Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Roberto Codella, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY Lucio Della Guardia, University of Milan, Italy Dominik H. Pesta, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Yan Yang X306599761@qq.com

RECEIVED 07 October 2023 ACCEPTED 19 January 2024 PUBLISHED 19 February 2024

CITATION

Zhang H, Guo Y, Hua G, Guo C, Gong S, Li M and Yang Y (2024) Exercise training modalities in prediabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1308959. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1308959

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Guo, Hua, Guo, Gong, Li and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exercise training modalities in prediabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Hang Zhang¹, Yuting Guo¹, Guangshun Hua¹, Chenyang Guo¹, Simiao Gong², Min Li¹ and Yan Yang³*

¹School of Health Preservation and Rehabilitation, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ²Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangdong, China, ³Medical Department of The Third Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China

Background: Lifestyle modification based on exercise intervention is still the primary way to delay or reverse the development of diabetes in patients with prediabetes. However, there are still challenges in setting up a detailed exercise prescription for people with prediabetes. This study mainly ranks exercise prescriptions by comparing the improvement of glucose and lipid metabolism and the level of weight loss in patients.

Method: All studies on exercise intervention in prediabetes were identified by searching five electronic databases. Risk assessment and meta-analysis were performed on eligible studies.

Results: Twenty-four studies involving 1946 patients with prediabetes and seven exercise intervention models were included in the final analysis. The meta-analysis showed that exercise of any type was more effective for glycemic control in prediabetes than no exercise. However, the changes in blood glucose were moderate. In prediabetes, combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training showed the most significant improvements in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), body weight (BW), total cholesterol (TC), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) (P-score=0.82; 0.70; 0.87; 1; 0.99), low-to moderate-load resistance training showed the most significant improvements in fasting blood glucose (FBG) (P-score=0.98), the vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise showed the most significant improvements in 2-hour post-meal blood glucose (2hPG) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) (P-score=0.79; 0.78), and moderate-intensity aerobic exercise showed the most significant improvements in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (P-score=0.78).

Conclusion: In summary, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, low-to moderate-load resistance training and the combination of both have beneficial effects on glycemic control, weight loss, and cardiovascular health in patients with prediabetes. These findings provide valuable guidance for rehabilitation clinicians and patients alike to follow.

Systematic review registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, identifier CRD 42021284922.

KEYWORDS

exercise, prediabetic state, glycemic control, weight loss, cardiovascular risk factors, network meta-analysis



Key points

In this network meta-analysis, we report that for prediabetes, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderate-load resistance training, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, and low-to moderate-load resistance training should be considered the top three exercise interventions for improving glycemic control, weight control, and cardiovascular risk factors.

Specifically, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderate-load resistance training showed the best results in reducing glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), body weight (BW), total cholesterol (TC) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL); and low- to moderate-load resistance training was more effective at improving fasting blood glucose (FBG) than moderateintensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderate-load resistance training. In addition, vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise significantly reduced systolic blood pressure (SBP) and 2-hour post-meal blood glucose (2hPG).

In the subgroup analysis, we found that FBG reduction was more significant in patients older than 60. Moreover, improvements in HbA1c, TC and BMI increased with training time, but the optimal intensity and type of exercise remained moderateintensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderateintensity resistance training.

1 Background

Prediabetes is a high-risk state for diabetes, usually comprising impaired fasting glucose (IFG), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), or both (CGI). It is also defined as a blood glucose indicator above normal but below the threshold for diabetes. Genetic factors, physical inactivity, and shifts in the structure of diets, including high in sugar, fat and low in dietary fiber, have increased the prevalence of prediabetes globally, and experts predict that by 2030, more than 470 million people will have prediabetes (1, 2).

It has been reported that 5%~10% of prediabetes develops into diabetes each year and up to 70% of prediabetes eventually develops into diabetes (3, 4). In addition to the ultra-high rate of diabetes conversion, prediabetes is also associated with an increased risk of early and chronic kidney disease, autonomic neuropathy, and cardiovascular disease (5). Therefore, as the prime time to interrupt type 2 diabetes, prediabetes should be subject to early intervention to avoid the potential effects of prediabetes itself and to prevent it from developing into diabetes or to mitigate some of the potential consequences of developing diabetes.

Current studies have all demonstrated that lifestyle modification and pharmacological interventions play a significant role in delaying the progression of prediabetes to diabetes. In terms of the effectiveness of interventions for prediabetes, medications such as metformin and acarbose are less effective than lifestyle enhancement. Moreover, the choice of pharmacological interventions appears to put patients at higher risk and financial stress. Therefore, lifestyle is currently the intervention of choice for prediabetes (6, 7). As an essential component of lifestyle interventions, exercise interventions have been shown to aid the benign regression of prediabetes by improving insulin resistance, blood glucose levels, lipid metabolism, inflammatory response, and gut flora.

Current guidelines recommend that moderate intensity aerobic exercise is preferred in prediabetes, supplemented by resistance training, when possible, but do not recommend at an appropriate intensity. Aerobic, resistance, and combination training are now widely used in the prediabetic population. A comprehensive comparison of these intervention types has been made, recommending aerobic and combination exercise as the best type of exercise for the prediabetic population (8). However, exploring the benefits of exercise beyond exercise intensity has many limitations and risks, and vague recommendations for exercise prescription are often not understood by patients, which also makes exercise difficult to maximize treatment effects. Therefore, based on the complete concept of exercise prescription setting, this study comprehensively considered four aspects of exercise type, exercise frequency, exercise intensity, and exercise time, and conducted a network meta-analysis of exercise intervention modalities for prediabetes to (I) evaluate the comparative effects of different exercise prescriptions on weight loss, glycemic regulation and, cardiovascular fitness in prediabetes, (II)to contribute to the development of a hierarchy of exercise interventions for prediabetes.

2 Methods

This work was conducted by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Network Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-NMA) (9). In addition, this study has been registered with PROSPERO, under CRD42021284922. The PRISMA Checklist and Protocol were presented in Additional File 1: Appendix 1, 2.

2.1 Search strategy

We searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Sport Discus for relevant studies from their inception dating to October 2022. The search strategy was constructed around the PICOS tool: (P) Participants: patients with prediabetes; (I) Intervention: Detailed exercise prescription interventions; (C) Comparisons: other exercise prescription or no exercise control; (O) Outcomes: fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2-hour post-meal blood glucose (2hPG), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), body weight (BW), etc.; (S) Study type: RCTs. A complete list of the search terms is shown in Additional File 1: Appendix 3. In addition, we scanned the references of the included articles to find those that met the inclusion criteria. HZ screened and identified the results of the research to exclude duplicate records. Yt G and HZ independently screened the titles and abstracts of the remaining studies against inclusion and exclusion criteria. HZ and Yt G independently screened the full-text articles with a "yes, unsure, or no" approach. A kappa statistic was used to calculate the level of agreement between Yt G and HZ for both abstracts and full-text screening (10). A Kappa value between 0.40 and 0.59 was considered a fair agreement, 0.60 to 0.74 as a good agreement, and greater than 0.75 as an excellent agreement (11). Any disagreements that arose during this process were negotiated by the broader team.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

2.2.1 The inclusion criteria were performed as follows

Type of participants: We included studies enrolling participants with prediabetes aged ≥18 years, excluding patients with other chronic diseases, children, adolescents, or pregnant women. Patients diagnosed with prediabetes according to the ADA (American Diabetes Association) and WHO (World Health Organization) criteria: FBG:100~125mg/dL or HbA1c 5.7% to 6.4%.

Currently, there has yet to be a consensus on the diagnostic criteria for prediabetes. According to the ADA practice standards, prediabetes is defined as FBG 100-125 mg/dL (5.6-6.9 mmol/L) or HbA1c 5.7-6.4% (39-46 mmol/mol), while the WHO defines it as an FBG of 110 to 125 mg/dL. Furthermore, the critical levels of HbA1c differed between the guidelines. The results of a large 10-year community-based prospective cohort study in the United States showed that HbA1c 5.7% to 6.4% had reasonable diagnostic specificity and had a substantial predictive value for the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality (12). Criteria for the diagnostic use of prediabetes in adults (Table 1).

