results that genetically predicted fasting glucose and fasting insulin levels and BMI were not statistically significantly associated with any ischemic stroke subtype (9). Observational results show that glucose tends to have a protective effect on cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases and is not significant. There are some differences between these results and the abovementioned studies, indicating that observational results are more likely to be affected by confounders and that it will be difficult to obtain robust conclusions.

For the combined GLU and TG, no previous research has been conducted to quantify the CVD risk associated with the combined high TG and GLU. Similar research performed by Brian A et al. reported the combined exposure to lower LDL-C and SBP with the lifetime risk of CVD. Though the exposures were different, we found similar conclusions that GLU and TG also satisfy an independent, additive, and dose-response relationship for CVD and subtypes, but not for CED and its subtypes.

Our research further explored the causal role of the TyG index in the above diseases. This indicator has previously been widely used in observational studies and has reported positive associations with cardiovascular outcomes, coronary artery disease, IS, and cancer (12, 31–35), but no available causal evidence. The results from MR confirmed the effectiveness and high sensitivity of predicting values for the TyG index that successfully showed an increased risk of overall CVD, IHD, CED, AP, AMI, CIHD, IS, DM, and DLM when compared Q4 to Q1. These conclusions were largely the same as the combined GLU and TG but additionally predicted the risk of CED and IS. Apart from this, we found consistent conclusions from the observational TyG index, which means an ideal application in clinical practice even when lacking genetic information.

Finally, the above finding could also be interpreted and applied in suitable settings. First, the metabolic changes induced by high GLU, together with increased IR and free fatty acids, accelerate the atherosclerotic process through increased oxidative stress in arterial endothelial cells and the formation of advanced glycation end products, collectively resulting in vasoconstriction, inflammation, and thrombosis (7, 36). The potential mechanism for TG could also result in the CVD in similar ways since some

studies demonstrated that elevated triglyceride-rich lipoproteins produce lipolytic products such as oxidized FFAs, which induce production of cytokines, interleukins, and proatherogenic adhesion molecules that may generate local inflammation in the arterial wall (37). Second, our research supported an independent effect of higher TG and GLU. Based on the independence, the increased linear proportional risk could be identified from any combination of GLU and TG via the meta-regression or log-additive values of independent GLU and TG. By providing a quantitatively rigorous method to estimate potential differences in cardiovascular risk that might be achieved with various public health strategies. Third, our research also confirmed the effectiveness of the TyG index in causality. The TyG index not only replicated the result of independent GLU and TG but also additionally predicted an increased risk of CED and IS. Besides, the TyG index also showed a linear dose-response relationship with the target outcomes that generate a similar predictive performance as combined GLU and TG. As a result, the TyG index could be utilized as a novel indicator in healthcare practice. Since the TyG index has a different scale from the combined GLU and TG, it seems implausible to compare the absolute values with each other. So, the TyG index could be used as conventional prediagnostic indicators while the combined GLU and TG will offer a quantitative risk for target outcome.

The strength of this research is reflected in the following aspects. To start with, the advantage of MR studies over observational studies is that the genetic-dependent exposures will suffer less confounding, reverse causation, and capture a lifelong effect. Then, the independent and combined effect of GLU and TG was measured and quantified for the first time by comprehensive design. Also, we explored the causal effect of TyG on CVD for the first time. Of course, some limitations should also be pointed out. The precision of results in factorial MR was largely dependent on the strength of instrumental SNPs; a more powerful set of IV should be further applied in future research under the control of potential pleiotropy. Second, factorial MR is not applicable for multivariable analysis, but we adjusted some potential covariates when regressing the GRS and outcome to control the remnant of confounding. Then, the fasting GLU and TG were not available in the UK Biobank, but it has been reported that non-fasting measures were superior predictors (38) and our results will not be influenced by the fasting time in subgroup analysis. Finally, it has been reported that the UK Biobank participants were less likely to be obese, to smoke, to drink, and to have fewer self-reported health conditions that are not representative of the sampling population, which suggested a "healthy volunteer" selection bias (39). Though it also mentioned that a valid assessment of exposure-disease relationships does not require participants to be representative of the population at large, more studies are needed to further confirm our findings.

CONCLUSIONS

Lifelong genetic exposure to higher triglyceride and glucose was associated with higher overall CVD and cardiac metabolic risk. The GLU and TG showed an independent, additive, and dose–response effect on CVD. The TyG index could be used as a sensitive pre-diagnostic indicator while the combined GLU and TG will offer a quantitative risk for target outcome.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (Application ID: 51470). Researchers could acquire this data by submitting an application to the UK Biobank (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/) through the UK Biobank Access Management System (https://bbams.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ams/).

