



## Surface-Induced Formation and Redox-Dependent Staining of Outer Membrane Extensions in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1

#### Grace W. Chong<sup>1</sup>, Sahand Pirbadian<sup>2</sup> and Mohamed Y. El-Naggar<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Molecular and Computational Biology Section, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, <sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

The metal-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 produces extensions of its outer membrane (OM) and periplasm that contain cytochromes responsible for extracellular electron transfer (EET) to external redox-active surfaces, including minerals and electrodes. While the role of multi-heme cytochromes in transporting electrons across the cell wall is well established, their distribution along S. oneidensis OM extensions is also thought to allow lateral electron transport along these filaments. These proposed bacterial nanowires, which can be several times the cell length, would thereby extend EET to more distant electron acceptors. However, it is still unclear why these extensions form, and to what extent they contribute to respiration in living cells. Here, we investigate physical contributors to their formation using in vivo fluorescence microscopy. While previous studies focused on the display of S. oneidensis outer membrane extensions (OMEs) as a response to oxygen limitation, we find that cell-to-surface contact is sufficient to trigger the production of OMEs, including some that reach  $>100 \,\mu m$  in length, irrespective of medium composition, agitation, or aeration. To visualize the extent of heme redox centers along OMEs, and help distinguish these structures from other extracellular filaments, we also performed histochemical redox-dependent staining with transmission electron microscopy on wild type and cytochrome-deficient strains. We demonstrate that redox-active components are limited to OMEs and not present on other extracellular appendages, such as pili and flagella. We also observed that the loss of 8 functional periplasmic and outer membrane cytochromes significantly decreased both the frequency and intensity of redox-dependent staining found widespread on OMEs. These results will improve our understanding of the environmental conditions that influence the formation of S. oneidensis OMEs, as well as the distribution and functionality of EET components along extracellular appendages.

Keywords: Shewanella, redox, extracellular electron transfer, bacterial nanowires, cytochromes

## INTRODUCTION

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 is a Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic heterotrophic bacterium with versatile respiratory capabilities: in its quest for energy, it can utilize an array of soluble and insoluble electron acceptors, from oxygen to extracellular solid surfaces such as minerals and electrodes. This ability to couple intracellular reactions to the respiration of

#### a section of the journal Frontiers in Energy Research

Received: 25 June 2019 Accepted: 13 August 2019 Published: 27 August 2019

**OPEN ACCESS** 

Braunschweig, Germany

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

University of Tübingen, Germany

Edited by:

Uwe Schröder, Technische Universitat

Reviewed by:

(KIT), Germany

Largus Angenent,

\*Correspondence: Mohamed Y. El-Naggar

mnaggar@usc.edu

Specialty section: This article was submitted to

Bioenergy and Biofuels,

Johannes Gescher,

#### Citation:

Chong GW, Pirbadian S and El-Naggar MY (2019) Surface-Induced Formation and Redox-Dependent Staining of Outer Membrane Extensions in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. Front. Energy Res. 7:87. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2019.00087

1

external surfaces, known as extracellular electron transfer (EET), allows microbial catalytic activity to be harnessed on the electrodes of bioelectrochemical technologies ranging from microbial fuel cells to microbial electrosynthesis (Nealson, 2017; Schröder and Harnisch, 2017). As an extensively studied model organism for EET, studies of S. oneidensis revealed the critical role of periplasmic and outer membrane multi-heme cytochromes in forming extracellular electron conduits that bridge the cell envelope (Beliaev et al., 2001; Myers and Myers, 2001; Richardson et al., 2012; Beblawy et al., 2018; Chong et al., 2018; Edwards et al., 2018). Specifically, the periplasmic decaheme cytochrome MtrA connects through the MtrB porin to the outer membrane decaheme cytochrome MtrC that, along with another decaheme cytochrome OmcA, function as the terminal reductases of external electron acceptors or soluble electron shuttles (Richardson et al., 2012). In addition to this well-established role in directing electron transfer across the cell envelope, the Mtr/Omc components have been recently shown to facilitate long-distance electron transport across the membranes of multiple cells via a redox conduction mechanism thought to arise from a combination of multistep hopping along cytochrome heme chains and cytochrome-cytochrome interactions (Xu et al., 2018).

S. oneidensis also forms extensions of the outer membrane and periplasm that include the Mtr/Omc multi-heme cytochromes responsible for EET (El-Naggar et al., 2010; Pirbadian et al., 2014; Subramanian et al., 2018). These outer membrane extensions (OMEs) are proposed to function as bacterial nanowires that also facilitate long-distance EET through redox conduction. However, in contrast to electrode-spanning cells measured by electrochemical gating (Xu et al., 2018), the cytochromedependent conductivity of these proposed bacterial nanowires has only been directly assessed under dry, chemically fixed conditions (El-Naggar et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2013). A full understanding of the role of S. oneidensis OMEs will therefore require challenging in vivo measurements of their specific impact on extracellular respiration and observations of the membrane protein dynamics that allow inter-cytochrome electron exchange and redox conduction (Zacharoff and El-Naggar, 2017).