Type of interventions: We focused on the following 7 exercise training modalities, and each category was designed according to the principles of frequency, intensity, duration, and type of exercise prescription and American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) estimates of cardio and resistance exercise intensity: AT-V (vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise), AT-M (moderateintensity aerobic exercise), RT-H (high-load resistance training), RT-L (low-to moderate-load resistance training), AT-V+RT-H (combined vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise with high-load resistance training), AT-M+RT-L (combined moderate-intensity aerobic exercise). The definition of each intervention is shown in Additional File 1: Appendix 4.

Type of outcomes: Outcomes of interest included glycemic control [including glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting

TABLE 1 Criteria for the diagnostic use of prediabetes in adults.

	IFG	IGT	CGI
FBG (mmol/L)	6.1~7.0	<6.1	6.1~7.0
2h-PG (mmol/L)	<7.8	7.8~11.1	7.8~11.1
HbA1c (%)		5.7~6.4	,

blood glucose (FBG) and 2-hour post-meal plasma glucose (2hPG)], weight loss [including body weight (BW) and body mass index (BMI)] and cardiovascular risk factors [including total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and systolic blood pressure (SBP)].

Type of design: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

2.2.2 The exclusion criteria were performed as follows

(1) non-randomized design; (2) in regard to RCTs with repeated publications or apparent duplication of data, only one study with more complete data was kept; (3) means and standard deviations were unavailability in the results, and authors did not reply to our requests for data; (4) vague descriptions of exercise modalities; (5) full text of the study could not be available through relevant databases and other means.

2.3 Data extraction

Relevant publication information; (1) number; (2) author; (3) year of publication; (4) country; (5) sample size; (6) mean age; (7) the details of exercise prescription were recorded using the FITT principle (frequency, type, time and intensity); (8) outcomes (FBG, 2hPG, HbA1c, Weight, BMI, TC, LDL, HDL, SBP, DBP). If the original study reported a standard error in the experimental and control groups, the standard deviation was calculated by the formula: standard deviation (SD) = standard error (SE) × \sqrt{N} . If both were missing, we would estimate SD based on the confidence interval, t-value, quartile, range, or p-values as described in section 7.7.3 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews.

2.4 Risk of bias assessment

HZ and Yt G independently assessed the risk of included studies based on the bias 2.0 Tool (37). Examined domains; (1) randomization process; (2) deviations from the intended interventions; (3) missing outcome data; (4) measurement of the outcome; (5) selection of the reported results.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The net Meta package of R3.6.3 software was applied to perform an NMA combining direct and indirect comparisons based on the Frequentist model (38, 39). Arm-level data was imported into the R software in CSV format. Allowing for the consistent rating scales or units of each outcome, the mean difference (MD) was chosen to measure the effect sizes. The effect sizes were then synthesized using a random-effects NMA model. We presented the summary MD, 95% credible intervals (CrIs) for all pairwise comparisons in the league table. Furthermore, we showed the results of comparison of each exercise modality and control group in the form of a forest plot. Besides, we used p-score to rank exercise modalities based on the improvement of glucose and lipid metabolism and the level of weight loss (40). A higher p-score indicates a greater degree of improvement. For different clinical trials, it is necessary to ensure the consistency of their baseline levels. Therefore, prior to analysis of the results, we evaluated the transitivity assumption by comparing the distribution of potential effect modalities (year of publication, sample size, mean age, percentage of male) (Additional File 1: Appendix 5) across the studies. Tau square (τ^2) test and pvalue were used to qualitatively analyze the statistical heterogeneity between the studies. Larger 72 and smaller p-value indicate greater possibility of heterogeneity. Moreover, I² is a parameter for quantitative analysis of the heterogeneity between all results. $I^2 <$ 25% means low heterogeneity; 25%-50% means moderate heterogeneity; $I^2 > 75\%$ means high heterogeneity. We also used global and local methods to test the inconsistency of these results. Design-by-treatment test was used to evaluate inconsistency statistically for global inconsistency and separate indirect from direct evidence (SIDE test) for local inconsistency (41, 42). The potential sources of heterogeneity (publish year, mean age, percentage of males, sample size, exercise period, exercise frequency, the single session of exercise) were explored by network meta-regression through the R3.6.3 gemtc package. Subgroup analysis was performed by the forest spot package of R3.6.3 software. In addition, the adjusted funnel plot was compared to assess the risk of publication bias under specific circumstances. Egger's test suggests publication bias when p< 0.05.

3 Results

A total of 4553 studies were identified according to the search strategy. After initially identifying titles and abstracts, the remaining 168 studies were screened for full text. The inter-rater reliability between the two reviewers for both abstract screening (K=0.74) and full-text screening (K=0.71) was considered good. Finally, 24 studies with 1946 participants were included in this study (Figure 1). The studies were conducted in America (n=6), China (n=6), Iran (n=1), Finland (n=4), Sweden (n=2), Chile (n=1), Netherlands (n=1), Germany (n=1), Austria (n=1) and Canada (n=1). Furthermore, in 24 studies, 8 with 3 arms, 14 with 2 arms, and 2 with 4 arms. 2 of the studies looked only at women, 2 at men, and the remaining 22 included both men and women (Table 2).

In terms of exercise categories, 234 participants (12.02%) were included in the AT-V category, 630 participants (32.37%) in the AT-M, 63 participants (3.24%) in RT-H, 226 participants (11.61%) in RT-L, 10 participants (0.51%) in the AT-V+RT-H and 63 participants (3.24%) in the AT-M+RT-L. The remaining 720 participants were controls (no exercise). Intensity, duration, and

TABLE 2 Characteristic of included studies.

