Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio E. Pontiroli, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY Daniela Patrizia Foti, Magna Græcia University, Italy Charlotte Steenblock, Technical University Dresden, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Jordan N. Keels Keelsj@bc.edu;

RECEIVED 18 August 2024 ACCEPTED 09 December 2024 PUBLISHED 06 January 2025

CITATION

Keels JN, McDonald IR, Lee CS and Dwyer AA (2025) Antidiabetic agent use and clinical outcomes in patients with diabetes hospitalized for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1482853. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1482853

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Keels, McDonald, Lee and Dwyer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Antidiabetic agent use and clinical outcomes in patients with diabetes hospitalized for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Jordan N. Keels ^{1*}, Isabella R. McDonald¹, Christopher S. Lee¹ and Andrew A. Dwyer^{1,2}

¹Boston College, William F. Connell School of Nursing, Boston, MA, United States, ²P50 Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Center for Reproductive Medicine, Boston, MA, United States

Background: The effect of antidiabetic agents on mortality outcomes is unclear for individuals with diabetes mellitus (DM) who are hospitalized for COVID-19.

Purpose: To examine the relationship between antidiabetic agent use and clinical outcomes in individuals with DM hospitalized for COVID-19.

Methods: A systematic review of the literature (2020-2024) was performed across five databases. Included articles reported primary research (English) reporting clinical outcomes of adult patients (≥18 yrs.) with DM receiving antidiabetic agents who were hospitalized for COVID-19. Following PRISMA guidelines articles underwent independent dual review. Quality appraisal was completed for included studies. Independent reviewers used a structured data extraction form to retrieve relevant data. Aggregated data were synthesized by treatment regimen and reported descriptively. Random effects meta-analyses were performed to assess relative risk and prevalence of mortality.

Results: After removing duplicates, title and abstract screening of 4,898 articles identified 118 articles for full-text review and 35 articles were retained for analysis. Included articles were primarily from China (15/35, 43%) and retrospective in nature (31/35, 89%). Fourteen studies (40%) assessed multiple antidiabetic agents, fifteen studies (42%) focused on metformin, three studies (9%) assessed the use of DPP-4 inhibitors, and three single studies (9%) investigated the use of insulin, TZD, and SGLT2 inhibitors. Despite differences among studies, the overall relative risk of mortality among metformin and DPP-4 inhibitor users was 0.432 (95% CI = 0.268-0.695, z = 3.45, p < 0.001) and the overall prevalence of mortality among all antidiabetic users was 16% (95% CI = 13%-19%, z = 10.70, p < 0.001).

Conclusions and implications: Synthesis of findings suggest that patients who remained on oral agents (with/without supplemental insulin therapy) exhibited decreased mortality and lower inflammatory markers. Results indicate that

individuals with DM should continue oral antidiabetic agents with additional basal insulin as needed to improve glycemic control and reduce mortality. Further work is needed to uncover mechanism(s) and clarify medical management approaches.

KEYWORDS

diabetes, COVID-19, antidiabetic agents, inpatient care, diabetes management, patient outcomes

Background

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a non-segmented, enveloped, positive-strand RNA virus causing a global pandemic of COVID-19 (1). COVID-19 is known to cause wide-ranging clinical complications, most notably respiratory failure. A number of studies have reported a close interaction between COVID-19 and metabolic disruption including diabetes mellitus (DM) (1). Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is considered to be autoimmune in etiology and is characterized by insulin deficiency resulting from destruction of pancreatic ß-cells (2). Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is characterized by persistent elevated blood glucose concentrations (hyperglycemia) in the setting of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia and accounts for nearly 90% of DM cases worldwide (3). Recent literature demonstrates a bidirectional relationship between diabetes and COVID-19 (4). Notably, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis the prevalence of DM increases with COVID-19 severity, and diabetes accounts for 9.5% of severe COVID-19 cases and 16.8% of COVID-19 related deaths (5). Importantly, a 2022 systematic review and meta-analysis of 10 articles spanning 11 patient cohorts (47.1 million total individuals) analyzed the relative risk of incident DM in patients with COVID-19. Investigators found a 64% greater risk of incident DM in patients with COVID-19 compared to non-COVID-19 infected controls (6).

A 2021 study showed patients with DM who tested positive for COVID-19 have an increased risk for intensive care unit (ICU) admission (17.6% vs. 7.8%) and mortality (20.3% vs 10.5%) compared to patients without DM who tested positive for COVID-19 (7). The degree of hyperglycemia appears to modulate COVID-19 severity, and a higher hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) is associated with increased mortality (8). Despite an overall decline in mortality rates among individuals with COVID-19, poor outcomes persist among individuals with comorbid chronic disease (9). A retrospective study of 453 hospitalized patients that evaluated the association between the degree of hyperglycemia and the risk of all-cause mortality among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 found that patients with preexisting DM or new-onset DM were more likely to experience complications, including acute kidney injury, compared to COVID-19 patients with normoglycemia (15.3-17.0% vs 1.5-3.1%), hypoalbuminemia (36.7% - 39.4% vs 10.8-19.4%), acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (3.1%-10.5% vs 0.8-3.1%) and severe

COVID-19 complications (i.e., kidney disease, ischemic heart disease) (82.7-89.4% vs 61.4-72.15) (10).

Antidiabetic agents have both anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects (11). Numerous studies, including systematic reviews and meta-analyses, have evaluated the effect of antidiabetic agents and mortality rates among individuals with DM (9). To date, the effect of antidiabetic agents on patient outcomes in hospitalized individuals with a dual diagnosis of DM and COVID-19 remains unclear. This study aimed to explore the relationship between different antidiabetic drug classes and patient outcomes among patients with DM who are hospitalized for COVID-19.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of existing literature on outcomes for patients who were hospitalized for COVID-19. The review was registered in Prospero (CRD42023476297). Findings are reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (12).

Literature search

We conducted a comprehensive, systematic literature search of five databases (PubMed, Embase, CINAHL, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library) on February 12, 2023 that was conducted again on September 27, 2024 to capture articles published since the initial literature search. A Boolean search was performed using the following key words/MeSH terms: "COVID-19" or "COVID" or "SARS-CoV-2 or "coronavirus" or "2019 novel coronavirus disease" AND "diabetes" or "diabetes type 1" or "diabetes type 2" or "new-onset diabetes" or "diabetes mellitus" or "newly diagnosed diabetes" AND "antidiabetic agents" or "metformin" or "insulin" or " sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2 inhibitors)" or "dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors (DPP-4 inhibitors)" or "thiazolidinediones (TZD)" or "sulfonylurea compounds" or "glucagon-like peptide 1 agonists (GLP-1 agonists)". No restrictions were applied to the search. The retrieved articles were imported into EndNote20 (13). Additional full-text articles were identified by hand searching the reference lists of relevant articles (i.e., snowball approach).