Beyond the detailed mechanism of electron transport along these structures, additional questions remain regarding the physical and environmental conditions that trigger their formation. The S. oneidensis OMEs can extend to several times the cell length, and have been observed with a range of morphologies from chains of interconnected outer membrane vesicles to membrane tubes (Pirbadian et al., 2014). Since early reports suggested that they form in response to electron acceptor limitation, particularly oxygen limitation (Gorby et al., 2006), subsequent studies involving these OMEs have been performed in oxygen limiting conditions (El-Naggar et al., 2010; Pirbadian et al., 2014; Barchinger et al., 2016; Subramanian et al., 2018). However, while the increased expression and production of multi-heme cytochromes under oxygen limiting and anaerobic conditions is well established (Myers and Myers, 1992; Pirbadian et al., 2014; Barchinger et al., 2016), it is not clear if oxygen limitation is the sole contributor to the membrane extension phenotype in S. oneidensis. In fact, a recent gene expression study hinted at independent regulatory mechanisms for extending the membrane and localizing the EET proteins (Barchinger et al., 2016). Furthermore, membrane extensions have been reported in multiple organisms under a variety of growth conditions (Wanner et al., 2008; Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011; Galkina et al., 2011; Shetty et al., 2011; McCaig et al., 2013; Benomar et al., 2015; Pande et al., 2015), including those in the form of vesicle chains (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2013; Remis et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014; Dubey et al., 2016; Subramanian et al., 2018), as is the case for *S. oneidensis*.

It was previously shown that S. oneidensis membrane vesicles, which form the basis of OMEs, are redox-active, and that this activity likely stems from the cytochromes present on the purified vesicles (Gorby et al., 2008). The native-state characterization of cytochromes on the OMEs themselves is so far limited to microscopic observations ranging from immunofluorescence (Pirbadian et al., 2014) to electron cryotomography (Subramanian et al., 2018), rather than mapping the activity of the redox centers. The possible association of redox-active components with other extracellular filaments in Shewanella, beyond OMEs, also remains largely unexplored. Recent studies in both bacteria and archaea, however, have demonstrated that a combination of histochemical heme-reactive staining and electron microscopy can be used to visualize redox-dependent activity of cytochromes that enable functions ranging from mineral oxidation to interspecies electron transfer within methanotrophic consortia (McGlynn et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2018).

This study set out to address some of these outstanding questions regarding S. oneidensis OMEs. To determine the conditions underlying OME formation, we designed in vivo fluorescence microscopy experiments allowing us to examine the specific role of oxygen limitation and other physical conditions which might influence OME production in S. oneidensis MR-1. We find that cell-to-surface contact is sufficient to trigger the formation of S. oneidensis OMEs under a wide range of conditions. To assess the extent of cytochrome-dependent redox activity in these structures, we implemented heme-dependent staining with transmission electron microscopy to compare OMEs in wild type and cytochrome-deficient strains. In doing so, we also probed 3 types of extracellular filaments (OMEs, flagella, and pili) for these EET components. We find that periplasmic and outer membrane cytochromes are responsible for most of the redox activity detected using this assay, and that these components are limited to OMEs and do not associate with flagella or pili.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Cell Cultivation**

For experiments probing the conditions of OME formation with fluorescence microscopy, *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cells were grown aerobically from frozen ( $-80^{\circ}$ C) stock in 50 mL LB broth overnight at 30°C and 150 rpm up to late logarithmic phase (OD<sub>600</sub> 2.4–2.8). From this overnight culture, 5 mL of cells were collected by centrifugation at 4,226 × g for 5 min and washed twice in sterile defined medium (Pirbadian et al., 2014). Cells

were then introduced into a perfusion flow imaging platform described previously (Pirbadian et al., 2014) or the coverslipbottom glass reactor described below after appropriate dilution to achieve a desirable cell density on the surface for fluorescence time-lapse imaging.

Heme staining and transmission electron microscopy were performed on anaerobic cultures of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 and JG1486 ( $\Delta$ Mtr/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrE*) (Coursolle and Gralnick, 2012). For both strains, 5 mL of an aerobic overnight LB pre-culture was pelleted by centrifugation, washed in defined medium (Pirbadian et al., 2014), and used to inoculate 100 mL of anoxic defined medium in sealed serum bottles with 30 mM fumarate as the sole electron acceptor. After 24 h at 30°C and 150 rpm, at OD<sub>600</sub> 0.28, this anaerobic culture was harvested by centrifugation at 7,142 × *g* for 10 min, washed by centrifugation (4,226 × *g* for 5 min), and resuspended in defined medium for a total volume of 10 mL. Cells were then injected into the perfusion flow imaging platform containing an electron microscopy grid, as described previously (Subramanian et al., 2018).

#### **Fluorescence Microscopy**

In all experiments, the membrane stains FM 4-64FX (Life Technologies;  $0.25 \,\mu$ g/mL), FM 1-43FX (Life Technologies;  $0.25 \,\mu$ g/mL), or TMA-DPH (Cayman Chemical Company;  $10 \,\mu$ M) were used to visualize cells and OMEs on an inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-E) using the TRITC, FITC, or DAPI channels (Nikon filter sets G-2E/C, B-2E/C, and UV-2E/C) with 500, 500, and 100 ms exposure times, respectively. FM 4-64FX was generally used as the membrane stain, except in experiments with no flow or agitation, as this concentration of dye faded more quickly over time in unmixed solutions.