Author Year	country	Sample Size (men)	Age (Mean <u>+</u> SD)	Exercise Prescription	Results
Tahereh 2019 (<mark>13</mark>)	Iran	AT-M:136 (59) CON:136 (51)	AT-M:51.3 ± 11.2 CON:53.6 ± 9.4	AT-M:60%~70%VO _{2max} ,50min/day,3times/week,16weeks	a,d,e
Yan J 2019 (14)	China	AT-M:35 (10) RT-L:35 (15) CON:35 (15)	AT-M:64.23 ± 5.75 RT-L:62.06 ± 8.11 CON:60.31 ± 7.56	AT-M:60%~70%HR _{max} , 50min/day, 3times/week,12weeks RT-L:60%1RM,50min/day, 3times/week,12weeks	a,b,c,e,f,g,h
Dai X 2019 (15)	China	AT-M:34 RT-L:31 AT-M+RT-L:37 CON:35	AT-M:51 ± 5 CON:58 ± 3	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,60min/day, 3times/week,2years RT-L:60%~80%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,2years AT-M+RT-L:combined AT-M with RT-L	a, b,c,d,f,g,h
Kramer 2018 (<mark>16</mark>)	USA	AT-M: 88 (30) CON: 46 (14)	AT: -M:62.8 ± 12.1 CON:61.9 ± 11.9	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,50min/day,3times/week,18months	a,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j
Slentz 2016 (17)	USA	AT-M: 40 (17) AT-V: 38 (15)	AT-M:61.4 ± 7.1 AT-V:60.4 ± 7.0	AT-M: 50%VO _{2reserve} , 30 min/day, 3 times/week,6 months AT-V: 75% VO _{2reserve} , 60 min/day, 3 times/week,6 months	a,b,d,f,g,h
Gidlund 2016 (18)	Finland	RT-L: 20 (20) AT-M: 18 (18) CON:17 (17)	RT-L:54 ± 6.2 AT-M:56 ± 5.6 CON:54 ± 6.9	RT-L:50%1RM,60min/day,3times/week,12weeks AT-M:55%~75%HRR,60min/day,3times/week,12weeks	b,c
Liao 2015 (<mark>19</mark>)	China	AT-M:60 (33) CON:60 (35)	AT-M:42.4 ± 5.8 CON:44.1 ± 6.6	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,30min/day,5times/week,12weeks	a,c,e,f,g,h,i,j
Herrzig 2014 (<mark>20</mark>)	Finland	AT-M:33 (9) CON:35 (9)	AT-M:58.1 ± 9.9 CON:59.5 ± 10.8	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,60min/day,3times/week,12weeks	a,b,d,e,f,g,h, i,j
Venojarvi 2013 (21)	Finland	AT-V:39 (39) RT-H:36 (36) CON:40 (40)	AT-V:55 \pm 6.2 RT-H:54 \pm 6.1 CON:54 \pm 7.2	AT-V:65%~75%HRR,60min/day, 3times/week,12weeks RT-H:75%~85%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,12weeks	a,b,c,d,f,g,h, i,j
Fritz 2013 (22)	Sweden	AT-M:14 (5) CON:21 (10)	AT-M:59.1 ± 6.2 CON:61.8 ± 3.4	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,60min/day, 5times/week,16weeks	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h, i,j
Hansen 2012 (23)	Sweden	RT-H:9 (2) RT-L:9 (2) CON:9 (2)	RT-H:59.1 ± 6.2 RT-L:61.8 ± 3.4 CON:56.1 ± 4.4	RT-H:85%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,16weeks RT-L:65%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,16weeks	a,b
Alvarez 2012 (24)	Chile	AT-V:12 (0) RT-H:8 (0) AT-V+RT-H:10 (0) Con:13 (0)	AT-V:39.2 ± 9.5 RT-H:33.9 ± 9.3 AT-V+RT-H:43.3 ±8.1 CON:40.1 ± 11.4	AT-V:75%VO2max,50min/day,3times/week,22weeks RT-H:75%~85%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,22weeks AT-V+RT-H: combined AT-V with RT-H	a,d,e,i,j
Burtscher 2009 (25)	Austria	AT-M+RT-L: 18 (8) CON: 18 (8)	AT-M+RT-L:55.8 ± 5.5 CON:59.1 ± 7.8	AT-M+RT-L:70%HRmax+70%1RM,60min/day, 3times/week,12months	a,d,e,f,g,i,j
Desch 2010 (26)	Germany	AT-V:14 (11) CON: 12 (8)	AT-V:62.3 ± 6.2 CON:62.3 ± 6.5	AT-V:75%VO2max,90min/day,3times/week,6months	a,b,c,e
Eriksson 1998 (27)	Finland	AT-M:7(3) RT-L:7(4) CON:8(8)	AT-M:60 ± 5 RT-L:40 ± 3 CON:60 ± 5	AT-M:60%VO2max,60min/day,3times/week,10weeks RT-L:50%~60%1RM,60min/day,3times/week,10weeks	a,e,f,g,i,j
Malin 2012 (28)	USA	AT-M+RT-L: 8 (3) CON: 8 (2)	AT-M+RT-L:45.4 ± 8.0 CON:49.8 ± 10.9	AT-M+RT-L:70%HRmax+70%1RM,60~70min/ day,3times/week,12weeks	a,d
Marcell 2005 (29)	USA	AT-V:20 AT-M:17 CON:14	AT-V:47.2 ± 9.2 AT-M:44.4 ± 6.5 CON:44.1 ± 9.5	AT-V:80%~90%VO2max,30min/day,5times/week,16weeks AT-M:3.5MET,30min/day,5times/week,16weeks	d
Marcus 2009 (30)	USA	RT-H:10 (0) CON:6 (0)	RT-H:56.3 ± 6.4 CON:53.2 ± 6.5	RT-H:85%1RM,30min/day,3times/week,12weeks	a
Roumen 2008 (31)	Dutch	AT-V:54 (30) CON: 52 (28)	AT-V:58.4 ± 6.8 CON:54.2 ± 5.8	AT-V:70%VO2max,30min/day,3times/week,3years	b,c,d,e,f,g,h, i,j
Rowan 2017 (32)	Canada	AT-M:10 AT-V:11	AT-M:47.7 ± 6.93 AT-V:53.6 ± 8.21	AT-M:60%~70%HRR,30min/day,3times/week,12weeks AT-V:90%HRR,30min/day,3times/week,12weeks	a,b,e

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

Author Year	country	Sample Size (men)	Age (Mean <u>+</u> SD)	Exercise Prescription	Results
Yuan 2020 (33)	China	AT-M:83 (24) RT-L:82 (30) Con:83 (33)	AT-M:60.93 ± 5.71 RT-L:59.91 ± 5.92 CON:60.73 ± 5.83	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,60min/day,3times/week,6months RT-L:50%~60~1RM,60min/day,3times/week,6months	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,i,j
sulin 2017 (<mark>34</mark>)	China	AT-V:29 (6) Con:29 (7)	AT-V:59 ± 4.4 CON:60 ± 3.4	AT-V:60%~75%VO2max,60min/day,3times/week,6months	a,b,c,d
Lin 2021 (35)	China	AT-M:43 (3) RT-L:42 (4) CON:43 (4)	AT-M:60.35 ± 4.29 RT-L:60.12 ± 3.97 CON:59.94 ± 4.40	AT-M:60%~70%HRmax,50min/day,3times/week,12months RT-L:60%~80%1RM,50min/day,3times/week,12months	a,b,c
Nicole 2019 (<mark>36</mark>)	USA	AT-V:17 AT-M:12	AT-V:45.7 ± 4.4 AT-M:50.8 ± 4.4	AT-V:79.8 ± 3.3%HRmax,60min/day,3times/week,16weeks AT-M:53.1 ± 2.3%HRR,60min/day,3times/week,16weeks	a,c,d,e,i,j

AT-M, Aerobic training of moderate intensity; AT-V, Aerobic training of vigorous intensity; RT-L, Resistance training of low to moderate load; RT-H, Resistance training of high load; AT-V +RT-H, Combined vigorous intensity aerobic exercise with high load resistance training; AT-M+RT-L, Combined moderate intensity aerobic exercise with low to moderate load resistance training; CON, Control; VO2max, Maximal Oxygen Consumption; HRmax, Maximal Heart Rate; HRR, Heart rate reserve; 1RM, one-repetition maximum; MET, Metabolic equivalent of energy; a, FBG; b, 2hPG; c, HbA1c; d, Weight; e, BMI; f, TC; g, SBP; h, DBP; i, HDL; j, LDL.

frequency of exercise interventions were reported in all studies, 23 of which lasted more than 12 weeks, 1 of which lasted 10 weeks, and all of which had a frequency of \geq 3 times per week.

3.1 Risk of bias assessment

The summary data of ROBs assessment were presented in Figure 2 and the ROBs assessments for each study were presented in Additional File 1: Appendix 6. Overall, there were no high-risk studies here.

3.2 Network meta-analysis

3.2.1 Glycemic control

22 studies (91.6%) with 1761 patients (90.5%) assessed FBG and were eligible for NMA (Figure 3). Compared to no exercise, low-to moderate-load resistance training (-0.48mmol/L,95%CI: -0.65mmol/L~-0.32mmol/L), moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.38mmol/L,95%CI: -0.51mmol/L~-0.25mmol/L), combined low-to moderate-load resistance training with moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.44mmol/L,95%CI:-0.67mmol/L~-0.21mmol/L) and vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.31mmol/L,95%CI:-





0.51mmol/L~-0.11mmol/L) showed significant reduction in FBG (Additional File 1: Appendix 7). Furthermore, low-to moderateload resistance training showed the greatest potential as the best intervention to improve FBG. (P-sore=0.98, Additional File 1: Appendix 7). The result of Quantifying heterogeneity showed that I^2 was 64.2% (moderate to high) (Additional File 1: Appendix 8. There was no significant inconsistency between direct and indirect evidence (P=0.32, Additional File 1: Appendix 9.

