Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mohammed S. Razzaque, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, United States

REVIEWED BY Yuichi Takashi, Fukuoka University, Japan Nikhilesh Anand, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yaoxian Wang tcmwyx123@126.com; Jie Lv Vijiebucm@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 01 September 2024 ACCEPTED 15 October 2024 PUBLISHED 04 November 2024

CITATION

Kang Y, Jin Q, Zhou M, Li Z, Zheng H, Li D, Liu W, Wang Y and Lv J (2024) Predictive value of bone metabolism markers in the progression of diabetic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1489676. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1489676

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Kang, Jin, Zhou, Li, Zheng, Li, Liu, Wang and Lv. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Predictive value of bone metabolism markers in the progression of diabetic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study

Yi Kang^{1,2,3†}, Qian Jin^{3†}, Mengqi Zhou^{4†}, Zirong Li³, Huijuan Zheng^{1,2}, Danwen Li^{1,2}, Weijing Liu^{1,2}, Yaoxian Wang^{1,2*} and Jie Lv^{1,2*}

¹Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ²Renal Research Institution of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ³Graduate School of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing Puren Hospital, Beijing, China

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the relationship between bone metabolism markers, including serum klotho, fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23), 25(OH)D3, iPTH, calcium (Ca), and PHOS and the progression of diabetic kidney disease (DKD) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Additionally, the predictive value of these markers for DKD progression was evaluated.

Methods: This study involved 126 patients with T2DM between May 2021 and March 2023. DKD staging was assessed based on urinary protein excretion rates and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). The study evaluated serum concentrations of klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS across various stages and examined their relationships with clinical parameters. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was utilized to determine the predictive accuracy of these bone metabolism markers for DKD. Multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses identified risk factors linked to DKD severity.

Results: Among the 126 participants, 30 had non-DKD with normal proteinuria, while 96 had DKD, categorized as 31 with stage III DKD (microproteinuria), 34 with stage IV DKD, and 31 with stage V DKD (massive proteinuria). With advancing DKD from stage III to V, levels of klotho, 25(OH)D3, and Ca decreased significantly, whereas FGF23, iPTH and PHOS levels increased markedly. Klotho is significantly positively correlated with eGFR (r = 0.285, P = 0.001.) and negative correlations with serum creatinine (Scr) and UACR (r = -0.255, P = 0.004; r = -0.260, P = 0.011). FGF23 was positively related to systolic blood pressure (SBP) (r = 0.224, P = 0.012), but negatively with eGFR (r = -0.294, P = 0.001). Additionally, 25(OH)D3 exhibited significant negative correlations with several adverse clinical biomarkers, and both iPTH, Ca and PHOS were strongly associated with DKD progression (P<0.05). ROC analysis showed high predictive accuracy for DKD using these bone metabolism markers, with a combined area under the curve (AUC) of 0.846. Multivariate logistic regression analysis reinforced the significance of these markers in DKD progression.

Conclusion: Bone metabolism markers, such as klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS are intricately linked to DKD progression and may function as valuable predictive biomarkers.

KEYWORDS

type 2 diabetes mellitus, diabetic kidney disease, bone metabolism markers, predictive value, progression

1 Introduction

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) presents a substantial public health concern due to its increasing prevalence and the absence of effective interventions to prevent its progression. As a complication arising from diabetes, DKD inflicts damage on various renal components, including the glomeruli, tubules, interstitium, and vasculature (1). Clinically, DKD manifests through a spectrum of proteinuria and a continuous decline in renal function, eventually progressing to end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Although renal biopsy is the definitive diagnostic method for DKD, its invasive nature limits its widespread application in clinical settings. Consequently, clinicians rely on key indicators such as the urinary albumin excretion rate and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) to diagnose and monitor DKD progression. However, these parameters are subject to numerous influencing factors, leading to insufficient sensitivity in detecting renal injury. Therefore, the quest for more reliable biomarkers for DKD screening and prediction remains ongoing (2). Historically, DKD research has predominantly viewed the kidney as an isolated organ, concentrating on its molecular pathological mechanisms. However, emerging evidence underscores the significance of inter-organ communication in disease pathogenesis, with the "bone-kidney axis" becoming a pivotal concept in DKD studies. Factors such as hyperglycemia, accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs), and the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) contribute to disruptions in calcium-phosphorus metabolism, abnormal parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels, and impaired bone mineralization, all of which can precipitate bone disease (3). Diabetes is an independent risk factor for skeletal health (4). In the context of DKD, the interactions among key bone regulatory factors (FGF23, klotho, and iPTH) are further disrupted, accelerating the progression of bone disease (5). The skeletal system, now recognized as an endocrine organ, secretes bone-derived hormones that are intricately involved in key pathogenic processes of DKD, such as energy metabolism (6), insulin resistance (7), inflammation (8), and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (9). Moreover, disruptions in bone metabolism may further accelerate DKD progression (10).

Previous research on mineral and bone disorders in CKD has largely concentrated on the later stages, such as end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and dialysis, where abnormalities in mineral and bone metabolism are more pronounced (11). However, emerging studies have highlighted that bone-derived hormone abnormalities can occur early in CKD (12, 13). Identifying these abnormalities early is vital for disease management. For example, a prospective study involving T2DM patients found that elevated FGF23 levels independently predict all-cause mortality and are closely linked with an increased risk of progressing to ESRD (14-16). The interaction between bone and kidney function is crucial, as FGF23, secreted mainly by osteoblasts and osteocytes (17), relies on renal Klotho protein for its biological effects (18). FGF23 regulates renal sodium, calcium, and phosphate balance, vitamin D metabolism, immune-inflammatory responses, and the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), and affects Klotho, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, and erythropoietin expression (19, 20). Klotho is also a potential biomarker for early DKD detection (21). These insights suggest that bone metabolism disturbances significantly impact DKD progression and renal function. Investigating the communication between bone and kidney may clarify DKD pathogenesis (22).FGF23 and klotho are involved in phosphate and vitamin D metabolism and calciumphosphate balance in CKD patients. This study examines the correlation between serum levels of klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, calcium (Ca) and PHOS with DKD progression in clinical patients, evaluating the predictive value of these bone metabolism markers. By analyzing different DKD stages in T2DM patients, the study aims to offer new reference markers for the early diagnosis and intervention of DKD.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design and population

This study encompassed patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and DKD who were admitted to Dongzhimen Hospital, Dongcheng Campus, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, from May 2021 to March 2023. The study was sanctioned by the Ethics Committee of Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (2022DZMEC-062-03), and all participants provided written informed consent. The flowchart is depicted in Figure 1.



2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were (1):age between 30 and 90 years (2); diagnosis of T2DM conforms to the "Chinese Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes" (2020 edition) (23); diagnosis of DKD based on the 2007 National Kidney Foundation (NKF-KD/OQI) guidelines (24); the 2020 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of CKD by the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) organization (25) and the 2021 Chinese Clinical Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Diabetic Kidney Disease (26). Staging criteria followed the 2007 NKF-KD/OQI guidelines (24) and the Mogensen staging criteria (27).

