



Rhizosphere Effects of Maize and Wheat Increase Soil Organic and Inorganic Carbon Release in Carbonate-Rich Soils: A Three-Source ¹³C Partitioning Study

Zhaoan Sun^{1,2}, Biao Zhu³, Fang Wang², Minyi He² and Fanqiao Meng²*

¹Key Laboratory of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology in University of Shandong, College of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Weifang University, Weifang, China, ²Beijing Key Laboratory of Farmland Soil Pollution Prevention and Remediation, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ³Institute of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, and Key Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes of the Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lu-Jun Li, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Na Li, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology (CAS), China Carsten W. Mueller, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

> *Correspondence: Fanqiao Meng mengfq@cau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Soil Processes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Environmental Science

> Received: 18 January 2021 Accepted: 12 April 2021 Published: 12 May 2021

Citation:

Sun Z, Zhu B, Wang F, He M and Meng F (2021) Rhizosphere Effects of Maize and Wheat Increase Soil Organic and Inorganic Carbon Release in Carbonate-Rich Soils: A Three-Source ¹³C Partitioning Study. Front. Environ. Sci. 9:654354. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2021.654354 In carbonate-rich soils with plants, CO₂ emissions from the rhizosphere may come from as many as three sources, that is, root-derived respiration, decomposition of soil organic carbon (SOC), and dissolution of soil inorganic carbon (SIC), so partitioning of CO2 emissions by source is important to accurately quantify the rhizosphere effect (RE). Because of limited methods for three-source partitioning of soil CO₂, how living roots affect SOC and SIC release (RE) has not yet been clarified, and this urgently needs to be evaluated. In this study, the RE of summer maize and winter wheat on SOC decomposition and SIC dissolution was investigated at three phenological stages in pot experiments with the aid of ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling combined with ¹³C natural abundance techniques. We found that the contribution of SIC dissolution to CO2 emissions from unplanted soils ranged from 25 to 44%. As crop growth progressed, the maize rhizosphere effect on SOCand SIC-derived CO₂ emissions increased from 14 and 74% at the elongation stage to 84 and 268% at the grain filling stage compared to that in unplanted soils, respectively, while the wheat rhizosphere effect on SOC- and SIC-derived CO₂ emissions increased from 51 and 34% at the elongation stage to 77 and 76% at the grain filling stage. We concluded that the rhizosphere effects increased SOC and SIC release over the entire growing season of maize (by 54% for SOC and 159% for SIC) and wheat (by 64 and 49%) compared to those in unplanted soils, indicating that ignoring SIC dissolution in carbonate-rich soils with plants will result in overestimation of SOC decomposition.

Keywords: rhizosphere effect, soil inorganic carbon, soil organic carbon, three-source partitioning CO2, ¹³C isotope

INTRODUCTION

The soil carbon (C) pool comprises two components: soil organic C (SOC) and soil inorganic C (SIC). Globally, the SIC pool is estimated to be approximately two-thirds of the SOC pool to a depth of 1 m (Lal, 2004). The SIC pool includes HCO_3^- in soil solution, CO_2 in soil air, and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) deposited in soil, with CaCO₃ being dominant in terms of quantity (Pan, 1999).



SIC is an important constituent in the carbonate-rich soils of arid and semiarid regions (Wang et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2019). It is generally believed that SIC is relatively stable. In the past, most of the studies on soil C emissions focused on SOC decomposition, but little attention was given to the role of SIC. Recent studies found that SIC can be considered a C source (carbonate dissolution and release; Zamanian et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020) or C sink (secondary carbonate formation; Wang et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2019). Therefore, the stability of the SIC pool directly affects atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and the soil C balance.

Short-term changes in the SOC or SIC pools are very small compared with the background contents. Therefore, it is difficult to determine soil C release by directly measuring changes in the soil C content. Alternatively, it is possible to quantify this by indirectly measuring soil-derived CO2 emissions (Cardinael et al., 2019; Fang et al., 2020a). In carbonate-rich soils, recent studies have found that soil-derived CO₂ emissions come not only from SOC decomposition but also from SIC dissolution. The contribution of SIC dissolution to soil C (SIC + SOC) release ranged from 3 to 95% in carbonate-rich soils without plants (Lardner et al., 2015; Schindlbacher et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020). Therefore, SIC dissolution is more important to stabilize the global C pool and regulate atmospheric CO₂ concentrations than previously thought (Zamanian and Kuzyakov, 2019; Raza et al., 2020). In carbonate-rich soils with plants, CO₂ emissions from the rhizosphere may come from as many as three sources, that is, root-derived respiration (respiration by roots and microbes utilizing root-derived C substrates), SOC decomposition, and SIC dissolution (Figure 1). Distinguishing the sources of soil CO₂ emissions is necessary to quantify the rhizosphere effect (RE) on SOC- and SIC-derived CO₂ emissions. Because of limited methods for three-source CO₂ partitioning (Tamir et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2020a), how living roots affect SOC- and SIC-derived CO2 emissions has not yet been clarified, and this urgently needs to be evaluated (Ahmad et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2020).

Rhizosphere processes play crucial roles in regulating SOC decomposition by the release of rhizodeposits (Kuzyakov, 2002; Yin et al., 2020) and SIC dissolution through proton release from roots (Mubarak and Nortcliff, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2020). Recent studies have shown that root-derived CO₂

and rhizosphere-stimulated soil-derived CO_2 increase the concentration of soil CO_2 (Zhu et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2020), which may result in promoting the rate of $CaCO_3$ dissolution (**Figure 1**; Chevallier et al., 2016; Zamanian et al., 2018). The RE on SOC decomposition is relatively well-known (Kuzyakov, 2010; Kumar et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2017). However, to date, the RE of SIC dissolution has been poorly studied. These uncertainties are partly method related, that is, in many recent investigations on RE, only two sources of CO_2 emissions could be identified, and few studies have separated the three sources of soil CO_2 emissions (Kuzyakov, 2010; Weng et al., 2020).

