



Feedback Linearization Control Design for Battery/SMES Hybrid Energy Storage Systems Used in Distribution Network

Chengye Tang * and Yonghong Huang

School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China

A battery/superconducting magnetic energy storage (SMES) hybrid energy storage system (BSM-HESS) is designed for a power system. Meanwhile, a nonlinear feedback control (FLC) is adopted to achieve smooth and fast-tracking performance, and a rule-based strategy (RBS) is applied for power demand allocation. FLC can effectively compensate for the system's nonlinearity to obtain the global consistent control performance; thus, it can properly solve the nonlinearity and modeling uncertainty of BSM-HESS. The effectiveness and advantages of FLC are evaluated *via* three cases, namely, heavy load condition, light load condition, and robustness with uncertain BSM-HESS parameters. Simulation results show that compared with proportional–integral–derivative (PID) control, FLC can achieve the best dynamic performance under various working conditions, which is beneficial for the system to quickly restore stable operation after large disturbance. In addition, the control cost of FLC is lower than that of PID control under both heavy load and light load conditions.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Bo Yang, Kunming University of Science and Technology, China

Reviewed by:

Yang Li, Northeast Electric Power University, China Jiawei Zhu, Chang'an University, China

*Correspondence:

Chengye Tang chengyetang@outlook.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Smart Grids, a section of the journal Frontiers in Energy Research

Received: 02 August 2021 Accepted: 13 September 2021 Published: 02 November 2021

Citation:

Tang C and Huang Y (2021) Feedback Linearization Control Design for Battery/SMES Hybrid Energy Storage Systems Used in Distribution Network. Front. Energy Res. 9:751884. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2021.751884 Keywords: battery/SMES hybrid energy storage system, distribution network, feedback linearization control, rulebased strategy, parameter uncertainties

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, withlarge-scale and widespread integration of renewable energy into the power system, energy storage systems (ESSs) have become a hot research topic (Yang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Bakeer et al., 2021). The energy storage system used in the power system refers to the device that can store a certain amount of electric energy and can quickly convey or absorb active and reactive power when abnormal events occur to the power system (Xi et al., 2016; ASV et al., 2020). In a transmission system, energy storage equipment is mostly installed on the transmission side of the line to improve the stability of system and rapid response speed (Zhang et al., 2015; Bakeer et al., 2021). In the distribution system, the energy storage device is usually equipped on the load side to protect the important load and balance frequency fluctuation (Nayak et al., 2021).

Electric energy storage has many forms that can mainly be divided into two types: energy storage and power storage (Chaibi et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). Energy storage represented by leadacid battery, lithium battery, and sodiumsulfur battery has high energy density and long energy storage time but the low power density and short cycle life (Luo et al., 2015; Ruan et al., 2019). Power-type energy storage represented by a super capacitor, flywheel energy storage, and superconducting magnetic energy storage (SMES) has the advantages of high power density, fast response speed, and long cycle life but with deficiencies of low energy density and high self-discharge rate (Adhikari and Li, 2014; Akyurek and Rosing, 2016; Zhang et al., 2021).

1

It is difficult for a single energy storage technology to have all the aforementioned advantages at the same time to meet the requirements of different application modes (Lalouni et al., 2009; Khalid and Savkin, 2010; Worthmann et al., 2015). However, based on technical complementarity of volumetric energy storage and power-type energy storage, hybrid energy storage can be used to meet the technical requirements of various levels. At present, battery/SMES hybrid energy storage systems (BSM-HESSs) that can effectively improve the stability and reliability of the system have received worldwide research interests (Dali et al., 2010; Malysz et al., 2014; Melo et al., 2019). As the core of the control system, the design of the control strategy plays the most critical role that has both important theoretical and practical significance.