The DKD staging criteria are defined as follows: Stage III DKD: Urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) consistently ranges from 30-300 mg/g, with a eGFR remaining near normal or slightly elevated. Stage IV DKD: UACR exceeds 300 mg/g, or 24-hour urine protein levels are greater than 0.5 g, accompanied by a significant reduction in eGFR; Stage V DKD (end-stage renal failure, uremia stage): eGFR drops below 15 ml/min/1.73 m². Patients are classified based on proteinuria as follows: Non-DKD patients have normal proteinuria with UACR less than 30 mg/g; DKD patients are divided into two groups: the microproteinuria group with UACR ranging from 30-300 mg/g, and the massive proteinuria group with UACR exceeding 300 mg/g.

Exclusion criteria included (1): patients who had used medications such as active vitamin D, calcitonin, bisphosphonates, or other agents affecting calcium and phosphorus metabolism within the past six months; (2) patients who had undergone parathyroidectomy; (3) patients who had received dialysis treatment; (4) those with primary or secondary kidney diseases; (5) patients with urinary tract infections or other acute or chronic inflammatory conditions; (6) patients with liver function abnormalities, autoimmune diseases, malignant tumors, hematologic disorders, or mental illnesses; and (7) patients who had experienced trauma, surgery, or psychological stress within the last six months.

2.3 Data collection and measurement

2.3.1 Participant characteristics

Patient clinical data, including age, gender, height, weight, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure, were collected. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as height/weight².

2.3.2 Laboratory tests

Fasting venous blood samples were collected from all participants on the second morning after hospital admission. The samples were analyzed using a Mindray CL1000i automatic chemiluminescence analyzer for 25(OH)D3 and iPTH. Additionally, an AU680 automatic biochemical analyzer (Beckman Coulter, USA) was used to measure serum Ca, PHOS, glucose (GLU), total protein (TP), urea (UREA), serum creatinine (CREA), uric acid (UA), 24-hour urine protein quantification (24-UTP), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the CKD-EPI formula. For females:

$$eGFR = 144 \times \left(\frac{\frac{Serum Creatinine}{88.4}}{0.7}\right)^{-0.329} \times 0.993^{Age} (if Serum Creatinine \le 61.88 \,\mu mol/L)$$

$$eGFR = 144 \times \left(\frac{Serum Creatinine}{88.4}}{0.7}\right)^{-1.209} \times 0.993^{Age} (if Serum Creatinine>61.88 \,\mu mol/L)$$

For Males:

$$\begin{split} & \text{eGFR} = 141 \times \left(\frac{\underline{\text{Serum Creatinine}}}{\underline{88.4}}\right)^{-0.411} \times 0.993^{\text{Age}} (\text{if Serum Creatinine} &\leq 79.56 \, \mu \text{mol/L}) \\ & \text{eGFR} = 141 \times \left(\frac{\underline{\text{Serum Creatinine}}}{\underline{88.4}}\right)^{-1.209} \times 0.993^{\text{Age}} (\text{if Serum Creatinine} > 79.56 \, \mu \text{mol/L}) \end{split}$$

2.3.3 Detection of bone metabolismrelated biomarkers

On the second day of hospitalization, fasting venous blood samples (2 mL) were collected from each participant in the morning. After standing at room temperature for 30 minutes, the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. The resulting serum was carefully separated, aliquoted into labeled EP tubes, and stored at -80°C for future analysis. Intact FGF23 and klotho levels were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits provided by Elabscience (Hubei, China).

2.4 Statistical methods

SPSS 26.0 was employed for statistical analysis. Quantitative data following a normal distribution were presented as mean \pm standard deviation ($x^- \pm s$), whereas non-normally distributed data were shown as median with interquartile range. Categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentages (%). For normally distributed quantitative data, comparisons between groups were conducted using one-way analysis of variance. For non-normally distributed quantitative data, comparisons between groups were performed using non-parametric tests, specifically the Kruskal-Wallis test. Chisquare tests were used for categorical data analysis. The Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust p-values for multiple comparisons within groups. Pearson or Spearman rank correlation tests assessed correlations. Multiple linear regression evaluated the independent

TABLE 1 Baseline (Characteristics	of Participants
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------

associations of eGFR with serum levels of FGF23, klotho, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS. Multinomial logistic regression was used to examine the link between DKD severity and bone metabolism-related markers. P<0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Clinical characteristics of study participants

A total of 126 T2DM patients participated in this study, including 80 males and 46 females, with a mean age of 59.50 \pm 11.29 years. The cohort consisted of 30 patients with T2DM alone (normal proteinuria [NP]) and 96 patients with DKD, divided into 31 with DKD stage III (microproteinuria [MP]), 34 with DKD stage IV, and 31 with DKD stage V (massive proteinuria [MAP]). The findings reveal no notable differences across the four groups regarding age, gender, BMI, DBP, and lipid profiles. Conversely, significant increases in SBP and UA levels were observed in the DKD IV and V groups. Additionally, a marked decrease in TP levels was noted. The DKD V group demonstrated a considerable reduction in FPG levels. Analyses of Scr and eGFR indicated that Scr levels were significantly elevated in both the DKD IV and V groups. Simultaneously, eGFR exhibited a substantial decline (P < 0.001), pointing to a pronounced worsening of renal function. Moreover, UACR and 24-UTP displayed a rise (P < 0.001), suggesting a close association between disease progression and the severity of proteinuria (Table 1).

3.2 Changes in bone metabolism markers across different stages of DKD and T2DM

Compared with the T2DM group, klotho levels were significantly lower in DKD stage IV (0.373 \pm 0.177) and stage V (0.321 \pm 0.135) (*P*<0.05). Similarly, klotho levels in DKD stage V showed a declining trend compared to stage III (0.451 \pm 0.244) (*P*<0.05) (Figure 2A). Compared with the T2DM group (219.95 \pm 93.48) and DKD stage III (227.23 \pm 100.45), FGF23 levels were

Variables	T2DM (n = 30)	DKD III(n=31)	DKD IV (n=34)BD268	DKD V(n=31)	Р
	Normal proteinuria (n=30)	Microproteinuria (n=31)	Massive proteinuria (n =65)		
Age (years)	58.80 ± 11.62	58.87 ± 11.58	60.41 ± 11.57	59.81 ± 10.85	0.93
Male (%)	17 (56.67)	17 (54.84)	21 (61.76)	25 (80.65)	0.136
Female (%)	13 (43.33)	14 (45.16)	13 (38.23)	6 (19.35)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.6 (22.63,26.91)	25.54 (23.41,29.9)	25.56 (22.82,27.91)	26.05 (24.17,29.50)	0.922
SBP (mmHg)	134.80 ± 18.36	132.10 ± 18.85	141.38 ± 19.96 [#]	$146.90 \pm 17.26^{*\#}$	0.010
DBP (mmHg)	81.03 ± 14.37	78.13 ± 14.44	78.56 ± 10.90	75.81 ± 9.71	0.444

(Continued)