Two experimental platforms were used for fluorescence imaging experiments: a perfusion flow setup used previously (Pirbadian et al., 2014; Subramanian et al., 2018) or a coverslipbottom glass reactor constructed to allow gas injection and measurement of dissolved oxygen levels while visualizing cells. The reactor consisted of a clean glass tube (thickness 1.5 mm, interior diameter 24.7 mm, and length 50 mm) glued on to a clean  $43 \times 50$  mm no. 1 thickness glass coverslip (Thermo Scientific) using waterproof silicone glue (General Electric). The autoclaved reactor was placed on the inverted microscope, and a peristaltic pump (Cole-Parmer Masterflex L/S Easy-Load II) was used to control injection of filtered air at a rate of 3.6 mL/min into the reactor. The air inlet (22G 3" sterile needle) was placed 1-2 mm from the coverslip bottom of the reactor so as to ensure oxygen availability and good mixing near the focal plane. Time-lapse imaging was started immediately following introduction of 10 mL of the cell-media mixture into the reactor and continued for 2 h with images acquired in 5 min increments. Oxygen levels in the reactor were measured by a dissolved oxygen probe (Milwaukee Instruments MW600) at various levels (e.g., 1 mm from bottom, middle, and 1 mm from top) over time after cells were added. To check whether the planktonic cells also displayed OMEs, imaging was stopped after the surface-attached cells produced OMEs, and 400  $\mu$ L of the planktonic mixture (obtained within 1–2 mm from the top solution-air interface) was gently pipetted to a new clean coverslip, and immediately imaged for another 2 h.

## Heme-Reactive Staining and Transmission Electron Microscopy

All heme staining experiments were performed on cells attached to electron microscopy grids recovered from the perfusion flow imaging platform after confirmation of OME production using fluorescence microscopy, as described previously (Subramanian et al., 2018). To accomplish this, an X-thick holey carbon-coated, R2/2, 200 mesh Au NH2 London finder Quantifoil EM grid was glued to the glass coverslip, with the carbon film-coated side facing away from the glass, before sealing the perfusion chamber. The chamber was filled with flow medium, then 400-600 µL of washed cells were injected for a surface density of 50-150 cells visible per 74  $\times$  74  $\mu$ m square in the 200 mesh grid. Cells were allowed to settle for 5-15 min on the grid before resuming perfusion flow at a volumetric flow rate of  $6.1 \pm 0.5$  $\mu$ L/s. Imaging continued for about 3.5 h in 5 min increments before medium flow was stopped and the chamber opened under sterile medium. The EM grid was then removed, chemically fixed, and prepared for electron microscopy visualization of heme iron, using a staining protocol adapted from McGlynn et al. (2015). First, the sample was fixed for 30 min in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (dissolved in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 17.5 g/L NaCl), washed 5 times by soaking 1 min each in buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 35 g/L NaCl), then incubated for 1 h or 2.5 h with the heme-reactive stain 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB; 0.0015 g/mL, dissolved in 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 8) with or without 0.02% hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). After 5 washes (100 mM HEPES, pH 7.8), the sample was stained for 1 h in 1% osmium tetroxide, and washed again 5 times. The sample was negative stained in 1% uranyl acetate or 1% phosphotungstic acid for 2 min and air dried overnight. Dried samples were stored in a desiccator before transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging. TEM images were acquired on a JEOL JEM-2100F instrument operated at 200 kV, a FEI Morgagni 268 instrument operated at 80 kV, or a FEI Talos F200C instrument operated at 200 kV.

To determine and quantify the extent of cytochrome-reactive staining after treatment with DAB, ImageJ was used to measure the mean pixel intensity (arbitrary gray value units reflecting electron transmission) across an area in the interior of an extension (A), or an area in the background (B). For each image, a background threshold value (C) was generated by taking the mean background intensity (B) and subtracting its standard deviation (D); thus, C = B-D. If the mean intensity of an extension (A) was lower than this threshold (C), then it was categorized as stained. For each condition (wild type, mutant, and chemical control), the percentage of stained OMEs (E) was calculated. To calculate the staining intensity of a single OME (F), the mean pixel intensity of the extension (A) was subtracted from that of the background (B), giving F = B-A. A value of F was calculated for each of the OMEs assessed in each replicate experiment for each condition (wild type, mutant, and chemical control). For each condition, the mean of all F values was calculated, giving G<sub>WT</sub>, G<sub>mutant</sub>, and G<sub>control</sub>. Then, G<sub>WT</sub> and G<sub>mutant</sub> were corrected by subtracting  $G_{control}$ , where  $G_{WT}-G_{control} =$  $H_{WT}$ , and  $G_{mutant}-G_{control} = H_{mutant}$ . These values  $H_{WT}$  and  $H_{mutant}$  represent mean staining intensities of all the OMEs in each strain, corrected for the contribution of negative staining  $(G_{control})$ . To calculate the fold difference in staining frequency between wild type and the mutant, the percentage of OMEs stained in the wild type  $(E_{WT})$  was divided by that of the mutant  $(E_{mutant})$ . To calculate the fold difference in staining intensity between wild type and the mutant, the mean staining intensity of the wild type  $(H_{WT})$  was divided by that of the mutant  $(H_{mutant})$ .