The separation of the three C sources of CO₂ efflux by the single-tracer method (e.g., artificial ¹⁴C/¹³C labeling or natural ¹³C abundance) is difficult compared to the double-tracer method (Blagodatskaya et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2017; Shahbaz et al., 2018). Hence, in many recent investigations on the RE on soil C release, only two sources of CO2 emissions could be identified (Kumar et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2020), and few studies have separated the three sources of soil CO2 emissions. Previous studies have developed a series of approaches to separate the three C sources by the C tracer method in a number of ways, for example, stable isotope analysis software (Plestenjak et al., 2012), ¹³C and ¹⁸O natural abundance (Lin et al., 1999), ¹⁴C labeling and ¹³C natural abundance (Tian et al., 2016), double labeling of ¹⁴C and ¹³C (Shahbaz et al., 2018), ¹³C labeling and natural abundance (Whitman and Lehmann, 2015; Kerré et al., 2016), and the combination of C3 and C4 sources in different treatments (Kuzyakov and Bol, 2005). Among the previous approaches to partitioning the three sources of CO₂ emissions, dual ¹³C/¹⁴C isotopic labeling may currently be the best solution (Shahbaz et al., 2018). However, ¹⁴C labeling poses radioactivity hazards. In this study, we used a combination of ¹³C pulse labeling and ¹³C natural abundance to partition the three sources of CO2 emissions from rhizosphere soil (Whitman and Lehmann, 2015; Kerré et al., 2016): root-derived CO₂ was estimated with ¹³C pulse labeling, and the remaining two components (SOC- and SIC-derived CO₂) were distinguished by ¹³C natural abundance based on a three-source mixing model.

The reserves of the SIC pool (60 Pg C) are 1.2 times those of the SOC pool (50 Pg C) in China and are mainly distributed in carbonate-rich soils in the region of North and Northwest China

Root Effect on Carbon Release

(Pan, 1999; Wu et al., 2009). Here, we conducted pot experiments using carbonate-rich soils from North China farmland to grow summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) and winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to investigate the RE on SOC- and SIC-derived CO_2 emissions. We partitioned the cumulative emissions of total soil CO₂ into root-, SOC-, and SIC-derived CO₂ using the threesource ¹³C partitioning method described above and calculated the RE as the difference in SOC decomposition and SIC dissolution between the planted and unplanted treatments. We hypothesized that root-derived CO₂ and RE-induced additional SOC decomposition would increase soil CO₂ concentration, which may increase SIC dissolution and subsequent CO₂ emissions in the presence of plants compared with those without plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Setup

Experiment 1: Summer Maize

The soils for growing summer maize were taken from an arable plot at the Quzhou Experimental Station of China Agricultural University in Hebei Province, Northern China ($36^{\circ}52'$ N; $115^{\circ}01'$ E). The soils were collected from the plow horizon (0-20 cm depth) and were rich in carbonate (SIC = 7.8 g kg⁻¹). The soil is classified as a Cambisol consisting of 62% sand, 29% silt, and 10% clay. The physical and chemical properties of the soil samples are given in **Supplementary Table S1**. The soils had been under winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)–summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) double-cropping management since the 1990s. The soils were air-dried, homogenized, and sieved (5-mm screen) before the summer maize was planted.

Each pot $(35 \times 20 \text{ cm i.d.})$ was closed at the bottom with a rubber stopper and had an air inlet and air outlet. Two maize seeds (cultivar Jiyuan 1) were sown directly into pots containing 9.5 kg air-dried soil premixed with fertilizer at a rate of 0.55 g N, 0.19 g P, and 0.31 g K kg⁻¹ soil (equivalent to field application rates to the plow layer). Pot-grown summer maize was placed on the ground in a greenhouse and cultivated between May and September to provide similar light and temperature conditions to those in the field. The soil water content of each container was controlled gravimetrically to simulate local summer maizegrowing conditions at each of the growth stages and was adjusted daily to 60% (emergence), 70-75% (elongation), 75-80% (heading), and 70-75% (grain filling) of the field capacity. After emergence of the third leaf, the weaker leaf was removed. The maize was grown for 99 days, and four different growth stages were recognized and described in days after emergence (DAE): 1) emergence (0-24 DAE), 2) elongation (25-53 DAE), 3) heading (44-66 DAE), and 4) grain filling (67-99 DAE). To compare total CO2 emissions with and without summer maize, soil without plants was also incubated in the same pots under the same conditions.

A chamber (1.05 m long \times 1.05 m wide \times 2.15 m high) was used for ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling. The maize plants were labeled with ¹³CO₂ pulses at the beginning of the elongation, heading, and grain filling stages (i.e., 29, 57, and 72 DAE, respectively). In total,

we used 18 pots of maize and 9 pots of unplanted soil with three replicates for each growth stage: three maize plants were randomly selected for ¹³C pulse labeling on each occasion, and additional three maize plants were selected as unlabeled controls, which were kept separately from the labeled plants. Before labeling, the surface of the soil was covered with a PVC board and sealed with silicon, including around the maize stems. A beaker containing Ba¹³CO₃ (98 atom% ¹³C; 8.5, 8.0, and 8.0 g were added for each of the three labeling occasions according to the photosynthetic rate of maize) was placed into the chamber. The chamber was then closed, and ¹³CO₂ was released into the chamber by injecting an aliquot of 1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution into the beaker containing Ba¹³CO₃. To homogenize the distribution of ¹³CO₂, an electric fan was used inside the chamber. The progression of the CO₂ concentration within the chamber supplied with Ba¹²CO₃ was monitored by an infrared gas analyzer (GXH305, Beijing Analytical Equipment Co., China). This unlabeled chamber was used because ¹³CO₂ could not be directly monitored using the infrared detector because of the infrared range set for ¹²CO₂ and the differing wavelengths for maximum absorption of ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ (Yu et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019). If the CO₂ concentration inside the unlabeled chamber declined considerably (below 200 ml L^{-1}), 1 M HCl solution was injected until the CO₂ concentration increased to approximately 360 ml L⁻¹. The same volume of HCl solution was also injected into the chamber supplied with Ba¹³CO₃. The summer maize plants were labeled for 7 h before the labeling chamber was opened.