Variables	T2DM (n = 30)	DKD III(n=31)	DKD IV (n=34)BD268	DKD V(n=31)	Р
	Normal proteinuria (n=30)	Microproteinuria (n=31)		einuria (n =65)	
FPG (mmol/L)	10.83 (8.38,15.86)	10.07(7.06,14.49)	8.83 (6.97,12.20)	6.64 (6.00,9.15)*	0.002
TG (mmol/L)	1.70 (1.15,2.76)	1.59(1.05,2.53)	1.90 (1.24,3.38)	1.40 (1.05,2.00)	0.115
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.56 (2.07,3.67)	2.78(1.99,4.04)	3.14 (2.02,3.74)	2.35 (1.66,3.06)	0.206
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.18 ± 0.27	1.21 ± 0.30	1.28 ± 0.39	1.14 ± 0.35	0.379
TP (g/L)	71.35 (66.25,76.55)	73.30(65.80,75.30)	63.50 (59.25,66.35)**	60.50 (56.30,66.60)**##	<0.001
ALP (U/L)	85.09 ± 18.54	77.17 ± 24.61	87.05 ± 31.80	85.14 ± 23.93	0.422
UA (µmol/L)	342.05 (284.35,410.48)	295.40(266.80,407.40)	396.00 (329.70,434.43)	451.80 (395.90,502.40)***	<0.001
Scr (µmol/L)	63.25 (53.40,83.15)	65.40(60.70,73.00)	138.00 (102.80,248.80)***##	488.50 (406.40,635.30)******	<0.001
eGFR (ml/ min/1.73m ²)	98.34 (83.83,106.88)	97.25(85.28,116.87)	39.73 (22.57,54.25)***##	9.86 (7.50,12.03)** ^{##▲▲}	<0.001
UACR (mg/g)	15.00 (10.00,16.25)	150.00(100.00,180.00)**	800.00 (800.00,1500.00)***##		< 0.001
24h-UTP (mg)	87.00 (55.00,131.50)	174.00(143.00,246.00)*	3754.00 (1567.25,6861.00)** ^{##}	4466.00 (2831.00,6697.00)** ^{##}	<0.001

TABLE 1 Continued

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, Fasting Plasma Glucose; TG, triglycerides; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; TP, total protein; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; UA, serum uric acid; Scr, serum Scrtinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR, urine albumin to Scritinic ratio 24h-UTP, 24-hour Urine Total Protein. Compared with the T2DM group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001; compared with the DKD III group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001; compared with the DKD IV group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001. Bold values indicate P<0.05.



FIGURE 2

The levels of bone metabolism markers among different stages of DKD and T2DM group. (A) serum klotho. (B) serum FGF23. (C) serum 25(OH)D3. (D) serum iPTH. (E) serum Ca. (F) serum PHOS. Compared with the T2DM group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001; compared with the DKD III group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001; compared with the DKD III group, *p<0.05, **p<0.001; compared with the DKD IV group, *p<0.05, *p

significantly elevated in DKD stage V (319.54 ± 131.93) (P<0.05) (Figure 2B). Compared with the T2DM group, 25(OH)D3 levels were significantly lower in DKD stage IV (23.30 \pm 15.80) and stage V (21.54 \pm 7.31) (P<0.05). A similar downward trend was observed in DKD stages IV and V compared to stage III (40.58 ± 14.10) (Figure 2C). Compared with the T2DM group (46.85 \pm 22.08), iPTH levels were significantly higher in DKD stage IV (82.06 ± 48.76) and stage V (244.05 ± 106.66) (P<0.05). Compared to DKD stage III, iPTH levels also showed an increasing trend in DKD stages IV and V, with stage V levels significantly higher than stage IV (P<0.05) (Figure 2D). Compared with the T2DM group (2.38 \pm 0.16), Ca levels were significantly lower in DKD stage IV (2.38 \pm 0.16) and stage V (1.94 \pm 0.24) (P<0.05). A similar decreasing trend was observed in DKD stages IV and V compared to stage III (2.36 \pm 0.19), with Ca levels in stage V significantly lower than in stage IV (P<0.05) (Figure 2E). The PHOS level in the DKD V group (1.82 \pm 0.40) was significantly higher compared to the T2DM group (1.19 \pm 0.22), DKD III group (1.08 \pm 0.17), and DKD IV group (1.31 \pm 0.23) (P < 0.05). Additionally, compared to the DKD III group, the PHOS level in the DKD IV group showed an increasing trend (P <0.05) (Figure 2F).

3.3 Changes in bone metabolism markers among different proteinuria groups

Patients were categorized into NP, MP, and MAP groups based on proteinuria levels. There were no significant differences in bone metabolism markers between the NP and MP groups. However, significant differences were observed in the MAP group compared to both NP and MP groups, indicating that alterations in bone metabolism occur predominantly in the later stages of DKD with massive proteinuria. klotho, 25(OH)D3, and Ca levels were lower, while FGF23, iPTH and PHOS levels were higher in the MAP group compared to the NP and MP groups (P<0.05) (Figures 3A–F).

3.4 Correlation analysis between bone metabolism markers and clinical indicators in DKD patients

A correlation analysis involving 126 patients identified significant relationships between bone metabolism indicators and clinical parameters. Klotho levels showed a positive correlation with eGFR (r = 0.285, P < 0.001) and negative correlations with Scr and UACR (r = -0.255, P = 0.004; r = -0.260, P = 0.011), suggesting that lower klotho levels may link to worsening renal function (Figures 4A-C). FGF23 levels positively correlated with SBP (r = 0.224, P = 0.012) and negatively with eGFR (r = -0.294, P = 0.001), indicating that higher FGF23 levels might be associated with increased blood pressure and declining renal function (Figures 4D, E). The level of 25(OH)D3 negatively correlated with SBP, ALP, UA, Scr, UACR, and 24h-UTP (P < 0.05), while positively correlating with TP and eGFR (Figures 4F-I). iPTH levels positively correlated with UA, Scr, UACR, and 24h-UTP (*r* = 0.328, *P* < 0.001; *r* = 0.788, *P* < 0.001; r = 0.432, P < 0.001; r = 0.520, P < 0.001) and negatively with eGFR (r = -0.708, P < 0.001), implying that elevated iPTH levels are closely tied to renal function decline and metabolic issues (Figures 4J-M). Ca levels exhibited positive correlations with eGFR (r = 0.608, P < 0.001) and negative correlations with UA (r = -0.315, P < 0.001)P < 0.001), Scr (r = -0.663, P < 0.001), UACR (r = -0.430, P < 0.001), and 24h-UTP (r = -0.589, P < 0.001), suggesting that fluctuations in Ca levels may indicate the severity of renal impairment and



proteinuria (Figures 4N–Q). PHOS levels were negatively correlated with eGFR (r = -0.637, P < 0.001) and positively with UA (r = 0.364, P < 0.001), Scr (r = 0.779, P < 0.001), UACR (r = 0.330, P = 0.001), and 24h-UTP (r = 0.398, P < 0.001), indicating that PHOS levels notably rise during renal function deterioration (Figures 4R–U) (Table 2).

3.5 Clinical predictive value of bone metabolism indexes for DKD severity

The DKD group was merged into non-DKD (NDKD, n = 30) and DKD (n = 96) groups. ROC curves were plotted to explore the clinical predictive value of bone metabolism markers for DKD (Figure 5).



FIGURE 4

Correlation analysis of bone metabolism-related indexes and clinical biomarkers. (A-C) serum Klotho. (D, E) serum FGF23. (F-I) serum 25(OH)D3. (J-M) serum iPTH. (N-Q) serum Ca. (R-U) serum PHOS.