BSM-HESS can effectively improve system stability, the performance of which is closely related to the selected control mode (Bazargan et al., 2018; Serir et al., 2016; Teymour et al., 2014). At present, research on control schemes is mostly focused on proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control (Brenna et al., 2016). However, this method needs to establish an accurate mathematical system model and often focuses on the design of a certain operation point. When the operation point of system changes, the performance will be dramatically affected, and the robustness is poor (Hussain et al., 2017). In order to remedy the existing deficiencies, a large number of academic advanced nonlinear control theories are developed. Magdy et al. (2018) have proposed a coordination of load frequency control (LFC) and SMES technology using a new optimal PID controller-based moth swarm algorithm in the Egyptian power system while considering high wind power penetration. Besides, a new adaptive control strategy based on the particle swarm optimization algorithm is proposed for the optimization of control strategy for a hybrid electric vehicle energy storage system (Ye et al., 2020). Meanwhile, Lin and Lei (2017) have developed a hierarchical control strategy for a hybrid energy storage system. However, the aforementioned studies all have the characteristics of a complex structure, low implementation feasibility, and weak adaptability; thus, their control design needs to be further improved.

Over the last decade, research on the feedback linearization-based nonlinear control theory has achieved great progress, which can successfully transform nonlinear systems into linear systems through state feedback in coordinate transformation domain. Direct feedback linearization is a representative feedback linearization method based on input and output descriptions of the system, which has been utilized to solve many control problems of nonlinear systems. Therefore, a feedback linearization control (FLC) for BSM-HESS is proposed in this article, to realize an efficient and smooth tracking.

The remaining of this article is organized as follows: *Battery/ SMES Hybrid Energy Storage Systems Modeling* introduces the BSM-HESS model. In *FLC Design for BSM-HESS*, FLC design is described. Case studies are carried out in *Case Studies section*, along with simulation results analysis. At last, *Conclusion* summarizes the main contributions of the study.

BATTERY/SMES HYBRID ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS MODELING

Distributed generation (DG) owns the merits of easy installation, pollution-free, and low costs. As a backup power source of the power

system (Huang et al., 2021; Sai et al., 2021), it can ensure the stability and reliability of user's electricity consumption. Although distributed energy has many advantages, there are still many shortcomings; for instance, the control mode of DG is very complex, and the access cost is high (Bizon, 2018; Shi et al., 2018). In addition, since DG is an uncontrollable source, it is imperative to solve the limitations and isolation problems of DG to reduce the influence of DG on large power grid (Murty and Kumar, 2021). In general, DG is a microgeneration unit that can realize energy conversion and independent control. Compared to a distribution network, DG can be regarded as a controlled unit, such that the difficulty of configuring the capacity of DG can be greatly reduced. Microgrids are vulnerable to internal distributed power supply and load fluctuations due to capacity and scale limitations, and ESS provides solutions for these problems. At present, it is difficult for a single energy storage device to simultaneously achieve multiple functions such as power quality improvement and microgrid stability control, so different energy storage devices need to be coupled together for use (Ali et al., 2010; Kasilingam and Pasupuleti, 2015; Olujobi, 2020).

The combination of battery and SMES takes both the instantaneous power supply advantages of SMES and the longterm power supply capacity of battery into account, which specifies the excellent power supply characteristic of BSM-HESS. Besides, BSM-HESS has another advantage compatible with both, such as high energy density and high power density, which surpasses the effect of either (Jae Woong Shim et al., 2013; Kouchachvili et al., 2018). The charging and discharging models of key components of BSM-HESS, that is, battery and SMES, are presented in **Figure 1**.

BSM-HESS generally includes SMES and battery that supply to the common load through a bidirectional buckboost converter with the same structure (Song et al., 2017), respectively. More specifically, the load is typically modeled as motors with an inverter that is equivalent to variable resistance in this model (Montoya et al., 2018). The configuration of BSM-HESS adopted in this study is shown in **Figure 2**. The mathematical equation corresponding to the configuration of BSM-HESS can be described as follows [(Sai et al., 2021), 42]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_{1} \\ \dot{v}_{2} \\ \dot{i}_{1} \\ \dot{i}_{2} \\ \dot{v}_{o} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{v_{1}}{R_{e}C_{1}} - \frac{i_{1}}{C_{1}} + \frac{E}{R_{e}C_{1}} \\ \frac{I_{sc} - i_{2}}{C_{2}} \\ \frac{v_{1} - v_{o}}{L_{1}} - \left(\frac{R_{L1} + R_{on2}}{L_{1}}\right) i_{1} \\ \frac{v_{2}}{L_{2}} + \left(\frac{R_{on4} - R_{on3}}{L_{2}}D_{2} - \frac{R_{L2} + R_{on4}}{L_{2}}\right) i_{2} + (D_{2} - 1)\frac{v_{o}}{L_{2}} \\ (1 - D_{1})\frac{i_{1}}{C_{o}} - \frac{v_{o}}{RC_{o}} + \frac{i_{2}}{C_{o}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{R_{on2} - R_{on1}}{L_{1}} i_{1} + \frac{v_{o}}{L_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i_{2}}{C_{o}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{1} \\ D_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$