Study selection

We employed the PRISMA guidelines (12) to inform article selection. Articles meeting the following inclusion criteria were included for analysis: (1) primary research articles; (2) written in English; (3) published in a peer-reviewed journal; (4) evaluating clinical outcomes of adult patients (18+ yrs.) with DM who were hospitalized for COVID-19; (5) receiving antidiabetic agents or drug classes (i.e., metformin, insulin, SGLT2 inhibitors, DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 agonists, a TZD, or sulfonylurea). Articles published before 2020 as well as letters to the editor, reviews, commentaries, medical hypotheses, abstracts, editorials, theses, and dissertations were excluded. Similarly, studies not reporting participant diagnosis and/or outcomes or studies reporting animal studies or *in vitro* models were not included. Two independent investigators (JNK, IRM) conducted title and abstract screening

followed by the full-text screening. Disagreements were decided by discussion with the third investigator (AAD). We used Covidence systematic review management software (14) throughout the review process. Figure 1 depicts the PRISMA diagram detailing the study selection process.

Data extraction

Two independent reviewers (JNK, IRM) used predetermined categories to extract relevant data including title, first author surname, publication year, country and setting, study design, study aim, sample size, participant demographics (age, gender, nationality), reported outcomes, and limitations. Extracted data were collated in an evidence table (Supplementary Materials). The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal tool (https://jbi.global/



critical-appraisal-tools) was used to assess the methodological quality of included studies. After dual quality appraisal raw data and sample sizes were extracted from relevant studies reporting on mortality. To ensure a comprehensive analysis missing data was directly solicited from corresponding authors.

Analysis

The primary outcome of the analysis was mortality. Secondary outcomes included incidence of acidosis, relevant inflammatory biomarkers (lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], creatine kinase-MB [CK-MB], D-dimer), incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), time to clinical improvement, length of stay, and intensive care admission rates.

To quantitatively examine overall relative risk and prevalence of mortality, random-effects meta-analyses were performed (15). Prevalence is based on a binomial distribution, representing the ratio of events to non-events within the sample or between exposure and control groups. The overall relative risk and prevalence of mortality was quantified by the incidence reported in the studies. Accuracy of aggregate estimates is represented by 95% confidence intervals (CI), Z-scores and p-values as precision metrics signifying against the null hypothesis that there is no effect or no difference on mortality. Heterogeneity was quantified in this meta-analysis for the overall estimate. Total dispersion in effect sizes across studies (Q) and the associated p-value were calculated. I² was calculated to describe a fraction of the variance due to heterogeneity.

Results

The search strategy yielded a total of 6,639 articles for review. Removing duplicates left 4,898 articles for title and abstract screening (Figure 1). The interrater reliability between the first and second coder, represented by the Cohens k coefficient, was 0.68 indicating substantial agreement (16). One hundred and eighteen articles were included for full-text review, Cohens k coefficient was 0.94, indicating substantial agreement (16). Of the articles retrieved for full-text review, 83 articles were excluded for not meeting study inclusion criteria (i.e. no inpatient pharmacologic management (n=46), outcomes not reported (n=12), abstract only (n=9), not primary research (n=6), poor quality (n=4), no diagnosis of DM (n=3), pediatric population (n=1), not published in English (n=1) and pre-print (not peer-reviewed n=1). A total of 35 articles were retained for analysis. The included studies reported research from 14 different countries. Notably, many of the studies originated from China (15/35, 42.8%) (11, 17-30). The remaining 20 studies were from the U.S.: n=3 (31-33), Iraq: n=3 (34-36), Israel n=2 (37, 38), Italy: n=2 (39, 40), Philippines: n=1 (41), Turkey: n=2 (42, 43), Brazil: n=1 (44), Indonesia: n=1 (45), Iran: n=1 (46), Denmark: n=1 (47), Poland: n=1 (48), Qatar and Kuwait: n=1 (49) and multinational=1 (50).

The majority of studies (31/35, 89%) used a retrospective design (11, 17–33, 35, 36, 38–45, 47, 48, 50). Three studies reported findings from a randomized controlled trial (RCT) (31, 37, 49) and one

prospective cohort study (34). Cumulatively, included studies reported on 31,766 participants. Only one study from the U.S. included data on participant self-reported racial and ethnic identity (32). Diagnosis of COVID-19 was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing or chest CT. Most studies (30/35, 85.7%) included individuals with T2DM (11, 17–28, 31, 32, 34–38, 40–43, 45–50). Two studies (2/35, 5.7%%) included both T1DM and T2DM (33, 44), and three studies (3/35, 8.6%%) did not specify diabetes type (29, 30, 39).

Evaluation of multiple drug classes and patient outcomes

Fourteen retrospective studies (China (n=7), USA (n=1) Israel (n=1), Iraq (n=1), Turkey (n=1) Denmark (n=1), Poland (n=1), multi-national (n=1), assessed the relationship between multiple antidiabetic agents on patient outcomes (Table 1) (18, 25-31, 36, 38, 42, 47, 48, 50). Twelve (86%) studies evaluated individuals with T2DM (18, 25-28, 31, 36, 38, 42, 47, 48, 50), while two studies did not specify diabetes type (29, 30). Twelve (86%) studies specifically addressed mortality (18, 25, 27-30, 38, 42, 47, 48, 50). Among the studies reporting mortality data, four identified an association between insulin use and increased mortality (18, 25, 30, 38). In contrast, three studies found no significant association between insulin use and mortality (31, 47, 48). However, the risk of death was affected when other factors (i.e., age and C-reactive protein) were considered (48). Decreased mortality was linked to the use of metformin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, TZDs, SGLT2 inhibitors, and DPP-4 inhibitors (27-29). Notably, one study highlighted reduced mortality with GLP-1 agonists and the combined use of GLP-1 agonists, pioglitazone, or DPP-4 inhibitors, while an increased mortality risk was associated with the use of DPP-4 inhibitors alone (50). Two articles reported decreased mortality with oral agents alone and/or in combination with insulin (18, 28). One study reported on the use of metformin noting a lower risk of death and reduced incidence of ARDS (18). In addition, two articles found no significant association between oral antidiabetic agents and mortality (31, 42). One study reported the need for mechanical ventilation was higher among those receiving insulin (18). Articles investigating alpha-glucosidase inhibitors observed a decrease in ICU admissions (26, 29) and a reduced need for mechanical ventilation (26). One study reported higher incidence of ICU admission in patients treated with insulin compared to other antidiabetic agents (metformin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, sulfonylureas, and DPP-4 inhibitors) (25). Two studies examining numerous agents metformin, DPP-4 inhibitors (31), GLP-1 agonists, insulin, SGLT2 inhibitors and sulfonylureas noted no specific association between glucose lowering agents and ICU admission (47). Metformin use was associated with reduced disease severity, improved oxygenation, and enhanced glycemic control (36). One study found no significant association between metformin use and disease severity (29). Moreover, the use of metformin was linked to a decrease in the inflammatory biomarker D-dimer (36), while other studies reported increased neutrophil count and decreased lymphocytes with the use of metformin (30).