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Surface Contact Is Sufficient to Induce Production of Outer Membrane Extensions by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1

Production of OMEs by a majority of S. oneidensis cells was observed in the oxygen limiting perfusion flow platform, as previously described (Pirbadian et al., 2014; Subramanian et al., 2018) (Figure 1), but also in near-saturating oxygen conditions (6.5-7.5 ppm O<sub>2</sub>) provided by a glass-bottomed reactor that allowed air injection during in vivo microscopy (Figure 2). Though it can take up to several hours for a majority of surfaceattached cells to produce OMEs, we can observe production of OMEs as early as 10 min after cells contact the surface of a glass coverslip (Figure 2, Figure S1). To further examine the role of surface contact, planktonic cells from the bulk oxygenated reactor were sampled 2 h after the reactor was inoculated ( $\sim$ 1.5 h after OMEs started being produced by surface-attached cells) and transferred to clean coverslips for observation. These previously planktonic cells showed no evidence of OMEs at the time of sampling, but then also went on to begin to display OMEs within 35 min after contacting the surface (Figure 2). These observations were not limited to the defined minimal medium used, a particular surface chemistry, or mixing conditions; postattachment OME production was also observed in rich (LB) medium or in buffer (PBS), on different surfaces (glass coverslips and carbon-coated electron microscopy grids), and regardless of liquid flow or agitation (Figures S1, S2). To ensure that the used cell density did not result in O2-limiting conditions selectively at the surface, we also experimented with sparse coverage, down to 5–20 cells per field of view (112  $\times$  112  $\mu$ m) in a well-mixed and oxygenated reactor, and confirmed that these cells also produced OMEs (Figure S2C).

Taken collectively, these observations of OME production by surface-attached cells, but not by planktonic cells until subsequent attachment, and regardless of medium composition, surface type, and oxygen availability, point to surface contact as the primary determinant of OME production by *S. oneidensis*. Previous studies on the role of cytochrome-functionalized OMEs as bacterial nanowires primarily focused on the formation of these structures under O<sub>2</sub>-limited conditions (Gorby et al., 2006; El-Naggar et al., 2010; Pirbadian et al., 2014; Subramanian et al., 2018). Previous works indicate that O<sub>2</sub> limitation is necessary for enhanced production of the multi-heme cytochromes required for EET (Myers and Myers, 1992; Rosenbaum et al., 2012; Barchinger et al., 2016), and that oxygen availability also



**FIGURE 1** | Outer membrane extensions are commonly formed by surface-attached perfusion culture cells. (A) Time-lapse fluorescence microscopy snapshot of outer membrane extensions (OMEs, white arrows) produced by *S. oneidensis* MR-1 at a single timepoint in a 3.5-h perfusion flow imaging experiment. Cells and OMEs are visualized with the red membrane stain FM 4-64FX. (B) Statistics of OME production from over 5,400 cells in 4 replicate 3.5-h perfusion culture experiments illustrates that a majority (78%) of cells produce OMEs visible over time. The remaining cells were seen with only outer membrane vesicles (OMVs), or nothing at all. Error bars show mean  $\pm$ SEM (Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m).

impacts *S. oneidensis* performance and current production in bioelectrochemical systems (Rosenbaum et al., 2010; TerAvest et al., 2014). However, our observations suggest that the membrane extension phenotype is predominantly controlled by surface attachment. Our findings are consistent with a previous proposal based on transcriptome and mutant analyses (Barchinger et al., 2016) that independent pathways are responsible for producing the EET components and extending the outer membrane, while implicating surface contact in controlling the latter pathway.

While our observations show that surface attachment is sufficient to induce OMEs, it is important to note that we do not rule out the influence of O<sub>2</sub> limitation on the frequency of OME production. In perfusion flow imaging, we are able to precisely define the percentage of OME-producing cells: observation of 5,400 cells over four replicate experiments revealed that 78% of surface-attached cells produced OMEs during 3.5 h of perfusion culture (Figure 1). This precise quantification is possible in perfusion flow imaging because the laminar flow helps to restrict the structures to the focal plane near the surface. However, this laminar flow establishes  $O_2$  limitation as a result of cellular  $O_2$ consumption and the no-slip condition at the surface-solution interface (Pirbadian et al., 2014). Thus, we could precisely determine the frequency of OME production only in O2limiting perfusion conditions, but not in oxygenated well-mixed reactors where the structures could fluctuate in and out of the focal plane.

It was previously shown that phage-induced cell lysis (particularly the release of extracellular DNA) promotes biofilm development in *S. oneidensis* (Gödeke et al., 2011), and that explosive cell lysis in actively growing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms is accompanied by the release of extracellular DNA and the production of vesicles containing cytoplasmic content (Turnbull et al., 2016). However, lysis does not appear to play a role in the large fraction ( $\sim$ 78%) of *S. oneidensis* cells



seen producing OMEs under our experimental conditions. Our *in vivo* fluorescence observations reported here (**Figures 1–3**, **Movie S1**), as well as correlative fluorescence and cryo-electron microscopy observations (Subramanian et al., 2018), capture the extension process from originating intact cells with no evidence for loss of cell integrity and eliminate the possibility that the OMEs are lysis products that subsequently attach to nearby cells. Moreover, we previously reported GFP-labeling observations where only periplasmic GFP, but not cytoplasmic GFP, localized to the extensions, demonstrating an intact cytoplasmic membrane during the OM extension process (Pirbadian et al., 2014). However, while we demonstrate that OMEs are not simply a consequence of cell lysis, we do not rule out that OM extension may be partially associated with an instability or change in membrane integrity.