where duty cycles D_1 and D_2 are restricted in a subset of (0, 1) for the purpose of ensuring operation safety and efficiency. The meanings of other symbols can be referred to Nomenclature. application constraints of BSM-HESS based on RBS are as follows:

(a) State of charge (SOC) of SMES:

$$\frac{i_{sc}}{i_{sc_max}} \ge \frac{1}{2},\tag{2}$$

where i_{sc} and $i_{sc_{max}}$ denote the current value and maximum allowable current value of the SMES, respectively. When the constraint is not satisfied, SMES will be charged by the battery with a constant power P_{ch} .

(b) SOC of battery:

$$0.2 \le \frac{Q_c}{Q_I} \le 0.9,\tag{3}$$

where Q_c and Q_l are the total charge of the battery and the remaining charge of the battery, respectively.

FLC DESIGN FOR BSM-HESS

Rule-Based Strategy

The rule-based strategy (RBS), also called logical threshold control strategies, is widely used in engineering practice due to their simplicity and practicality. Heuristic rules or fuzzy logic rules are used to determine the control variable output according to preset conditions. It mainly includes state machine control, threshold control, and power tracking control to ensure the main components work in the most efficient area.

The load power P_{demand} is the prerequisite of the determination of a qualified EMS, where the power distribution between SMES and battery is optimized. The



(c) Constraints of P_{demand}:

When $P_{\text{demand}} \ge 0$ is satisfied, the constraints are as follows:

$$\begin{cases} P_{demand} = P_{demand}, P_{SMES} = 0 \left(P_{demand} \le P_{min} \right) \\ P_{min} = P_{battery}, P_{SMES} = P_{demand} - P_{min} \left(P_{demand} > P_{min} \right). \end{cases}$$
(4)

The power threshold P_{\min} is set to ensure that once the power demand P_{demand} is lower than the threshold, SMES will not supply power to the load.

When $P_{\text{demand}} < 0$ is satisfied, the regenerative energy is absorbed by SMES before the battery is fully charged, and the charging cycle is shortened, thus prolonging the battery life.

Feedback Linearization Control Theory

Feedback linearization is a typical approach in the nonlinear control theory. The idea is to transform a nonlinear system dynamic into an equivalent (fully or partly) linear one through a change of state variables and a suitable nonlinear control input, so that linear control techniques can be then applied to the nonlinear system.

Here, a standard affine multiple-input multiple-output system is considered in a neighborhood around an operation point x_0 of the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u\\ y = h(x), \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the control input vector, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the output vector, $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and $h(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are smooth. The inputoutput linearization of a multiple input multiple output system is obtained *via* differentiating the output y_i of the system until the input u_j appears, assuming that r_i is the smallest integer such that at least one of the inputs explicitly appears in $y_i^{(r_i)}$

$$y_i^{(r_i)} = L_f^{r_i} h_i \sum_{j=1}^m L_{g_j} L_f^{r_i - 1} h_i u_j,$$
(6)

where $y_i^{(r_i)}$ denotes the *i*th-order derivative of y_i , if $L_{g_i}L_f^{r_i-1}h_i(x) \neq 0$ for at least one *j*. For at least one y_i gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^{(r_1)} \\ \vdots \\ y_m^{(r_m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_f^{r_1} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{r_m} h_m \end{bmatrix} + B(x) \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (7)

$$B(x) = \begin{bmatrix} L_{g_1}L_f^{r_1-1}h_1 & \cdots & L_{g_m}L_f^{r_1-1}h_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{g_1}L_f^{r_m-1}h_m & \cdots & L_{g_m}L_f^{r_m-1}h_m \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (8)