TABLE 1 All antidiabetic treatments.

Ref #	Diabetes type	Study Sample	Country	Treatment Modality
(18)	T2DM	n=53,030	China	Insulin, metformin, alpha-glycosidase inhibitors, sulfonylureas, glinides, and DPP-4 inhibitors
(25)	T2DM	n=689	China	Metformin, Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, sulfonylureas, DPP-4 inhibitors, insulin-sensitizing agents
(26)	T2DM	n=52	China	Insulin, Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, Metformin, DPP-4 inhibitors, TZD, Sulfonylurea secretagogue, non-sulfonylurea secretagogue
(27)	T2DM	n=131	China	Insulin, metformin, sulfonylureas, Alpha-glucosidase inhibitor (acarbose)
(28)	T2DM	n=108	China	Insulin, metformin, Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, TZD, SGLT2 inhibitors, DPP-4 inhibitors
(29)	Unknown	n=64	China	Metformin, insulin, Alpha-glucosidase inhibitor (acarbose), TZD, sulfonylureas
(30)	Unknown	n=168	China	Insulin, oral antihyperglycemics (not specified)
(31)	T2DM	n=529	USA	Insulin, metformin, DPP-4 inhibitors
(<mark>36</mark>)	T2DM	n=112	Iraq	Metformin, sitagliptin
(38)	T2DM	n=359	Israel	Insulin, metformin, sulfonylureas, GLP-1, DPP-4 inhibitors
(42)	T2DM	n=432	Turkey	DPP-4 inhibitors, sulfonylureas, biguanides, SGLT2 inhibitors, TZD
(47)	T2DM	n=4,430	Denmark	DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 agonists, insulin, metformin, SLGT-2 inhibitors, sulfonylureas
(48)	T2DM	n=430	Poland	Metformin, insulin
(50)	T2DM	n=29,516	Multinational*	DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 agonists, TZD

DPP-4 inhibitors, Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; SGLT2 inhibitors, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2; TZD, Thiazolidinedione; GLP-1 agonists, Glucagon-like peptide 1 agonists; *TriNetX COVID-19 Research Network.

Metformin and patient outcomes

Thirteen retrospective studies (11, 17, 20-24, 32, 35, 39, 41, 44, 45), one prospective cohort study (34), and one RCT (46) from eight countries (China (n=7), Iraq (n=2), Philippines (n=1), USA (n=1), Indonesia (n=1), Iran (n=1), Italy (n=1) and Brazil (n=1)) evaluated the use of metformin on patient outcomes. Majority of the studies assessed individuals with T2DM (11, 17, 20-24, 32, 34, 35, 41, 45, 46), one study included individuals with T1DM and T2DM (24) and one study did not specify (39). Eleven (73%) studies reported on the association between in-hospital metformin use and mortality. Eight studies found decreased mortality rates in individuals who continued metformin inpatient, compared to counterparts not on metformin groups (11, 17, 21, 23, 24, 27, 39, 41, 45). Two studies found no statistical difference between metformin and non-metformin users (44, 46). Furthermore, patients who were on metformin prior to hospitalization and discontinued metformin on admission had higher mortality rates compared to those who continued metformin therapy inpatient (44). One study reporting on ICU admissions showed an increased rate of ICU admissions with metformin use (20).

Overall, three studies reported biomarkers in patients with inhospital metformin use noting decreased inflammatory and oxidative stress biomarkers (34) [D-dimer & LDH (22, 35), CRP (35) and CKMB (22)]. Furthermore, one study also noted decreased neutrophil counts and increased lymphocytes (21). In terms of metformin use and renal function, one study noted an increase in blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (22) and two studies reported an increased incidence of acidosis with metformin (21, 24). However, when adjusting for glomerular filtration rate (i.e., GFR>60) no difference was noted between metformin and non-metformin groups (21). Three studies examined metformin use and the development of ARDS, two identified decreased incidence of ARDS among metformin users (20, 21) and one reported increased incidence of ARDS among metformin users (11). Two studies reported reduced risk of need for mechanical ventilation among metformin users (17, 46). In addition, one study reported a decreased risk of respiratory failure and cardiac events with the use of metformin (17). Of the seven studies reporting on length of stay (17, 21–24, 32, 46), two reported a statistically significant association with shorter length of stay (17, 24).

DPP-4 inhibitors and patient outcomes

Three studies from three different countries (Israel, Italy, China) evaluated the use of DPP-4 inhibitors on patient outcomes (19, 37, 40). Two were retrospective in nature (19, 40) and one was an RCT (37). All three studies included individuals with T2DM (19, 37, 40). Three (100%) studies reported on the association between in hospital DPP-4 inhibitor use and mortality. Two studies (67%) found no significant association between mortality and DPP-4 inhibitor use (19, 37) while one study (33%) found a decrease in mortality (40). Two studies (67%) reported on ICU admission; one (33%) noting a reduced risk for the need of the ICU (40), in contrast to the other noting no significant difference (37). One study (33%) reported a decline in inflammatory biomarkers (PCT and CRP) and an increase in lymphocytes (40). One study (33%) reported on the incidence of ARDS and acidosis noting no significant difference when compared to non-DPP-4 inhibitor groups (19).

Insulin, TZD, SGLT2 inhibitors and patient outcomes

One retrospective, multicenter, observational study investigated the relationship between insulin use and patient outcomes among patients with both T1DM and T2DM (33). Study findings indicated that higher insulin doses were associated with greater mortality. A RCT conducted in Qatar and Kuwait investigated the association between pioglitazone and on a number of outcomes in patients with T2DM including need for mechanical ventilation, myocardial damage, mortality, inflammatory response, length of stay and development of acute coronary syndrome (49). Findings showed no significant clinical benefit of pioglitazone in patients with diabetes hospitalized for COVID-19 (49). One retrospective study examined the effect of dapagliflozin use on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with T2DM (43). The study reported lower rates of ICU admission and mortality among dapagliflozin users (43).

Quantitative synthesis of mortality across all drug classes

Studies reporting crude data on mortality rates were included in an overall analysis (Figure 2). Across studies examining metformin and DPP-4 inhibitors the overall risk ratio of 0.432 (95% CI = 0.268-0.695, z = 3.45, p < 0.001) indicating a 57% risk reduction among individuals using either metformin or DPP-4 inhibitors compared with control treatment. There was considerable variability in effects across studies (Q = 123.83, df = 11, p < 0.001, I² = 91.1%) meaning mortality outcomes varied significantly among studies. The predictive interval ranged from 0.27 to 0.70. Effects sizes also varied between metformin and DPP-4 inhibitors (Q= 8.80, df = 1, p=0.003) indicating that drug choice is not equally effective in preventing mortality. Insulin was not included due to potential risk of bias related to advanced diabetes and the associated confounding by indication.