13 studies (54.1%) with 1247 patients (64.08%) assessed HbA1c and were eligible for NMA (Additional File 1: Appendix 7). Similar to the effects on FBG, compared to no exercise, combining low-to moderate-load resistance training with moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.30, 95%Cl: -0.50~-0.10), low-to moderate-load resistance training (-0.24, 95%Cl: -0.33~-0.16), moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.25, 95%Cl:-0.32~-0.17) and vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.18, 95%Cl:-0.29~-0.07) showed a significant reduction in HbA1c, and ranking probability showed that combining low-to moderate-load resistance training with moderate-intensity aerobic exercise had the most significant ability to reduce HbA1c (P-score=0.82, Additional File 1: Appendix 7). The result of Quantifying heterogeneity showed that I² was 31.6% (moderate) (Additional File 1: Appendix 8).



Notably, most prediabetes shows an increase in blood glucose 2 hours after meals, which is also a marker of impaired glucose tolerance in prediabetes. 22 studies (91.6%) with 1761 patients (90.5%) assessed 2hPG and were eligible for NMA. Compared to no exercise, vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.78mmol/L, 95%Cl: -1.40mmol/L~-0.15mmol/L) and moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.53mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.88mmol/L~-0.18mmol/L) showed a significant reduction in 2hPG. Furthermore, vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise showed the greatest potential as the best intervention to improve 2hPG (P-score=0.71, Additional File 1: Appendix 7).

3.2.2 Weight loss

15 studies (62.5%) with 1371 patients (70.45%) assessed weight loss and were eligible for NMA. Compared to no exercise, combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training (-3.72kg, 95%Cl: -6.34kg~-1.09kg), moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-2.66kg, 95%Cl: -3.92kg~-1.40kg), and vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (-2.23kg, 95%Cl: -3.62kg~-0.83kg) showed greater weight loss (Additional File 1: Appendix 7). In addition, combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training showed the most significant effectiveness in weight loss (P-score=0.87, Additional File 1: Appendix 7).

14 studies (58.3%) with 1214 patients (62.4%) assessed BMI and were eligible for NMA. Different from the weight loss, compared to no exercise, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (-0.71, 95%Cl: -1.00~-0.42), and low-to moderate-load resistance training (-0.61,95%Cl: -0.97, -0.25) showed greater BMI changes (Additional File 1: Appendix 7).

3.2.3 Cardiovascular risk factors

The Framingham Risk Score (FRS) assesses the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The FRS method includes gender, age, TC, LDL, HDL, SBP and smoking status as risk factors. Therefore, TC, LDL, HDL and SBP were also selected as reference factors for the risk of developing cardiovascular disease. 12 studies with 1162 patients assessed TC, 10 with 1105 patients assessed LDL, and 12 with 1170 patients assessed SBP and DBP. And We did NMA of these except HDL due to an imbalance in the baseline.

Compared to no exercise, combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training (TC:-0.80mmol/L, 95%Cl: -1.13mmol/L~-0.46mmol/L; LDL: -0.62mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.93mmol/L~-0.30mmol/L), moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (TC: -0.34mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.49mmol/L~-0.19mmol/L; SBP: -5.18mmHg, 95%Cl: -8.05mmHg~-2.31mmHg; DBP: -3.43mmHg, 95%Cl: -5.39mmHg~-1.46mmHg), vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (TC: -0.33mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.56mmol/L~-0.11mmol/L; SBP: -7.54mmHg, 95%Cl: -11.61mmHg~-3.47mmHg), and low-to moderate-load resistance training (TC: -0.34mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.54mmol/L~-0.15mmol/L; SBP: -0.54mmol/L~-0.15mmol/L; SBP: -0.54mmol/L~-0.15mmol/L, 95%Cl: -0.48mmol/L~-0.10mmol/L) showed better improvement in TC, LDL, SBP, and DBP. (Additional File 1: Appendix 7).

Combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training showed the most significant improvements in TC and LDL (P-score=1.00, 0.99 respectively, Additional File 1: Appendix 7), the vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise showed the most significant improvements in SBP (P-score=0.78, Additional File 1: Appendix 7), and moderate-intensity aerobic exercise showed the most significant improvements in DBP (P-score=0.74, Additional File 1: Appendix 7).

3.3 Meta-regression and subgroups analysis

Since our results had moderate to high heterogeneity, we performed a meta-regression of the sources of heterogeneity (year of publication, mean age, percentage of males, sample size, exercise period, exercise frequency, and the single session) on all outcomes. We found that mean age might significantly affect FBG, the sample size might affect 2hPG, and the exercise period might affect HbA1c, BMI, and TC (Additional File 1: Appendix 10), so we performed a subgroup analysis of the above. Results showed that FBG tends to be significantly reduced in elderly over 60 years, and the reduction of HbA1c, BMI, and TC tended to be greater in longer exercise period (Additional File 1: Appendix 11). In addition, we re-analyzed all results after adjusting all potential sources of heterogeneity to the median value, and the results after re-analysis did not conflict with our conclusions either (Additional File 1: Appendix 10 Finally, our comparison-adjusted funnel plot had good symmetry for all outcomes, and the results of Egger's test (FBG=0.775; 2hPG=0.440; HbA1c=0.218; BMI=0.974; Weight=0.966; TC=0.751; SBP=0.749; DBP=0.943; LDL=0.880) showed that no small study effect were found (Additional File 1: Appendix 12). Overall, the stability of our key findings was not a source of concern.

4 Discussion

4.1 Summary of evidence

This systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that combining moderate-intensity aerobic exercise with low-to moderate-load resistance training demonstrated the best effect in improving HbA1c, weight loss and cardiovascular risk factors; lowto moderate-load resistance training was more conducive to improving FBG. For 2hPG and blood pressure control, aerobic exercise was superior to other forms of exercise. Subgroup analysis demonstrated HbA1c, TC and BMI improved with increasing exercise duration. However, the optimal intensity and type of exercise remained moderate-intensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderate-intensity resistance training.

4.2 Comparisons with previous studies

The main pathological feature of prediabetes is impaired blood glucose regulation; both IFG and IGT have insulin resistance and abnormal insulin secretion (43, 44). IFG results from hepatic insulin resistance and impaired islet β -cell function, mainly manifested by impaired fasting blood glucose levels (44, 45). Conversely, IGT is

caused by peripheral insulin resistance, notably in skeletal muscle, and is mainly characterized by impaired glucose tolerance and elevated blood glucose levels two hours after meals (44). Since IFG and IGT show distinct characteristics in pathophysiological mechanisms and clinical outcomes, therapies attempting to normalize hyperglycemia may differentially impact each phenotype.

The results of this review identified low-to moderate-load resistance training as the best intervention to improve FBG. Several clinical studies have demonstrated that resistance training reduces FBG levels in patients with diabetes as well as those with prediabetes (15, 33, 35). This is because resistance training can increase the activation of glycogen synthase (GS) through the inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3 β) by AKT, which can lead to the eventual synthesis of glycogen (46-48). Second, the researchers observed that resistance training increases protein synthesis in muscle, either by activating the IGF-1/PI3K/AKT pathway or by reducing adenosine monophosphateactivated protein kinase (AMPK)-mediated mTOR inhibition (49). Increasing muscle mass can reduce muscle resistance to insulin to lower FBG levels. Finally, transiently activated AMPK may lead to the translocation of glucose transporter (GLUT-4) in skeletal muscle (49, 50), enhance fatty acid oxidation to increase glucose uptake, and ultimately improve insulin sensitivity, and lower FBG while increasing lipid clearance in the blood (47). However, there is also conflicting evidence. In Eikenberg et al's study (51), patients with prediabetes were classified into subtypes for resistance training, and the results showed no improvement in FBG in patients with IFG. In terms of intensity, Tsai et al. (52) performed resistance training at different intensities in non-obese elderly patients with prediabetes and found that short-term high-intensity resistance training was more effective in normalizing glucose levels. It has been shown that high-intensity resistance training can increase muscle stimulation of glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis, and that an increase in GLUT-4 content may result from the greater degree of muscle fiber recruitment, leading to a consistent improvement in metabolic control and insulin sensitivity (53). However, some scholars have concluded that there is no correlation between elevated muscle mass or physical function and advancement in glycemic regulation. It is believed that this is due to the fact that better glycemic control does not rely on modifications in muscle size, but rather on changes occurring within the muscle (54). There is currently no consensus in the scientific literature regarding the aforementioned topic, which remains an area for future research. Because of the limited sample sizes of the studies that have been done, there is a lack of research on the effects of different types and intensities of exercise on different subgroups of patients with prediabetes. In addition, a number of uncontrollable factors, such as dietary habits, the timing of meals, frequency of exercise, and the design of the resistance training program, can significantly influence the results of the studies. For instance, one study found that patients with continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) decreased blood glucose levels during resistance training up to 24 hours after resistance training, but the effect may depend on meal time (55). Meanwhile, one study found that for the same intensity of resistance training, multijoint exercise recruited more adjacent muscle groups than monojoint exercise. This allows more muscle mass and fibers to be involved in the movement during multi-joint exercise, which may lead to a greater reduction in FBG (56, 57). In conclusion, further research needs to

determine appropriate resistance training prescriptions for patients with different subtypes of prediabetes, particularly regarding intensity, the relationship between resistance training and meal timing, and exercise design.