Here, B(x) is the $n \times m$ control gain matrix, if B(x) is invertible, the physical control law of the multiple input multiple output nonlinear system can be derived as follows:

$$(u) = B(x)^{-1} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -L_{r_{i}}^{r_{i}}h_{1} \\ \vdots \\ -L_{f}^{r_{m}}h_{m} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{m} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \qquad (9)$$
$$(v_{i}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}-1} \alpha_{j} y_{i}^{(j)}$$

where v_i is the new input of the system and α_j is the linear control gain.

Underlying Controller Design

For BSM-HESS (1), the state vector can be defined as $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^T = (v_1, v_2, i_1, i_2, v_0)^T$, output $y = (y_1, y_2)^T = (i_1, v_0)^T$, control input $u = (u_1, u_2)^T = (D_1, D_2)^T$, and tracking error $e = [e_1, e_2]^T = [i_1 \cdot i_1^*, v_0 \cdot v_0^*]^T$. Then, the tracking error e is differentiated until the control input u explicitly appeared as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e}_{1} = \frac{v_{1}}{L_{1}} + \left(\frac{R_{on2} - R_{on1}}{L_{1}}D_{1} - \frac{R_{L1} + R_{on2}}{L_{1}}\right)\dot{i}_{1} + (D_{1} - 1)\frac{v_{o}}{L_{1}} - \dot{i}_{1}^{*}\\ \dot{e}_{2} = (1 - D_{1})\frac{\dot{i}_{1}}{C_{o}} + (1 - D_{2})\frac{\dot{i}_{2}}{C_{o}} - \frac{v_{o}}{RC_{o}} - \dot{v}_{o}^{*} \end{cases}$$
(10)



| TABLE 1 | BSM-HESS | parameters. |
|---------|----------|-------------|
|---------|----------|-------------|

| Symbol | Parameters | Value | Unit |
|-----------------|--|-------|------|
| L ₁ | Battery side inductance | 680 | μH |
| R _e | Battery series resistance | 0.04 | Ω |
| R_{L1} | Battery side inductor series resistance | 0.25 | Ω |
| C_1 | Battery side filter capacitance | 1 | mF |
| L ₂ | SMES side inductance | 39 | mΗ |
| R_{L2} | SMES side inductor series resistance | 0.114 | Ω |
| C ₂ | SMES side filter capacitance | 0.22 | mH |
| L _{sc} | Superconducting magnetic coil inductance | 25 | Н |
| Ron | MOSFET on-resistance | 0.021 | Ω |
| C_0 | Load side capacitance | 1 | mF |

| TABLE 2 Controlle | r parameters. |
|---------------------|---------------|
|---------------------|---------------|

| FLC | λ_1 | 120 |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|
| | λ_2 | 150 |
| PID control | K _{p1} | 462 |
| | K _{I1} | 328 |
| | K _{D1} | 45 |
| | K _{p2} | 425 |
| | K _{I2} | 287 |
| | K _{D2} | 42 |
| | | |

In addition, system 2) can be represented in the concise matrix form as follows:

here
$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \end{bmatrix} + B(x) \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \dot{i}^* \\ \dot{i}_1 \\ \dot{v}^*_o \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

wl

$$g_1(x) = \frac{v_1 - v_0}{L_1} - \left(\frac{R_{L1} + R_{on2}}{L_1}\right) i_1$$
(12)

$$g_2(x) = (1 - D_1)\frac{i_1}{C_o} - \frac{v_o}{RC_o} + \frac{i_2}{C_o},$$
 (13)

with

$$B(x) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & 0\\ 0 & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_{\text{on2}} - R_{\text{on1}}}{L_1} i_1 + \frac{v_0}{L_1} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{i_2}{C_0} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (14)

To ensure the aforementioned inputoutput linearization to be valid, the control gain matrix B(x) must be nonsingular among the whole operation range, that is,

$$\det[B(x)] = -\frac{i_2[(R_{on2} - R_{on1})i_1 + v_o]}{C_0 L_1} \neq 0.$$
 (15)

Since SMES current i_2 is always different from zero, for example, a value of zero means that SMES stops operating;



thus, the controllability of SMES is lost. Meanwhile, DC bus voltage $v_0 \neq (R_{on1} - R_{on2})i_1$ is always valid among the whole operation range. Therefore, the aforementioned condition is always valid.