Membrane extensions, including those formed as chains of membrane vesicles (MVs), are not limited to *S. oneidensis* (Wanner et al., 2008; Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011; Galkina et al., 2011; Shetty et al., 2011; McCaig et al., 2013; Pérez-Cruz et al., 2013; Remis et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014; Benomar et al., 2015; Pande et al., 2015; Dubey et al., 2016; Subramanian et al., 2018). The finding that surface contact plays an important role is consistent with prior observations of vesicle chains and OMEs produced by surface-attached cells of other bacteria, including *Shewanella vesiculosa* (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2013), *Bacillus subtilis* (Dubey et al., 2016), and biofilms of *Myxococcus xanthus* (Remis et al., 2014). In addition, another *M. xanthus* study noted that static, rather than shaken, conditions promote more OME production (Wei et al., 2014). However, while MVs are ubiquitous features of bacteria, the mechanisms behind MV and MV chain formation are still widely unknown (Gill et al., 2019; Toyofuku et al., 2019), but they do not appear to simply result from loss of membrane integrity (McBroom et al., 2006). The importance of surface-attached, biofilm, or static conditions may point to a generalized mechanism where MVs are successively produced and merged into long extensions rather than shed away under more dynamic (e.g., free-swimming or shaken culture) conditions. Once formed, these extensions may then enable a variety of functions ranging from facilitating cell-cell interactions (Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011; Remis et al., 2014; Dubey et al., 2016) to the long-distance EET role proposed for *S. oneidensis* OMEs (Gorby et al., 2006; El-Naggar et al., 2010).

It was also previously proposed that MVs and OMEs can increase the likelihood of encountering neighboring cells and external redox-active surfaces by virtue of the significant change in surface area-to-volume ratio that these structures present (Pirbadian et al., 2014). Consistent with this proposal, we occasionally captured multiple extensions from single cells (**Figure S3**) as well as *in vivo* fluorescent observations of remarkably long OMEs, likely the longest observed to date. **Figure 3** and **Movie S1** captures a cell producing a >100  $\mu$ m OME at a rate over 40  $\mu$ m/h, at the same time that the cell surface area appeared to shrink by an amount consistent with the newly displayed OME.

# Redox-Dependent Staining of Extracellular Filaments

The localization of the multi-heme cytochromes responsible for EET to OMEs has been previously demonstrated by immunofluorescence observations of MtrC and OmcA



produced at a rate >40 µm/h. Image sequence from time-lapse fluorescence microscopy of surface-attached perfusion cultured *S. oneidensis* MR-1  $\Delta$ Mtr/ $\Delta$ mtrB/ $\Delta$ mtrE cells. Cells and outer membrane extensions (OMEs) were visualized with the red membrane stain FM 4-64FX. Time (t = 0) marks time since OME production (white arrow). Images depict progression of events, such as (A) cell contacting surface, (B) OME first visible (white arrow), (C) OME elongates and begins to fold, (D) further OME elongation, (E) OME reaches longest point visible during this experiment (Scale bars: 10 µm).

(Pirbadian et al., 2014), as well as electron cryotomography observations of outer membrane and periplasmic electron densities consistent with cytochrome dimensions (Subramanian et al., 2018). To examine the distribution and activity of the heme iron redox centers along the OMEs, we applied the heme-reactive 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB)-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> staining procedure (McGlynn et al., 2015). Here, the iron centers in heme

will catalyze the oxidation of DAB coupled to the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The oxidized DAB forms a localized dark precipitate that can be observed with the resolution of transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In our TEM experiments, we observed only 3 types of extracellular filaments: OMEs, pili, and flagella (Figures 4, 5, Figure S3). As expected, the OMEs clearly stained for heme; with prolonged exposure to DAB (2.5 h DAB staining step) a noticeable <50 nm band of dark precipitate lined the vesicles that compose the entire structure (Figure 4). Staining was clearly limited to the OMEs and was absent from the other extracellular filaments observed, demonstrating that the cytochromes do not associate with pili and flagella (Figure 4). The absence of staining in these structures, even when observed in contact with the OMEs (Figure 4), also demonstrates that DAB precipitate does not diffuse to non-specifically stain nearby structures. Meanwhile, the <50 nm thickness of precipitate lining OMEs (i.e., precipitate expansion in the direction perpendicular to the surface of the OME) suggests <50 nm lateral distribution of heme redox centers on OMEs, consistent with the surface distribution of putative cytochromes on OMEs visualized by electron cryotomography (Subramanian et al., 2018). However, in general this technique cannot be used to localize specific cytochromes or to define their exact distribution on the membrane. For this reason, we also used image analysis (Figure 5) to compare overall staining displayed by many OMEs rather than focusing on discrete sites on a single structure.