For aerobic exercise, our results suggested that vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise is more effective in improving 2-hour postprandial glucose and systolic blood pressure, whereas moderate-intensity aerobic exercise improves diastolic blood pressure. To summarize, aerobic training can enhance both blood pressure control ability and insulin secretion two hours after consuming a meal. Several metaanalyses and RCTs have also confirmed that vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise significantly improves 2hPG levels, particularly in patients with IGT (35, 58, 59). Aerobic exercise increases peak oxygen consumption and can improve glucose tolerance, whole-body insulin sensitivity, and cardiovascular adaptation (32). In contrast to resistance training, aerobic exercise has no significant effect on muscle strength. Furthermore, aerobic exercise effectively induces GLUT4 enhancement factor, increasing GLUT4 expression and improved glycemic control (60, 61). Elevated 2hPG levels are typically attributed to diminished early insulin secretion, making enhancing islet β -cell function imperative for clinical significance. One study found that altered islet β -cell function was unrelated to VO_2max (32). However, the STRRIDE study (62) showed that moderate and vigorous exercise improves β -cell function through different mechanisms in sedentary, overweight sedentary overweight adults. Vigorous intensity exercise was associated with an improvement in insulin sensitivity and a compensatory decrease in insulin secretion, whereas low and moderate intensity exercise was only associated with an improvement in insulin sensitivity. A metaanalysis demonstrated that aerobic exercise of moderate to high intensity for more than 150 minutes per week for at least 6 weeks was associated with lower SBP and DBP in patients with type 2 diabetes (63). This has been attributed to regular aerobic exercise increasing nitric oxide synthesis and action and improving endothelium-dependent vasodilation (64). However, aerobic exercise can be categorized into moderate continuous training (MCT) and high intensity interval training (HIIT). Both are associated with improvements in arterial structure and function (56, 65, 66). A recent review highlighted the potential of HIIT to improve glycemic control to a greater extent than MCT (67). Physiological studies have shown that continuous exercise can produce more reactive oxygen species, leading to increased oxidative stress, which may compromise nitric oxide bioavailability and attenuate the beneficial effects of exercise on the endothelium (68, 69). HIIT may limit these effects on nitric oxide bioavailability, as the exercise session is always followed by a recovery period (67, 70). It is also a reference point for the development of exercise prescriptions for our patients with prediabetes. In this study, the age of the population included was generally over 55 years. Therefore, we still consider moderate-intensity aerobic exercise to be the preferred type of exercise to reduce 2-hour postprandial blood glucose, and we can include short rest periods during exercise.

The efficacy of the combined aerobic and resistance training approach has been the subject of numerous studies (15, 28, 35, 71–73). This study differentiated intensity and showed that a combination of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise and low-to

moderate-intensity resistance training can improve HbA1c, reduce weight, and reduce cardiovascular risk. The combination of aerobic and resistance training has been recommended by renowned institutions, including the American College of Sports Medicine, Belgian Physical Therapy Association, European Society of Cardiology and Exercise and Sports Science Australia (74-77). The results of our analyses were not only consistent with guidelinerecommended interventions, but also confirmed that moderateintensity combined exercise was the most effective modality. One study discovered that combined aerobic and resistance training had an additional effect without interference from simultaneous training (78). Combined exercise uses three methods to maximize glycemic control and improve body weight and cardiovascular outcomes. Firstly, exercise activates the insulin signaling pathway associated with AKT/PKB, increasing insulin receptor content and phosphorylation levels (61). Secondly, it can enhance the pathophysiological pathways associated with insulin resistance, upregulating the expression of GLUT 4 glucose transporters, increasing their translocation, promoting cellular glucose utilization and improving insulin resistance (61, 79, 80). These pathways comprise promoting mitochondrial biosynthesis and attenuating insulin resistance through activation of the AMPK/ PGC-1 α (Proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator- α) pathway (81); inhibiting nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) expression, reducing the levels of inflammatory factors such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), exerting its anti-inflammatory effect (81, 82); stimulating the antioxidant mediator, nuclear erythroid 2 p45-related factor 2 (Nrf2), thereby enhancing the expression of glutathione to counteract oxidative stress caused by diabetes (83, 84); increasing the levels of galanin peptide and gene expression significantly to accelerate GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake in myocytes and adipocytes (85). Finally, physical activity contracts skeletal muscle, enhances Ca²⁺ influx, increases osteocalcin, and boosts capillary flow to raise GLUT 4 translocation in the cell membrane, thereby promoting glucose uptake by muscle cells (72). During this process, regulation of adiponectin, visfatin, omentin-1 and leptin increases fatty acid release from adipocytes and fatty acid oxidation capacity, thereby increasing insulin sensitivity, minimizing lipid deposition in blood vessels, reducing visceral fat weight and reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease (86, 87). Highintensity resistance training combined with aerobic exercise may be difficult for elderly or obese patients with prediabetes to stick to, and they may also be less safe when exercising. Therefore, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise combined with low-to moderate-intensity resistance exercise is recommended.

4.3 Strengths and limitations

This study had several advantages and disadvantages. First, the review was systematic and exhaustive, with a considerable patients with prediabetes sample size (n=1946) being included, providing the ability to detect statistically significant mean differences. Second, only randomized controlled trials (RCT) were included, which is the gold standard for assessing the effectiveness of the intervention. Thirdly, the inclusion of general body morphology in the NMA outcome measures

also optimized this study, as this has generally been ignored in metastudies evaluating exercise interventions for prediabetes. However, it is essential as a predictor and associated factor in all-cause mortality.

Our review shared some limitations with the studies that it has incorporated. Although we sought to limit heterogeneity by using stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria, the study population varied in several ways (age, recruited countries, and the proportion of male and female participants). Although nearly all of the included studies were conducted nearly 3 times per week, with a 50-minute exercise intervention, the duration of the intervention varied greatly. However, when included in the analysis as covariates, the intervention duration (number of weeks) could not explain the differences in the effect size of all outcomes in the NMA. In addition, the patients in the trials we included were not followed up, so the duration of the exercise effect could not be determined. We plan to extend this investigation in future trials.

Furthermore, most included trials reported risk factor outcome measures associated with inflammation as baseline data (TC, SBP, DBP, HDL, and LDL). However, they did not report these data in their post-intervention results. Exercise is considered as a cornerstone of preventing and managing metabolic syndrome, so future studies need to design these metabolic markers as primary outcomes rather than secondary or tertiary outcomes. This NMA identified some missing evidence associated with the exercise category. Aerobic exercise remains the most commonly used intervention, with resistance training and combined training representing only 14.85% and 3.75%, respectively. Often, due to limited data on direct comparison of specific interventions, especially for resistance training versus joint training, readers should interpret these results with caution as the lack of direct evidence, which makes the analysis less reliable, suggesting the need for further studies of resistance training and joint exercise in patients with prediabetes, 16 of 24 RCT trials at moderate risk of ROB. Since participants and supervisors could not blind exercise training, the associated bias for experimenters and patients was high, and 11 studies still showed unclear randomized sequence generation bias for the gold standard of randomized controlled trials.