The final FLC strategy is designed as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_1 - \frac{v_1 - v_o}{L_1} + \left(\frac{R_{L1} + R_{on2}}{L_1}\right) \dot{i}_1 + \dot{i}_1^* - \lambda_1 e_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 - (1 - D_1) \frac{\dot{i}_1}{C_o} + \frac{v_o}{RC_o} - \frac{\dot{i}_2}{C_o} + \dot{v}_o^* - \lambda_2 e_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the control gains. In addition, the overall FLC structure of BSM-HESS is given in **Figure 3**.

CASE STUDIES

The parameters of BSM-HESS and controller are shown in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, respectively. The initial SOC of the battery is set to 90%, the minimum voltage of the battery is 36 V, the maximum voltage is 144 V, and battery pack contains 48 LiFePO₄ cells that are connected



 D_1 , and **(F)** duty cycle D_2 .

in series. The rated current of SMES is 100A. In addition, in RBS, $P_{\rm min} = 2 \text{ kW}$ and $P_{\rm ch} = 100 \text{ W}$. Case studies are carried out by Matlab/ Simulink 2019b. Besides, the sampling rate was set to 10^{-4} s, and ode23 was selected as the solver.

Heavy Load Condition

Based on the BSM-HESS model, the continuous step change of power demand of the power system under various conditions is studied to validate the specific tracking performance under heavy load conditions. In practical engineering application, heavy load condition usually occurs when the power system is connected with a large load. Here, the regenerative braking is carried out under the condition of corresponding negative load power, while the DC bus voltage reference is maintained at 160 V. The detailed system responses are shown in **Figure 4**, which shows that FLC can smoothly track the change of power demand and stably maintain the DC bus voltage. Compared with PID control, FLC has the smallest tracking error and the highest tracking speed. It is worth noting that such smooth tracking ability is very crucial for economic and reliable power system operation and can considerably prolong the battery service life.

Light Load Condition

The aim of this case is to study the control performance of FLC when the power system is connected with a small load.



At this time, another power demand step change is used to compare the tracking performance between FLC and PID control. The corresponding responses are shown in **Figure 5**. It can be found that FLC achieves better tracking performance in terms of tracking speed and tracking error than PID control. In addition, FLC has smoother regulation of power *P*, while PID control oscillates severely. Similarly, for DC bus voltage, FLC has a small overshoot and can restore the disturbed power system in a short period of time, while PID control requires much more time for disturbed power system restoration with larger overshoot. Therefore, FLC can effectively decrease the charge and discharge frequency of the

hybrid energy storage system, to reduce the wear and tear of the hybrid energy storage system.

Robustness With Uncertain BSM-HESS Parameters

This section is to study the robustness of FLC under the uncertainty of BSM-HESS parameters. Particularly, a series of plant-model mismatches of battery/SMES series resistances R_{L1} and R_{L2} , as well as inductances L_1 and L_2 with $\pm 20\%$ variation around their rated value are applied. Then, a 25% load drop occurs and lasts for 100 ms, during

which the absolute peak value of active power |P| is recorded, as shown in **Figure 6**. Note that the changes of PID control and FLC under the uncertainty of series resistance are 11.31 and 31.86%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

In this study, an FLC is designed to realize an efficient and smooth power tracking for BSM-HESS, and its contributions are summarized as follows:

- 1. FLC can fully compensate the nonlinearity of the system to achieve a globally consistent control performance; thus, the transient performance can be considerably improved;
- FLC requires an accurate BSM-HESS system model; thus, it lacks robustness against parameter uncertainties compared to PID control;
- 3. The effectiveness and superiority of FLC are comprehensively verified and compared with PID control based on three case