The ¹³C-labeled and unlabeled maize plants and soils were destructively sampled 27 days after each labeling. During the 27day allocation period, we measured the cumulative soil-respired CO₂ from each pot with and without maize plants using a closedcirculation CO₂ trapping system with a high trapping efficiency (>99.9%) (Cheng et al., 2003). During the whole allocation period, the CO₂ respired from wheat roots and soil microorganisms in each sealed pot was pumped into sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution by periodic air circulation for 30 min at a 6-h interval after labeling. Additionally, 25 ml of 3.5 M NaOH solution was changed every 3 days after labeling (DAL) until the end of the allocation period. To verify that ¹³C was completely distributed throughout the maize-soil system, the NaOH trap solution was divided into two groups between the samplings for the periods of 0-24 and 25-27 DAL. The NaOH trap solution between the samplings from 0 to 24 DAL was mixed to obtain a composite NaOH sample. CO₂ was trapped in the NaOH solution by titrating an aliquot of the NaOH solution against 0.1 M HCl. To determine the amount of root-derived C partitioned to soil CO₂ emissions, excess BaCl₂ was added to an additional aliquot of NaOH solution to produce BaCO₃ precipitate for ¹³C measurement (Harris et al., 1997). Blanks were included to correct for contamination from carbonate in the NaOH stock solution and from handling errors (Cheng et al., 2003).

Experiment 2: Winter Wheat

The soils for growing winter wheat was collected from the 0- to 20cm depth from the Huantai Experimental Station of China Agricultural University in Shandong Province, Northern China $(36^{\circ}57' \text{ N}; 117^{\circ}59' \text{ E})$. The soil of the experimental field was derived from Yellow River alluvial sediments and was classified as a Fluvic Cambisol (a calcareous, fluvo-aquic sandy loam). The soil was also high in carbonate (SIC = 5.4 g kg^{-1}). Soil characteristics are presented in **Supplementary Table S1**. After sampling, the soil was air-dried, homogenized, and sieved using a 5-mm screen prior to use.

Ten pre-germinated (soaked in water for 24-48 h) winter wheat seeds were sown directly in pots (30 \times 20 cm i.d.) containing 8.7 kg air-dried soil, and the soil was rewetted to 70% of the water-holding capacity. One week after germination, six vigorous seedlings were retained in each pot (equivalent to a field planting density of 1.5 million plants ha^{-1}). In accordance with local farming practices, the soils were premixed with urea and potassium phosphate at rates of 0.05 g N, 0.02 g P, and 0.02 g K kg⁻¹ soil as a basal fertilizer, respectively. Urea was top-dressed at the elongation stage (mid-March of the following year) at a rate of 0.05 g N kg⁻¹ soil. The pots with and without winter wheat plants were placed in hole dugs in cropland (30 cm depth; 24 cm diameter) to simulate local winter wheat-growing conditions. The pots were surrounded by a bag made of rope and pulled out of the holes with the aid of the bag each time the soil moisture was adjusted, which was done by weighing. The total growth period of winter wheat was 230 days, with six developmental stages recognized: 1) seeding [0-17 days after sowing (DAS)], 2) tillering (18-150 DAS), 3) elongation (151-179 DAS), 4) anthesis (180-193 DAS), 5) grain filling (194-214 DAS), and 6) grain ripening (215–230 DAS). The soil water content of each pot was controlled gravimetrically to simulate local winter wheat production and was adjusted daily to 65% (seedling), 70% (tillering), 80% (elongation), 80% (anthesis), and 70-75% (grain filling) of the field capacity, in accordance with the amount of rainfall and evaporation.

The labeling system consisted of a labeling chamber (0.6 m long \times 0.6 m wide \times 1.2 m high) containing pot-grown wheat plants. ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling was performed at the elongation, anthesis, and grain filling stages of wheat (i.e., 168, 188, and 202 DAS, respectively). On each occasion, four pots were randomly selected for ¹³C labeling, and additional four wheat plants were selected as unlabeled controls. Hence, we used 36 pots in the experiment, with four replicates for destructive sampling at 28 DAL during each of the developmental stages: 12 pots were labeled with ¹³CO₂, 12 pots were selected as unlabeled controls, and an additional 12 pots were selected for the unplanted treatments. On the previous day of labeling, the soil surface was covered with a PVC board and sealed with silicon, including around the culms. A beaker containing Na2¹³CO3 (98 atom% ¹³C; 8.0, 8.0, and 8.0 g were added for each of the three labeling events) was placed inside the chamber. Similar to experiment 1, to determine CO₂ concentrations within the chamber using an infrared gas analyzer, an unlabeled control treatment was set up in a different chamber under the same conditions, except that Na212CO3 was used to produce unlabeled CO2. If the CO2 concentration declined considerably (below 200 ml L⁻¹) in the chamber containing the unlabeled control treatment, 1 M sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) solution was injected until the CO₂ concentration increased to approximately 360 ml L⁻¹. The same volume of H₂SO₄ solution was injected into the chamber housing winter wheat plants

labeled with ¹³C. After 7 h of labeling, winter wheat plants were removed from the chamber.

Unlabeled and ¹³C-labeled winter wheat and soils were destructively sampled 28 DAL at each growth stage. During the allocation period of 28 days, we measured the total soil respiration from each pot with and without plants using a closed-circulation CO₂ trapping system adapted from Cheng et al. (2003). CO2 was absorbed in 50 ml of 1 M NaOH solution between the samplings for periods of 2-4 days during the allocation period of 28 days. To verify that the distribution of assimilated ¹³C was complete in the wheat-soil system, the NaOH trap solution was divided into two groups between the 0-26 and 27-28 DAL sampling periods. The NaOH trap solution between the 0 and 26 DAL samplings was combined into a homogenized sample. To determine the total soil CO₂ emissions, an aliquot of each NaOH trap solution was titrated with 0.1 M HCl. For an additional aliquot of NaOH solution, excess SrCl₂ was added to the NaOH solution containing trapped CO₂ to produce SrCO₃ precipitate for ¹³C measurement (Harris et al., 1997). Blanks were used to correct for contamination from carbonate in the process of the experiment (Cheng et al., 2003).

Shoot, Root, and Soil Sampling

Unlabeled and ¹³C-labeled maize and wheat plants and soils were destructively sampled after the allocation period at each growth stage. The shoots were cut at the soil surface, and visible roots were separated from the soil by careful handpicking using a 0.2-mm sieve to remove finer roots. All root materials were soaked in 2.5 L deionized water, gently shaken for 20 min, and washed with 400 ml deionized water through a 0.1-mm sieve to remove soil particles adhering to roots. All of the water used to wash the roots was saved and added to the remaining pot to completely recover dissolved organic carbon and other rhizodeposits. The soil was spread on the plastic film overnight to reduce the water content. Then, the soil was thoroughly mixed and repeatedly half-sampled by gridding to achieve a fully representative 50 g subsample. The shoots, roots, and soil samples were oven-dried at 65°C to a constant weight.

