



## Randomized Controlled Trials of Zhigancao Decoction Combined With Metoprolol in the Treatment of Arrhythmia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Yan Yang<sup>1†</sup>, Fei-Lin Ge<sup>2†</sup>, Qian Huang<sup>3†</sup>, Rui Zeng<sup>1</sup>, Xin-Yue Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Ping Liu<sup>4</sup>, Gang Luo<sup>4</sup>, Si-Jin Yang<sup>4,5\*</sup> and Qin Sun<sup>1,5,6\*</sup>

## OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Gary Tse, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Shuanglin Qin, Hubei University of Science and Technology, China Yin Xiong, Kunming University of Science and Technology, China Chunmiao Xue, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, China Malcolm Finlay, Barts Heart Centre, United Kingdom

#### \*Correspondence:

Si-Jin Yang ysjimn@sina.com Qin Sun zxyjhsq@swmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cardiac Rhythmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 15 October 2021 Accepted: 28 January 2022 Published: 23 February 2022

#### Citation:

Yang Y, Ge F-L, Huang Q, Zeng R, Zhang X-Y, Liu P, Luo G, Yang S-J and Sun Q (2022) Randomized Controlled Trials of Zhigancao Decoction Combined With Metoprolol in the Treatment of Arrhythmia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:795903. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.795903 <sup>1</sup> Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine School, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> Pharmacy School, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China, <sup>4</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Affiliated Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China, <sup>5</sup> National Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Research Base, Affiliated Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China, <sup>6</sup> Drug Research Center of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Affiliated Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China

**Objective:** Cardiac arrhythmia remains a major public health problem worldwide. Combinations of traditional medicine (TM) and conventional medicine (CM) have been used for arrhythmia treatment, yet the effectiveness and safety of many TM preparations can be controversial. We analyzed the safety and effectiveness of Zhigancao decoction (ZGCD) combined with metoprolol for arrhythmia treatment.

**Methods:** Systematic searches for randomized clinical trials (RCTs) were conducted in eight databases (January 2010–September 2020) without language restrictions. According to the Cochrane system evaluation method, the overall effectiveness and safety were evaluated by meta-analysis using Review Manager software (version 5.3), and publication bias was qualitatively analyzed using STATA 12.0.

**Results:** A total of 39 RCTs were incorporated, including 4,260 patients with arrhythmia, with 2,133 patients in the experimental group (ZGCD + metoprolol, ZGCD + BB) and 2,127 patients in the control group (metoprolol only, BB). Meta-analysis revealed that compared with BB, ZGCD + BB could significantly increase the total efficacy (OR = 4.74, 95% CI: 3.78–5.94, P < 0.01) and lower the incidences of arrhythmia (MD = -3.39, 95% CI: -4.09 to -2.68, P < 0.01). Moreover, mean HR reductions were reported in patients receiving ZGCD + BB the ZGCD + BB group (MD = -8.48, 95% CI: -10.98 to -5.97, P < 0.01) and a decrease in TCM symptoms were reported also (MD = -2.92, 95% CI: -3.08 to -2.76, P < 0.01). The incidence of adverse events was lower in patients treated with ZGCD + BB (RR = 0.36, 95% CI: 0.26-0.51, P < 0.01). These results appeared consistent across common arrhythmias. Nevertheless, the majority of included studies were unable to be formally assessed for bias, and funnel-plot analysis implied a moderate risk of publication bias.

**Conclusion:** ZGCD + BB appeared to demonstrate good efficacy and fewer adverse reactions compared to BB in the treatment of arrhythmia, and this may represent a useful

1

complementary therapy. However, our findings must be cautiously evaluated because of the small sample size and low quality of the clinic trials cited in the review. Rigorous and large-scale RCTs are warranted in the future to confirm these results.

Systematic Review Registration: https://inplasy.com/inplasy-2021-10-0045/.

Keywords: zhigancao decoction, metoprolol, arrhythmia, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trial

## INTRODUCTION

Arrhythmia refers to the abnormal origin or conduction of cardiac activation, resulting in an abnormal heart frequency and/or rhythm. Arrhythmia continues to be a common public health problem worldwide. In China, about 520,000 patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) die from malignant arrhythmias every year (1). Drugs treating arrhythmia are mainly conventional medicine (CM), but many may cause arrhythmias and even fatal adverse events themselves; thus, their application is limited (2). Metoprolol, slows the heart rate and inhibits cardiac contractility by blocking  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors. Metoprolol is widely used to treat arrhythmias clinically, but has known adverse effects, such as nausea, dizziness, headache, and bradycardia (3).

In China, arrhythmia is frequently treated using a combination of CM and traditional medicine (TM). Zhigancao decoction (ZGCD) recorded in Treatise on Febrile Disease by Zhang Zhongjing in the Han dynasty have been widely used in treating palpitation and irregular pulse for thousands of years in China (4). ZGCD has a unique curative effect in arrhythmia treatment that involves a two-way benign regulatory effect. Its regulatory effects on ion channels, hemodynamics, cardiomyocyte electrophysiology, and related processes have been verified (5). Furthermore, some clinical reports have reported that ZGCD combined with metoprolol has advantages in terms of total efficacy and arrhythmia control. However, because of lack of reliable medical evidence, the effectiveness of this combination remains controversial. Hence, this systematic review and meta-analysis of published randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of ZGCD + BB in arrhythmia treatment was performed.

## **METHODS**

## **Protocol and Registration**

This study protocol was registered and approved by INPLASY (Registration number INPLASY2021100045).

## **Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Clinical Trials, CNKI, VIP, CBM, and Wanfang databases were searched, and the retrieval time was limited to September 2020. The Chinese keywords were xinlvshichang, zhigancaotang, fumaitang, meituoluoer, beitaleke, and suijiduizhao. Other key words were arrhythmia, arrhythmia, arrhythmias, arrhythmic, cardiac arrhythmia, prepared licorice decoction, roast glycyrrhiza decoction, roasted licorice decoction, zhigancao decoction, metoprolol, and randomized controlled trials. Logical operators were used to formulate retrieval styles using these words as keywords or free words, and manual retrieval methods were employed. If the reviewers had any questions about the studies, the corresponding author was consulted.

#### Inclusion Criteria Participants

The study included patients who conformed to the clinical diagnosis of arrhythmia with recurrent symptoms such as palpitation, shortness of breath, and chest tightness and confirmed clinical diagnosis using electrocardiogram, relevant laboratory findings, and imaging examinations. Patients with severe liver and kidney diseases, hematopoietic system diseases, acute infection, and grade IV heart function were excluded from the study. Only RCTs were included in this meta.