Veriektee	klo	tho	FGF23		25 (OH)D3		iPTH		Са		PHOS	
Variables	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р
Age	-0.016	0.862	0.010	0.914	0.048	0.591	0.039	0.666	-0.035	0.697	-0.213	0.017
Gender	-0.018	0.838	-0.116	0.197	0.096	0.287	-0.245	0.006	0.258	0.003	-0.109	0.226
BMI(kg/m ²)	0.026	0.775	-0.055	0.538	-0.122	0.174	0.159	0.076	-0.063	0.480	0.127	0.156
SBP(mmHg)	-0.038	0.675	0.224	0.012	-0.308	<0.001	0.247	0.005	-0.172	0.054	0.242	0.006
DBP(mmHg)	0.058	0.516	0.075	0.401	-0.032	0.721	-0.100	0.263	0.128	0.155	0.020	0.826
FPG(mmol/L)	0.129	0.151	-0.045	0.616	0.083	0.357	-0.266	0.003	0.198	0.026	-0.331	<0.001
TG(mmol/L))	-0.064	0.483	-0.067	0.463	-0.110	0.228	-0.177	0.053	0.178	0.051	-0.088	0.339
LDL-C(mmol/L)	0.029	0.757	-0.136	0.143	-0.046	0.619	-0.134	0.148	0.158	0.087	-0.083	0.373
HDL-C(mmol/L)	0.027	0.765	-0.046	0.615	0.03	0.747	-0.033	0.716	0.16	0.079	0.011	0.904
TP(g/L)	0.107	0.234	-0.186	0.038	0.362	<0.001	-0.387	<0.001	0.673	<0.001	-0.287	0.001
ALP(U/L)	-0.09	0.317	0.117	0.193	-0.178	0.046	0.082	0.359	0.024	0.792	0.067	0.457
UA(µmol/L)	-0.102	0.258	0.181	0.043	-0.329	<0.001	0.328	<0.001	-0.315	<0.001	0.364	< 0.001
Scr(µmol/L)	-0.255	0.004	0.197	0.027	-0.424	<0.001	0.788	<0.001	-0.663	<0.001	0.779	<0.001
eGFR(ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.285	0.001	-0.294	0.001	0.566	<0.001	-0.708	<0.001	0.608	<0.001	-0.637	<0.001
UACR(mg/g)	-0.260	0.011	0.144	0.165	-0.405	<0.001	0.432	<0.001	-0.430	<0.001	0.330	0.001
24h-UTP(mg)	-0.170	0.062	0.111	0.225	-0.542	<0.001	0.520	<0.001	-0.589	<0.001	0.398	<0.001

TABLE 2 Correlation analysis between bone metabolism markers and clinical indicators.

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, Fasting Plasma Glucose; TG, triglycerides; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; TP, total protein; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; UA, serum uric acid; Scr, serum Scrtinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR, urine albumin to Scrtinine ratio; 24h-UTP, 24-hour Urine Total Protein. Bold values indicate P<0.05.

Klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS all showed predictive value for DKD in T2DM patients, with AUCs greater than 0.7 for all except FGF23 and PHOS (Figure 5A). The optimal cutoffs and corresponding sensitivity and specificity for each marker are shown in Table 3. The combined AUC for the six bone metabolism markers predicting DKD was 0.846 (P<0.001) (Figure 5B). DKD stages IV and V were combined into the MAP group based on proteinuria levels. ROC curves for the MP group (n=31) and MAP group (n=65) were plotted to analyze the AUC for predicting MP and MAP in DKD patients. The AUC for predicting MP and MAP with bone metabolism markers was 0.951 (P<0.001) (Figure 5C). Among these, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS had AUCs greater than 0.85. The optimal cutoffs and corresponding sensitivity and specificity for each marker are detailed in Table 3. The combined AUC for predicting DKD was 0.951 (P<0.001) (Figure 5D).

3.6 Simple linear regression analysis of eGFR with clinical and bone metabolism indicators

The eGFR was used as the dependent variable, with Age, Gender, BMI, SBP, DBP, FPG, TP, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, ALP, UA, Scr, klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS as independent variables (Table 4). Simple linear regression analysis showed that eGFR was positively correlated with FPG, TP, Klotho, 25(OH)D3, and Ca, and negatively correlated with SBP, UA, Scr, UACR, FGF23, iPTH and PHOS (*P*<0.05).

3.7 Multinomial logistic regression analysis of disease severity and bone metabolism markers in DKD patients

The multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed with DKD severity as the dependent variable (DKD III=3, DKD IV=4, DKD V=5), and SBP, FPG, ALP, TP, klotho, FGF23, 25(OH) D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS as independent variables. It is aimed to assess the trends of bone metabolism markers across different DKD severity groups. In comparison to the T2DM group, klotho levels were significantly lower in patients with DKD IV and V group. Even after adjusting for different models, particularly Model 2 and Model 3, the reduction in klotho levels remained strongly linked to DKD progression, signifying that as DKD worsens, klotho levels notably decrease. Similarly, FGF23 levels in the DKD V group were significantly elevated compared to the T2DM group, with P < 0.05 across all models (Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3), underscoring the marked rise in FGF23 levels in advanced DKD.



In the DKD IV group, the levels of 25(OH)D3 were significantly lower than those in the T2DM group in Model 1 and Model 2 (P <0.05), suggesting that 25(OH)D3 levels decrease significantly relative to the control group as DKD progresses to stage IV, while DKD V did not show statistical significance. When comparing to DKD s III group, iPTH levels in DKD V group were significantly elevated across all models (P < 0.05), showing that iPTH levels increase as DKD progresses. Ca levels were significantly reduced in DKD IV and V group when compared to both the T2DM group and DKD III group, highlighting a strong negative correlation between Ca levels and DKD severity (P < 0.05). PHOS levels were significantly higher in DKD III and V group compared to the T2DM group and were also elevated in DKD IV and V group when compared to DKD III group (P < 0.05). Notably, these bone metabolism markers varied in their timing of changes, with PHOS showing significant differences as early as DKD III group, while FGF23 levels only differed significantly in DKD V group, suggesting that disturbances in bone metabolism play a significant role in the pathological progression of DKD (Table 5).

4 Discussion

This study examined changes in bone metabolism markers in patients with T2DM and DKD, focusing on the association of klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS levels with the

severity of DKD. Furthermore, this study also assesses their predictive value for the progression of DKD. It analyzes the significance of bone metabolism markers both separately and in combination for the DKD and NDKD groups, as well as for DKD patients with microproteinuria and massive proteinuria. The results indicate that as DKD advances from stage III to V, klotho, calcium, and 25(OH)D3 levels show a significant decline, whereas FGF23, iPTH, and PHOS levels experience a marked increase. Multiple regression analyses further confirmed that these bone metabolism markers are closely related to DKD progression, suggesting their potential clinical utility in the diagnosis and prognosis of DKD.

4.1 Relationship between bone metabolism dysregulation and DKD progression

The communication between bone and kidney is a complex process involving mutual regulation among different molecules (Figure 6). FGF23 is a phosphate-regulating hormone primarily secreted by bone cells. As a crucial molecule in the communication between bone and kidney, FGF23 collaborates with active vitamin D and intact parathyroid hormone to regulate calcium and phosphate homeostasis (18), and are involved in the pathological processes of insulin resistance, inflammation, fibrosis, and podocyte injury in DKD (8, 28–30). FGF23 levels increase significantly with DKD progression, and elevated FGF23 levels during kidney function

TABLE 3 Predictive value of bone metabolism markers for DKD.