The plant and soil samples were ground (<500 µm) using a ball mill (Restol MM 2000, Retsch, Haan, Germany) before measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ values and total organic Cconcentrations. To determine the $\delta^{13}C$ values of SOC, carbonates were removed from soil samples by fumigation with 3 M HCl solution for 6 h (Meng et al., 2013). The soil samples were washed using deionized water and centrifuged three or four times to remove HCl. The total organic C and the acidified soil and plant samples were determined using an elemental analyzer (Flash EA1112, ThermoFinnigan, Milan, Italy). The δ^{13} C values of acidified soil and plant samples were determined using isotope-ratio mass spectrometry (DELTAplus XP, ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany) in the Stable Isotope Facility at the University of California, Davis, United States. The CO₂ for δ^{13} C measurements of soil solid carbonate was collected from a vacuum system in which soil samples reacted with 100% H₃PO₄ at 70°C for 3 h (Bughio et al., 2017). The collected CO₂ was then analyzed for δ^{13} C values using a DELTA V Advantage mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, United States) located at the Third Institute of Oceanography in Xiamen, China. The abundance of 13C was expressed as parts per thousand (∞) relative to the international standard (Pee Dee Belemnite, PDB; 0 ∞) expressed as delta units (δ) (Craig, 1953).

Calculations

The potential influence of carbonate on soil-respired ¹³CO₂ was determined by subtracting the δ^{13} C values of the soil CO₂ emissions from the unlabeled maize and wheat treatments, assuming that the CO₂ emissions from SOC decomposition, inorganic C release/absorption, and root-derived CO₂ were not affected by ¹³C pulse labeling (Meng et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2019). This assumption is reasonable because the ¹³C pulse labeling should not affect plant growth; therefore, there were no differences in the contributions of the three C sources to the soil-respired CO₂ between the ¹³C pulse-labeled plants and the unlabeled control plants. We applied the method described by Meng et al. (2013) and Sun et al. (2019) as follows:

Total soil – respired ¹³C [labeled treatments]

$$={}^{13}C_{SOC \ decomposition} + {}^{13}C_{root-derived \ [labeled]} + inorganic {}^{13}C_{release/absorbtion}$$
(1)

Total soil – respired ¹³C [unlabeled treatments]

$$= {}^{13}C_{SOC \ decomposition} + {}^{13}C_{root-derived \ [unlabeled]} + \ inorganic {}^{13}C_{release/absorbtion}$$
(2)

With the net 13 C labeled in soil respiration summarized as follows **Eqs. 1**, **2**:

Net ¹³C labeled in soil – respired
$$CO_2$$

=¹³C rhizosphere respiration[labeled] – ¹³C rhizosphere respiration[unlabeled] (3)

The ¹³C assimilated (mg ¹³C pot⁻¹) in plant biomass, SOC, and soil-respired CO2 was calculated as the difference between the ¹³C contents of the labeled and unlabeled samples (Hafner et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2019):

Assimilated ¹³C_{sample} =
$$\frac{\left[\left(atomic^{13}C\%\right)_{L} - \left(atomic^{13}C\%\right)_{NL}\right]_{sample}}{100} \times TC_{sample} \times 1000$$
(4)

where L and NL indicate labeled and unlabeled samples, respectively, and TC is the total C content.

The amount of net photosynthate-C (g C pot^{-1}) allocated to the different pools of the crop-soil system over time was estimated by using the method below (Remus and Augustin, 2016):

$$C_{\text{sample}} = \frac{dC_{\text{shoot}}}{dt} \times \frac{{}^{13}C_{\text{(sample(t))}}}{{}^{13}\%C_{\text{shoot(t)}}}$$
(5)

where C_{sample} is the amount of C in the investigated belowground pool (g C pot⁻¹), dC_{shoot}/dt is the crop shoot growth rate over time (mg C d⁻¹ pot⁻¹), ${}^{13}C_{sample}$ is the ${}^{13}C$ content transferred to the individual belowground pool over time (mg ${}^{13}C$ pot⁻¹), and $^{13}\text{Cshoot}$ is the ^{13}C content in the shoots over time (mg ^{13}C pot $^{-1}\text{)}.$

i) Two-source partitioning of CO₂ emissions from rhizosphere soil by ¹³C pulse labeling

First, root-derived CO_2 (C_{Root}) was quantified by Eq. 5 and then total soil CO_2 (C_T) was divided into soil-derived CO_2 (C_{Soil}) and root-derived CO_2 (Hafner et al., 2012):

$$C_{\rm T} = C_{\rm Root} + C_{\rm Soil} \tag{6}$$

$$1 = F_{\text{Root}} + F_{\text{Soil}} \tag{7}$$

where F_{Root} and F_{Soil} represent the ratios of root-derived and soilderived CO₂ to total CO₂ emissions from the rhizosphere soil, respectively.

ii) Three-source partitioning of CO₂ emissions from rhizosphere soil with the aid of ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling combined with natural ¹³C abundance techniques

The proportion of root-derived CO_2 in the planted soil was estimated by ¹³C pulse labeling based on step 1. The proportion of SOC-derived CO_2 in the total CO_2 emissions from the planted soil was calculated using the following three-pool ¹³C isotopic mixing model (Whitman and Lehmann, 2015; Kerré et al., 2016):

$$F_{SOC} = (1 - F_{Root}) - \frac{(\delta_{CO_2} - \delta_{Root}F_{Root} - \delta_{SOC}F_{SOC})}{\delta_{SIC}}$$
(8)

where F_{SOC} and F_{SIC} are the ratios of SOC decomposition and SIC dissolution contributions to CO_2 emissions from rhizosphere soil, respectively. $\delta_{CO_2}, \delta_{Root}, \delta_{SOC}$, and δ_{SIC} are the $\delta^{13}C$ values of CO_2 emissions from rhizosphere soil, roots, SOC, and SIC, respectively.

The proportion of SIC-derived CO_2 in the planted soil was calculated by subtracting the proportion of SOC-derived CO_2 and root-derived CO_2 from 1:

$$F_{SIC} = 1 - F_{SOC} - F_{Root}$$
(9)

Statistical Analysis

Wheat and maize growth stages were the experimental factors in this study. The experiment was carried out with four replicates for wheat and three replicates for maize and was arranged in a completely randomized design. The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the statistical significance of the effects of the plant growth stage using SPSS (Version 11.0, 2002, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). Fisher's least significant difference (HSD; p < 0.05) was used to test differences in the measured variables among the different labeling events.