In addition to chemical controls for staining (e.g., wild type experiments in which H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was omitted), we systematically compared redox-dependent staining in OMEs from wild type S. oneidensis and a mutant lacking genes encoding eight functional periplasmic and outer membrane cytochromes  $(\Delta Mtr/\Delta mtrB/\Delta mtrE)$ , including the entire Mtr/Omc pathway of decaheme cytochromes (Coursolle and Gralnick, 2012). This mutant is unable to perform EET (Coursolle and Gralnick, 2012; Xu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019) or support longdistance redox conduction across electrodes (Xu et al., 2018). We performed two replicate experiments for each of three conditions: wild type (Figure 5A), mutant (Figures 5B,C), and wild type chemical control with no  $H_2O_2$  (Figure 5D), with a total of 45-60 OMEs analyzed per condition. Using image processing to compare OME staining to background intensities (see Materials and Methods), we found that the majority (92%) of wild type OMEs stained for heme, but none stained in the chemical control where  $H_2O_2$  was omitted (Figure 5E). In contrast, a fraction (39%) of OMEs in the mutant strain exhibited heme staining, 2.4-fold less than in wild type (p <0.0001, Pearson's chi-squared test; Figure 5E). While lacking all cytochromes necessary for EET, staining in the mutant OMEs is likely due to the additional periplasmic cytochromes, including the flavocytochrome FccA present that functioned as the terminal fumarate reductase to support respiration of fumarate in our anaerobic cultures. Consistent with this interpretation, staining intensity was 3.6-fold stronger in the wild type than in the mutant (p < 0.0001, Student's *t*-test, two-sample assuming equal variances; Figure 5F). Relative to the mutant control, the observed wild type increase in both staining frequency and



FIGURE 4 | Redox components are present only on outer membrane extensions, not pili or flagella. Histochemical redox-dependent staining with 3,3<sup>'</sup>-diaminobenzidine (2.5 h staining step) and transmission electron microscopy distinguishes between types of extracellular filaments in *S. oneidensis* MR-1. Images depict dark precipitate (yellow arrows and lines) labeling only outer membrane extensions, but not adjacent extracellular structures (A) pili (white arrow), and (B) flagella (black arrow). Cells are indicated by asterisk symbols (\*) (Scale bars: 200 nm).



**FIGURE 5** | Presence of multi-heme cytochromes important for extracellular electron transfer leads to significantly higher frequency and intensity of redox-dependent staining on outer membrane extensions. (**A–D**) Transmission electron microscopy images depict outer membrane extensions (OMEs, white arrows) stained by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB; 1 h staining step) in wild type and cytochrome-deficient ( $\Delta$ Mtr/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrE*) *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cells. Cells are indicated by asterisk symbols (\*). (**A**) Wild type OMEs are stained by DAB precipitate. (**B,C**) Mutant OMEs treated by DAB exhibit varying degrees of staining. (**D**) Wild type OMEs in chemical controls where H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was omitted appear unstained aside from negative stain. (**E**) Frequency of staining displayed by OMEs in wild type,  $\Delta$ Mtr/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB* mutant, and wild type chemical control where H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was omitted. 2.4-fold more OMEs were stained in wild type than in the mutant (p < 0.0001). Statistical significance was determined by *p*-value from Pearson's chi-squared test. (**F**) Intensity of staining displayed by OMEs is 3.6-fold higher in wild type than in  $\Delta$ Mtr/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrB*/ $\Delta$ *mtrC* mutant (p < 0.0001). Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed *p*-value from Student's *t*-test, two-sample assuming equal variances. Error bars show mean ± SEM (Scale bars: 200 nm).

intensity indicates that the periplasmic and outer membrane cytochromes necessary for EET contribute much of the redox capacity of the OMEs.

Given its ability to discriminate between cytochromecontaining and cytochrome-free extracellular filaments, and to examine the effect of specific mutations, this heme visualization strategy may hold promise for understanding the presence of redox centers in a variety of microbial systems. However, a detailed understanding of the extent to which these redox centers enable long-distance electron transport along OMEs requires: (i) applying electrochemical techniques, recently used to measure redox conduction in biofilms (Yates et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018), specifically to OMEs or their MV constituents; and (ii) measurements of the diffusive dynamics of redox molecules along membranes, to test the hypothesis that these dynamics facilitate a collision-exchange mechanism of inter-protein electron transport over micrometer length scales (Subramanian et al., 2018). We are actively pursuing these electrochemical and dynamics measurements.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we investigated physical contributors to the production of OMEs by Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 and applied heme-reactive staining to examine the extent of the redox centers along the extensions. While previous studies focused on the role of oxygen limitation in triggering the formation of these structures, we demonstrated that surface contact is sufficient to trigger production of OMEs under a variety of medium, agitation, and aeration conditions. In addition, we show that the multi-heme cytochromes necessary for EET contribute much of the redox-dependent staining widespread on OMEs, and that these EET components do not associate with other extracellular filaments. In addition to describing some reproducible microscopic and histochemical techniques to observe redox-functionalized membrane extensions, these observations motivate additional studies to understand the extent to which Shewanella