	Variables	AUC	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity
NDKD group VS DKD group	klotho	0.731	< 0.331	0.458	1.000
	FGF23	0.644	> 236.6	0.625	0.700
	25(OH)D3	0.753	< 26.62	0.531	0.867
	iPTH	0.733	> 78.78	0.510	0.967
	Ca	0.777	< 2.215	0.552	0.900
	PHOS	0.649	>1.245	0.594	0.700
MP group VS MAP group	klotho	0.613	< 0.525	0.877	0.419
	FGF23	0.666	> 267.2	0.615	0.677
	25(OH)D3	0.872	< 26.16	0.723	0.936
	iPTH	0.851	> 69.35	0.723	0.903
	Са	0.855	< 2.205	0.662	0.903
	PHOS	0.879	>1.210	0.846	0.806

decline are a compensatory response to phosphate retention, consistent with its known role in CKD (31). Chronic low-grade inflammation is a major pathogenic mechanism of DKD (32), and the inflammatory response can further increase the expression of FGF23 (33). In addition, excessive elevation of FGF23 may also lead

TABLE 4 Linear regression analysis of independent correlated factors.

Index	B (95%CI)	t	Р
Age	-0.461(-1.104,0.182)	-1.419	0.158
Gender(Male=1,Female=2)			0.068
BMI	0.103(-1.885,2.091)	0.103	0.918
SBP	-0.698(-1.056,-0.34)	-3.86	<0.001
DBP	0.554(-0.024,1.133)	1.896	0.060
FPG	2.073(0.767,3.38)	3.141	0.002
TP	2.596(1.831,3.36)	6.72	<0.001
TG	2.177(-1.793,6.148)	1.086	0.280
LDL-C	6.886(-0.27,14.042)	1.906	0.059
HDL-C	-4.283(-26.383,17.817)	-0.384	0.702
ALP	-0.133(-0.42,0.154)	-0.916	0.361
UA	-0.216(-0.281,-0.152)	-6.625	<0.001
Scr	-0.148(-0.168,-0.128)	-14.561	<0.001
UACR	-0.046(-0.056,-0.036)	-8.974	<0.001
klotho	61.165(24.65,97.68)	3.315	0.001
FGF23	-0.109(-0.172,-0.046)	-3.427	0.001
25(OH)D3	1.37(1.015,1.724)	7.653	<0.001
iPTH	-0.289(-0.34,-0.237)	-11.163	<0.001
Ca	99.713(76.539,122.886)	8.517	<0.001
PHOS	-67.388(-81.9, -52.877)	-9.191	<0.001

to complications such as left ventricular hypertrophy and cardiovascular events (34). Thus, FGF23 serves not only as a marker of bone metabolism dysregulation but also as a potential predictor of cardiovascular risk. Our study found that FGF23 is positively correlated with SBP, further suggesting that elevated FGF23 levels may be associated with increased blood pressure and worsening kidney function.

Klotho is a single-pass transmembrane protein predominantly expressed in the kidneys and parathyroid glands (19, 35). Apart from acting as a co-ligand for FGF23 to regulate PHOS, Ca, 1,25 (OH)2D3, and iPTH metabolism, klotho also protects the kidneys through various mechanisms, including antioxidant stress responses, anti-inflammatory effects, anti-fibrosis, induction of autophagy, inhibition of apoptosis and aging, and maintaining renal endothelial cell integrity (20, 36). The kidneys are the primary organ for klotho gene expression (37). Our previous studies have confirmed that in the context of DKD, renal aging is accelerated, and factors such as hyperglycemia, inflammation, oxidative stress, and hypertension can induce the downregulation of klotho expression (38). Plasma klotho levels are reduced in DKD patients, and klotho levels are negatively correlated with the annual decline rate of eGFR and the occurrence of albuminuria (39, 40). Klotho levels begin to decline early in CKD and decrease further as the disease progresses (41), and considered biological markers that aid in the early diagnosis of DKD (42). Our study observed a significant decline in klotho levels with DKD progression, with klotho levels in all DKD groups being lower than those in the T2DM group, and decreasing further from stage III to stage V. We found a significant positive correlation between klotho and eGFR, which supports its role as a marker of worsening renal function.

1,25(OH)2D3 facilitates the absorption of Ca and PHOS in the intestines and regulates Ca metabolism in bone tissue (43). 1,25 (OH)2D3 participates in renal protection in DKD through pathways such as inducing autophagy, alleviating oxidative stress, anti-inflammation, anti-fibrosis, and inhibiting RAS activation (44– 47). Previous studies have found that vitamin D deficiency is more

Dependent variables	Independent		Model 1		Model 2			Model 3		
	variables	β	Wald	Р	β	Wald	Р	β	Wald	Р
T2DM group*	klotho	-2.113	2.216	0.137	-2.104	2.079	0.149	-2.807	3.119	0.077
DKD III group	FGF23	0.001	0.206	0.650	0.003	0.727	0.394	0.004	1.196	0.274
	25(OH)D3	-0.021	1.32	0.251	-0.025	1.818	0.178	-0.029	2.05	0.152
	iPTH	-0.016	1.246	0.264	-0.024	2.366	0.124	-0.024	1.915	0.166
	Са	-0.202	0.013	0.911	0.179	0.009	0.925	0.712	0.076	0.783
	PHOS	-3.800	5.299	0.021	-4.462	6.139	0.013	-4.815	6.586	0.010
T2DM group*	klotho	-4.053	4.506	0.034	-4.105	4.558	0.033	-4.082	4.157	0.041
DKD IV group	FGF23	0.004	1.240	0.265	0.005	1.6	0.206	0.005	1.352	0.245
	25(OH)D3	-0.068	4.915	0.027	-0.066	4.509	0.034	-0.062	3.443	0.064
	iPTH	0.003	0.061	0.804	0.002	0.026	0.871	0.006	0.149	0.700
	Са	-8.247	7.299	0.007	-8.821	7.342	0.007	-6.590	2.736	0.098
	PHOS	2.606	1.685	0.194	2.936	1.751	0.186	2.880	1.541	0.214
T2DM group*	klotho	-12.68	3.963	0.047	-17.052	3.962	0.047	-21.163	3.119	0.077
DKD V group	FGF23	0.018	5.005	0.025	0.021	4.97	0.026	0.024	4.088	0.043
	25(OH)D3	0.113	1.771	0.183	0.139	2.003	0.157	0.247	2.153	0.142
	iPTH	0.035	3.214	0.073	0.044	3.034	0.082	0.060	3.263	0.071
	Ca	-19.48	7.213	0.007	-21.886	6.091	0.014	-20.49	4.77	0.029
	PHOS	7.146	5.460	0.019	7.893	5.785	0.016	12.796	4.057	0.044
DKD III group*	klotho	-1.940	1.074	0.300	-2.001	1.087	0.297	-1.275	0.374	0.541
DKD IV group	FGF23	0.003	0.587	0.444	0.002	0.335	0.563	0.001	0.068	0.795
	25(OH)D3	-0.047	2.415	0.120	-0.041	1.753	0.185	-0.034	0.981	0.322
	iPTH	0.019	1.420	0.233	0.027	2.212	0.137	0.029	2.366	0.124
	Ca	-8.045	6.922	0.009	-9.00	7.351	0.007	-7.302	3.498	0.061
	PHOS	6.406	8.818	0.003	7.398	9.458	0.002	7.695	9.081	0.003
DKD III group*	klotho	-10.567	2.765	0.096	-14.949	3.048	0.081	-18.355	2.341	0.126
DKD V group	FGF23	0.017	4.290	0.038	0.018	3.747	0.053	0.020	2.912	0.088
	25(OH)D3	0.134	2.495	0.114	0.165	2.799	0.094	0.276	2.679	0.102
	iPTH	0.051	5.688	0.017	0.068	6.198	0.013	0.084	5.616	0.018
	Ca	-19.278	7.064	0.008	-22.065	6.158	0.013	-21.202	5.125	0.024
	PHOS	10.945	11.987	0.001	12.356	13.059	0.000	17.611	7.463	0.006

TABLE 5 Multiple logistic regression analysis of the relationship between DKD severity and bone metabolism.