RESULTS

Summer Maize and Winter Wheat Growth

As the maize grew, the biomass of shoots and whole plants increased and peaked at the heading stage, after which it



FIGURE 2 Biomass (**A**, **B**) and root/total plant weight (**C**, **D**) of maize and wheat at different growth stages (mean \pm SE, n = 3 for maize and n = 4 for wheat). Note: Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between growth stages at the p < 0.05 level.



remained stable; the biomass of maize roots did not differ significantly from the elongation to the grain filling stages (**Figure 2A**). The biomass of the shoots and whole plants significantly increased from the elongation stage to the grain filling stage in winter wheat. The root biomass of wheat reached a peak at the anthesis stage and then remained stable (**Figure 2B**). The ratio of root/total maize significantly decreased from elongation until the grain filling stage (**Figure 2C**), while that of wheat showed no significant difference between elongation and anthesis and then began to significantly decrease (Figure 2D).

Distribution of Net Assimilated ¹³C in the Plant–Soil System

In maize and wheat, the majority of net recovered ^{13}C (>53% in maize vs. >70% in wheat) was recovered in shoots at all growth



stages and increased as the growth stages advanced (Figures **3A,B**). The allocation of net recovered 13 C belowground (root, soil, and rhizosphere respiration) gradually decreased from the elongation to the grain filling stages in both maize and wheat plants (Figures 3A,B). In maize, the proportion of 13 C that was allocated to roots and soil decreased as the growth stages advanced, while the proportion of ¹³C allocated to rhizosphere respiration was not significantly different among different growth stages (Figure 3C). In comparison, the proportion of ¹³C translocated belowground by wheat and used for root growth, respiration, and exudation decreased during plant development (Figure 3D). In maize and wheat, the recovery of ¹³C from roots, soil, and rhizosphere respiration at all growth stages was in the order of rhizosphere respiration > roots > soil (with the exception of the elongation stage in maize; Figure 3).

Partitioning of Soil CO₂ Emissions

In the maize– and wheat–soil systems, 15.5–16.6% (**Figure 3C**) and 6.0–18.5% (**Figure 3D**) of net assimilated ¹³C was respired *via* rhizosphere respiration at all growth stages, respectively, and this proportion corresponded to the amount of root-derived CO₂, that is, 2.8–7.2 g CO₂-C pot⁻¹ for maize (**Figure 4A**) and 0.4 to 3.3 CO₂-C pot⁻¹ for wheat (**Figure 4B**), respectively. The root-derived CO₂ in the total soil CO₂ emissions was 28.8–66.5% in the maize-planted treatment (**Figure 4D**) according to the ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling technique. With the increase in the developmental stages of maize and wheat, the contribution of soil-derived C (SOC and SIC) to soil CO₂ emissions increased from

approximately 33 and 31% at the elongation stage to 74 and 87% at the grain filling stage in maize and wheat, respectively (**Figures 4C,D**).

The proportion of SOC-derived CO₂ was 20% for maize and 18% for wheat at the elongation stage and thereafter increased to 44 and 62%, respectively, at the grain filling stage (**Figures 4C,D**). In maize and wheat plants, 14–30% (**Figure 4C**) and 13–25% (**Figure 4D**) of SIC-derived CO₂ was respired *via* the rhizosphere at all growth stages, respectively. A linear two-source isotopic mixing model was used to calculate the contribution of SOC-derived and SIC-derived CO₂ to total CO₂ emissions in the unplanted soils (**Figures 4C,D**). In the unplanted soil, SOC-derived CO₂ accounted for the majority (69–75% and 56–71% for maize- and wheat-unplanted soils) of soil CO₂ emissions, while the contribution of CO₂ released from SIC dissolution was 25–31% and 29–44% at different growth stages, respectively (**Figures 4C,D**).

RE of Maize and Wheat on SOC and SIC Release

A positive RE was found in both soils planted with maize and wheat, resulting from enhanced CO_2 release from SOC decomposition and SIC dissolution (**Figure 5**). The RE of maize and wheat enhanced soil total C (STC) release by 86% (**Figure 5A**) and 58% (**Figure 5B**) over the whole growth period (from the elongation until the grain filling stage), respectively. For maize, the RE on SOC and SIC release was the lowest (14 and 74%) at the elongation stage and increased to 84 and 268% at the grain filling stage, respectively (**Figure 5C,E**). The RE of



FIGURE 5 Comparison of the crop rhizosphere effect (RE) on CO_2 release from STC, SOC, and SIC (mean ± SE, n = 3 for maize and n = 4 for wheat). Note: ST represents soil total C; E-G indicate the growth stages from elongation to grain filling.



wheat ranged from 51 to 77% for SOC-derived CO_2 and from 34 to 76% for SIC-derived CO_2 at all growth stages, being highest at the grain filling stage (**Figures 5D,F**). SOC decomposition in soils planted with maize and wheat increased by 54% (**Figure 5C**) and 64% (**Figure 5D**) over the entire growth season, respectively, compared to that in unplanted soils. The maize RE on SIC release (159%; **Figure 5E**) was higher than that on SOC release from the elongation to the grain filling stages,

whereas wheat RE resulted in lower SIC-derived CO_2 emissions (49%; Figure 5F).

In carbonate-rich soils with maize and wheat plants, from the elongation stage to the grain filling stage, the contribution of each source to total soil CO_2 emissions was as follows (**Figure 6**): 1) root-derived CO_2 was 50 and 42% 2) basal SOC-derived CO_2 was 19 and 24%, 3) basal SOC-derived CO_2 was 8 and 13%, 4) SOC-derived CO_2 stimulated by RE was 11 and 15%, and 5) SIC-



derived CO_2 stimulated by RE was 12 and 6% for maize and wheat, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Contribution of Carbonate Dissolution to Soil CO₂ Emissions

In our experiments, we observed that the estimated contribution of SIC to total soil-derived CO_2 emissions from unplanted soils ranged from 30 to 35% (**Figure 4**). Data from published articles showed that the contribution of carbonate (including soil endogenous inorganic C and exogenous lime) dissolution to soil endogenous C (SIC + SOC) release was between 3 and 95%, with an average value of $42 \pm 4\%$ and a 95% CI of 27–59% (**Supplementary Table S2**), which was much higher than our results. This indicates that the contribution of carbonate dissolution to soil CO_2 emissions is more important than previously thought. For instance, we estimated by literature synthesis that the contribution of SIC-derived CO_2 from carbonate-rich soils was $40 \pm 4\%$ and $47 \pm 7\%$ from liming of acidic soils (**Figure 7A**). Especially in intensive crop production systems with high nitrogen fertilization, the degree of carbonate dissolution may be high due to

the neutralization of nitrogen fertilization-induced acidity (Zamanian et al., 2018; Raza et al., 2020).