Overall, the quality of the studies we included in the NMA was moderate. Thus, the current NMA results should be interpreted in a conservative manner.

4.4 Implications and future research

The findings in our review provided strong evidence that moderate-intensity combination training is essential in improving glycemic regulation function and preventing conversion to type 2 diabetes of diabetes in patients with prediabetes, which provides new ideas for glycemic control. Furthermore, we advocate further researches to address several important issues. First, our results should be confirmed in different types of patients with prediabetes. In addition, future clinical studies should consider different types of precursor diabetes mellitus (impaired fasting blood glucose and impaired glucose tolerance) to explore and improve different exercise intervention prescriptions, and to provide theoretical support for a clinical exercise intervention in prediabetes.

5 Conclusions

Despite its limitations, our systematic review and meta-analysis have showcased the positive effects of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, low-to moderate-load resistance training, and the combination of both on prediabetes. These findings can provide valuable guidance to clinicians when prescribing exercise to patients with prediabetes, and to patients when self-administering the intervention.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

HZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YG: Writing – review & editing. GH: Writing – review & editing. CG: Writing – review & editing. SG: Writing – review & editing. ML: Writing – review & editing. YY: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1308959/full#supplementary-material 1. Allegrante JP, Wells MT, Peterson JC. Interventions to support behavioral selfmanagement of chronic diseases. *Annu Rev Public Health* (2019) 40:127–46. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-044008

2. Rett K, Gottwald-Hostalek U. Understanding prediabetes: definition, prevalence, burden and treatment options for an emerging disease. *Curr Med Res Opin* (2019) 35 (9):1529–34. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2019.1601455

3. Carris NW, Magness RR, Labovitz AJ. Prevention of diabetes mellitus in patients with prediabetes. Am J Cardiol (2019) 123(3):507–12. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.10.032

4. Duan D, Kengne AP, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB. Screening for diabetes and prediabetes. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* (2021) 50(3):369-85. doi: 10.1016/ j.ecl.2021.05.002

5. Huang D, Refaat M, Mohammedi K, Jayyousi A, Al Suwaidi J, Abi Khalil C. Macrovascular complications in patients with diabetes and prediabetes. *BioMed Res Int* (2017) 2017:7839101. doi: 10.1155/2017/7839101

6. Magkos F, Hjorth MF, Astrup A. Diet and exercise in the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2020) 16(10):545–55. doi: 10.1038/s41574-020-0381-5

7. Mahat RK, Singh N, Arora M, Rathore V. Health risks and interventions in prediabetes: A review. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* (2019) 13(4):2803–11. doi: 10.1016/ j.dsx.2019.07.041

8. Crandall JP, Knowler WC, Kahn SE, Marrero D, Florez JC, Bray GA, et al. The prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* (2008) 4(7):382–93. doi: 10.1038/ncpendmet0843

9. Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, Chaimani A, Schmid CH, Cameron C, et al. The prisma extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: checklist and explanations. *Ann Intern Med* (2015) 162(11):777–84. doi: 10.7326/m14-2385

10. Higgins JPT, Green S, Cochrane Collaboration. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews for interventions*. (2011). Chichester: England.

11. Hedges LV. The handbook of research synthesis. New York (Ny: Russell Sage Foundation (1994).

12. Warren B, Pankow JS, Matsushita K, Punjabi NM, Daya NR, Grams M, et al. Comparative prognostic performance of definitions of prediabetes: A prospective cohort analysis of the atherosclerosis risk in communities (Aric) study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2017) 5(1):34–42. doi: 10.1016/s2213-8587(16)30321-7

13. Shamizadeh T, Jahangiry L, Sarbakhsh P, Ponnet K. Social cognitive theory-based intervention to promote physical activity among prediabetic rural people: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *Trials* (2019) 20(1):98. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3220-z

14. Yan J, Dai X, Feng J, Yuan X, Li J, Yang L, et al. Effect of 12-month resistance training on changes in abdominal adipose tissue and metabolic variables in patients with prediabetes: A randomized controlled trial. *J Diabetes Res* (2019) 2019:8469739. doi: 10.1155/2019/8469739

15. Dai X, Zhai L, Chen Q, Miller JD, Lu L, Hsue C, et al. Two-year-supervised resistance training prevented diabetes incidence in people with prediabetes: A randomised control trial. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* (2019) 35(5):e3143. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3143

16. Kramer MK, Vanderwood KK, Arena VC, Miller RG, Meehan R, Eaglehouse YL, et al. Evaluation of a diabetes prevention program lifestyle intervention in older adults: A randomized controlled study in three senior/community centers of varying socioeconomic status. *Diabetes Educ* (2018) 44(2):118–29. doi: 10.1177/0145721718759982

17. Slentz CA, Bateman LA, Willis LH, Granville EO, Piner LW, Samsa GP, et al. Effects of exercise training alone vs a combined exercise and nutritional lifestyle intervention on glucose homeostasis in prediabetic individuals: A randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* (2016) 59(10):2088–98. doi: 10.1007/s00125-016-4051-z

18. Gidlund E-K, von Walden F, Venojärvi M, Risérus U, Heinonen OJ, Norrbom J, et al. Humanin skeletal muscle protein levels increase after resistance training in men with impaired glucose metabolism. *Physiol Rep* (2016) 4(23):e13063.doi: 10.14814/ phy2.13063

19. Liao H-C, Zhong S-G, Li P, Chen W-B, Cheng C, Wang Y-G, et al. Effects and mechanism of moderate aerobic exercise on impaired fasting glucose improvement. *Lipids Health Dis* (2015) 14:157. doi: 10.1186/s12944-015-0117-z

20. Herzig KH, Ahola R, Leppäluoto J, Jokelainen J, Jämsä T, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S. Light physical activity determined by a motion sensor decreases insulin resistance, improves lipid homeostasis and reduces visceral fat in high-risk subjects: prediabex study rct. *Int J Obes (Lond)* (2014) 38(8):1089–96. doi: 10.1038/ ijo.2013.224

21. Venojärvi M, Wasenius N, Manderoos S, Heinonen OJ, Hernelahti M, Lindholm H, et al. Nordic walking decreased circulating chemerin and leptin concentrations in middle-aged men with impaired glucose regulation. *Ann Med* (2013) 45(2):162–70. doi: 10.3109/07853890.2012.727020

22. Fritz T, Caidahl K, Krook A, Lundström P, Mashili F, Osler M, et al. Effects of nordic walking on cardiovascular risk factors in overweight individuals with type 2

diabetes, impaired or normal glucose tolerance. Diabetes Metab Res Rev (2013) 29 (1):25-32. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.2321

23. Hansen E, Landstad BJ, Gundersen KT, Torjesen PA, Svebak S. Insulin sensitivity after maximal and endurance resistance training. *J Strength Cond Res* (2012) 26(2):327–34. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318220e70f

24. Alvarez C, Ramírez R, Flores M, Zúñiga C, Celis-Morales CA. [Effect of sprint interval training and resistance exercise on metabolic markers in overweight women]. *Rev Med Chil* (2012) 140(10):1289–96. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872012001000008

25. Burtscher M, Gatterer H, Kunczicky H, Brandstätter E, Ulmer H. Supervised exercise in patients with impaired fasting glucose: impact on exercise capacity. *Clin J Sport Med* (2009) 19(5):394–8. doi: 10.1097/JSM.0b013e3181b8b6dc

26. Desch S, Sonnabend M, Niebauer J, Sixt S, Sareban M, Eitel I, et al. Effects of physical exercise versus rosiglitazone on endothelial function in coronary artery disease patients with prediabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2010) 12(9):825–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2010.01234.x

27. Eriksson J, Tuominen J, Valle T, Sundberg S, Sovijärvi A, Lindholm H, et al. Aerobic endurance exercise or circuit-type resistance training for individuals with impaired glucose tolerance? *Horm Metab Res* (1998) 30(1):37–41. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-978828

28. Malin SK, Gerber R, Chipkin SR, Braun B. Independent and combined effects of exercise training and metformin on insulin sensitivity in individuals with prediabetes. *Diabetes Care* (2012) 35(1):131–6. doi: 10.2337/dc11-0925