#### Intervention Measures

The intervention group was treated with ZGCD + BB, while the control group was treated with BB only. Patients in both groups were administered basic treatment for their primary disease, such

#### TABLE 1 | The outcome indicators.

Outcome indicators	Criteria	Data expression
Total efficacy	(1) Significantly effective events: clinical symptoms and signs essentially disappeared, the number of arrhythmias decreased by more than 90%, and ECG results returned to normal	The number of $(1) + (2)$ cases
	(2) Effective events: clinical symptoms were relieved to a certain extent, the number of arrhythmias was reduced by 50–90%, and ECG results improved	
	(3) Ineffective events: clinical symptoms did not improve or even worsened, the number of arrhythmias decreased by <50%, and there was no significant change in ECG results	
Incidences of arrhythmia	The number of arrhythmias that occurred in the experimental and control groups after treatment was recorded in 24 h	$\bar{x} \pm$
HR of Arrhythmia	Heart rate was measured after wearing the dynamic ECG for 24 h	$\bar{x} \pm s$
TCM syndrome score	According to the main symptoms, such as chest tightness, palpitation, and fatigue sweating, the scores were as follows: asymptomatic, 0; mild, 1; severe, 3; the higher the score, the more serious the condition was.	$\bar{x} \pm s$
Adverse events	Adverse events included nausea, vomiting, dizziness, headache, and bradycardia, among others	The number of cases

as hypotension, lipid lowering, hypoglycemia, anticoagulation, and antiplatelet therapies, and other intervention measures.

#### **Outcome Indicators**

We have list the outcome indicators in the Table 1.

## Literature Exclusion Criteria

(1) Diagnostic method was not clear; (2) Experimental and control groups were not consistent with the above intervention measures or the description of the treatment method was not provided; (3) Outcome index could not be counted; (4) Non-RCTs and non-clinical trial studies; (5) Duplicate publications or incomplete studies; (6) The full text of the publication was not available.

## **Data Extraction**

Data extraction was independently performed by two researchers, and the relevant studies were extracted. If differences arose during this period, they were resolved through joint discussion, with assistance from a third researcher, if necessary.

## **Assessment of Trial Quality**

The methodology quality evaluation of the included studies was performed using the "bias risk assessment" tool recommended by Cochrane Handbook 5.0. The quality of the included studies was evaluated in terms of the random allocation method, allocation concealment, blinding method, integrity of the results data, and selective reporting of bias of the research results. We selectively reported the bias of the research results and other aspects of quality evaluation. For each study, the above items were evaluated as "yes" (low bias), "no" (highly biased), or "unclear" (lack of relevant information or uncertainty of bias).

## **Statistical Analysis**

RevMan 5.3 software, provided by the Cochrane collaboration network, was used in the analysis. The two classification variables used OR as the curative effect analysis statistics, and the numerical variables used the mean difference (MD) as the curative effect analysis statistics. Each effect was expressed as a 95% confidence interval (CI). The chi-square test was used to analyze heterogeneity among the studies. When there was a high degree of statistical heterogeneity among the studies (P < 0.1,  $I^2 > 50\%$ ), the random effects model was used; otherwise, the fixed effect model was used.

## RESULTS

## Search Results

A total of 147 studies were retrieved from the search results, and 39 studies (6–44) were included after reading abstracts and full texts. Exclusions comprised duplicate studies, case reports, reviews, retrospective studies, non-randomized controlled trials, and inconsistent trial bases (**Figure 1**).



#### TABLE 2 | Basic characteristics of the included studies.

References	Course of	Control	Trial (n)	A	ge	Dura	ation		Dosage	Outcome
	treatment	(n)		С	т	С	т	c	т	indicators
Aidufeng (6)	4 W	39	40	55.8 ± 5.1	56.4 ± 4.8	$10.8\pm1.3\text{M}$	$11.1\pm1.7\mathrm{M}$	Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid+Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	12
Caoyunyan (9)	2 W	57	57	$72.5 \pm 8.4$	$73.5\pm8.9$			Metoprolol 50 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid+Metoprolol 50 mg/dose, bid	13
Chenting (8)	12W	30	30	$63.7\pm9.5$	$62.1\pm8.9$	$3.9\pm1.7~\mathrm{Y}$	$3.7\pm1.4~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 15 mg/dose→50 mg/dose	ZGCD bid+Metoprolol 15 mg/dose→50 mg/dose	135
Duanaijing (11)	2 W	50	50	$62.03\pm3.74$	$61.25\pm3.87$			Metoprolol 25 mg $\rightarrow$ 100 mg, bid	ZGCD bid+Metoprolol 25 mg→100 mg, bid	1345
Guanhui (13)	1 M	40	40	67.61 ± 7.36	$67.55 \pm 6.78$			Metoprolol 6.25 mg/dose, tid; 6.25–12.5 mg/dose, bid, no more than 300–400 mg/d	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 6.25 mg/dose, tid; 6.25–12.5 mg/dose, bid, no more than 300–400 mg/d	1
Hedeying (14)	4 W	78	78					Metoprolol 12.5 mg, qd	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 12.5 mg, qd	12
Linwenzhi (18)	8 W	30	30	$56.01 \pm 2.11$	$55.38 \pm 2.67$	$12.63\pm2.27\mathrm{M}$	$12.59\pm2.43\textrm{M}$	Metoprolol 47.5 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 47.5 mg, qd	135
Liumengzhen (19)	4 W	71	71	$64.3\pm5.2$	$64.8 \pm 5.1$	$5.8\pm2.6~\textrm{Y}$	$5.7\pm2.8~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	13
Suxin (26)	10 D	35	35	$49.2\pm2.3$	$48.5\pm2.4$			Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	12
Wanzhimin (33)	4 W	46	46	$61.63 \pm 5.14$	$61.56\pm5.25$	$16.46\pm6.21\text{M}$	$16.52\pm6.26\text{M}$	Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	1
Yangzhongfen (36)	1 M	400	400	$63.9\pm5.3$	$64.1\pm5.4$			Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	13
Chenxiaolin (10)	4 W	47	50	$61.3 \pm 7.4$	$59.8\pm6.7$			Metoprolol 25–50 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25–50 mg/dose, bid	13
Fanxiuxia (12)	6 W	50	50					Metoprolol 50 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 50 mg/dose, bid	12
Huangxiaoqiang (16)	1 M	48	48	$59.8\pm8.7$	$61.5\pm8.2$			Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 25 mg/dose, bid	13
Jiangguo (17)	4 W	47	47					Metoprolol 6.25–25 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 6.25–25 mg/dose, bid	12
Peiguoxian (22)	4 W	38	38	$41.42\pm5.18$	38.27 ± 5.12	$12.58\pm3.62\text{M}$	$13.26\pm2.58\text{M}$	Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	1
Puqinping (23)		28	28	$66 \pm 4.3$	$66 \pm 4.7$	$2.5\pm1.5\mathrm{Y}$	$2.5\pm1.3~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol iv, 2.5 mg, qd	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol iv, 2.5 mg, qd	1
Sunjunxiong (24)	1 M	40	40	56.1 ± 2.8	$56.0 \pm 3.5$			Metoprolol 6.25–12.5 mg, bid, no more than 300–400 mg/d	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 6.25–12.5 mg, bid, no more than 300–400 mg/d	1
Tangwansi (27)	10 D	30	30	$60.58\pm4.56$	$58.83\pm5.18$	$5.85\pm1.34~\mathrm{Y}$	$5.96\pm1.23~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 23.75 mg/dose, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 23.75 mg/dose, qd	1234
Wanglibin (29)	4 W	56	52					Metoprolol 11.875 mg, after 1 week 23.75 mg	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 11.875 mg, after 1 week 23.75 mg	15