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, S., and Li, F. (2014). Coordinated V-F and P-Q Control of Solar Photovoltaic Generators with MPPT and Battery Storage in Microgrids. *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 5 (3), 1270–1281. doi:10.1109/tsg.2014.2301157
- Akyurek, A. S., and Rosing, T. S. (2016). Optimal Distributed Nonlinear Battery Control. IEEE J. Emerging Selected Top. Power Electronics 5 (3), 1045–1054.
- Ali, M. H., Wu, B., and Dougal, R. A. (2010). An Overview of SMES Applications in Power and Energy Systems. *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energ.* 1 (1), 38–47. doi:10.1109/tste.2010.2044901
- Asv, V. L., Manyala, R. R., and Mangipudi, S. K. (2020). Design of a Robust PID-PSS for an Uncertain Power System with Simplified Stability Conditions. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 5 (1), 1–16. doi:10.1186/s41601-020-00165-9
- Bakeer, A., Salama, H. S., and Vokony, I. (2021). Integration of PV System with SMES Based on Model Predictive Control for Utility Grid Reliability Improvement. Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst. 6 (1), 1–13. doi:10.1186/ s41601-021-00191-1
- Bazargan, D., Bahrani, B., and Filizadeh, S. (2018). Reduced Capacitance Battery Storage DC-link Voltage Regulation and Dynamic Improvement Using a Feedforward Control Strategy. *IEEE Trans. Energ. Convers.* 33 (4), 1659–1668. doi:10.1109/tec.2018.2837627
- Bizon, N. (2018). Effective Mitigation of the Load Pulses by Controlling the Battery/SMES Hybrid Energy Storage System. *Appl. Energ.* 229, 459–473. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.08.013
- Brenna, M., Foiadelli, F., Longo, M., and Zaninelli, D. (2016). Energy Storage Control for Dispatching Photovoltaic Power. *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 9 (4), 2419–2428.
- Chaibi, Y., Allouhi, A., Salhi, M., and El-jouni, A. (2019). Annual Performance Analysis of Different Maximum Power point Tracking Techniques Used in Photovoltaic Systems. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 4 (4), 171–180. doi:10.1186/s41601-019-0129-1
- Dali, M., Belhadj, J., and Roboam, X. (2010). Hybrid Solar-Wind System with Battery Storage Operating in Grid-Connected and Standalone Mode: Control and Energy Management - Experimental Investigation. *Energy* 35 (6), 2587–2595. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2010.03.005
- Huang, K., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, L., Zhu, Y., and Meng, X. (2021). Research on Power Control Strategy of Household-Level Electric Power Router Based on Hybrid Energy Storage Droop Control. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 6 (1), 1–13. doi:10.1186/s41601-021-00190-2
- Hussain, I., Ranjan, S., Das, D. C., and Sinha, N. (2017). Performance Analysis of Flower Pollination Algorithm Optimized PID Controller for Wind-PV-SMES-

studies. Simulation results show that FLC outperforms PID control in terms of overshoot and tracking rate.

In the future, the effectiveness of FCL will be further validated by a hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) experiment based on the dSpace platform to meet the practical needs of engineering application.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CT: conceptualization, writing—original draft preparation, and investigation. YH: writing, reviewing and editing, supervision, and conceptualization.

BESS-Diesel Autonomous Hybrid Power System. Int. J. Renew. Energ. Res. 7 (2), 643–651.