Possible Uncertainties in Partitioning Soil CO₂ Emissions by Three Sources

The partitioning of soil CO₂ in carbonate-rich soils with plants presents particular challenges (Tamir et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2020a). In carbonate-rich soils with plants (pH > 7), the following four sources may be the main contributors to CO₂ respiration (**Figure 1**): 1) root-derived CO₂, 2) SOC-derived CO₂, and 3) CO₂ from either root-derived or SOC-derived CO₂ that has been retained in the soil solution in the form of HCO₃⁻ (Zamanian et al., 2018) or 4) exchanged with soil carbonate (Chevallier et al., 2016; Bughio et al., 2017). Therefore, in carbonate-rich soils with plants, this approach accommodates the potential inorganic ¹³C release/absorption for quantifying ¹³C-labeled soil CO₂ emissions by using a control treatment (unlabeled treatments) and exploiting Δ^{13} C values (i.e., the difference between the δ^{13} C values of the unlabeled vs. ¹³C-labeled treatments) to determine the contribution of ¹³C-labeled CO₂ to rhizosphere respiration.

With this method, we assumed that the possible effects of isotopic fractionation by $\rm CO_2$ production from three different

sources (root, SOC, and SIC) are negligible when using ¹³C natural abundance. However, the literature was not conclusive on this point. The first shortcoming of the method affecting the accuracy is the magnitude of possible ¹³C isotope fractionation between roots and root-derived CO₂. For instance, the synthesis by Werth and Kuzyakov (2010) found that the δ^{13} C value of CO₂ released by root-derived respiration was more ¹³C-depleted by approximately 2.1 \pm 2.2‰ for C₃ plants and 1.3 \pm 2.4‰ for C₄ plants than was root tissue. Similar to Werth and Kuzyakov (2010), Zhu and Cheng (2011) also found that the δ^{13} C value of root-derived CO₂ was more ¹³C-depleted relative to root biomass during rhizosphere respiration (0.9-1.7‰ in C₃ plants vs. 3.7-7.0‰ in C₄ plants). Thus, isotopic fractionation by rootderived respiration should be considered when calculating the contribution of root sources to soil CO₂ emissions using ¹³C natural abundance. It is possible to identify ¹³C fractionation between root-derived CO_2 and root biomass, utilizing plants grown in C-free medium (e.g., in the vermiculite-sand mixture) (Cheng, 1996; Zhu and Cheng, 2011).

In carbonate-rich soils, only four studies have partitioned the soil CO₂ emissions from three C sources (exogenous organic C, SOC, and SIC; Supplementary Table S2) by using the difference in δ^{13} C signatures between SOC and SIC (Tamir et al., 2011; Plestenjak et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2020a; Fang et al., 2020b). However, the limitation of ¹³C natural abundance has been the same as with any other single-tracer method (e.g., ¹⁴C or ¹³C labeling), in which only two C sources of soil CO2 emissions can be separated (Blagodatskaya et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2017; Shahbaz et al., 2018). By using the δ^{13} C signature difference, in carbonate-rich soils, previous studies determined three C sources contributed to soil CO2 emission, assuming that SOC- (Tamir et al., 2011) or SICderived CO₂ emissions (Fang et al., 2020a; Fang et al., 2020b) were not affected by organic C addition. This may have caused underestimation or overestimation of SOC and SIC emissions because of ignoring the activation effects of organic C addition on SOC decomposition (priming effect) or SIC dissolution (organic acids released from organic C decomposition). Alternatively, the IsoSource program proposed by Phillips and Gregg (2003) has shown the potential for estimating the range of contributions of the three C sources to total soil CO₂ emissions (Plestenjak et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2019). In this study, with the aid of ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling combined with ¹³C natural abundance techniques, we took root effects on SOC and SIC release into account to estimate SIC and SOC contributions to soil CO₂ emissions. Similar to most studies about SIC- and SOC-derived CO_2 partitioning using ^{13}C natural abundance, our study also assumed that the $\delta^{13}C$ of SIC-derived CO_2 is equal to the $\delta^{13}C$ of carbonate and that of SOC-derived CO₂ is equal to the δ^{13} C of SOC. However, by a survey of the literature, Werth and Kuzyakov (2010) found a ¹³C enrichment of SOC-derived CO₂ compared to SOC in most cases for C_3 soils (between -0.5‰ and +1.7‰), while it was more depleted for C4 soils (up to -2.0‰). The soils used in our experiments were collected from an annual production system of winter wheat-summer maize since the 1990s, and our previous study found that the contribution of maize-derived C to SOC ranged from 3.5 to 11.6% using ¹³C natural abundance, which indicated that the SOC was mainly derived from C3 wheat (Meng

et al., 2014). Therefore, the isotopic fractionation range was closer to that of C₃ soil in our study. As in many isotopic studies on SOC decomposition, the isotopic fractionation between SOC and SOCderived CO₂ was usually neglected in the calculations due to the small fractionation range. Especially in laboratory studies with surface soils, a thin soil layer (approximately 20 cm in this study) likely leads to a small fractionation range (Boström et al., 2007). To determine the fractionation of SIC-derived CO₂ relative to SIC, it is important to eliminate the interference of SOC-derived CO₂ (Skidmore et al., 2004). Recently, a series of sterilization studies were conducted to partition the contribution of SIC to total soil CO₂ emissions by eliminating the microbial respiration process (Ma et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020). Consequently, in future studies, isotope fractionation in δ^{13} C values between SIC- and SIC-derived CO₂ should be measured by sterilization treatment.