The overall estimated prevalence of mortality in individuals using antidiabetic agents was 16% (95% CI = 13%–19%, z = 10.70, p < 0.001) (Figure 3). Heterogeneity statistics (Q = 61.24, *df* = 16, p = < 0.001, I² = 73.88%) indicating significant and substantial variance in the prevenance of mortality across studies. The estimated prevalence of mortality with biguanide use was 13% (95% CI = 7%–19%, z = 4.44, p < 0.001). The estimated prevalence of mortality with sulfonylureas was similar at 13% (95% CI = 6%–19%, z = 3.88, p < 0.001). The estimated prevalence of mortality with DPP-4 inhibitor use was slightly higher at 18% (95% CI = 6%– 30%, z = 3.00, p < 0.001). The estimated prevalence with insulin was similar at 18% (95% CI = 14%–23%, z = 7.72, p < 0.001). The remaining drug classes (acarbose, GLP-1 inhibitors, SGLT-2 inhibitors, and glitazones included only one study, thus they should be interpreted cautiously.

Three studies (18, 21, 47) reported Cox proportional hazard ratios of mortality across drug classes (insulin, sulfonylureas, DPP4-inhibitors, metformin, GLP-1 inhibitors, SGLT-2 inhibitors, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors and glinides) was 0.948 (95% CI = 0.695–1.200, Q = 53.15, df = 12, p = < 0.001, I² = 77.4%). The estimated

amura et al. (2021) 0.17 (0.06, 0.49) 7.04 /ong et al. (2022) 0.18 (0.12, 0.26) 10.03 I-Kuraishy et al. (2023) 0.22 (0.06, 0.77) 6.24 0.23 (0.07, 0.77) 6.50 0.27 (0.08, 0.89) 6.54 uo et al. (2023) 0.35 (0.17, 0.76) 8.50 ng et al. (2021) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 any et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 or stall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Study (Year)	RR (95% CI) Weight (%)
Vorg et al. (2022) 0.18 (0.12, 0.26) 10.03 I-Kuraishy et al. (2023) 0.22 (0.06, 0.77) 6.24 Du et al. (2020) 0.23 (0.07, 0.77) 6.50 heng et al. (2021) 0.35 (0.17, 0.76) 8.50 Du et al. (2023) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 Iny et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.41 (0.24, 0.71) 10.15 anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00		
H-Kuraishy et al. (2023) 0.22 (0.06, 0.77) 6.24 pu et al. (2020) 0.23 (0.07, 0.77) 6.50 heng et al. (2021) 0.27 (0.08, 0.89) 6.54 uo et al. (2023) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 ng et al. (2024) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2022) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 ubtotal (I-squared = 90.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Tamura et al. (2021)	0.17 (0.06, 0.49) 7.04
bu et al. (2020) 0.23 (0.07, 0.77) 6.50 heng et al. (2021) 0.27 (0.08, 0.89) 6.54 uo et al. (2023) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 any et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Wong et al. (2022)	0.18 (0.12, 0.26) 10.03
heng et al. (2021) 0.27 (0.08, 0.89) 6.54 uo et al. (2023) 0.35 (0.17, 0.76) 8.50 ng et al. (2021) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 any et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Al-Kuraishy et al. (2023)	0.22 (0.06, 0.77) 6.24
uo et al. (2023) $0.35 (0.17, 0.76)$ 8.50 ng et al. (2021) $0.53 (0.36, 0.77)$ 10.15 any et al. (2023) $0.76 (0.40, 1.44)$ 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) $1.04 (0.65, 1.65)$ 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) $1.11 (0.99, 1.24)$ 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) $0.41 (0.24, 0.72)$ 84.59 PP4i $0.49 (0.34, 0.71)$ 10.15 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) $0.50 (0.34, 0.71)$ 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) $0.43 (0.27, 0.70)$ 100.00	Lou et al. (2020)	0.23 (0.07, 0.77) 6.50
ng et al. (2021) 0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15 any et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 ilao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Cheng et al. (2021)	0.27 (0.08, 0.89) 6.54
any et al. (2023) 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04 ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 iao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00 ubtotal (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Guo et al. (2023)	0.35 (0.17, 0.76) 8.50
ilverii et al. (2024) 1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80 iao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Ong et al. (2021)	0.53 (0.36, 0.77) 10.15
liao et al. (2022) 1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76 ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Hany et al. (2023)	0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 9.04
ubtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000) 0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59 PP4i 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 ebastiano et al (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Silverii et al. (2024)	1.04 (0.65, 1.65) 9.80
PP4i ebastiano et al (2020) anghua Zhou et al. (2020) ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000)	Miao et al. (2022)	1.11 (0.99, 1.24) 10.76
ebastiano et al (2020) 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Subtotal (I-squared = 92.2%, p = 0.000)	0.41 (0.24, 0.72) 84.59
ebastiano et al (2020) 0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15 anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00		
anghua Zhou et al. (2020) 0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26 ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	DPP4i	
ubtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.895) 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41 verall (I-squared = 91.1% , p = 0.000) 0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00	Sebastiano et al (2020)	0.49 (0.34, 0.71) 10.15
verall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000) $0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00$	Jianghua Zhou et al. (2020)	0.55 (0.12, 2.42) 5.26
\mathbf{v}	Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.895)	0.50 (0.34, 0.71) 15.41
\mathbf{v}		
	Overall (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000)	0.43 (0.27, 0.70) 100.00
OTE: weights are from random effects analysis	NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	

Estimated relative risk of mortality in metformin and DPP-4 inhibitor users.

06

Study (Year)	Prevalence (95% CI) Weight (%)
Biguanides	
Li et al. (2022)	0.05 (0.01, 0.18) 6.08
Obiri-Yeboah et al. (2023)	0.12 (0.08, 0.18) 7.38
Lewandowski et al. (2024)	0.14 (0.08, 0.22) 6.42
Elibol et al. (2021)	0.20 (0.16, 0.24) 8.19
Subtotal (I ² = 76.58%, p = 0.01)	0.13 (0.07, 0.19) 28.07
Sulfonylureas	
Li et al. (2022)	0.06 (0.02, 0.21) 5.29
Elibol et al. (2021)	0.21 (0.13, 0.33) 4.65
Subtotal (I ² = .%, p = .)	0.13 (0.06, 0.19) 9.94
	0.00 (0.00, 0.40) 0.50
Nyland et al. (2021)	0.09 (0.06, 0.13) 8.53
Elibol et al. (2021)	0.23 (0.18, 0.28) 7.39
Obiri-Yeboah et al. (2023)	0.25 (0.13, 0.42) 2.77
Subtotal (I ² = .%, p = .)	- 0.18 (0.06, 0.30) 18.70
Acarbose	0.09 (0.04, 0.19) 6.05
Insulin Li et al. (2022) Obiri-Yeboah et al. (2023) Vasbinder et al. (2022) Fu et al. (2022) Subtotal (I ^A 2 = 60.74%, p = 0.05)	- 0.17 (0.09, 0.30) 4.34 0.17 (0.13, 0.21) 8.33 0.17 (0.14, 0.20) 8.86 0.43 (0.27, 0.61) 2.05 0.18 (0.14, 0.23) 23.57
GLP1 Lewandowski et al. (2024)	0.19 (0.14, 0.25) 7.27
	0.10 (0.14, 0.20)
SGLT-2i Elibol et al. (2021)	0.25 (0.16, 0.38) 3.99
Glitazones	
Elibol et al. (2021)	0.26 (0.13, 0.45) 2.41
Heterogeneity between groups: $p = 0.128$ Overall (I ^A 2 = 73.88%, $p = 0.00$);	0.16 (0.13, 0.19) 100.00
0.25	l .5
.20	

hazard ratio was highest with insulin use 1.535 (95% CI = 0.507-2.563) and lowest with alpha-glucosidase inhibitors 0.530 (95% CI = 0.325-0.735).