(Continued)

TCM Meta

#### TABLE 2 | Continued

References	Course of treatment	Control	Trial (n)	A	ge	Dura	ation		Dosage	Outcome
	treatment	(n)		С	т	С	т	c	т	indicators
Wanglin (30)	4 W	50	50	60.13 ± 7.33	59.85 ± 8.16			Metoprolol 25–50 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25–50 mg, bid	13
Wangshanshan (31)	2 W	47	47	$54.38\pm3.37$	$55.1\pm2.06$	$3.45\pm2.08~\mathrm{Y}$	$4.82\pm1.06~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 25–100 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25–100 mg, bid	1
Wangzhe (32)	4 W	43	45	$55.2\pm5.6$	$55.0 \pm 5.4$	$11.3\pm2.7\text{M}$	$11.1\pm2.5\text{M}$	Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	123
Wuyanpeng (34)	4 W	54	54	$65.22 \pm 3.12$	$65.26\pm3.14$	$9.05\pm1.51~\mathrm{Y}$	$9.06\pm1.54~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 23.75–47.5 mg, qd	13
Xushan (35)	4 W	75	75	$49.15\pm7.93$	$51.62\pm8.09$			Metoprolol 25 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg, qd	12
Zhangchunyan (37)	4 W	41	42	$71.66 \pm 5.43$	$72.17 \pm 5.59$	$8.85\pm2.38\text{M}$	$8.92\pm2.30\text{M}$	Metoprolol 6.25 mg, bid→50 mg/dose, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 6.25 mg, bid→50 mg/dose, bid	134
Zhangyanzhen (39)	3 M	60	60	$55.0\pm4.1$	$58.0\pm3.7$	$5\pm1.7~\mathrm{Y}$	$5\pm2.9$ Y	Metoprolol 100 mg, qd	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 100 mg, qd	1
Zhangyong (40)	2 W	50	50	$54.9\pm4.5$	$55.8 \pm 2.1$	$4.5\pm1.0~\mathrm{Y}$	$4.2\pm0.8~\textrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 25–100 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25–100 mg, bid	13
Zhaobin (41)	4 W	40	40	$70.67\pm 6.34$	$71.64 \pm 5.6$ s8	$7.98\pm3.45\mathrm{M}$	$8.23\pm3.57\text{M}$	Metoprolol 6 mg, bid, after 1 week 12 mg, bid, no more than 50 mg/dose	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 6 mg, bid, after 1 week 12 mg, bid, no more than 50 mg/dose	134
Meiyongxian (20)	20 D	32	32	$51.8 \pm 7.4$	$52.2\pm7.5$			Metoprolol 25–50 mg, 2–3 times/d	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25–50 mg, 2–3 times/d	1
Wangjigang (28)	4 W	45	45					Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	12
Zhangxiaopeng (38)	4 W	44	44					Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	15
Huangmianting (15)	4 W	30	30	$40.73\pm13.29$	$38.97\pm10.36$			Metoprolol 12.5 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 12.5 mg, bid	123
Baiyaping (7)	4 W	44	44	$58.22\pm5.03$	$58.12 \pm 5.23$	$6.32\pm1.13~\mathrm{Y}$	$6.52\pm1.23~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 12.5–25 mg, bid, after 1 week 25–50 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 12.5–25 mg, bid, after 1 week 25–50 mg, bid	12
Oujianzhao (21)	8W	17	20	$61.15 \pm 8.32$	$60.21 \pm 7.13$			Metoprolol 12.5–25 mg, bid	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 12.5–25 mg, bid	12
Sunyanlin (25)	6 W	45	45	$67.21 \pm 3.23$	$65.23 \pm 2.98$			Metoprolol 50 mg, bid	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 50 mg, bid	12
Zhangjilei (42)	2 W	80	80	$60.48 \pm 4.57$	$59.95\pm5.16$	$5.75\pm1.08~\mathrm{Y}$	$5.94\pm1.21~\mathrm{Y}$	Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	ZGCD bid + Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	24
Cuixiaoting (43)	8w	40	40			$2.6 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{Y}$	$2.8\pm1.2$	Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	ZGCD tid + Metoprolol 25 mg, bid	5

Control (C), metoprolol only; Trial (T), Zhigancao decoction + metoprolol; qd, quaque die; bid, bis in die; tid, ter in die; Outcome indicator: 1) Total Efficacy (2) Incidences of arrhythmia (3) Adverse Events (4) TCM Syndrome Score (5) HR of Arrhythmia.



# General Characteristics and Quality Evaluation of the Included Studies

Among the 39 articles included in the general characteristics and quality evaluation of the study, all mentioned that the baseline of the experimental group was similar to that of the control group and was comparable. The terms referencing "random" were mentioned in all studies, but only 11 mentioned the random number table method, and two used allocation concealment. Because of the inconsistent dosage forms of intervention drugs, none of the studies used blind methods; selective reporting results and other sources of bias were not clear. Basic characteristics of the included studies are summarized in **Table 2**, and the quality of the included studies is presented in **Figure 2**.

#### Meta-Analysis Results Total Efficacy

A total of 36 studies were included (6–41), and 3,960 patients were analyzed and evaluated, including BB group (n = 1,977) and ZGCD+ BB group (n = 1,983). There was no statistical heterogeneity among the studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ), therefore, we used a fixed-effect model. The statistical results revealed that the total effective rate of ZGCD + BB in the treatment of arrhythmias was higher than that of BB, and the difference was statistically significant (OR = 4.74, 95% CI: 3.78–5.94, P < 0.01). Further subgroup analysis demonstrated that there were 23 cases of coronary heart disease arrhythmia, 5 cases of atrial fibrillation, 4 cases of arrhythmia of qi-yin deficiency, and 2 cases of arrhythmia. The results demonstrated that the total efficacy of ZGCD + BB was higher for arrhythmias of different pathological types, as depicted in **Figure 3**.