RE of Maize and Wheat on SOC and SIC Release

The RE on SOC decomposition has been widely observed in numerous studies (Kumar et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2020), but studies of SIC release mediated by plants are still rare (Ahmad et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2020). Our results supported our hypothesis that RE would enhance SIC dissolution and subsequent CO₂ emission. From the elongation stage to the grain filling stage, the cumulative soil CO₂ emissions substantially increased by 159% (Figure 5E) and 49% (Figure 5F) in the presence of maize and wheat plants, respectively, compared with those without plants. Consistent with our studies, Ahmad et al. (2013) also showed that dissolution of lime was significantly enhanced in planted soil compared to unplanted control soil. Several reasons may be responsible for the positive RE (accelerated rates of SIC dissolution) on SIC release: 1) the released protons from plant roots acidify the soil and lead to soil carbonate dissolution (Mubarak and Nortcliff, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2013); 2) organic acids produced during the oxidation of organic matter (SOC and rhizodeposited C) may contribute to carbonate dissolution (Tamir et al., 2011); and 3) due to the exchange between CO₂, HCO₃, and CaCO₃ in carbonate soils, soil CO₂ from root-derived CO₂ and rhizosphere-stimulated SOC-derived CO2 may increase the partial pressure of CO₂ in the soil and hence result in CaCO₃ dissolution (Kumar et al., 2016; Zamanian et al., 2018). In this study, living roots significantly enhanced SOC mineralization over the whole growth stage, by 54% for maize (Figure 5C) and 64% for wheat (Figure 5D), compared to that in unplanted control soils. Moreover, the contribution of root-derived CO_2 to the total soil CO₂ efflux in our experiment ranged from 40 to 50% in maize and wheat plants (Figure 6). Hence, in our study, the positive RE on SIC release may be caused by the increase in partial pressure of CO₂ in the soil, which was derived from RE-stimulated SOC-derived CO₂ and root-derived CO₂.

With the progression of the growth period, the positive RE of maize and wheat on SOC decomposition increased significantly (**Figures 5C,D**). This corresponded well with the changes in the whole plant biomass of maize and wheat, which increased with the growth stage (**Figures 2A,B**), indicating that plants invest

additional C resources into root exudates (Cheng et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2018). Cheng et al. (2014) showed that enhanced root exudates may accelerate RE for SOM decomposition. Recent meta-analyses also noted that a positive RE on SOC decomposition (increased rates of SOC decomposition) has been more frequently reported than a negative RE (Huo et al., 2017). The positive RE has often been explained by the microbial activation mechanism, which is related to root-released labile C substrates stimulating microbial growth in the rhizosphere, thus leading to higher extracellular enzyme activities and accelerated SOC decomposition (Kumar et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2017). By literature synthesis of maize and wheat RE studies (Figure 7B), we found that the mean effect sizes of maize and wheat RE were 15% (95% CI between -27 and 75%) and 172% (95% confidence interval between 53 and 261%), respectively. Most of our results were in the confidence interval from the literature synthesis, which showed that the RE of maize and wheat led to 14-84% (Figure 5C) and 51-77% (Figure 5D) increases in the SOC decomposition rate at different growth stages, respectively. The intensity of the RE was dependent on root activity and rhizodeposit quantity. For instance, Kumar et al. (2016) suggested that the RE is determined in large part by rhizodeposit quantity. Hence, the RE at the early growth stages may not represent that over the whole growth season. The longer timescale of monitoring (99 days for maize and 230 days for wheat) in this study may provide a much more complete assessment of RE than previously described (Supplementary Table S3). However, the majority of studies of maize and wheat RE were conducted at the early growth stages, for example, <50 DAE in maize and <110 DAE in wheat (Supplementary Table S3).

Contribution of Root-Derived and Soil-Derived CO₂ to Soil CO₂ Emissions

Based on literature data from ¹³C/¹⁴C tracer experiments, we found that the contribution of root-derived CO₂ to total soil CO₂ emissions was 63% in maize-planted soil and 48% in wheat-planted soil (Figure 7C), which is comparable with our results (50% for maize and 42% for wheat; Figure 6). Hence, due to crop-derived CO₂, maize and wheat plants strongly increased total CO₂ emissions compared to those from unplanted soil. SOC- and SIC-derived CO2 emissions increased in the presence of maize and wheat roots (Figure 6). However, root exclusion experiments often use rootfree soils and neglect the RE on soil-derived CO2, which may lead to overestimation (positive RE) of root-derived CO2 (Kuzyakov, 2002; Huo et al., 2017). In acidic and neutral soils, the difference between results obtained with both isotope and nonisotope methods corresponds to the interaction between root exudates and SOC decomposition (Kuzyakov et al., 2001; Huo et al., 2017). In the carbonate-rich soils in this study, the RE also accelerated SICderived CO₂ emissions (Figure 6) due to the secretion of protons from roots (Mubarak and Nortcliff, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2013) and the increase in soil CO₂ concentrations from root-derived CO₂ and RE-stimulated SOC-derived CO2 (Kumar et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2020). In this study, the positive RE led to additional SIC-derived CO₂ emissions of approximately 159% in maizeplanted soil (Figure 5E) and 49% in wheat-planted soil (Figure 5F) compared to those from unplanted soil from the

elongation stage to the grain filling stage. Therefore, ignoring the RE of maize and wheat on soil-derived CO_2 emissions would result in underestimation by 46 and 36% compared to those from nonisotope methods, respectively (**Figure 6**).