*reference group.

Model 1, not adjusted; Model 2, adjusted Model 1+SBP and FPG; Model 3, adjusted Model 2+ALP and TP. Bold values indicate P<0.05.

pronounced in diabetic patients compared to healthy controls and is more likely to lead to diabetic microvascular complications (48– 51). Vitamin D deficiency is a significant risk factor for DKD compared to diabetic patients without renal impairment (52–54). A retrospective cohort study involving 161 patients diagnosed with DKD via biopsy further confirmed that patients with lower serum 25(OH)D3 levels had more severe glomerular lesions and higher scores of tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis (55). Our study also observed a progressive decline in 25(OH)D3 levels with DKD progression, particularly marked in DKD stages IV and V. According to the diagnostic criteria for vitamin D deficiency (<75 nmol/L) set by the Italian Society of Clinical Endocrinology and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (56), vitamin D deficiency was already present in stage III DKD patients. As the



main circulating form of vitamin D, a decrease in 25(OH)D3 levels may reflect a decline in renal synthetic function. Low levels of 25 (OH)D3 were significantly negatively correlated with several adverse clinical biomarkers, further supporting its protective role in DKD.

iPTH, as a key regulator of calcium-phosphate metabolism, directly affects blood calcium levels and enhances calcium absorption by influencing 1,25(OH)2D3 conversion. Our study found that iPTH levels significantly increase with DKD progression, particularly in stage V. Elevated iPTH often reflects the presence of CKD-MBD, which may be more common in DKD patients (57). Increased iPTH could be a compensatory response to hypocalcemia and hyperphosphatemia, but may also lead to excessive bone turnover and cardiovascular calcification (58).

The changes in serum Ca and PHOS levels also exhibited significant trends in this study. As DKD progresses from stage III to stage V, Ca levels gradually decrease while serum PHOS levels gradually increase, particularly in stage V of DKD. Hypocalcemia and hyperphosphatemia may result from hyperparathyroidism, vitamin D deficiency, and disturbances in calcium-phosphorus metabolism. Changes in serum Ca and PHOS can also feedbackregulate the levels of FGF23, klotho, 1,25(OH)2D3, and iPTH (59). 1,25(OH)2D3, FGF23, and klotho may also interactively participate in the pathogenesis of DKD. Macrophage infiltration is a significant pathological feature of DKD and is closely related to the degree of kidney injury and interstitial fibrosis (60). FGF23 promotes the polarization of macrophages to the pro-inflammatory phenotype (M1), and klotho expression is significantly upregulated in M1 macrophages. In contrast, 1,25(OH)2D3 can stimulate M2 macrophages to increase Arg-1 expression, inhibiting FGF23induced TNF- α upregulation, and participating in antiinflammatory and anti-fibrotic responses. The balance of opposing effects between vitamin D and FGF23 may influence the trends of inflammation and fibrosis in DKD (61). Zou XR et al. discovered that Shenyuan granules can modulate vitamin D levels and improve kidney injury and calcium-phosphorus metabolism abnormalities in DKD mice by intervening in the synthesis of renal 24-hydroxylase and 1 α -hydroxylase through the klotho/FGF23/ Egr1 signaling pathway (62).

4.2 Clinical significance of bone metabolism markers

The results suggest that bone metabolism markers play a crucial role in DKD progression and may have significant clinical predictive value. ROC curve analysis shows that klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS each have predictive value for DKD occurrence, with potential applications in DKD diagnosis. The combined predictive model achieved an AUC of 0.846, highlighting the potential of these markers for improving prediction accuracy. Additionally, the AUC values for 25(OH)D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS in predicting DKD severity were >0.85, showing high sensitivity and specificity. The combined model reached an AUC of 0.951, confirming its potential clinical utility. Previous studies have suggested that bone metabolism is closely related to women, but it is also a significant health issue for men (63). The gender differences in bone metabolism warrant further investigation.

4.3 Clinical applications and future research directions

These findings provide a valuable reference for managing bone metabolism in DKD patients. Monitoring klotho, FGF23, 25(OH) D3, iPTH, Ca and PHOS levels is crucial for early detection of DKD progression and assessing disease severity. The combined use of these markers could improve diagnostic accuracy and support personalized treatment. Future research should further explore the mechanisms of bone metabolism markers in DKD, especially their association with cardiovascular complications. Additionally, the impact of interventions targeting these markers on DKD progression needs further investigation. Such research could lead to new treatment strategies to improve DKD patient outcomes.

5 Limitations

This study has limitations including a small sample size, crosssectional design restricting causal inference, insufficient consideration of all potential confounders, single-point measurement of bone metabolism markers, limited external validity, and lack of in-depth mechanistic studies. The study explored only certain bone metabolism indicators and did not include imaging assessments like bone density. Future research should expand the scope, validate results in larger and more diverse populations, and comprehensively evaluate the role of bone metabolism dysregulation in DKD progression.

6 Conclusion

The study indicates that changes in Klotho, FGF23, 25(OH)D3, iPTH, and Ca levels are closely related to DKD progression. These markers are significant for DKD diagnosis and prognosis and may serve as potential therapeutic targets. Further research should continue to explore the mechanisms and clinical applications of these markers to improve treatment outcomes and quality of life for DKD patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (2022DZMEC-062-03). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

YK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. QJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZL: Writing – review & editing. HZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YW: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – original draft, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 82174342, 82074361), NATCM's Project of High-level Construction of Key TCM Disciplines-Beijing University of Chinese Medicine-Nephrology of traditional Chinese medicine (zyyzdxk-2023260), the Chinese Medicine Inheritance and Innovation Talent Project-Leading Talent Support Program of National Traditional Chinese Medicine (Grant No. 2018, No. 12), Beijing University of Chinese Medicine's 2022 Basic Research Business Fee Disclosure Leading Project (2022-JYB-JBZR-038), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2023-JYB-JBQN-020) and the Unite Research Project of the Chinese Society of Traditional Chinese Medicine(2023DYPLHGG-11).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Ruiz-Ortega MA-O, Rodrigues-Diez RR, Lavoz C, Rayego-Mateos S. Special Issue "Diabetic Nephropathy: Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment". *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9 (3):813. doi: 10.3390/jcm9030813.