#### Incidences of Arrhythmia

A total of 14 studies were included (6, 7, 12, 14, 15, 17, 21, 25–28, 32, 35, 42), and 3,072 patients were analyzed and evaluated, including BB group (n = 1,530) and ZGCD + BB group (n

= 1,542). There was a large statistical heterogeneity among the studies ( $I^2 = 98\%$ ); therefore, a random-effects model was used. The statistical results revealed that incidences of arrhythmia in the ZGCD + BB group was significantly less than that in the BB group, and the difference was statistically significant (MD = -3.39, 95% CI: -4.09 to -2.68, P < 0.01). Further subgroup analysis revealed that premature ventricular beats, atrial premature beats, and junctional dysrhythmias had 14, 10, and 9 studies, respectively. The results indicated that incidence of different pathological arrhythmias in the ZGCD + BB group was significantly lower than that in the BB group, as shown in **Figure 4**.

#### HR of Arrhythmia

A total of 6 studies were included (8, 11, 18, 29, 38, 43), and 498 patients were analyzed and evaluated, including BB group (n = 250) and ZGCD + BB group (n = 248). There was a large statistical heterogeneity among the studies  $(I^2 = 73\%)$ , therefore, a random-effects model was used. The statistical results revealed that the HR in the ZGCD + BB group was significantly slowed down than that in the BB group, and the difference was statistically significant (MD = -8.48, 95% CI: -10.98 to -5.97, P < 0.01). Subgroup analysis demonstrated that there were 3 studies on atrial premature beats and coronary heart disease. The results proved that HR of different pathological arrhythmias in the ZGCD + BB group was significantly lower than that of the BB, as shown in **Figure 5**.

#### TCM Syndrome Score

A total of 5 studies (11, 27, 37, 41, 42) were included, and 483 patients were analyzed and evaluated, including BB group (n = 241) and an ZGCD + BB group (n = 242). There was statistical heterogeneity among them ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ); therefore, a fixed-effects model was used. The statistical results revealed that the TCM syndrome score of the ZGCD + BB group was significantly lower than that of the BB group, and the difference was statistically

Audu an Cultana	Experim		Contr		Walshr	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
tudy or Subgroup 1 coronary heart d	Events		vents	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M–H, Fixed, 95% Cl
idufeng2015	isease ari 36	nytnmia 40	28	39	3.5%	3.54 [1.02, 12.30]	
henxiaolin2016	43	50	33	47	5.9%	2.61 [0.95, 7.19]	
uanaijing2019	48	50	37	50	1.8%	8.43 [1.79, 39.70]	
anxiuxia2019	47	50	42	50	3.1%	2.98 [0.74, 11.99]	<u> </u>
uangxiaoqiang2020	44	48	36	48	3.7%	3.67 [1.09, 12.35]	
angguo2012	44	47	38	47	3.0%	3.47 [0.88, 13.76]	— <u> </u>
inwenzhi2019	27	30	19	30	2.4%	5.21 [1.28, 21.24]	
leiyongxian2020	31	32	26	32	1.0%	7.15 [0.81, 63.30]	+
uqingping2016	28	28	21	28	0.5%	19.88 [1.08, 367.55]	
unjunxiong2019	38	40	30	40	1.9%	6.33 [1.29, 31.11]	
uxin2017	33	35	30	35	2.1%	2.75 [0.50, 15.25]	
angwansi2019	29	30	14	30	0.6%	33.14 [3.98, 275.73]	
angjigang2014	41	45	37	45	4.1%	2.22 [0.62, 7.97]	
anglin2019	46	50	35	50	3.5%	4.93 [1.50, 16.16]	
angshanshan2018	44	47	34	47	2.7%	5.61 [1.48, 21.26]	
angzhe2016	43	45	30	43	1.7%	9.32 [1.96, 44.34]	
anzhimin2019	44	46	34	46	1.8%	7.76 [1.63, 37.04]	
/uyanpeng2020	52	54	40	54	1.8%	9.10 [1.96, 42.36]	
angzhongfen2019	395 40	400 42	386 33	400 41	6.0% 2.0%	2.87 [1.02, 8.03]	
hangchunyan2018 hangxiaopeng2018	40 40	42	33	41	2.0%	4.85 [0.96, 24.42] 2.57 [0.73, 9.08]	
hangyong2019	40	50	39	50	5.9% 1.9%	6.77 [1.42, 32.37]	
haobin2020	38	40	25	40	1.6%	11.40 [2.40, 54.22]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		1343		1336	60.5%	4.92 [3.69, 6.57]	◆
otal events	1279		1082				
eterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1$	4.33, df =	= 22 (P =	0.89); 1	<sup>2</sup> = 0%			
est for overall effect:	Z = 10.84	(P < 0.00	001)				
-							
2 premature ventr		-					
aiyaping2019	41	44	32	44	2.7%	5.13 [1.33, 19.71]	
edeying2015	71	78	57	78	6.3%	3.74 [1.48, 9.41]	
uangmianting2016	23	30	15	30	4.3%	3.29 [1.08, 9.95]	
ujianzhao2019	19 36	20 38	11 30	17 38	0.7% 2.0%	10.36 [1.10, 97.69]	
eiguoxian2013 <b>ubtotal (95% CI)</b>	50	210	30	207	16.1%	4.80 [0.95, 24.34] 4.28 [2.44, 7.51]	
otal events	190		145		1011/0		•
leterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0$		4 (P = 0.9)		0%			
est for overall effect:							
3 atrial fibrillation							
henting2018	28	30	23	30	1.9%	4.26 [0.81, 22.53]	
anglibin2013	48	52 82	45	56 <b>86</b>	4.1% <b>6.0%</b>	2.93 [0.87, 9.88]	
ubtotal (95% CI)	76	02	60	80	0.0%	3.35 [1.26, 8.92]	
otal events leterogeneity: Chi² = (	76 13 df -	1 /P = 0 7	68 - <sup>2</sup> - ירכי	0%			
est for overall effect: 2			2), 1 -	0/6			
est for overall effect.		- 0.02)					
4 arrhythmia of qi	-yin defic	iency					
umengzhen2020	70	71	63	71	1.1%	8.89 [1.08, 73.06]	· · · · ·
unyanling2017	42	45	34	45	2.8%	4.53 [1.17, 17.55]	—
ushan2020	69	75	63	75	6.3%	2.19 [0.78, 6.18]	+
hangyanzhen2017	57	60	45	60	2.8%	6.33 [1.73, 23.23]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		251		251	13.0%	4.16 [2.18, 7.94]	
otal events	238		205				
eterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2$				: 0%			
est for overall effect: 2	L = 4.32 (F	< 0.000	т)				
5 arrhythmia							
aoyunyan2020	54	57	41	57	2.7%	7.02 [1.92, 25.73]	·
uanhui2020	38	40	28	40	1.7%	8.14 [1.69, 39.32]	· · · · · ·
ubtotal (95% CI)		97		97	4.4%	7.46 [2.74, 20.32]	
otal events	92		69				
eterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = (				• 0%			
est for overall effect:	2 = 3.93 (F	· < 0.000	1)				
otal (95% CI)		1983		1977	100.0%	4.74 [3.78, 5.94]	◆
otal events	1875		1569				
eterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1$	9.39, df =	= 35 (P =	0.98); 1	<sup>2</sup> = 0%			0.001 0.1 1 10 1000
est for overall effect: 2					100		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
	rences: Ch	$i^2 - 1.62$	df - /	$(\mathbf{p} - 0)$	91) 12 _	0%	ferberniet . monte feeticieit