29. Marcell TJ, McAuley KA, Traustadóttir T, Reaven PD. Exercise training is not associated with improved levels of C-reactive protein or adiponectin. *Metabolism* (2005) 54(4):533–41. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2004.11.008

30. Marcus RL, Lastayo PC, Dibble LE, Hill L, McClain DA. Increased strength and physical performance with eccentric training in women with impaired glucose tolerance: A pilot study. J Womens Health (Larchmt) (2009) 18(2):253–60. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2007.0669

31. Roumen C, Corpeleijn E, Feskens EJM, Mensink M, Saris WHM, Blaak EE. Impact of 3-year lifestyle intervention on postprandial glucose metabolism: the slim study. *Diabetes Med* (2008) 25(5):597–605. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02417.x

32. Rowan CP, Riddell MC, Gledhill N, Jamnik VK. Aerobic exercise training modalities and prediabetes risk reduction. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2017) 49(3):403–12. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000001135

33. Yuan X, Dai X, Liu I, Hsue C, Miller JD, Fang Z, et al. Comparing the effects of 6 months aerobic exercise and resistance training on metabolic control and β -cell function in chinese patients with prediabetes: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. *J Diabetes* (2020) 12(1):25–37. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.12955

34. Cheng S, Ge J, Zhao C, Le S, Yang Y, Ke D, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise and diet on liver fat in pre-diabetic patients with non-alcoholic-fatty-liver-disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7(1):15952. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-16159-x

35. Liu L, Ma X, Xu H, Ruan S, Yuan X. Comparing the effects of 12 months aerobic exercise and resistance training on glucose metabolism among prediabetes phenotype: A explorative randomized controlled trial. *Prim Care Diabetes* (2021) 15(2):340–6. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2020.11.003

36. Gilbertson NM, Mandelson JA, Hilovsky K, Akers JD, Hargens TA, Wenos DL, et al. Combining supervised run interval training or moderate-intensity continuous training with the diabetes prevention program on clinical outcomes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* (2019) 119(7):1503–12. doi: 10.1007/s00421-019-04137-2

37. Sterne JAC, Savovi J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials [J]. *BMJ Clin Res.* (2019). 366: 14898.doi: 10.1136/bmj.14898

38. O'Donoghue G, Blake C, Cunningham C, Lennon O, Perrotta C. What exercise prescription is optimal to improve body composition and cardiorespiratory fitness in adults living with obesity? A network meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* (2021) 22(2):e13137. doi: 10.1111/obr.13137

39. Shim SR, Kim SJ, Lee J, Rücker G. Network meta-analysis: application and practice using R software. *Epidemiol Health* (2019) 41:e2019013. doi: 10.4178/epih.e2019013

40. Rücker G. Network meta-analysis, electrical networks and graph theory. Res Synth Methods (2012) 3(4):312-24. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1058

41. Higgins JP, Jackson D, Barrett JK, Lu G, Ades AE, White IR. Consistency and inconsistency in network meta-analysis: concepts and models for multi-arm studies. *Res Synth Methods* (2012) 3(2):98–110. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1044

42. Dias S, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Ades AE. Checking consistency in mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. *Stat Med* (2010) 29(7-8):932–44. doi: 10.1002/sim.3767

43. Fonseca VA. Defining and characterizing the progression of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* (2009) 32 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S151-6. doi: 10.2337/dc09-S301

44. Gaitan JM, Weltman A, Malin SK. Enhancing exercise responsiveness across prediabetes phenotypes by targeting insulin sensitivity with nutrition. *J Diabetes Res* (2017) 2017:8314852. doi: 10.1155/2017/8314852

45. Meyer C, Pimenta W, Woerle HJ, Van Haeften T, Szoke E, Mitrakou A, et al. Different mechanisms for impaired fasting glucose and impaired postprandial glucose tolerance in humans. *Diabetes Care* (2006) 29(8):1909–14. doi: 10.2337/dc06-0438

46. Case N, Thomas J, Sen B, Styner M, Xie Z, Galior K, et al. Mechanical regulation of glycogen synthase kinase 3 β (Gsk3 β) in mesenchymal stem cells is dependent on akt protein serine 473 phosphorylation via mtorc2 protein. *J Biol Chem* (2011) 286 (45):39450–6. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.265330

47. Strasser B, Pesta D. Resistance training for diabetes prevention and therapy: experimental findings and molecular mechanisms. *BioMed Res Int* (2013) 2013:805217. doi: 10.1155/2013/805217

48. Christ-Roberts CY, Pratipanawatr T, Pratipanawatr W, Berria R, Belfort R, Kashyap S, et al. Exercise training increases glycogen synthase activity and glut4 expression but not insulin signaling in overweight nondiabetic and type 2 diabetic subjects. *Metabolism* (2004) 53(9):1233–42. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2004.03.022

49. Dreyer HC, Fujita S, Cadenas JG, Chinkes DL, Volpi E, Rasmussen BB. Resistance exercise increases ampk activity and reduces 4e-bp1 phosphorylation and protein synthesis in human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol* (2006) 576(Pt 2):613–24. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2006.113175

50. Mu J, Brozinick JT Jr., Valladares O, Bucan M, Birnbaum MJ. A role for ampactivated protein kinase in contraction- and hypoxia-regulated glucose transport in skeletal muscle. *Mol Cell* (2001) 7(5):1085–94. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00251-9

51. Eikenberg JD, Savla J, Marinik EL, Davy KP, Pownall J, Baugh ME, et al. Prediabetes phenotype influences improvements in glucose homeostasis with resistance training. *PloS One* (2016) 11(2):e0148009. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148009

52. Tsai SH, Cheng HC, Liu HW. Effects of volume-matched resistance training with different loads on glycemic control, inflammation, and body composition in prediabetic older adults. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* (2021) 46(11):1400–6. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2021-0355

53. Roberts CK, Little JP, Thyfault JP. Modification of insulin sensitivity and glycemic control by activity and exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2013) 45(10):1868–77. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318295cdbb

54. Geirsdottir OG, Arnarson A, Briem K, Ramel A, Jonsson PV, Thorsdottir I. Effect of 12-week resistance exercise program on body composition, muscle strength, physical function, and glucose metabolism in healthy, insulin-resistant, and diabetic elderly Icelanders. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci (2012) 67(11):1259–65. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls096

55. Heden TD, Liu Y, Kanaley JA. A comparison of adipose tissue interstitial glucose and venous blood glucose during postprandial resistance exercise in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) (2018) 124(4):1054–61. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00475.2017

56. Olver TD, Laughlin MH. Endurance, interval sprint, and resistance exercise training: impact on microvascular dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* (2016) 310(3):H337–50. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00440.2015

57. Gentil P, Fisher J, Steele J. A review of the acute effects and long-term adaptations of single- and multi-joint exercises during resistance training. *Sports Med* (2017) 47(5):843–55. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-0627-5

58. Wang Y, Li H, Yang D, Wang M, Han Y, Wang H. Effects of aerobic exercises in prediabetes patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2023) 14:1227489. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1227489

59. Malin SK, Kirwan JP. Fasting hyperglycaemia blunts the reversal of impaired glucose tolerance after exercise training in obese older adults. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2012) 14(9):835–41. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2012.01608.x

60. McGee SL, Hargreaves M. Exercise and skeletal muscle glucose transporter 4 expression: molecular mechanisms. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* (2006) 33(4):395–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1681.2006.04362.x

61. Imierska M, Kurianiuk A, Błachnio-Zabielska A. The influence of physical activity on the bioactive lipids metabolism in obesity-induced muscle insulin resistance. *Biomolecules* (2020) 10(12). doi: 10.3390/biom10121665

62. AbouAssi H, Slentz CA, Mikus CR, Tanner CJ, Bateman LA, Willis LH, et al. The effects of aerobic, resistance, and combination training on insulin sensitivity and secretion in overweight adults from strride at/rt: A randomized trial. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) (2015) 118(12):1474–82. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00509.2014