- Jae Woong Shim, J. W., Youngho Cho, Y., Seog-Joo Kim, S. J., Sang Won Min, S. W., and Kyeon Hur, K. (2013). Synergistic Control of SMES and Battery Energy Storage for Enabling Dispatchability of Renewable Energy Sources. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 23 (3), 5701205. doi:10.1109/ tasc.2013.2241385
- Kasilingam, G., and Pasupuleti, J. (2015). Coordination of PSS and PID Controller for Power System Stability Enhancement - Overview. *Indian J. Sci. Technology* 8 (2), 142–151. doi:10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i2/58441
- Khalid, M., and Savkin, A. V. (2010). A Model Predictive Control Approach to the Problem of Wind Power Smoothing with Controlled Battery Storage. *Renew. Energ.* 35 (7), 1520–1526. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2009.11.030
- Kouchachvili, L., Yaïci, W., and Entchev, E. (2018). Hybrid Battery/supercapacitor Energy Storage System for the Electric Vehicles. J. Power Sourc. 374, 237–248. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.11.040
- Lalouni, S., Rekioua, D., Rekioua, T., and Matagne, E. (2009). Fuzzy Logic Control of Stand-Alone Photovoltaic System with Battery Storage. J. Power Sourc. 193 (2), 899–907. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.04.016
- Li, G. D., Li, G. Y., and Zhou, M. (2019). Model and Application of Renewable Energy Accommodation Capacity Calculation Considering Utilization Level of Interprovincial Tie-Line. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 4 (4), 1–12. doi:10.1186/s41601-019-0115-7
- Lin, X., and Lei, Y. (2017). Coordinated Control Strategies for SMES-Battery Hybrid Energy Storage Systems. *IEEE Access* 5, 23452–23465. doi:10.1109/ access.2017.2761889
- Luo, X., Wang, J., Dooner, M., and Clarke, J. (2015). Overview of Current Development in Electrical Energy Storage Technologies and the Application Potential in Power System Operation. *Appl. Energ.* 137, 511–536. doi:10.1016/ j.apenergy.2014.09.081
- Magdy, G., Mohamed, E. A., Shabib, G., Elbaset, A. A., and Mitani, Y. (2018). SMES Based a New PID Controller for Frequency Stability of a Real Hybrid Power System Considering High Wind Power Penetration. *IET Renew. Power Generation* 12 (11), 1304–1313. doi:10.1049/iet-rpg.2018.5096
- Malysz, P., Sirouspour, S., and Emadi, A. (2014). An Optimal Energy Storage Control Strategy for Grid-Connected Microgrids. *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 5 (4), 1785–1796. doi:10.1109/tsg.2014.2302396
- Melo, S. P., Brand, U., Vogt, T., Telle, J. S., Schuldt, F., and Maydell, K. v. (2019). Primary Frequency Control provided by Hybrid Battery Storage and Power-To-Heat System. *Appl. Energ.* 233-234, 220–231. doi:10.1016/ j.apenergy.2018.09.177

- Montoya, O. D., Garcés, A., and Espinosa-Pérez, G. (2018). A Generalized Passivity-Based Control Approach for Power Compensation in Distribution Systems Using Electrical Energy Storage Systems. J. Energ. Storage 16, 259–268. doi:10.1016/j.est.2018.01.018
- Murty, V. V. S. N., and Kumar, A. (2021). Multi-objective Energy Management in Microgrids with Hybrid Energy Sources and Battery Energy Storage Systems. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 5 (1), 1–20. doi:10.1186/s41601-019-0147-z
- Nayak, P. C., Prusty, R. C., and Panda, S. (2021). Grasshopper Optimization Algorithm Optimized Multistage Controller for Automatic Generation Control of a Power System with FACTS Devices. *Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst.* 6 (1), 1–15. doi:10.1186/s41601-021-00187-x
- Olujobi, O. J. (2020). The Legal Sustainability of Energy Substitution in Nigeria's Electric Power Sector: Renewable Energy as Alternative. Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst. 5 (1), 1–12. doi:10.1186/s41601-020-00179-3
- Ruan, J., Song, Q., and Yang, W. (2019). The Application of Hybrid Energy Storage System with Electrified Continuously Variable Transmission in Battery Electric Vehicle. *Energy* 183, 315–330. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2019.06.095
- Sai, L., Cheng, Z., Haomin, G., Qingxin, S., Tiancheng, T. E., Schomer, I., et al. (2021). Operational Optimization of a Building-Level Integrated Energy System Considering Additional Potential Benefits of Energy Storage. Prot. Control. Mod. Power Syst. 6 (1), 55–64. doi:10.1186/s41601-021-00184-0
- Serir, C., Rekioua, D., Mezzai, N., and Bacha, S. (2016). Supervisor Control and Optimization of Multi-Sources Pumping System with Battery Storage. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 41 (45), 20974–20986. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.05.096
- Shi, Y., Xu, B., Tan, Y., Kirschen, D., and Zhang, B. (2018). Optimal Battery Control under Cycle Aging Mechanisms in Pay for Performance Settings. *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control.* 64 (6), 2324–2339. doi:10.1109/TAC.2018.2867507
- Song, Z., Hou, J., Hofmann, H., Li, J., and Ouyang, M. (2017). Sliding-mode and Lyapunov Function-Based Control for Battery/supercapacitor Hybrid Energy Storage System Used in Electric Vehicles. *Energy* 122, 601–612. doi:10.1016/ j.energy.2017.01.098
- Teymour, H. R., Sutanto, D., Muttaqi, K. M., and Ciufo, P. (2014). Solar PV and Battery Storage Integration Using a New Configuration of a Three-Level NPC Inverter with Advanced Control Strategy. *IEEE Trans. Energ. Convers.* 29 (2), 354–365. doi:10.1109/tec.2014.2309698
- Worthmann, K., Kellett, C. M., Braun, P., Grüne, L., and Weller, S. R. (2015). Distributed and Decentralized Control of Residential Energy Systems Incorporating Battery Storage. *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 6 (4), 1914–1923. doi:10.1109/tsg.2015.2392081