#### REFERENCES

- Barchinger, S. E., Pirbadian, S., Sambles, C., Baker, C. S., Leung, K. M., Burroughs, N. J., et al. (2016). Regulation of gene expression during electron acceptor limitation and bacterial nanowire formation in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 5428–5443. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01615-16
- Beblawy, S., Bursac, T., Paquete, C., Louro, R., Clarke, T. A., and Gescher, J. (2018). Extracellular reduction of solid electron acceptors by *Shewanella oneidensis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 109, 571–583. doi: 10.1111/mmi.14067
- Beliaev, A. S., Saffarini, D. A., McLaughlin, J. L., and Hunnicutt, D. (2001). MtrC, an outer membrane decahaem *c* cytochrome required for metal reduction in *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR-1. *Mol. Microbiol.* 39, 722–730. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02257.x
- Benomar, S., Ranava, D., Cárdenas, M. L., Trably, E., Rafrafi, Y., Ducret, A., et al. (2015). Nutritional stress induces exchange of cell material and energetic coupling between bacterial species. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6283. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7283
- Chong, G. W., Karbelkar, A. A., and El-Naggar, M. Y. (2018). Nature's conductors: what can microbial multi-heme cytochromes teach us about

*oneidensis* OMEs can contribute to EET and long-distance redox conduction.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GC designed, performed, and analyzed experiments with guidance from SP and ME-N. GC and ME-N wrote and edited the manuscript, with revisions from SP

#### FUNDING

GC is a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow supported by NSF grant DGE1418060. This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (FA955014-1-0294, to ME-N).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Professor Jeffrey A. Gralnick for providing the cytochrome mutant strain. Transmission electron microscopy at 200 kV was performed at the University of Southern California's Core Center of Excellence in Nano Imaging. We also thank Christopher Buser for imaging our electron microscopy samples at 80 kV at the Huntington Medical Research Institutes.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg. 2019.00087/full#supplementary-material

electron transport and biological energy conversion? *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 47, 7–17. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2018.06.007

- Coursolle, D., and Gralnick, J. A. (2012). Reconstruction of extracellular respiratory pathways for iron(III) reduction in *Shewanella oneidensis* strain MR-1. *Front. Microbiol.* 3, 1–11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00056
- Deng, X., Dohmae, N., Nealson, K. H., Hashimoto, K., and Okamoto, A. (2018). Multi-heme cytochromes provide a pathway for survival in energy-limited environments. *Sci. Adv.* 4, 1–9. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aao5682
- Dubey, G. P., and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2011). Intercellular nanotubes mediate bacterial communication. Cell 144, 590–600. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.015
- Dubey, G. P., Malli Mohan, G. B., Dubrovsky, A., Amen, T., Tsipshtein, S., Rouvinski, A., et al. (2016). Architecture and characteristics of bacterial nanotubes. *Dev. Cell* 36, 453–461. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2016.01.013
- Edwards, M. J., White, G. F., Lockwood, C. W., Lawes, M. C., Martel, A., Harris, G., et al. (2018). Structural modeling of an outer membrane electron conduit from a metal-reducing bacterium suggests electron transfer via periplasmic redox partners. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 8103–8112. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.001850
- El-Naggar, M. Y., Wanger, G., Leung, K. M., Yuzvinsky, T. D., Southam, G., Yang, J., et al. (2010). Electrical transport along bacterial nanowires from

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 18127–18131. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004880107

- Galkina, S. I., Romanova, J. M., Bragina, E. E., Tiganova, I. G., Stadnichuk, V. I., Alekseeva, N. V., et al. (2011). Membrane tubules attach Salmonella Typhimurium to eukaryotic cells and bacteria. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 61, 114–124. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2010.00754.x
- Gill, S., Catchpole, R., and Forterre, P. (2019). Extracellular membrane vesicles in the three domains of life and beyond. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 43, 273–303. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuy042
- Gödeke, J., Paul, K., Lassak, J., and Thormann, K. M. (2011). Phage-induced lysis enhances biofilm formation in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *ISME J.* 5, 613–626. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.153
- Gorby, Y. A., McLean, J., Korenevsky, A., Rosso, K. M., El-Naggar, M. Y., and Beveridge, T. J. (2008). Redox-reactive membrane vesicles produced by *Shewanella. Geobiology* 6, 232–241. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4669.2008.00158.x
- Gorby, Y. A., Yanina, S., McLean, J. S., Rosso, K. M., Moyles, D., Dohnalkova, A. C., et al. (2006). Electrically conductive bacterial nanowires produced by *Shewanella oneidensis* strain MR-1 and other microorganisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 11358–11363. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0604517103
- Leung, K. M., Wanger, G., El-Naggar, M. Y., Gorby, Y., Southam, G., Ming Lau, W., et al. (2013). Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 bacterial nanowires exhibit p-Type, tunable electronic behavior. Nano Lett. 13, 2407–2411. doi: 10.1021/nl400237p
- McBroom, A. J., Johnson, A. P., Vemulapalli, S., and Kuehn, M. J. (2006). Outer membrane vesicle production by *Escherichia coli* is independent of membrane instability. J. Bacteriol. 188, 5385–5392. doi: 10.1128/JB.00498-06
- McCaig, W. D., Koller, A., and Thanassi, D. G. (2013). Production of outer membrane vesicles and outer membrane tubes by *Francisella novicida*. J. Bacteriol. 195, 1120–1132. doi: 10.1128/JB.02007-12
- McGlynn, S. E., Chadwick, G. L., Kempes, C. P., and Orphan, V. J. (2015). Single cell activity reveals direct electron transfer in methanotrophic consortia. *Nature* 526, 531–535. doi: 10.1038/nature15512
- Myers, C. R., and Myers, J. M. (1992). Localization of cytochromes to the outer membrane of anaerobically grown *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR-1. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 3429–3438. doi: 10.1128/jb.174.11.3429-3438.1992
- Myers, J. M., and Myers, C. R. (2001). Role for outer membrane cytochromes OmcA and OmcB of *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR-1 in reduction of manganese dioxide. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 260–269. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.1.260-269.2001
- Nealson, K. H. (2017). Bioelectricity (electromicrobiology) and sustainability. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 10, 1114–1119. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12834
- Pande, S., Shitut, S., Freund, L., Westermann, M., Bertels, F., Colesie, C., et al. (2015). Metabolic cross-feeding via intercellular nanotubes among bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7238
- Pérez-Cruz, C., Carrión, O., Delgado, L., Martinez, G., López-Iglesias, C., and Mercade, E. (2013). New type of outer membrane vesicle produced by the gramnegative bacterium *Shewanella vesiculosa* M7T: implications for DNA content. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 1874–1881. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03657-12
- Pirbadian, S., Barchinger, S. E., Leung, K. M., Byun, H. S., Jangir, Y., Bouhenni, R. A., et al. (2014). Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 nanowires are outer membrane and periplasmic extensions of the extracellular electron transport components. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 12883–12888. doi: 10.1073/pnas.14105 51111
- Remis, J. P., Wei, D., Gorur, A., Zemla, M., Haraga, J., Allen, S., et al. (2014). Bacterial social networks: structure and composition of *Myxococcus xanthus* outer membrane vesicle chains. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 598–610. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12187
- Richardson, D. J., Butt, J. N., Fredrickson, J. K., Zachara, J. M., Shi, L., Edwards, M. J., et al. (2012). The "porin-cytochrome" model for microbe-to-mineral electron transfer. *Mol. Microbiol.* 85, 201–212. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.0 8088.x