63. Figueira FR, Umpierre D, Cureau FV, Zucatti AT, Dalzochio MB, Leitão CB, et al. Association between physical activity advice only or structured exercise training with blood pressure levels in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* (2014) 44(11):1557–72. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0226-2

64. Park S, Kim J, Lee J. Effects of exercise intervention on adults with both hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cardiovasc Nurs (2021) 36(1):23–33. doi: 10.1097/jcn.00000000000651

65. Francois ME, Pistawka KJ, Halperin FA, Little JP. Cardiovascular benefits of combined interval training and post-exercise nutrition in type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications* (2018) 32(2):226–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2017.10.002

66. Davies MJ, D'Alessio DA, Fradkin J, Kernan WN, Mathieu C, Mingrone G, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A consensus report by the american diabetes association (Ada) and the european association for the study of diabetes (Easd). *Diabetologia* (2018) 61(12):2461–98. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4729-5 67. Magalhães JP, Melo X, Correia IR, Ribeiro RT, Raposo J, Dores H, et al. Effects of combined training with different intensities on vascular health in patients with type 2 diabetes: A 1-year randomized controlled trial. *CardiovascDiabetol* (2019)18(1):34.doi:10.1186/s12933-019-0840-2

68. Green DJ, Hopman MT, Padilla J, Laughlin MH, Thijssen DH. Vascular adaptation to exercise in humans: role of hemodynamic stimuli. *Physiol Rev* (2017) 97(2):495–528. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00014.2016

69. Bergholm R, Mäkimattila S, Valkonen M, Liu ML, Lahdenperä S, Taskinen MR, et al. Intense physical training decreases circulating antioxidants and endothelium-dependent vasodilatation *invivo*. *Atherosclerosis* (1999) 145(2):341–9. doi:10.1016/s0021-9150(99)00089-1

70. Ramos JS, Dalleck LC, Tjonna AE, Beetham KS, Coombes JS. The impact of high-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity continuous training on vascular function: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* (2015) 45 (5):679–92. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0321-z

71. Halliday TM, Savla J, Marinik EL, Hedrick VE, Winett RA, Davy BM. Resistance training is associated with spontaneous changes in aerobic physical activity but not overall diet quality in adults with prediabetes. *Physiol Behav* (2017) 177:49–56. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.04.013

72. Chen X, Zhao S, Hsue C, Dai X, Liu L, Miller JD, et al. Effects of aerobic training and resistance training in reducing cardiovascular disease risk for patients with prediabetes: A multi-center randomized controlled trial. *Prim Care Diabetes* (2021) 15(6):1063–70. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2021.08.013

73. Luo X, Wang Z, Li B, Zhang X, Li X. Effect of resistance vs. Aerobic exercise in pre-diabetes: an rct. *Trials* (2023) 24(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s13063-023-07116-3

74. Rydén L, Grant PJ, Anker SD, Berne C, Cosentino F, Danchin N, et al. Esc guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the easd: the task force on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases of the european society of cardiology (Esc) and developed in collaboration with the european association for the study of diabetes (Easd). *Eur Heart J* (2013) 34(39):3035–87. doi: 10.1093/eurheartJ/eht108

75. Colberg SR, Albright AL, Blissmer BJ, Braun B, Chasan-Taber L, Fernhall B, et al. Exercise and type 2 diabetes: american college of sports medicine and the american diabetes association: joint position statement. Exercise and type 2 diabetes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2010) 42(12):2282–303. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181eeb61c

76. Hansen D, Peeters S, Zwaenepoel B, Verleyen D, Wittebrood C, Timmerman N, et al. Exercise assessment and prescription in patients with type 2 diabetes in the private and home care setting: clinical recommendations from axxon (Belgian physical therapy association). *Phys Ther* (2013) 93(5):597–610. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20120400

77. Hordern MD, Dunstan DW, Prins JB, Baker MK, Singh MA, Coombes JS. Exercise prescription for patients with type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetes: A position statement from exercise and sport science Australia. *J Sci Med Sport* (2012) 15(1):25–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2011.04.005

78. Villareal DT, Aguirre L, Gurney AB, Waters DL, Sinacore DR, Colombo E, et al. Aerobic or resistance exercise, or both, in dieting obese older adults. *N Engl J Med* (2017) 376(20):1943–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1616338

79. Zanuso S, Sacchetti M, Sundberg CJ, Orlando G, Benvenuti P, Balducci S. Exercise in type 2 diabetes: genetic, metabolic and neuromuscular adaptations. A review of the evidence. *Br J Sports Med* (2017) 51(21):1533–8. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096724

80. Di Murro E, Di Giuseppe G, Soldovieri L, Moffa S, Improta I, Capece U, et al. Physical activity and type 2 diabetes: in search of a personalized approach to improving β -cell function. *Nutrients* (2023) 15(19). doi: 10.3390/nu15194202

81. Tian J, Fan J, Zhang T. Mitochondria as a target for exercise-mitigated type 2 diabetes. J Mol Histol (2023) 54(6):543–57. doi: 10.1007/s10735-023-10158-1

82. Ferrari F, Bock PM, Motta MT, Helal L. Biochemical and molecular mechanisms of glucose uptake stimulated by physical exercise in insulin resistance state: role of inflammation. *Arq Bras Cardiol* (2019) 113(6):1139–48. doi: 10.5935/abc.20190224

83. Lew JK, Pearson JT, Schwenke DO, Katare R. Exercise mediated protection of diabetic heart through modulation of microrna mediated molecular pathways. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2017) 16(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s12933-016-0484-4

84. Grotle AK, Stone AJ. Exaggerated exercise pressor reflex in type 2 diabetes: potential role of oxidative stress. *Auton Neurosci* (2019) 222:102591. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2019.102591

85. Fang P, He B, Shi M, Zhu Y, Bo P, Zhang Z. Crosstalk between exercise and galanin system alleviates insulin resistance. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* (2015) 59:141–6. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.012

86. Zouhal H, Zare-Kookandeh N, Haghighi MM, Daraei A, de Sousa M, Soltani M, et al. Physical activity and adipokine levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes: A literature review and practical applications. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* (2021) 22 (4):987–1011. doi: 10.1007/s11154-021-09657-x

87. Sousa RAL, Improta-Caria AC, Souza BSF. Exercise-linked irisin: consequences on mental and cardiovascular health in type 2 diabetes. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(4). doi: 10.3390/ijms22042199

Glossary

IGT	Impaired Facting Glucose
	Impaired Fasting Glucose
IGT	Impaired Glucose Tolerance
CGI	Combined IFG/IGT
FBG	Asting Blood-Glucose
2hPG	2-Hour Post-Meal Blood Glucose
BW	Body Weight
TC	Total Cholesterol
DBP	Diastolic Blood Pressure
SBP	Systolic Blood Pressure
VO2 _{max}	Maximal Oxygen Consumption
HR _{max}	Maximal Heart Rate
HRR	Heart Rate Reserve
1RM	One-Repetition Maximum
Met	Metabolic Equivalent of Energy
AT-V	Vigorous-Intensity Aerobic Exercise
AT-M	Moderate-Intensity Aerobic Exercise
RT-H	High Load Resistance Training
RT-L	Low To Moderate-Load Resistance Training
AT-V +RT-H	Combined Vigorous-Intensity Aerobic Exercise
AT-M +RT-L	Combined Moderate-Intensity Aerobic Exercise with Low-to Moderate-Load Resistance Training
CON	No Exercise
ADA	American Diabetes Association
WHO	World Health Organization
ACSM	American College of Sports Medicine
FRS	The Framingham Risk Score
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
GS	Glycogen synthase
GSK3β	Glycogen synthase kinase 3βAKT/PKB, Protein kinase BIGF-1, Insulin-like growth factor 1
АМРК	Adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase
GLUT-4	Glucose transporter
CGM	Continuous glucose monitoring
PGC-1α	Proliferator-activated receptorγcoactivator-α
NF-ĸB	Nuclear factor-ĸB
TNF- α	Tumor necrosis factor-alpha
Nrf2	Nuclear erythroid 2 p45-related factor 2