Discussion

We report findings from a systematic review of the literature on antidiabetic agents and outcomes among patients with DM hospitalized for COVID-19. We synthesized findings from 35 included articles reporting on different antidiabetic agents: metformin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, TZD, SGLT2 inhibitors, DPP-4 inhibitors, and insulin. A summary of the two predominant outcomes (i.e. mortality and ICU admission) can be found in Table 2.

A preliminary review of studies using the JBI tool identified high quality studies suitable for a meta-analysis on the risk and

prevalence of mortality among antidiabetic agent users. Overall, our findings suggest a reduced relative risk of mortality among metformin and DPP-4 inhibitor users (RR = 0.432 (95% CI = 0.268-0.695, z = 3.45, p < 0.001)). This is consistent with findings in previous meta-analyses examining mortality risk with metformin use among antidiabetic users (51–58) and DPP-4 inhibitors (52, 59, 60). The overall prevalence of mortality was 16% (95% CI = 13%–19%, z = 10.70, p < 0.001).

Fourteen studies reported on the relationship between different antidiabetic agents and patient outcomes (18, 25–31, 36, 38, 42, 47, 48, 50). Studies were primarily retrospective in nature (n=14), conducted in China (n=7) and assessed individuals with T2DM (n=12). Twelve of the studies assessed antidiabetic use and mortality noting a decrease in mortality with the use of oral antidiabetic agents (metformin, acarbose, a-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, TZD, SGLT2 inhibitors and DPP-4 inhibitors) (27–29). Conversely, an increase in mortality was associated with insulin

Agent	Mortality	ICU
Metformin	n=12	n=1
	Decreased mortality (11, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 27, 39, 41, 45) No association (44, 46)	Increased ICU admissions (20)
Alpha-glucosidase inhibitor	n=4	n=2
	Decreased mortality (26-29)	Decreased ICU admission (26, 29)
GLP-1 agonist	n=1	
	Decreased mortality (50)	
DPP-4 inhibitors	n=3	n=2
	Decreased mortality (37, 40, 50)	Decreased ICU admissions (40) No association (37)
SGLT2 inhibitors	n=1	n=1
	Decreased mortality (43)	Decreased ICU admission (43)
TZD	n=1	n=1
	No association (49)	No association (49)
Insulin	n=5	n=2
	Increased mortality (18, 25, 28, 30, 33)	Increased ICU admission (25, 31)
Oral agents + Insulin	n=1	
	Decreased mortality (42)	
Oral agents*	n=2	n=1
	No association (42, 47)	No association (47)

TABLE 2 Summary of findings on antidiabetic medication and mortality and ICU admission.

*DPP-4 inhibitors, sulfonylureas, biguanides, SGLT2 inhibitors, thiazolidinediones, GLP-1 agonists.

use (18, 25, 30, 38). However, when insulin was combined with oral agents a decrease in mortality was reported (28). An increase in mortality with insulin use is consistent with previous studies (52). The underlying mechanism behind this phenomenon is unclear (52). However, from a clinical perspective, insulin is often given during late-stage DM suggesting other comorbidities may be contributing to increased mortality. This is further supported by increased rates of ICU admission with insulin use (25) in contrast to a decrease in ICU admission with alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (26, 29) and other antidiabetic agents (metformin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, sulfonylureas, and DPP-4 inhibitors) (25).

Metformin was associated with reduced disease severity, improved oxygenation, better glycemic control and decreased Ddimer (36). Previous studies have reported on the anti-inflammatory and antiviral effects of metformin, suggesting they may have protective mechanisms in individuals with COVID-19 (61). Similar to studies reporting on all diabetic agents, studies reporting on inpatient metformin use were primarily retrospective in nature and conducted in China. In parallel to previous findings, studies evaluating metformin use reported decreased mortality (11, 17, 20-24, 39, 45). Recent data suggests that there is an increase in oxidative stress in individuals with T2DM and COVID-19 increasing the risk of inflammatory and coagulation disorders (62). However, when metformin was used a decrease in inflammatory biomarkers (Ddimer, ferritin, LDH, and CRP) was reported (22, 34, 35).

Metformin has demonstrated the ability to impede the attachment of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 receptors by stimulating the phosphorylation of ACE2 (63). Additionally, it has been shown to modulate the immune response by fostering the generation of antiinflammatory regulatory T cells and macrophages (63). Moreover, metformin suppresses the activation of inflammatory signaling pathways implicated in COVID-19 (64). These findings suggest that metformin could possess anti-inflammatory and antioxidative properties, potentially mitigating the robust inflammatory response and oxidative stress associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection (65). As a result, these effects may elucidate the observed reduction in inflammatory biomarkers. Furthermore, recent literature has reported that metformin may be beneficial in reducing long-COVID (66). Our study also noted a decreased incidence of ARDS (20, 21) and reduced risk for cardiac and respiratory events (17). However, one study noted an increase in incidence of ARDS (11) suggesting more research needs to be done to gain a better understanding of the role of metformin and incidence of ARDS. Three studies reported a significant relationship between metformin and length of stay - noting a reduced duration of hospitalization (17, 24, 46). Suggesting that patients who remained on metformin may have better outcomes when compared to non-metformin users.

Three studies evaluated individuals with T2DM and reported on the use of DPP-4 inhibitors and patient outcomes. Our analysis

demonstrated a reduced risk of mortality with DPP-4 inhibitor use. However, there were only a few studies assessing this relationship, thus further research needs to be conducted to fully understand the association between the two. Previous studies have suggested that DPP-4 is a ubiquitous glycoprotein that could act as both a cell membrane protein and soluble enzymatic protein after cleavage and release. Recent data suggests that DPP-4 inhibitors could alter the outcomes in individuals positive for COVID-19 infection and alter oxygen requirements through their effects on the cardiovascular system (67). However, our findings suggest a varied results regarding DPP-4 inhibitors with one study reporting a decline in ICU admission (40), in contrast to one reporting no significant difference (37). Only one study reported on inflammatory biomarkers noting a reduction in PCT and CRP (40). Furthermore, no significant difference was found when evaluating ARDS or acidosis. Our findings on DPP-4 inhibitors are limited to only a few studies, future research needs to be done to determine underlying mechanisms contributing to patient outcomes in individuals diagnosed with DM and COVID-19.