- Rosenbaum, M., Cotta, M. A., and Angenent, L. T. (2010). Aerated Shewanella oneidensis in continuously fed bioelectrochemical systems for power and hydrogen production. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 105, 880–888. doi: 10.1002/bit.22621
- Rosenbaum, M. A., Bar, H. Y., Beg, Q. K., Segrè, D., Booth, J., Cotta, M. A., et al. (2012). Transcriptional Analysis of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 with an electrode compared to Fe(III)citrate or oxygen as terminal electron acceptor. *PLoS ONE* 7:e30827. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030827
- Schröder, U., and Harnisch, F. (2017). Life electric—nature as a blueprint for the development of microbial electrochemical technologies. *Joule* 1, 244–252. doi: 10.1016/j.joule.2017.07.010
- Shetty, A., Chen, S., Tocheva, E. I., Jensen, G. J., and Hickey, W. J. (2011). Nanopods: a new bacterial structure and mechanism for deployment of outer membrane vesicles. *PLoS ONE* 6:e20725. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020725
- Subramanian, P., Pirbadian, S., El-Naggar, M. Y., and Jensen, G. J. (2018). Ultrastructure of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 nanowires revealed by electron cryotomography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115:103242. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1718810115
- TerAvest, M. A., Rosenbaum, M. A., Kotloski, N. J., Gralnick, J. A., and Angenent, L. T. (2014). Oxygen allows *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 to overcome mediator washout in a continuously fed bioelectrochemical system. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 111, 692–699. doi: 10.1002/bit.25128
- Toyofuku, M., Nomura, N., and Eberl, L. (2019). Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 13–24. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0112-2
- Turnbull, L., Toyofuku, M., Hynen, A. L., Kurosawa, M., Pessi, G., Petty, N. K., et al. (2016). Explosive cell lysis as a mechanism for the biogenesis of bacterial membrane vesicles and biofilms. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11220. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11220
- Wang, Q., Jones, A.-A. D., Gralnick, J. A., Lin, L., and Buie, C. R. (2019). Microfluidic dielectrophoresis illuminates the relationship between microbial cell envelope polarizability and electrochemical activity. *Sci. Adv.* 5:eaat5664. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aat5664
- Wanner, G., Vogl, K., and Overmann, J. (2008). Ultrastructural characterization of the prokaryotic symbiosis in "Chlorochromatium aggregatum." J. Bacteriol. 190, 3721–3730. doi: 10.1128/JB.00027-08
- Wei, X., Vassallo, C. N., Pathak, D. T., and Wall, D. (2014). *Myxobacteria* produce outer membrane-enclosed tubes in unstructured environments. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 1807–1814. doi: 10.1128/JB.00850-13
- Xu, S., Barrozo, A., Tender, L. M., Krylov, A. I., and El-Naggar, M. Y. (2018). Multiheme cytochrome mediated redox conduction through shewanella oneidensis MR-1 cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 10085–10089. doi: 10.1021/jacs.8b05104
- Yates, M. D., Strycharz-Glaven, S. M., Golden, J. P., Roy, J. N., Tsoi, S., Erickson, J. S., et al. (2016). Measuring conductivity of living *Geobacter sulfurreducens* biofilms. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 11, 910–913. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2016.186
- Zacharoff, L. A., and El-Naggar, M. Y. (2017). Redox conduction in biofilms: From respiration to living electronics. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 4, 182–189. doi: 10.1016/j.coelec.2017.09.003

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Chong, Pirbadian and El-Naggar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.