ETHICS STATEMENT

The UK Biobank has ethical approval from the Northwest Multi-Center Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided written informed consent.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SS and FX conceived the study. SS did the statistical analyses and drafted the initial manuscript. All authors participated in the interpretation of the results, and edited and reviewed the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ference BA, Bhatt DL, Catapano AL, Packard CJ, Graham I, Kaptoge S, et al. Association of genetic variants related to combined exposure to lower low-density lipoproteins and lower systolic blood pressure with lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease. *JAMA*. (2019) 322:1381–91. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.14120
- Lawler PR, Akinkuolie AO, Chu AY, Shah SH, Kraus WE, Craig D, et al. Atherogenic lipoprotein determinants of cardiovascular disease and residual risk among individuals with low low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. J Am Heart Assoc. (2017) 6:e005549. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.0 05549
- Fujihara Y, Nakamura T, Horikoshi T, Obata J-E, Fujioka D, Watanabe Y, et al. Remnant lipoproteins are residual risk factor for future cardiovascular events in patients with stable coronary artery disease and on-statin lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol levels <70 mg/dL. *Circ J Off J Jpn Circ Soc.* (2019) 83:1302–8. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-19-0047
- De Rosa S, Arcidiacono B, Chiefari E, Brunetti A, Indolfi C, Foti DP. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease: genetic and epigenetic links. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:2. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00002
- Ference BA, Kastelein JJP, Ray KK, Ginsberg HN, Chapman MJ, Packard CJ, et al. Association of triglyceride-lowering LPL variants and LDL-C-lowering LDLR variants with risk of coronary heart disease. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:364–73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.20045
- Nordestgaard BG, Tybjærg-Hansen A. Genetic determinants of LDL, lipoprotein(a), triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and HDL: concordance and discordance with cardiovascular disease risk. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2011) 22:113–22. doi: 10.1097/MOL.0b013e32834477d2
- Emanuelsson F, Marott S, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG, Benn M. Impact of glucose level on micro- and macrovascular disease in the general population: a mendelian randomization study. *Diabetes Care*. (2020) 43:894– 902. doi: 10.2337/dc19-1850
- Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, McCarthy MI, Jensen GB, Grande P, Nordestgaard BG. Nonfasting glucose, ischemic heart disease, and myocardial infarction: a Mendelian randomization study. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2012) 59:2356–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.043

FUNDING

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81773547), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2020YFC2003500), the Major Scientific and Technological Innovation Projects of Shandong Province (2018YFJH0506-2), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2019ZD02). The corresponding author (FX) obtained the funding.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource. We thank the UK Biobank for approving this research. Thanks to the MR-Base platform and consortiums including CARDIoGRAM, CARDIoGRAMplusC4D, and ISGC for providing integrated data resources.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2020.583473/full#supplementary-material

- Larsson SC, Scott RA, Traylor M, Langenberg CC, Hindy G, Melander O, et al. Type 2 diabetes, glucose, insulin, BMI, and ischemic stroke subtypes: mendelian randomization study. *Neurology*. (2017) 89:454–60. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000004173
- Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med.* (2015) 12:e1001779. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature*. (2018) 562:203–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z
- Fritz J, Bjørge T, Nagel G, Manjer J, Engeland A, Häggström C, et al. The triglyceride-glucose index as a measure of insulin resistance and risk of obesity-related cancers. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2020) 49:193–204. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyz053
- Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7
- Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet*. (2013) 45:1274–83. doi: 10.1038/ng.2797
- Liu DJ, Peloso GM, Yu H, Butterworth AS, Wang X, Mahajan A, et al. Exomewide association study of plasma lipids in >300,000 individuals. *Nat Genet*. (2017) 49:1758–66. doi: 10.1038/ng.3977
- Manning AK, Hivert M-F, Scott RA, Grimsby JL, Bouatia-Naji N, Chen H, et al. A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nat Genet*. (2012) 44:659–69. doi: 10.1038/ng.2274
- Scott RA, Lagou V, Welch RP, Wheeler E, Montasser ME, Luan J, et al. Largescale association analyses identify new loci influencing glycemic traits and provide insight into the underlying biological pathways. *Nat Genet.* (2012) 44:991–1005. doi: 10.1038/ng.2385
- Li Z, Chen P, Chen J, Xu Y, Wang Q, Li X, et al. Glucose and insulin-related traits, type 2 diabetes and risk of schizophrenia: a Mendelian randomization study. *EBioMedicine*. (2018) 34:182–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.07.037