- Xi, L., Yu, T., Yang, B., Zhang, X., and Qiu, X. (2016). A Wolf Pack Hunting Strategy Based Virtual Tribes Control for Automatic Generation Control of Smart Grid. *Appl. Energ.* 178, 198–211. doi:10.1016/ j.apenergy.2016.06.041
- Yang, B., Jiang, L., Yao, W., and Wu, Q. H. (2015). Perturbation Estimation Based Coordinated Adaptive Passive Control for Multimachine Power Systems. *Control. Eng. Pract.* 44, 172–192. doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2015.07.012
- Ye, K., Li, P., and Li, H. (2020). Optimization of Hybrid Energy Storage System Control Strategy for Pure Electric Vehicle Based on Typical Driving Cycle. *Math. Probl. Eng.* 2020 (9), 1–12. doi:10.1155/2020/1365195
- Zhang, X., Tan, T., Zhou, B., Yu, T., Yang, B., and Huang, X. (2021). Adaptive Distributed Auction-Based Algorithm for Optimal Mileage Based AGC Dispatch with High Participation of Renewable Energy. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energ. Syst.* 124, 106371. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2020.106371
- Zhang, X., Yu, T., Yang, B., and Li, L. (2016). Virtual Generation Tribe Based Robust Collaborative Consensus Algorithm for Dynamic Generation Command Dispatch Optimization of Smart Grid. *Energy* 101, 34–51. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2016.02.009
- Zhang, X., Yu, T., Yang, B., Zheng, L., and Huang, L. (2015). Approximate Ideal Multi-Objective Solution Q(λ) Learning for Optimal Carbon-Energy Combined-Flow in Multi-Energy Power Systems. *Energ. Convers. Management* 106, 543–556. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.09.049

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Tang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

NOMENCLATURE

Variables

 v_1 , v_2 , v_o voltages corresponding to C_1 , C_2 , and C_o i_1 , i_2 currents flowing through L_1 and L_2 E open-circuit voltage of battery I_{sc} SMES current

Abbreviations

BSM-HESS battery/SMES hybrid energy storage system SMES superconducting magnetic energy storage RBS rule-based strategy PID proportional-integral-derivative

FLC feedback linearization control

BSM-HESS parameters

C₁, C₂, C_o filter capacitances of battery side, SMES side, and load
L_{SC} inductance of superconducting magnetic coil
L₁, L₂ inductances of battery side and SMES side
R_e inner series resistances of battery
R_{L1}, R_{L2} series resistances of battery side and SMES side
R_{on1}, R_{on2}, R_{on3}, R_{on4} MOSFET on-resistances for S₁, S₂, S₃, and S₄

 R_{on1} , R_{on2} , R_{on3} , R_{on4} MOSFET on-resistances for S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , and S_4 , R_0 equivalent load

 D_1 , D_2 duty cycle for the on-state of S_1 and S_3

FLC parameters

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2$ control gains