FIGURE 3 | Forest map of total efficacy between experimental group and control group.

	Expe	erimental		-	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
study or Subgroup	Mean		Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1 premature vent	tricular beat	ts							
Aidufeng2015	653	64	40	987	104	39	3.1%	-3.84 [-4.60, -3.09]	
aiyaping2019	1,248	441	44	2,480	803	44	3.1%	-1.89 [-2.39, -1.38]	-
anxiuxia2019	614.13	212.45	50	892.84	301.17	50	3.2%	-1.06 [-1.48, -0.64]	~
ledeying2015	418	183	78	862	216	78	3.2%	-2.21 [-2.61, -1.81]	-
luangmianting2016	5,409.13	3,420.71	30	6,021.77	3,815.96	30	3.1%	-0.17 [-0.67, 0.34]	+
angguo2012	668	72	47	979	102	47	3.1%	-3.49 [-4.14, -2.84]	
Jujianzhao2019	5,408.12	2,421.7	20	7,222.75	2,814.95	17	3.1%	-0.68 [-1.35, -0.01]	
unyanling2017	611.43	214.45	45	896.56	310.66	45	3.2%	-1.06 [-1.50, -0.62]	-
uxin2017	653	62	35	987	104	35	3.1%	-3.86 [-4.67, -3.05]	
angwansi2019	616	40	30	852	20	30	2.8%	-7.37 [-8.82, -5.91]	
angjigang2014	653	65	45	986	105	45	3.1%	-3.78 [-4.48, -3.08]	
/angzhe2016	652	63	45	988	105	43	3.1%	-3.87 [-4.59, -3.15]	
ushan2020	2,688.37	709.14	75	4,172.51	844.02	75	3.2%	-1.89 [-2.28, -1.51]	-
hangjilei2018	641.43	85.19	80	1,024.95	102.34	80	3.1%	-4.05 [-4.60, -3.51]	
ubtotal (95% CI)			664			658	43.4%	-2.72 [-3.46, -1.98]	◆
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.87; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 321.02,	df = 13	B (P < 0.00	$001$ ; $I^2 = 9$	6%			
est for overall effect:	: Z = 7.21 (P	< 0.0000	1)						
2 atrial prematur	e beats								
idufeng2015	299	34	40	520	44	39	3.0%	-5.57 [-6.57, -4.58]	
aiyaping2019	1,238	531	44	4,864	829	44	3.0%	-5.16 [-6.05, -4.28]	
anxiuxia2019	2,074.82	221.26	50	352.41	148.94	50	2.9%	9.06 [7.72, 10.40]	
angguo2012	301	28	47	502	49	47	3.1%	-5.00 [-5.83, -4.16]	
unyanling2017	261.55	181.23	45	359.68	188.75	45	3.2%	-0.53 [-0.95, -0.11]	
uxin2017	297	31	35	523	43	35	3.0%	-5.96 [-7.08, -4.84]	
angwansi2019	279	11	30	542	12	30	1.5%	-22.55 [-26.76, -18.35]	(
Vangjigang2014	298	32	45	521	43	45	3.0%	-5.83 [-6.80, -4.87]	
Vangzhe2016	298	33	45	521	45	43	3.0%	-5.62 [-6.57, -4.67]	
hangjilei2018	286.56	15.22		530.9	42.05	80	3.0%	-7.69 [-8.60, -6.78]	
ubtotal (95% CI)			461			458	28.6%	-5.16 [-7.84, -2.49]	
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =				) (P < 0.00	001); I <sup>2</sup> = 9	9%			
est for overall effect:	: Z = 3.79 (P	= 0.0002)	)						
2 ium stie nel duse	des et la mai a m								
3 junctional dysr	-			105		20	2 10/	2 00 [ 4 77 2 2 22]	
vidufeng2015	105	11	40	165	18	39	3.1%	-3.99 [-4.77, -3.22]	
anxiuxia2019	104.36	35.75	50	156.4	37.53	50	3.2%	-1.41 [-1.85, -0.97]	
angguo2012	101	11	47	162	18	47	3.1%	-4.06 [-4.77, -3.34]	
unyanling2017	103.1	45.29	45	150.36	39.61	45	3.2%	-1.10 [-1.55, -0.66]	*
uxin2017	103	9	35	167	18	35	3.0%	-4.45 [-5.34, -3.56]	
angwansi2019	108	5	30	172	69	30	3.1%	-1.29 [-1.85, -0.73]	
Vangjigang2014	105	10	45	167	19	45	3.1%	-4.05 [-4.78, -3.32]	
Vangzhe2016	104	10	45	166	19	43	3.1%	-4.07 [-4.82, -3.33]	
hangjilei2018	104.16	15.28	80 417	167.37	20.86	80	3.1%	-3.44 [-3.93, -2.95]	
ubtotal (95% CI)	1 00 01.2	170 50			010.12 00	414	28.0%	-3.07 [-4.01, -2.12]	•
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = est for overall effect:				(P < 0.000)	01); 1- = 96	176			
			1542			1530	100.0%	-3.39 [-4.09, -2.68]	•
	4 06' Chi <sup>2</sup>			82 (P < 0.0	0001); I <sup>2</sup> =	98%		-	-10 -5 0 5 10
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =									10 - 1 10
F <b>otal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Fest for overall effect:	: Z = 9.40 (P								Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	: Z = 9.40 (P			(P = 0.22),	l <sup>2</sup> = 34.7%				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

significant (MD = -2.92, 95% CI: -3.08 to -2.76, P < 0.01), as shown in **Figure 6**.