One study focused solely on the use of insulin and patient outcomes, as previously noted increased mortality was associated with insulin use. While insulin is the recommended treatment for individuals hospitalized with DM (68), our findings suggest combining insulin with an oral antidiabetic agent may have positive effects on patient outcomes in patients with DM hospitalized for COVID-19. One study examined the effect of pioglitazone on T2DM hospitalized for COVID-19, however no significant findings were identified (49). Such findings are similar to previous literature examining drug efficacy on mortality (69). Last, one study examined dapagliflozin use in individuals with DM hospitalized for COVID-19 and their study reported lower ICU rates and reduced mortality among users (43). Tisch et al., conducted a systematic review examining the potential use of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with acute illness (70). Findings are similar noting a reduced risk for mortality, however in individuals with prior use of SGLT2 inhibitors there was no association with ICU admission (70). We recognize that viewing individual medications is one dimensional and these outcomes are likely related to many other factors, such as disease severity, diabetes duration, age, comorbidities, medications and SARS-CoV-2 variant. Therefore it is important that further studies investigate the interactions between antidiabetic medications and other potential confounding factors.

A relative strength of this study is the comprehensive and systematic review and meta-analyses of the literature and synthesis of current evidence providing insight into clinical outcomes in patients with DM hospitalized for COVID-19. It merits noting that this work has several limitations. First, most (n=31/35, 88.6%) included articles reported on retrospective studies. In addition, most studies lacked detailed data on patient demographics, and some did not specify the type of diabetes. Furthermore, the metaanalyses were limited by data reported in studies and information solicited from corresponding authors. It is also important to note that the studies did not provide detailed information on the variant underlying COVID-19. This data may also be impacted by the strain of COVID-19, recent literature reports a decline in the number of individuals with DM with evolving variants (71). Additionally, patient outcomes are also contingent upon the care and inpatient management. The findings on insulin and patient outcomes should be interpreted with caution. For example, many patients are often taken off their outpatient medications and placed on insulin during hospitalization in line with current American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommendations (72). The ADA guidelines generally recommend insulin as the preferred treatment during inpatient hospital admission, with resumption of outpatient medications following discharge (72). Notably, patients on insulin may have more severe DM or require tighter glycemic control during acute illness (e.g., COVID-19). As the pandemic progressed, understanding of the sequelae grew and clinicians gained valuable experience providing improved management. Thus, as clinical practice advanced, outcomes were likely affected. So, comparing outcome data from early days of the pandemic (i.e., Wuhan strain) with data collected on hospitalizations secondary to subsequent variants (i.e., Delta, Omicron variants) is challenging. Moreover, most studies were from China, so further research is warranted to assess patient outcomes across varied health systems as diabetes management may vary across countries and health finance structures.

Conclusions

Synthesizing the findings from the systematic review and metaanalyses reveals that metformin use and DPP-4 inhibitor use are associated with decreased mortality in individuals with DM who were hospitalized for COVID-19. Data also suggest that insulin treatment is associated with increased mortality rate. However, our findings may relate to other patient-level factors including age, comorbidities, SARS-CoV-2 variant, severity of COVID-19, and duration of diabetes. COVID-19 induces a potent inflammatory response. It is plausible that the anti-inflammatory properties of metformin may confer a protective role for patients with DM who are hospitalized for COVID-19. The beneficial effects of metformin and DPP-4 inhibitors suggest that patients who are established on these antidiabetic agents should remain on their current treatment (i.e., not changed to insulin) if hospitalized for COVID-19. Given the changing landscape of COVID-19 and the emergence of new variants, further studies and randomized control trials are needed to confirm and validate these findings.

Author contributions

JK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. IM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. CL: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization. AD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the Endocrine Nurses Society.

Acknowledgments

We thank Nursing Research Librarian Wanda Anderson for her consultation and assistance with the literature search.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Tang X, Uhl S, Zhang T, Xue D, Li B, Vandana JJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection induces beta cell transdifferentiation. *Cell Metab.* (2021) 33:1577–1591.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.015

2. DiMeglio LA, Evans-Molina C, Oram RA. Type 1 diabetes. Lancet. (2018) 391:2449-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31320-5

3. Ahmad E, Lim S, Lamptey R, Webb DR, Davies MJ. Type 2 diabetes. Lancet. (2022) 400:1803-20. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01655-5

4. Sharma P, Behl T, Sharma S, Singh S, Grewal AS, Albarrati M, et al. COVID-19 and diabetes: Association intensify risk factors for morbidity and mortality. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2022) 151:113089. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113089

 Li R, Shen M, Yang Q, Fairley CK, Chai Z, McIntyre R, et al. Global diabetes prevalence in COVID-19 patients and contribution to COVID-19- related severity and mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. (2023) 46:890–7. doi: 10.2337/dc22-1943

6. Lai H, Yang M, Sun M, Pan B, Wang Q, Wang J, et al. Risk of incident diabetes after COVID-19 infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism.* (2022) 137:155330. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2022.155330

7. Metwally AA, Mehta P, Johnson BS, Nagarjuna A, Snyder MP. COVID-19induced new-onset diabetes: trends and technologies. *Diabetes*. (2021) 70:2733-44. doi: 10.2337/dbi21-0029

8. Van Baal L, Reinold J, Benson S, Diehl A, Witzke O, Fuehrer D, et al. Implications of an hbA1c-based diabetes screening on prevalence and effect of dysglycemia in patients with COVID-19. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2023) 108:697–705. doi: 10.1210/ clinem/dgac590

9. Kan C, Zhang Y, Han F, Xu Q, Ye T, Hou N, et al. Mortality risk of antidiabetic agents for type 2 diabetes with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2021) 12:708494. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.708494

10. Abdi A, Jalilian M, Sarbarzeh PA, Vlaisavljevic Z. Diabetes and COVID-19: A systematic review on the current evidences. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2020) 166:108347. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108347

11. Jiang N, Chen Z, Liu L, Yin X, Yang H, Tan X, et al. Association of metformin with mortality or ARDS in patients with COVID-19 and type 2 diabetes: A retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2021) 173:108619. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108619

12. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PloS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000100. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100

13. Reuters T. EndNote. In: EndNote. Clarivate, Philadelphia, PA (2020).

14. Covidence systematic review software (2024). Available online at: www. covidence.org (Accessed September 29, 2024).

15. Cheung MW, Vijayakumar R. A guide to conducting a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev.* (2016) 26:121–8. doi: 10.1007/s11065-016-9319-z

16. Viera AJ, Garrett JM. Understanding interobserver agreement: the kappa statistic. *Fam Med.* (2005) 37:360–3.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1482853/full#supplementary-material.