2. Thipsawat SA-O. Early detection of diabetic nephropathy in patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A review of the literature. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* (2021) 18 (6):14791641211058856. doi: 10.1177/14791641211058856

3. Hauge SA-O, Frost M, Hansen D. Understanding Bone Disease in Patients with Diabetic Kidney Disease: a Narrative Review. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* (2020) 18(6):727–36. doi: 10.1007/s11914-020-00630-2

4. Hofbauer LC, Busse B, Eastell R, Ferrari S, Frost M, Müller R, et al. Bone fragility in diabetes: novel concepts and clinical implications. *Lancet Diabetes endocrinology*. (2022) 10:207–20. doi: 10.1016/s2213-8587(21)00347-8

5. Hauge SC, Frost M, Hansen D. Understanding bone disease in patients with diabetic kidney disease: a narrative review. *Curr osteoporosis Rep.* (2020) 18:727–36. doi: 10.1007/s11914-020-00630-2

6. Lee NK, Sowa H, Hinoi E, Ferron M, Ahn JD, Confavreux C, et al. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism by the skeleton. *Cell.* (2007) 130(3):456–69. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.047

7. Mao H, Li L, Fan Q, Angelini AA-O, Saha PK, Wu H, et al. Loss of bone morphogenetic protein-binding endothelial regulator causes insulin resistance. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12(1):1927. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22130-2

8. Singh S, Grabner A, Yanucil C, Schramm K, Czaya B, Krick S, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 23 directly targets hepatocytes to promote inflammation in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* (2016) 90:985–96. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2016.05.019

9. Liu L, Wang Y, Yan R, Liang L, Zhou X, Liu H, et al. BMP-7 inhibits renal fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy via miR-21 downregulation. *Life Sci.* (2019) 238:116957. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2019.116957

10. Li Y, Gu Z, Wang J, Wang Y, Chen X, Dong B. The emerging role of bonederived hormones in diabetes mellitus and diabetic kidney disease. *Front Endocrinol* (*Lausanne*). (2022) 13:938830.

11. Waziri BA-O, Duarte RA-O, Naicker SA-O. Chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD): current perspectives. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis.* (2019) 12:263–76. doi: 10.2147/JJNRD.S191156

12. Seiler S, Rogacev KS, Roth HJ, Shafein P, Emrich I, Neuhaus S, et al. Associations of FGF-23 and sKlotho with cardiovascular outcomes among patients with CKD stages 2-4. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2014) 9(6):1049–58. doi: 10.2215/CJN.07870713

13. Pazianas M, Miller PD. Osteoporosis and Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD): Back to Basics. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2021) 78(4):582–9. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.12.024

14. Lee JE, Gohda T, Walker WH, Skupien J, Smiles AM, Holak RR, et al. Risk of ESRD and all-cause mortality in type 2 diabetes according to circulating levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8(3):e58007. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058007

15. Yeung SMH, Binnenmars SH, Gant CM, Navis G, Gansevoort RT, Bakker SJL, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 23 and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes and normal or mildly impaired kidney function. *Diabetes Care*. (2019) 42(11):2151–3. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0528

16. Titan SM, Zatz R, Graciolli FG, dos Reis LM, Barros RT, Jorgetti V, et al. FGF-23 as a predictor of renal outcome in diabetic nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. (2011) 6(2):241–7. doi: 10.2215/CJN.04250510

17. Clinkenbeard EL, Cass TA, Ni P, Hum JM, Bellido T, Allen MR, et al. Conditional deletion of murine fgf23: interruption of the normal skeletal responses to phosphate challenge and rescue of genetic hypophosphatemia. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2016) 31(6):1247–57. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2792

 Agoro R, White KE. Regulation of FGF23 production and phosphate metabolism by bone-kidney interactions. *Nat Rev Nephrology*. (2023) 19:185–93. doi: 10.1038/ s41581-022-00665-x

19. Kurosu H, Yamamoto M, Clark JD, Pastor JV, Nandi A, Gurnani P, et al. Suppression of aging in mice by the hormone Klotho. *Sci (New York NY).* (2005) 309:1829–33. doi: 10.1126/science.1112766

20. Neyra JA, Hu MC, Moe OW. Klotho in clinical nephrology: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrology: CJASN.* (2020) 16:162–76. doi: 10.2215/cjn.02840320

21. Piwkowska AA-O, Zdrojewski Ł, Heleniak ZA-O, Dębska-Ślizień AA-O. Novel Markers in Diabetic Kidney Disease-Current State and Perspectives. *Diagnostics* (*Basel*). (2022) 12(5):1205. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12051205.

22. Yang M, Luo S, Yang J, Chen W, He L, Liu D, et al. Bone-kidney axis: A potential therapeutic target for diabetic nephropathy. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:996776. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.996776

23. Society CD. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes in China (2020 edition). *Chin J Diabetes*. (2021) 13:315–409. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn115791-20210221-00095

24. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines. Clinical practice recommendations for diabetes and chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney diseases: Off J Natl Kidney Foundation. (2007) 49:S12–154. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2006.12.005

25. Navaneethan SD, Zoungas S, Caramori ML, Chan JCN, Heerspink HJL, Hurst C, et al. Diabetes management in chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the KDIGO 2022 clinical practice guideline update. *Ann Internal Med.* (2023) 176:381–7. doi: 10.7326/m22-2904

26. Nephrology EGotCSo. Chinese clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic kidney disease. *Chin J Nephrol.* (2021) 37:255–304. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn115791-20210221-00095

27. Mogensen CE, Schmitz A, Christensen CK. Comparative renal pathophysiology relevant to IDDM and NIDDM patients. *Diabetes/metabolism Rev.* (1988) 4:453–83. doi: 10.1002/dmr.5610040504

28. Fayed A, El Nokeety MM, Heikal AA, Abdulazim DO, Naguib MM, Sharaf El Din UAA. Fibroblast growth factor-23 is a strong predictor of insulin resistance among chronic kidney disease patients. *Renal failure*. (2018) 40:226–30. doi: 10.1080/0886022x.2018.1455594

29. Triwatana W, Satirapoj B, Supasyndh O, Nata N. Effect of pioglitazone on serum FGF23 levels among patients with diabetic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Int Urol nephrology*. (2023) 55:1255–62. doi: 10.1007/s11255-022-03420-0

30. Zhang X, Guo K, Xia F, Zhao X, Huang Z, Niu J. FGF23(C-tail) improves diabetic nephropathy by attenuating renal fibrosis and inflammation. *BMC Biotechnol.* (2018) 18:33. doi: 10.1186/s12896-018-0449-7

31. Musgrove J, Wolf M. Regulation and effects of FGF23 in chronic kidney disease. *Annu Rev Physiol.* (2020) 82:365–90. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021119-034650

32. Wu L, Liu C, Chang DY, Zhan R, Sun J, Cui SH, et al. Annexin A1 alleviates kidney injury by promoting the resolution of inflammation in diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* (2021) 100:107–21. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2021.02.025

33. David V, Martin A, Isakova T, Spaulding C, Qi L, Ramirez V, et al. Inflammation and functional iron deficiency regulate fibroblast growth factor 23 production. *Kidney Int*. (2016) 89:135–46. doi: 10.1038/ki.2015.290

34. Edmonston D, Grabner A, Wolf M. FGF23 and klotho at the intersection of kidney and cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2024) 21:11–24. doi: 10.1038/ s41569-023-00903-0

35. Hu MC, Shiizaki K, Kuro-o M, Moe OW. Fibroblast growth factor 23 and Klotho: physiology and pathophysiology of an endocrine network of mineral metabolism. *Annu Rev Physiol.* (2013) 75:503–33. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183727

36. Zhao Y, Banerjee S, Dey N, LeJeune WS, Sarkar PS, Brobey R, et al. Klotho depletion contributes to increased inflammation in kidney of the db/db mouse model of diabetes via RelA (serine)536 phosphorylation. *Diabetes*. (2011) 60:1907–16. doi: 10.2337/db10-1262

