



# Corrigendum: Syn-Emplacement Fracturing in the Sandfell Laccolith, Eastern Iceland—Implications for Rhyolite Intrusion Growth and Volcanic Hazards

Tobias Mattsson 1\*, Steffi Burchardt 1,2, Bjarne S. G. Almqvist 1 and Erika Ronchin 1,2

# **OPEN ACCESS**

# Edited and reviewed by:

Shanaka L. de Silva, Oregon State University, United States

### \*Correspondence:

Tobias Mattsson tobias.mattsson@geo.uu.se

## Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Volcanology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Earth Science

Received: 23 January 2020 Accepted: 19 February 2020 Published: 03 March 2020

### Citation:

Mattsson T, Burchardt S,
Almqvist BSG and Ronchin E (2020)
Corrigendum: Syn-Emplacement
Fracturing in the Sandfell Laccolith,
Eastern Iceland—Implications for
Rhyolite Intrusion Growth and Volcanic
Hazards. Front. Earth Sci. 8:60.
doi: 10.3389/feart.2020.00060

<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, <sup>2</sup> Center for Natural Hazards and Disaster Science, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Keywords: laccolith, cryptodome, magma flow, intrusion emplacement, strain localization, magma degassing, volcanic hazards

# A Corrigendum on

Syn-Emplacement Fracturing in the Sandfell Laccolith, Eastern Iceland—Implications for Rhyolite Intrusion Growth and Volcanic Hazards

by Mattsson, T., Burchardt, S., Almqvist, B. S. G., and Ronchin, E. (2018). Front. Earth Sci. 6:5. doi: 10.3389/feart.2018.00005


In the original article, there was a mistake in Figure 7B, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2 as published. The data from the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility measurements were presented in upper-hemisphere projection, while stated as lower-hemisphere projection in the text. The corrected **Figure 7** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Mattsson, Burchardt, Almqvist and Ronchin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1

Mattsson et al. Emplacing the Sandfell Laccolith



**FIGURE 7 | (A)** Magmatic flow indicator measurements (mineral alignments, flow bands, platy parting) and fracture bands and larger-scale faults in the Sandfell laccolith. Areas with observed S-C fabric are denoted with the letters "SC" in bold on the map. **(B)** AMS fabric plotted on map of the Sandfell laccolith. The map includes data from samples with weak magnetic fabric ( $K_m < 5 \times 10^{-4}$  [SI]). The fracture-banded areas of the laccolith are specified on the map as fractured zone. See Supplementary Video S1 for a 3D interpretation of magma flow.