#### **Adverse Events**

A total of 16 studies counted the occurrence of adverse events (8–11, 15, 16, 18, 19, 27, 30, 32, 34, 36, 37, 40, 41), and 2,208 patients were analyzed and evaluated, including 1,101 cases in the BB group and 1,107 cases in the ZGCD + BB group. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ); therefore, a fixed-effect model was used. The results showed that the incidence of adverse events in the BB group was higher than that in the ZGCD + BB group, and the difference was statistically significant (RR = 0.36, 95% CI: 0.26–0.51, P < 0.01). Subgroup analysis revealed that arrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, premature ventricular beats, arrhythmia of qi-yin deficiency, and coronary heart disease arrhythmia had 1, 1, 1, 2, and 11 items, respectively. The results prompted that adverse events of

different pathological arrhythmias in the ZGCD + BB group were significantly lower than those in the BB only group, as shown in **Figure 7**.

#### **Publication Bias**

An inverted funnel plot was constructed for the total efficacy of the included studies, as illustrated in **Figure 8**. As shown, the graphic distribution of the graph is not symmetrical and does imply a bias.

#### DISCUSSION

Metoprolol is a commonly used drug for the treatment of arrhythmias in the clinic, but it has some limitations, including efficacy and safety. Therefore, increasing number of clinical practices are combining CM and TM for arrhythmia treatment, and ZGCD is the most commonly used prescription



Zhangchunyan2018 4.36 1.4 42 6.87 1.93 41 4.7% -2.51 [-3.24, -1.78] Zhangjilei2018 2.03 0.45 80 5.05 0.81 80 60.7% -3.02 [-3.22, -2.82]	
Zhangchunyan2018 4.36 1.4 42 6.87 1.93 41 4.7% -2.51 [-3.24, -1.78] Zhangjilei2018 2.03 0.45 80 5.05 0.81 80 60.7% -3.02 [-3.22, -2.82]	
Zhangjilei2018 2.03 0.45 80 5.05 0.81 80 60.7% -3.02 [-3.22, -2.82]	
Zhaobin2020 3.89 1.23 40 6.97 2.35 40 3.7% -3.08 [-3.90, -2.26]	
Total (95% CI) 242 241 100.0% -2.92 [-3.08, -2.76]	♦
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3.50$ , $df = 4$ (P = 0.48); $l^2 = 0\%$	
Test for overall effect: Z = 36.20 (P < 0.00001) Fax	/ours [experimental] Favours [control]

among TM. There are also some clinical reports that ZGCD combined with CM has advantages in terms of total efficacy and arrhythmia control. However, availability of high-quality research-based medical evidence remains a challenge.

This is the first metanalysis investigating ZGCD + BB may exert better effectivenes of arrhythmias. Our systematic evaluation indicated that ZGCD + BB has advantages in the treatment of arrhythmia in terms of total efficacy, arrhythmia control, HR of arrhythmia, and TCM syndrome scores. As a TM prescription, ZGCD has the characteristics of multiple components, targets, and pathways in the treatment of diseases. This may be an important reason why ZGCD supports metoprolol and enhances its effectiveness. The mechanism of ZGCD in the treatment of arrhythmia is remains unclear; some reports have shown that ZGCD can reduce HR, prolong MApD, and reduce Tp-e/QT to decrease the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias (45). ZGCD may be related to the protection of the myocardium by effectively blocking the opening of potassium channels in hypoxic cardiomyocytes (46). Furthermore, modern pharmacological studies have demonstrated that the three main active components of ZGCD, glycyrrhizic acid, total ginsenosides of ginseng, and total saponins of Ophiopogon *japonicus*, could significantly reduce the automaticity and excitability of isolated rat atrial muscle and prolong the refractory period to inhibit arrhythmia (47). ZGCD has a unique curative effect in the treatment of arrhythmias and a two-way, benign regulatory effect. Its modified and subtracted prescriptions are effective clinically in China, demonstrating the research value and prospects of TM in the treatment of arrhythmias (48).

In addition, our results proved that the ZGCD + BB group had significantly reduced incidence of adverse events compared with the BB. As known, adverse events are an important cause of failure during pre-market clinical trials of drugs and the withdrawal of drugs after marketing. CM, including antiarrhythmic drugs, has more adverse events, which seriously affect drug effectiveness and cause secondary injuries to patients. However, as a TM prescription, ZGCD not only has a good effect on arrhythmias, but is also safe. No obvious ZGCDrelated adverse events have been observed in publicly published research reports or in the adverse drug reaction notifications of the China National Medical Products Administration. In addition, it can significantly reduce adverse events, such as nausea, vomiting, constipation, dizziness, headache, and bradycardia. In short, ZGCD combined with metoprolol appears

	Experim		Cont			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1 arrhythmia			_				
Caoyunyan2020 Subtotal (95% CI)	3	57 57	5	57 57	4.5% <b>4.5%</b>	0.60 [0.15, 2.39]	
	2	57	-	57	4.3%	0.60 [0.15, 2.39]	
Total events	3		5				
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z		P = 0.47	")				
2 atrial fibrillation							
Chenting2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	0	30 <b>30</b>	1	30 <b>30</b>	1.3% <b>1.3%</b>	0.33 [0.01, 7.87] 0.33 [0.01, 7.87]	
Total events	0		1				
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z		P = 0.50	))				
3 premature ventri							
Huangmianting2016 Subtotal (95% CI)	0	60 <b>60</b>	0	60 <b>60</b>		Not estimable Not estimable	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: N		able	0				
4 arrhythmia of qi-	yin defic	iency					
Liumengzhen2020	4	71	4	71	3.6%	1.00 [0.26, 3.84]	
Yangzhongfen2019	8	400	18	400	16.0%	0.44 [0.20, 1.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		471		471	1 <b>9.6%</b>	0.55 [0.27, 1.09]	◆
Total events	12		22				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1 Test for overall effect: Z				= 2%			
5 coronary heart d	sease arı	hythmi	a				
Chenxiaolin2016	2	50	7	47	6.4%	0.27 [0.06, 1.23]	
Duanaijing2019	3	50	12	50	10.7%	0.25 [0.08, 0.83]	<b>.</b>
Huangxiaoqiang2020	5	48	14	48	12.5%	0.36 [0.14, 0.91]	
Linwenzhi2019	0	30	6	30	5.8%	0.08 [0.00, 1.31]	
Tangwansi2019	2	30	3	30	2.7%	0.67 [0.12, 3.71]	
Wanglin2019	2	50	13	50	11.6%	0.15 [0.04, 0.65]	
Wangzhe2016	2	45	5	43	4.6%	0.38 [0.08, 1.87]	
Wuyanpeng2020	2	54	10	54	8.9%	0.20 [0.05, 0.87]	
Zhangchunyan2018	3	42	2	41	1.8%	1.46 [0.26, 8.31]	
Zhangyong2019 Zhaohin2020	2 2	50	8 3	50 40	7.1% 2.7%	0.25 [0.06, 1.12]	
Zhaobin2020 Subtotal (95% CI)		40 <b>489</b>		40 483	2.7% 74.6%	0.67 [0.12, 3.78] <b>0.30 [0.20, 0.46]</b>	◆
Total events	25		83	A			
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7 Test for overall effect: Z				= 0%			
Total (95% CI)		1107		1101	100.0%	0.36 [0.26, 0.51]	◆
Total events	40		111				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1$	0.26, df =	= 14 (P =		l <sup>2</sup> = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z							0.001 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
						0%	