37. Olauson H, Mencke R, Hillebrands JL, Larsson TE. Tissue expression and source of circulating α Klotho. *Bone*. (2017) 100:19–35. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2017.03.043

38. Guo J, Zheng HJ, Zhang W, Lou W, Xia C, Han XT, et al. Accelerated kidney aging in diabetes mellitus. *Oxid Med Cell longevity*. (2020) 2020:1234059. doi: 10.1155/2020/1234059

39. Asai O, Nakatani K, Tanaka T, Sakan H, Imura A, Yoshimoto S, et al. Decreased renal α -Klotho expression in early diabetic nephropathy in humans and mice and its possible role in urinary calcium excretion. *Kidney Int.* (2012) 81:539–47. doi: 10.1038/ki.2011.423

40. Kim SS, Song SH, Kim IJ, Lee EY, Lee SM, Chung CH, et al. Decreased plasma α -Klotho predict progression of nephropathy with type 2 diabetic patients. J Diabetes its complications. (2016) 30:887–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.03.006

41. Sakan H, Nakatani K, Asai O, Imura A, Tanaka T, Yoshimoto S, et al. Reduced renal α-Klotho expression in CKD patients and its effect on renal phosphate handling and vitamin D metabolism. *PloS One*. (2014) 9:e86301. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086301

42. Piwkowska A, Zdrojewski Ł, Heleniak Z, Dębska-Ślizień A. Novel markers in diabetic kidney disease-current state and perspectives. *Diagnostics (Basel Switzerland)*. (2022) 12(5):1205. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12051205

43. Saito H, Maeda A, Ohtomo S, Hirata M, Kusano K, Kato S, et al. Circulating FGF-23 is regulated by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and phosphorus in *vivo. J Biol Chem.* (2005) 280(4):2543–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M408903200

44. Shi L, Xiao C, Zhang Y, Xia Y, Zha H, Zhu J, et al. Vitamin D/vitamin D receptor/Atg16L1 axis maintains podocyte autophagy and survival in diabetic kidney disease. *Renal failure*. (2022) 44:694–705. doi: 10.1080/0886022x.2022.2063744

45. Zhu X, Wu S, Guo H. Active vitamin D and vitamin D receptor help prevent high glucose induced oxidative stress of renal tubular cells via AKT/UCP2 signaling pathway. *BioMed Res Int.* (2019) 2019:9013904. doi: 10.1155/2019/9013904

46. Liu P, Li F, Xu X, Li S, Dong X, Chen L, et al. 1,25(OH)(2)D(3) provides protection against diabetic kidney disease by downregulating the TLR4-MyD88-NF-κB pathway. *Exp Mol pathology*. (2020) 114:104434. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104434

47. Wang Y, Deb DK, Zhang Z, Sun T, Liu W, Yoon D, et al. Vitamin D receptor signaling in podocytes protects against diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrology: JASN.* (2012) 23:1977–86. doi: 10.1681/asn.2012040383

48. Senyigit A. The association between 25-hydroxy vitamin D deficiency and diabetic complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab syndrome*. (2019) 13:1381-6. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2019.01.043

49. Ali MI, Fawaz LA, Sedik EE, Nour ZA, Elsayed RM. Vitamin D status in diabetic patients (type 2) and its relation to glycemic control & diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Metab syndrome*. (2019) 13:1971–3. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2019.04.040

50. Shao Y, Lv C, Yuan Q, Wang Q. Levels of serum 25(OH)VD3, HIF-1 α , VEGF, vWf, and IGF-1 and their correlation in type 2 diabetes patients with different urine albumin creatinine ratio. *J Diabetes Res.* (2016) 2016:1925424. doi: 10.1155/2016/1925424

51. Jamal Shahwan M, Hassan NAG, Shaheen RA. Assessment of kidney function and associated risk factors among type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Metab syndrome*. (2019) 13:2661-5. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.025

52. Xu F, Lu H, Lai T, Lin L, Chen Y. Association between vitamin D status and mortality among adults with diabetic kidney disease. *J Diabetes Res.* (2022) 2022:9632355. doi: 10.1155/2022/9632355

53. Liang Q, Hu H, Wu H, Chen X, Wang W, Le Y, et al. A nonlinear relationship between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and urine albumin to creatinine ratio in type 2 diabetes: A cross-sectional study in China. *Diabetes Metab syndrome obesity: Targets Ther.* (2021) 14:2581–93. doi: 10.2147/dmso.S308390

54. Duan S, Lu F, Wu B, Zhang C, Nie G, Sun L, et al. Association of serum 25 (OH) vitamin D with chronic kidney disease progression in type 2 diabetes. *Front endocrinology*. (2022) 13:929598. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.929598

55. Zhou T, Shen L, Li Z, Jia J, Xing H, Wang N, et al. Severe 25-hydroxyvitamin D deficiency may predict poor renal outcomes in patients with biopsy-proven diabetic nephropathy. *Front endocrinology*. (2022) 13:871571. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.871571

56. Cesareo R, Attanasio R, Caputo M, Castello R, Chiodini I, Falchetti A, et al. Italian association of clinical endocrinologists (AME) and italian chapter of the

american association of clinical endocrinologists (AACE) position statement: clinical management of vitamin D deficiency in adults. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10(5):546. doi: 10.3390/nu10050546

57. Ortega A, Romero M, Izquierdo A, Troyano N, Arce Y, Ardura JA, et al. Parathyroid hormone-related protein is a hypertrophy factor for human mesangial cells: Implications for diabetic nephropathy. *J Cell Physiol.* (2012) 227:1980–7. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22926

58. Li M, Cheng J, Zhao J, Xue W, Bao H, Song Y, et al. Relationship between intact parathyroid hormone and all-cause death, cardiovascular events, and ectopic calcification in patients with diabetic kidney disease: A retrospective study. *Diabetes Res Clin practice*. (2021) 177:108926. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.108926

59. Prié D, Friedlander G. Reciprocal control of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and FGF23 formation involving the FGF23/Klotho system. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrology: CJASN.* (2010) 5:1717–22. doi: 10.2215/cjn.02680310

60. Nguyen D, Ping F, Mu W, Hill P, Atkins RC, Chadban SJ. Macrophage accumulation in human progressive diabetic nephropathy. *Nephrol (Carlton Vic)*. (2006) 11:226–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2006.00576.x

61. Han X, Li L, Yang J, King G, Xiao Z, Quarles LD. Counter-regulatory paracrine actions of FGF-23 and 1,25(OH)2 D in macrophages. *FEBS letters*. (2016) 590:53–67. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12040

62. Zou XR, Zhan LR, Chen L, Long QH, Yuan J, Wang L, et al. Influence of the Klotho/FGF23/Egr1 signaling pathway on calcium-phosphorus metabolism in diabetic nephropathy and the intervention of Shenyuan granules. *J Biol regulators homeostatic agents*. (2019) 33:1695–702. doi: 10.23812/19-207-a

63. Sirufo MM, Ginaldi L, De Martinis M. Bone health in men: still suffer the gender gap. Osteoporosis international: J established as result cooperation between Eur Foundation Osteoporosis Natl Osteoporosis Foundation USA. (2021) 32:791. doi: 10.1007/s00198-021-05843-4