17. Guo Z, Gao Y, Xie E, Ye Z, Li Y Zhao X, et al. Effects of metformin on COVID-19 patients with type 2 diabetes: A retrospective study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. (2023) 16:2573–82. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S417925

18. Xu K, He W, Yu B, Zhong K Zhou D, Wang DW, et al. Effects of different treatments for type 2 diabetes mellitus on mortality of coronavirus disease from 2019 to 2021 in China: a multi-institutional retrospective study. *Mol BioMed.* (2024) 5:18. doi: 10.1186/s43556-024-00183-1

19. Zhou JH, Wu B, Wang WX Lei F, Cheng X, Qin JJ, et al. No significant association between dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and adverse outcomes of COVID-19. *World J Clin cases.* (2020) 8:5576–88. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v8.i22.5576

20. Gao Y, Liu T, Zhong W, Liu R Zhou H, Huang W, et al. Risk of metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes with COVID-19: A preliminary retrospective report. *Clin Transl Sci.* (2020) 13:1055–9. doi: 10.1111/cts.12897

21. Cheng X, Liu YM, Li H, Zhang X, Lei F, Qin JJ, et al. Metformin is associated with higher incidence of acidosis, but not mortality, in individuals with COVID-19 and pre-existing type 2 diabetes. *Cell Metab.* (2020) 32:537–547.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.08.013

22. Cheng X, Xin S, Chen Y, Li L, Chen W, Li W, et al. Effects of metformin, insulin on COVID-19 patients with pre-existed type 2 diabetes: A multicentral retrospective study. *Life Sci.* (2021) 275:119371. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119371

23. Luo P, Qiu L, Liu Y, Liu XL Zheng JL, Xue HY, et al. Metformin treatment was associated with decreased mortality in COVID-19 patients with diabetes in a retrospective analysis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* (2020) 103:69–72. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0375

24. Wong CKH, Lui DTW, Lui AYC, Low MCH, Kwok ACY, Lau KTK, et al. Metformin use in relation to clinical outcomes and hyperinflammatory syndrome among COVID-19 patients with type 2 diabetes: A propensity score analysis of a territory-wide cohort. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2022) 13:810914. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.810914

25. Yu B, Li C, Sun Y, Wang DW. Insulin treatment is associated with increased mortality in patients with COVID-19 and type 2 diabetes. *Cell Metab.* (2021) 33:65–77.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.11.014

26. Zhang N, Wang C, Zhu F, Mao H, Bai P, Chen LL, et al. Risk factors for poor outcomes of diabetes patients with COVID-19: A single-center, retrospective study in early outbreak in China. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2020) 11:571037. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2020.571037

27. Li J, Wei Q, McCowen KC Xiong W, Liu J, Jiang W, et al. Inpatient use of metformin and acarbose is associated with reduced mortality of COVID-19 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab.* (2022) 5:e00301. doi: 10.1002/edm2.v5.1

28. Fu Y, Hu L, Ren H W, Zuo Y, Chen S, Zhang QS, et al. Prognostic factors for COVID-19 hospitalized patients with preexisting type 2 diabetes. *Int J Endocrinol 2022*. (2022) 2022:9322332. doi: 10.1155/2022/9322332

29. Liu Z, Bai X, Han X, Jiang W, Qiu L, Chen S, et al. The association of diabetes and the prognosis of COVID-19 patients: A retrospective study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2020) 169:108386. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108386

30. Bo Y, Yuli C, Ye W, Junfeng L Xiaolin C, Yan B, et al. Immune-inflammatory biomarkers and the risk of cardiac injury in COVID-19 patients with diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:188. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01625-2

31. Obiri-Yeboah D, Bena J, Alwakeel M, Buehler L, Makin V, Zhou K, et al. Association of metformin, dipeptidyl dipeptidase-4 inhibitors, and insulin with coronavirus disease 2019-related hospital outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Endocr Pract.* (2023) 29:681–5. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2023.06.001

32. Miao E, Zhang K, Liu J, Lin J Yoo D, George CJ, et al. Metformin use and mortality and length of stay among hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes and COVID-19: A multiracial, multiethnic, urban observational study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2022) 13:1002834. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1002834

33. Vasbinder A, Anderson E, Shadid H, Berlin H, Pan M, Azam T, et al. Inflammation, hyperglycemia, and adverse outcomes in individuals with diabetes mellitus hospitalized for COVID-19. *Diabetes Care.* (2022) 45:692–700. doi: 10.2337/ dc21-2102

34. Al-Kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, El Kholy AA, El-Khateeb E, Alexiou M, Papadakis M, et al. The potential therapeutic effect of metformin in type 2 diabetic patients with severe COVID-19. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2023) 27:11445–56. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202312_34583

35. Al-Kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Alblihed M, Cruz-Martins N, Batiha GE. COVID-19 and risk of acute ischemic stroke and acute lung injury in patients with type II diabetes mellitus: the anti-inflammatory role of metformin. *Front Med* (*Lausanne*). (2021) 8:644295. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.644295

36. Al-Kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Albogami SM, Jean-Marc S, Nadwa EH, Hafiz AA, et al. Potential therapeutic benefits of metformin alone and in combination with sitagliptin in the management of type 2 diabetes patients with COVID-19. *Pharm* (*Basel*). (2022) 15. doi: 10.3390/ph15111361

37. Abuhasira R, Ayalon-Dangur I, Zaslavsky N, Koren R, Keller M Dicker D, et al. A randomized clinical trial of linagliptin vs. Standard of care in patients hospitalized with diabetes and COVID-19. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2021) 12:794382. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.794382

38. Chertok Shacham E, Maman N, Ishay A. Blood glucose control with different treatment regimens in type 2 diabetes patients hospitalized with COVID-19 infection: A retrospective study. *Med (Baltimore)*. (2023) 102:e32650. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000032650

39. Silverii GA, Fumagalli C, Rozzini R, Milani M, Mannucci E, Marchionni N, et al. Is metformin use associated with a more favorable COVID-19 course in people with diabetes? *J Clin Med.* (2024) 13. doi: 10.3390/jcm13071874

40. Solerte SB, D'Addio F, Trevisan R, Lovati E, Rossi A, Pastore I, et al. Sitagliptin treatment at the time of hospitalization was associated with reduced mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes and COVID-19: A multicenter, case-control, retrospective, observational study. *Diabetes Care.* (2020) 43:2999–3006. doi: 10.2337/dc20-1521

41. Ong AN, Tan CC, Cañete MT, Lim BA, Robles J. Association between metformin use and mortality among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus hospitalized for COVID-19 infection. J ASEAN Fed Endocr Soc. (2021) 36:133–41. doi: 10.15605/jafes.036.02.20

42. Elibol A, Eren D, Erdoğan MD, Elmaağaç M, Dizdar OS, Çelik İ, et al. Factors influencing on development of COVID-19 pneumonia and association with oral antidiabetic drugs in hospitalized patients with diabetes mellitus. *Prim Care Diabetes*. (2021) 15:806–12. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2021.08.001

43. Ozbek O, Can MM. The effect of dapagliflozin use on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetic patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Int J Diabetes Developing Countries*. (2024) 44:477–85. doi: 10.1007/s13410-023-01287-0