- Dupuis J, Langenberg C, Prokopenko I, Saxena R, Soranzo N, Jackson AU, et al. New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat Genet*. (2010) 42:105–16. doi: 10.1038/ng.520
- Saxena R, Hivert M-F, Langenberg C, Tanaka T, Pankow JS, Vollenweider P, et al. Genetic variation in GIPR influences the glucose and insulin responses to an oral glucose challenge. *Nat Genet.* (2010) 42:142–8. doi: 10.1038/ng.521
- Pan Y, Chen W, Yan H, Wang M, Xiang X. Glycemic traits and Alzheimer's disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *Aging*. (2020) 12:22688–99. doi: 10.18632/aging.103887
- Jung SY, Mancuso N, Han S, Zhang Z-F. The role of genetically determined glycemic traits in breast cancer: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Genet.* (2020) 11:540724. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.540724
- 23. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife*. (2018) 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408
- Nikpay M, Goel A, Won H-H, Hall LM, Willenborg C, Kanoni S, et al. A comprehensive 1,000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. (2015) 47:1121–30. doi: 10.1038/ng.3396
- Schunkert H, König IR, Kathiresan S, Reilly MP, Assimes TL, Holm H, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. (2011) 43:333–8. doi: 10.1038/ng.784
- Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, Sargurupremraj M, Okada Y, Mishra A, et al. Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:524–37. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0058-3
- Do R, Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Gao C, Peloso GM, et al. Common variants associated with plasma triglycerides and risk for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet.* (2013) 45:1345–52. doi: 10.1038/ng.2795
- Labreuche J, Deplanque D, Touboul P-J, Bruckert E, Amarenco P. Association between change in plasma triglyceride levels and risk of stroke and carotid atherosclerosis: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Atherosclerosis*. (2010) 212:9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.02.011
- Lee JS, Chang P-Y, Zhang Y, Kizer JR, Best LG, Howard BV. Triglyceride and HDL-C dyslipidemia and risks of coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke by glycemic dysregulation status: the strong heart study. *Diabetes Care*. (2017) 40:529–37. doi: 10.2337/dc16-1958
- Lee G, Kim SM, Choi S, Kim K, Jeong S-M, Son JS, et al. The effect of change in fasting glucose on the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, and allcause mortality: a nationwide cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2018) 17:51. doi: 10.1186/s12933-018-0694-z
- Joshi R, Wannamethee SG, Engmann J, Gaunt T, Lawlor DA, Price J, et al. Triglyceride-containing lipoprotein sub-fractions and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a prospective analysis in 11,560 adults. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2020) 27:1617–26. doi: 10.1177/2047487319899621

- 32. Ma X, Dong L, Shao Q, Cheng Y, Lv S, Sun Y, et al. Triglyceride glucose index for predicting cardiovascular outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and acute coronary syndrome. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2020) 19:31. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01006-7
- 33. Park G-M, Cho Y-R, Won K-B, Yang YJ, Park S, Ann SH, et al. Triglyceride glucose index is a useful marker for predicting subclinical coronary artery disease in the absence of traditional risk factors. *Lipids Health Dis*. (2020) 19:7. doi: 10.1186/s12944-020-1187-0
- 34. Won K-B, Park EJ, Han D, Lee JH, Choi S-Y, Chun EJ, et al. Triglyceride glucose index is an independent predictor for the progression of coronary artery calcification in the absence of heavy coronary artery calcification at baseline. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2020) 19:34. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-0 1008-5
- 35. Shi W, Xing L, Jing L, Tian Y, Yan H, Sun Q, et al. Value of triglycerideglucose index for the estimation of ischemic stroke risk: insights from a general population. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis NMCD*. (2020) 30:245–53. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2019.09.015
- Shah MS, Brownlee M. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of cardiovascular disorders in diabetes. *Circ Res.* (2016) 118:1808–29. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.306923
- Doi H, Kugiyama K, Oka H, Sugiyama S, Ogata N, Koide SI, et al. Remnant lipoproteins induce proatherothrombogenic molecules in endothelial cells through a redox-sensitive mechanism. *Circulation*. (2000) 102:670–6. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.102.6.670
- Chung H, Kim W. Non-fasting triglyceride levels as a superior predictor of cardiovascular disease. Circ J Off J Jpn Circ Soc. (2020) 84:386–7. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-20-0068
- Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2017) 186:1026–34. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwx246

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Si, Li, Li, Li, Chen, Yuan, Liu, Li, Hou, Wang and Xue. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.