safe and effective, and is worthy of further consideration for clinical utility.

This study followed the principles of evidence-based medicine. We carefully evaluated the quality of each study, used subgroup analysis to explore heterogeneity, detected publication bias, and discussed possible influencing factors to provide reliable evidence for clinical practice and decision-making. Our meta-analysis is unprecedented and innovative and includes many studies and comprehensive evaluation indicators. However, the following limitations are also present: (1) The

studies are published in the Chinese language, exclusively, with low quality, and may be biased; (2) All studies refer to terms referencing the word "random," but only 11 studies referenced the random number table method; (3) Because of the inconsistent dosage forms of intervention drugs, none of the studies used blind methods; (4) Differences between individual patients may also lead to bias, such as gender, age, underlying diseases, and treatment of underlying diseases; (5) The plot is not symmetrical and does imply a bias. It is likelihood of bias in both patient selection, and non-blinded nature of an intervention; (6)



In different studies, the compatibility dose composition of TM compounds is different, which may cause bias.

## CONCLUSION

ZGCD + BB appeared to demonstrate good efficacy and fewer adverse reactions compared to BB in the treatment of arrhythmia. The addition of this TM may represent a useful complementary therapy to standard approaches. However, our findings must be cautiously evaluated because of the small sample size and the low quality of the citied clinical trials that lack strict clinical design. High-quality, suitably powered and double-blinded RCTs are required to confirm these findings. Further mechanistic investigations on the underlying biology of ZGCD are warranted and may yield important biological insights into arrhythmogenesis.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Liu K. Progress in diagnosis and treatment of arrhythmia. J Chin Presc Dru. (2021) 19:23–6.
- Wang Y, Zhang YJ, Wang X, Zhou JL, Li L. Advances in research on treatment of arrhythmia with Chinese and western medicine. World Latest Med Inform. (2019) 19:131–2. doi: 10.19613/j.cnki.1671-3141.2019. 99.063

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YY contributed to carry out the protocol, drafted the manuscript, and carried out the acquisition of data and analysis. F-LG, QH, RZ, and X-YZ participated in the data extracting. GL and PL were in charge of quality control. QS and S-JY designed and managed this protocol. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine Project (2019XZZX-XXG006), Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology Project (2021YFH0150), and Sichuan Provincial Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine Project (2021MS107).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2022.795903/full#supplementary-material

- 3. Li YQ. Effect of moricizine combined with metoprolol on heart rate variability and adverse reactions in patients with ventricular arrhythmia. *J North Pharm.* (2020) 17:167–8.
- 4. Chen DX, Zhang YP, Xu LL. Shen Nong's Herbal Classic. Fujian Science and Technology Press (2012).
- Bai LL, Liu L, Liu L. Research progress of zhigancao decoction on cardiovascular system. Nei Mongo J Trad Chin Med. (2013) 32:126– 7. doi: 10.16040/j.cnki.cn15-1101.2013.01.047

- Ai DF. Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary atherosclerotic heart disease arrhythmia. *Pract Clin Med.* (2015) 16:34–5. doi: 10.13764/j.cnki.lcsy.2015.02.014
- Bai YP. Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary atherosclerotic heart disease arrhythmia. *Chin H Vision*. (2019) 24:143.
- Chen T, Chen Y, Fan XH, Chang ZL, Du FB. Clinical study on Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation. *J Liaoning Univ Trad Chin Med.* (2018) 20:163– 6. doi: 10.13194/j.issn.1673-842x.2018.03.047
- Cao YY. Effect of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol on ischemic arrhythmia. *Med J Chin People Health*. (2020) 32:114– 6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-0369.2020.01.046
- Chen XL. Observation on the curative effect of integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine on arrhythmia of coronary heart disease. *Chin J Mod Drug Applica*. (2016) 10:158–9. doi: 10.14164/j.cnki.cn11-5581/r.2016.09.116
- Duan AJ. Efficacy evaluation of zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of patients with coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *System Med.* (2019) 4:72– 4. doi: 10.19368/j.cnki.2096-1782.2019.09.072
- Fan XX. Clinical analysis of Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of coronary heart disease with arrhythmia of qi deficiency and blood stasis type. *H Care Today.* (2019) 2:173–4. doi: CNKI: SUN: YSXD.0.2019-04-120
- 13. Guan H. Effect of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprololon on arrhythmia. *Chin H Vision*. (2020) 19:84.
- He DY. Effect of Zhigangcao decoction on ventricular premature beat. J Emerg Trad Chin Med. (2015) 24:2035–7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-745X.2015.11.054
- 15. Huang MT. Study on modified Zhigancao decoction combined with metoproloI in patients with functional ventricular premature. *Guangzhou Univ Chin Med.* (2016).
- Huang XQ, Jin MY. Effect and safety of Zhigancao decoction plus metoprolol tartrate on arrhythmia of coronary heart disease. *Card Disea Elect J Integra Trad Chin and West Med.* (2020) 9:168–81. doi: 10.16282/j.cnki.cn11-9336/r.2020.09.131
- Jiang G. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Guide Chin Med.* (2012) 01:214–5. doi: 10.15912/j.cnki.gocm.2012.01.114
- Lin WZ. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of ventricular arrhythmia in patients with coronary heart disease. *Pract Clin J Integ Trad Chin West Med.* (2019) 07:135–6. doi: 10.13638/j.issn.1671-4040.2019.07.070
- Liu MZ, Yang JM. Clinical effect observation of modified Zhigancao decoction in treatment for arrhythmia of deficiency of both Qi and Yin type. *J Prac Trad Chin Int Med.* (2020) 07:135–8. doi: 10.13729/j.issn.1671-7813 Z20191251
- 20. Mei YX. Effect observation of metoprolol combined with Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia of Qi and yin deficiency type. *Med.* (2020) 9:0170.
- Ou JZ. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction combined with betaloc in the treatment of frequent ventricular premature beat without organic heart disease. *Chin Natu.* (2019) 06:69–70. doi: 10.19621/j.cnki.11-3555/r.2019.0640
- Pei GX. Treatment of 38 cases of premature atrial contraction with modified Zhigancao decoction. *Henan Trad Chin Med.* (2013) 09:1402– 3. doi: 10.16367/j.issn.1003-5028.2013.09.050
- Pu QP. Clinical analysis of Zhigancao decoction and metoprolol (sustained release tablets) in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Psych Doc.* (2016) 22:60–1.
- Sun JX. Analysis of combination of Zhigancao decoction and metoprolol in the treatment of arrhythmia. *Chin Cont Med Educa*. (2019) 09:140– 2. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-9308.2019.09.063
- Sun YL, Wang YZ. Moxibustion liquorice decoction treatment of qi deficiency and blood stasis type of arrhythmia in coronary heart disease clinical effect analysis. *Jilin J Trad Chin Med.* (2017) 37:927–30. doi: 10.13463/j.cnki.jlzyy.2017.09.018
- Su X. Clinical efficacy of Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of coronary arteriosclerosis with arrhythmia. *China J Pharm Econ.* (2017) 03:86– 8. doi: 10.12010/j.issn.1673-5846.2017.03.033
- 27. Tang WS, Xie XJ. Curative effect of Jiawei Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol tablets in the treatment of coronary atheroscierotic