44. Tamura RE, Said SM, de Freitas LM, Rubio IGS. Outcome and death risk of diabetes patients with Covid-19 receiving pre-hospital and in-hospital metformin therapies. *Diabetol Metab Syndr.* (2021) 13:76. doi: 10.1186/s13098-021-00695-8

45. Zihono Y, Yusmaini H, Hasanah U, Harfiani E, Mokoagow M, Budiman D, et al. Metformin Effectiveness in Reducing Mortality among Covid-19 Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus at a Tertiary Hospital in Indonesia. *Folia Med Indonesiana*. (2023) 59:267–73. doi: 10.20473/fmi.v59i3.46944

46. Shaseb E, Ghaffary S, Garjani A, Zoghi E, Maleki Dizaji N, Soltani S, et al. Long and short-term metformin consumption as a potential therapy to prevent complications of COVID-19. *Adv Pharm Bull.* (2023) 13:621–6. doi: 10.34172/apb.2023.066

47. Kliim-Hansen V, Johansson KS, Gasbjerg LS, Jimenez-Solem E, Petersen TS, Nyeland ME, et al. The impact of type 2 diabetes and glycaemic control on mortality and clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in the capital region of Denmark. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* (2024) 26:160–8. doi: 10.1111/dom.15302

48. Lewandowski Ł, Bronowicka-Szydełko A, Rabczyński M, Bednarska-Chabowska D, Adamiec-Mroczek J, Doroszko A, et al. Insulin and metformin administration: unravelling the multifaceted association with mortality across various clinical settings considering type 2 diabetes mellitus and COVID-19. *Biomedicines*. (2024) 12. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines12030605

49. Baagar K, Alessa T, Abu-Farha M, Abubaker J, Alhumaidi H, Franco Ceruto JA, et al. Effect of pioglitazone on inflammatory response and clinical outcome in T2DM patients with COVID-19: a randomized multicenter double-blind clinical trial. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1369918. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1369918

50. Nyland JE, Raja-Khan NT, Bettermann K, Haouzi PA, Leslie DL, Kraschnewski JL, et al. Diabetes, drug treatment, and mortality in COVID-19: A multinational retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes*. (2021) 70:2903–16. doi: 10.2337/db21-0385

51. Zhan K, Weng L, Qi L, Wang L, Lin H, Fang X, et al. Effect of antidiabetic therapy on clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Pharmacother*. (2023) 57:776–86. doi: 10.1177/10600280221133577

52. Chen Y, Lv X, Lin S, Arshad M, Dai M. The association between antidiabetic agents and clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients with diabetes: A bayesian network meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:895458. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.895458

53. Yang W, Sun X, Zhang J, Zhang K. The effect of metformin on mortality and severity in COVID-19 patients with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2021) 178:108977. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.108977

54. Petrelli F, Grappasonni I, Nguyen CTT, Tesauro M, Pantanetti P, Xhafa S, et al. Metformin and Covid-19: a systematic review of systematic reviews with meta-analysis. *Acta BioMed.* (2023) 94:e2023138. doi: 10.23750/abm.v94iS3.14405

55. Ma Z, Krishnamurthy M. Is metformin use associated with low mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus hospitalized for COVID-19? a multivariable and propensity score-adjusted meta-analysis. *PloS One.* (2023) 18:e0282210. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282210

56. Nguyen NN, Ho DS, Nguyen HS, Ho DKN, Li HY, Lin CY, et al. Preadmission use of antidiabetic medications and mortality among patients with COVID-19 having type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Metabolism.* (2022) 131:155196. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2022.155196

57. Nassar M, Ma S, Sun C, Zhang H, Qu G, Chen Y, et al. Noninsulin-based antihyperglycemic medications in patients with diabetes and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes*. (2023) 15:86–96. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.13359

58. Han T, Hossain MK, Mahboob T, Nissapatorn V, Wilairatana P, Jahan R, et al. Association between anti-diabetic agents and clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Med Res.* (2022) 53:186–95. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2021.08.002

59. Zein A, Raffaello WM. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-IV) inhibitor was associated with mortality reduction in COVID-19 - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Diabetes*. (2022) 16:162–7. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2021.12.008

60. Patoulias D, Doumas M. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and COVID-19related deaths among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)*. (2021) 36:904–8. doi: 10.3803/ EnM.2021.1048

61. Kow CS, Hasan SS. Mortality risk with preadmission metformin use in patients with COVID-19 and diabetes: A meta-analysis. *J Med Virol.* (2021) 93:695–7. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26498

62. Paul AK, Hossain MK, Mahboob T, Nissapatorn V, Wilairatana P, Jahan R, et al. Does oxidative stress management help alleviation of COVID-19 symptoms in patients experiencing diabetes? *Nutrients*. (2022) 14. doi: 10.3390/nu14020321

63. Samuel SM, Varghese E, Büsselberg D. Therapeutic potential of metformin in COVID-19: reasoning for its protective role. *Trends Microbiol.* (2021) 29:894–907. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2021.03.004

64. Lukito AA, Pranata R, Henrina J, Lim MA, Lawrensia S, Suastika K, et al. The Effect of Metformin Consumption on Mortality in Hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. (2020) 14:2177–83. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.11.006

65. Abbaszadeh A, Mir S, Hasanvand A. Metformin and its anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative effects; new concepts. *J Renal Inj Prev.* (2019) 8:54–61. doi: 10.15171/ jrip.2019.11

66. Bramante CT, Buse JB, Liebovitz DM, Nicklas JM, Puskarich MA Cohen K, et al. Outpatient treatment of COVID-19 and incidence of post-COVID-19 condition over 10 months (COVID-OUT): a multicentre, randomised, quadruple-blind, parallel-group, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2023) 23:1119–29. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00299-2

67. Du H, Wang DW, Chen C. The potential effects of DPP-4 inhibitors on cardiovascular system in COVID-19 patients. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2020) 24:10274-8. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.v24.18

68. Diabetes care in the hospital: standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care*. (2022) 45:S244-s253. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S016

69. Gonikman D, Kustovs D. Antidiabetic drug efficacy in reduction of mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. (2023) 59. doi: 10.3390/medicina59101810

70. Tisch C, Xourgia E, Exadaktylos A, Ziaka M. Potential use of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors during acute illness: a systematic review based on COVID-19. *Endocrine*. (2024) 85:660–75. doi: 10.1007/s12020-024-03758-8

 Miyashita K, Hozumi H, Furuhashi K, Nakatani E, Inoue Y, Yasui H, et al. Changes in the characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients from the early pandemic to the delta variant epidemic: a nationwide population-based study. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* (2023) 12:2155250. doi: 10.1080/2221751.2022.2155250

72. Committee A.D.A.P.P. 16. Diabetes care in the hospital: standards of care in diabetes—2024. *Diabetes Care*. (2023) 47:S295–306. doi: 10.2337/dc24-S016