



Commentary: Research Gaps in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Executive Summary of a National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Workshop

Andrew A. Bremer*

Pediatric Growth and Nutrition Branch, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States

Keywords: gestational diabetes, metabolic programming, hyperglycemia in pregnancy, maternal outcome, fetal outcome

A Commentary on

Research Gaps in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Executive Summary of a National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Workshop

by Wexler, D. J., Powe, C. E., Barbour, L. A., Buchanan, T., Coustan, D. R., Corcoy, R., et al. (2018). Obstet. Gynecol. 132, 496–505. doi: 10.1097/AOG.000000000002726

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Wei Bao, University of Iowa, United States

Reviewed by:

Assimira Ferrara, Kaiser Permanente Division of Research, United States Maisa Feghali, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. United States

*Correspondence:

Andrew A. Bremer andrew.bremer@nih.gov

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 08 August 2018 Accepted: 02 October 2018 Published: 19 October 2018

Citation:

Bremer AA (2018) Commentary:
Research Gaps in Gestational
Diabetes Mellitus: Executive Summary
of a National Institute of Diabetes and
Digestive and Kidney Diseases
Workshop. Front. Endocrinol. 9:627.
doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00627

Diabetes mellitus diagnosed in pregnancy, or gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), is a problem of increasing public health importance in the United States and worldwide. GDM is associated with significantly increased risks of adverse perinatal outcomes as well as an increased risk of subsequent type 2 diabetes (T2D) in the mother, and obesity in offspring (1–3). Its prevalence is also increasing with the current obesity epidemic and advancing age of motherhood (1). Furthermore, based on the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes (HAPO) study, there appears to be a strong linear relationship between increasing maternal glucose concentrations (below those currently used to diagnose overt diabetes) and adverse neonatal outcomes without any clear inflection point (4). Data from the HAPO follow-up study at 8–12 years after the pregnancy will also soon provide further insight into the relationship between glucose levels below the current GDM diagnostic threshold and subsequent T2D in mothers and obesity in the offspring.

Importantly, many unanswered questions exist about the role of maternal glucose intolerance in fetal metabolic imprinting, and whether identifying and effectively treating maternal dysglycemia earlier in pregnancy than the current "standard of care" assessment of maternal dysglycemia at 24–28 weeks gestation would mitigate potential short- and long-term effects on the mother and/or offspring. Specifically, little is known about variations in maternal glycemia prior to 20 weeks gestation. As such, questions such as the following persist: (i) do glycemic measures (e.g., various degrees of maternal glucose intolerance as measured by oral glucose tolerance testing [OGTT], hemoglobin A1c [HbA1c], or continuous glucose monitoring [CGM]), or other biomarkers in early gestation predict GDM in later pregnancy and/or other adverse outcomes in the mother and/or the offspring; and (ii) would early diagnosis and treatment of maternal dysglycemia improve short- and long-term outcomes for the mother and her child?

To address these issues, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) at the National Institutes of Health convened an international workshop in August 2017 involving obstetricians, maternal-fetal medicine specialists, internists, and endocrinologists with expertise in GDM to address the current gaps in GDM research. Areas of particular focus included the lack of knowledge on when dysglycemia manifests during pregnancy and the

1

potential importance of early diagnosis of GDM, and evidence for and against different treatment strategies and therapeutic goals in the management of GDM. As summarized in a recently published Executive Summary of the workshop (5), appropriate diagnostic criteria for the diagnosis of GDM unfortunately remain poorly defined, and an effect of early diagnosis and treatment of GDM on the risk of adverse perinatal and long-term outcomes has not been well demonstrated. Furthermore, despite many small randomized controlled trials of glucose-lowering medication treatment in GDM, gaps remain in understanding how best to utilize pharmacological therapy in GDM, as evidenced by discrepancies among professional society treatment guidelines. The comparative effectiveness of insulin, metformin, and glyburide in the management of GDM also remains uncertain, particularly with respect to long-term maternal and fetal outcomes. The NIDDK workshop participants also identified additional topics in need of further research, including phenotypic heterogeneity in GDM and novel and individualized treatment approaches. Interest in the topic of pregnancies complicated by GDM (as well as pre-existing diabetes) is also highlighted by the American Diabetes Association including in its July 2018 issue of Diabetes Care a Special Article Collection entitled "Reconsidering Pregnancy With Diabetes."

REFERENCES

- Kampmann U, Madsen LR, Skajaa GO, Iversen DS, Moeller N, Ovesen P. Gestational diabetes: a clinical update. World J Diabetes (2015) 6:1065–72. doi: 10.4239/wjd.v6.i8.1065
- Song C, Lyu Y, Li C, Liu P, Li J, Ma RC, et al. Long-term risk of diabetes in women at varying durations after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis with more than 2 million women. *Obes Rev.* (2018) 19:421–9. doi: 10.1111/obr.12645
- Chandler-Laney PC, Bush NC, Granger WM, Rouse DJ, Mancuso MS, Gower BA. Overweight status and intrauterine exposure to gestational diabetes are associated with children's metabolic health. *Pediatr Obes*. (2012) 7:44–52. doi: 10.1111/j.2047-6310.2011.00009.x
- Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. (2008) 358:1991–2002. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa07 07943
- Wexler DJ, Powe CE, Barbour LA, Buchanan T, Coustan DR, Corcoy R, et al. Research gaps in gestational diabetes mellitus: executive summary of a national institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases workshop. Obstet Gynecol. (2018) 132:496–505. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000002726

In summary, the many unanswered questions posed above highlight some, but certainly not all, of the knowledge gaps in the field of GDM as it pertains to the mother and her offspring. As the field moves forward, filling these gaps will better elucidate our understanding of the physiology of glucose homeostasis during pregnancy, as well as our understanding of pathophysiological changes and the timing and approach of medical management of maternal glucose intolerance to mitigate short- and longterm adverse effects on the mother and the offspring. Although advances in diabetes technologies (such as CGM) have the potential to facilitate these efforts (6), their large-scale use in women with or at-risk for GDM is limited at best. However, these technological advances provide opportunities for interrogating gestational glycemia—both untreated and in response to therapy—in ways that were previously undoable or impractical. As the American Diabetes Association so aptly alluded to on the cover of its July 2018 issue of Diabetes Care, it is time to reconsider pregnancy with diabetes—in all facets.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

 Polsky S. Is it safe to use "on-demand" continuous glucose monitoring during pregnancy? *Diabetes Technol Ther.* (2018) 20:177–9. doi: 10.1089/dia. 2018.0035

Disclaimer: The contents of this article represent the author's views and do not constitute an official position of the National Institutes of Health or the United States Government.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 This work is authored by Andrew A. Bremer on behalf of the U.S. Government and, as regards Dr. Bremer, and the U.S. Government, is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Foreign and other copyrights may apply. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other formus is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.