heart disease arrhythmia. World J Comp Med. (2019) 5:145–50. doi: 10.11966/j.issn.2095-994X2019.05.11.48

- Wang JG. Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of 45 cases of coronary atherosclerotic heart disease arrhythmia. *Henan Trad Chin Med.* (2014) 03:418–9. doi: 10.16367/j.issn.1003-5028.2014.03.082
- Wang LB, Zhen EF, Chen BH, Wu WQ, Chen XN, Huang XQ, et al. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction on NT proBNP level in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. *Chin J Geria Care.* (2013) 11:9– 10. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672–4860.2013.02.003
- Wang L. Effect and adverse reaction analysis of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol tartrate in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Contemp Med.* (2019) 25:166–7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-4393.2019.15.069
- Wang SS, Wang YX. Clinical observation of modified Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary heart disease with ventricular arrhythmia. *Wom Heal Resea*. (2018) 4:54,57
- Wang Z. Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol tablets in the treatment of coronary atherosclerotic heart disease arrhythmia. *Chin Med Mod Dis Educa*. (2016) 14:91–3. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-2779.2016.09.038
- Wan ZM, Wan MP. Effect observation of metoprolol combined with Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia of Qi and yin deficiency type. *Med Forum.* (2019) 23:2777–8. doi: 10.19435/j.1672-1721.2019.19.072
- 34. Wu YP. Clinical effect and adverse reaction rate of metoprolol combined with Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Orient Med Diet.* (2020) 2:132.
- Xu S. Effect of metoprolol combined with Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of arrhythmia of Qi and yin deficiency type. *Chin J Med Device*. (2020) 33:120–1. doi: CNKI: SUN: YLZB.0.2020-04-083
- 36. Yang ZF. Study on the value of metoprolol combined with Zhigancao decoction on TC, TG and LDL-C in patients with coronary heart disease arrhythmia of Qi and yin deficiency type. *Mod Med Heal Resea*. (2019) 3:92–3. doi: CNKI: SUN: XYJD.0.2019-20-039
- Zhang CY. Clinical study on Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of senile coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Nei Mongol J Trad Chi Med.* (2018) 37:56–7. doi: 10.16040/j.cnki.cn15-1101.2018.06.042
- Zhang XP. Application value of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of 44 patients with coronary heart disease and arrhythmia. *Chin H Vision*. (2018) 9:249–50.
- Zhang YZ. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of 120+ cases of tachyarrhythmia of Qi and blood deficiency type. *Home Med.* (2017) 12:47–8. doi: CNKI: SUN: YYJT.0.2017-12-059
- Zhang Y. Effect analysis of Zhigancao decoction on ventricular arrhythmia of coronary heart disease. *H World*. (2019) 9:222–3.
- Zhao B. Clinical effect of Zhigancao Decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Nei Mongol J Trad Chin Med.* (2020) 39:86–7. doi: 10.16040/j.cnki.cn15-1101.2020.04.052
- Zhang JL. Clinical observation of Zhigancao decoction combined with metoprolol in the treatment of coronary heart disease arrhythmia. *Pract Clin J Integ Trad Chin West Med.* (2018) 18:17–9. doi: 10.13638/j.issn.1671-4040.2018.09.007
- Cui XT. Effect of Zhiglycyrrhiza decoction combined with metoprolol on ventricular rate and plasma Hcy level in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation. *Modern J of Int TC and West Med.* (2017) 26:162– 4. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8849.2017.02.017
- 44. Li SQ. Effect of metoprolol and Zhigao decoction on arrhythmia of coronary heart diseases with deficiency of qi and Yin. *Cardio Dise Elec J of int TC and West Med.* (2019) 7:52. doi: 10.16282/j.cnki.cn11-9336/r.2019.32.045
- Zhou CZ, Wu CY, Yang B, Zhang DL, Wang T. Effect of Zhigancao decoction on ventricle monophasic action potential in rabbits. *J Hubei Univ Chin Med.* (2015) 2:22–3. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-987x.2015.02.06
- Wang XF, Zhang XY, Liu YM. Influence of Zhigancao decoction on electrophysiology in guinea pigs with hypokalemia induced arrhythmia. *Shanxi J Trad Chin Med.* (2007) 28:233–4.
- Chen LY, Chen C, Liu RH, Bi M. Influences of gingseng total saponin (GTS) and composition of Zhigancao Decoction on myocardial physiological characteristic. *Trad Chin Drug Resea Clin Pharm.* (2001) 12:332–5.

 Gao D, Huang X, Wang Z, Wang BH. Research progress of Zhigancao decoction in the treatment of arrhythmia. *Hebei J of Trad Chin Med.* (2017) 39:1258–62.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of

the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Yang, Ge, Huang, Zeng, Zhang, Liu, Luo, Yang and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.