CONCLUSION

The combination of ¹³C pulse labeling and ¹³C natural abundance techniques applied to carbonate-rich soils allowed us to separate the three sources of CO₂ emissions using only one stable isotope. We demonstrated this approach by partitioning soil CO₂ emissions derived from root-derived respiration (50 and 42% of total soil CO₂ emissions for maize and wheat, respectively), SOC mineralization (30 and 39%), and SIC dissolution (20 and 19%). Hence, in carbonate-rich soils, ignoring carbonate dissolution will lead to overestimation of SOC mineralization. Our results showed that the RE of maize and wheat stimulated SOC (54 and 64% for maize and wheat, respectively) and SIC (159 and 49%) release above the rates in unplanted soils. Therefore, ignoring this root effect will result in overestimation of rootderived CO₂ emissions.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FM conceived and designed the experiments. ZS and MH performed the experiments. ZS, FW, and FM analyzed the data, and ZS, BZ, and FM wrote the paper. All authors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work received financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31370527, 30870414, and 31988102) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2020QD042).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate Zichao Zhao for his assistance with isotope analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2021.654354/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, W., Dijkstra, F. A., Dalal, R. C., and Singh, B. (2020). Plant Roots Are More Important Than Temperature in Modulating Carbon Release in a Limed Acidic Soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 71, 727–739. doi:10.1111/ejss.12899
- Ahmad, W., Singh, B., Dijkstra, F. A., and Dalal, R. C. (2013). Inorganic and Organic Carbon Dynamics in a Limed Acid Soil Are Mediated by Plants. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57, 549–555. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.013
- Blagodatskaya, E., Yuyukina, T., Blagodatsky, S., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2011). Threesource-partitioning of Microbial Biomass and of CO2 Efflux from Soil to Evaluate Mechanisms of Priming Effects. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 778–786. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.12.011
- Boström, B., Comstedt, D., and Ekblad, A. (2007). Isotope Fractionation and 13C Enrichment in Soil Profiles during the Decomposition of Soil Organic Matter. *Oecologia* 153, 89–98. doi:10.1007/s00442-007-0700-8
- Bughio, M. A., Wang, P., Meng, F., Chen, Q., Li, J., and Shaikh, T. A. (2017). Neoformation of Pedogenic Carbonate and Conservation of Lithogenic Carbonate by Farming Practices and Their Contribution to Carbon Sequestration in Soil. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 180, 454–463. doi:10.1002/jpln. 201500650
- Cardinael, R., Chevallier, T., Guenet, B., Girardin, C., Cozzi, T., Pouteau, V., et al. (2019). Organic Carbon Decomposition Rates with Depth and Contribution of Inorganic Carbon to CO2 Emissions under a Mediterranean Agroforestry System. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 71, 909–923. doi:10.1111/ejss.12908
- Cheng, W., Johnson, D. W., and Fu, S. (2003). Rhizosphere Effects on Decomposition: Controls of Plant Species, Phenology and Fertilization. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 67, 1418–1427. doi:10.2136/sssaj2003.1418
- Cheng, W. (1996). Measurement of Rhizosphere Respiration and Organic Matter Decomposition Using Natural 13C. *Plant Soil* 183, 263–268. doi:10.1007/ BF00011441
- Cheng, W., Parton, W. J., Gonzalez-Meler, M. A., Phillips, R., Asao, S., McNickle, G. G, et al. (2014). Synthesis and modeling perspectives of rhizosphere priming. *New Phytol.* 201, 31–44. doi:10.1111/nph.12440
- Chevallier, T., Cournac, L., Hamdi, S., Gallali, T., and Bernoux, M. (2016). Temperature dependence of CO2 emissions rates and isotopic signature from a calcareous soil. J. Arid Environ. 135, 132–139. doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv. 2016.08.002
- Craig, H. (1953). The Geochemistry of the Stable Carbon Isotopes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 3, 53–92. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(53)90001-5
- Cui, J., Ge, T., Kuzyakov, Y., Nie, M., Fang, C., Tang, B., et al. (2017). Interactions between Biochar and Litter Priming: A Three-Source 14C and δ13C Partitioning Study. Soil Biol. Biochem. 104, 49–58. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016. 10.014
- Dong, X., Singh, B. P., Li, G., Lin, Q., and Zhao, X. (2019). Biochar Increased Field Soil Inorganic Carbon Content Five Years after Application. *Soil Tillage Res.* 186, 36–41. doi:10.1016/j.still.2018.09.013
- Fang, Y., Singh, B. P., Collins, D., Armstrong, R., Van Zwieten, L., and Tavakkoli, E. (2020a). Nutrient Stoichiometry and Labile Carbon Content of Organic Amendments Control Microbial Biomass and Carbon-Use Efficiency in a Poorly Structured Sodic-Subsoil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 56, 219–233. doi:10.1007/ s00374-019-01413-3
- Fang, Y., Singh, B. P., Farrell, M., Van Zwieten, L., Armstrong, R., Chen, C., et al. (2020b). Balanced Nutrient Stoichiometry of Organic Amendments Enhances Carbon Priming in a Poorly Structured Sodic Subsoil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 145, 107800. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107800
- Hafner, S., Unteregelsbacher, S., Seeber, E., Lena, B., Xu, X., Li, X., et al. (2012). Effect of Grazing on Carbon Stocks and Assimilate Partitioning in a Tibetan Montane Pasture Revealed by 13CO2 Pulse Labeling. *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 528–538. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02557.x
- Harris, D., Porter, L. K., and Paul, E. A. (1997). Continuous Flow Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry of Carbon Dioxide Trapped as Strontium Carbonate. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 28, 747–757. doi:10.1080/00103629709369827
- Huo, C., Luo, Y., and Cheng, W. (2017). Rhizosphere Priming Effect: A Meta-Analysis. Soil Biol. Biochem. 111, 78–84. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017. 04.003
- Kerré, B., Hernandez-Soriano, M. C., and Smolders, E. (2016). Partitioning of Carbon Sources Among Functional Pools to Investigate Short-Term Priming

Effects of Biochar in Soil: A 13C Study. Sci. Total Environ. 547, 30-38. doi:10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.107

- Kumar, A., Kuzyakov, Y., and Pausch, J. (2016). Maize Rhizosphere Priming: Field Estimates Using 13C Natural Abundance. *Plant Soil* 409, 87–97. doi:10.1007/ s11104-016-2958-2
- Kuzyakov, Y., and Bol, R. (2005). Three Sources of CO2 Efflux from Soil Partitioned by13C Natural Abundance in an Incubation Study. *Rapid Commun. Mass. Spectrom.* 19, 1417–1423. doi:10.1002/rcm.1938
- Kuzyakov, Y., Ehrensberger, H., and Stahr, K. (2001). Carbon Partitioning and Below-Ground Translocation by *Lolium Perenne*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33 (1), 61–74. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00115-2
- Kuzyakov, Y. (2010). Priming Effects: Interactions between Living and Dead Organic Matter. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42 (9), 1363–1371. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio. 2010.04.003
- Kuzyakov, Y. (2002). Review: Factors Affecting Rhizosphere Priming Effects. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 165 (4), 66–70. doi:10.1002/1522-2624(200208)165: 4<382::AID-JPLN382>3.0.CO;2-%23
- Lal, R. (2004). Soil Carbon Sequestration Impacts on Global Climate Change and Food Security. Science 304, 1623–1627. doi:10.1126/science.1097396
- Lin, G., Ehleringer, J. R., Rygiewicz, P. T., Johnson, M. G., and Tingey, D. T. (1999). Elevated CO 2 and Temperature Impacts on Different Components of Soil CO 2 Efflux in Douglas-fir Terracosms. *Glob. Change Biol.* 5, 157–168. doi:10.1046/ j.1365-2486.1999.00211.x
- Lardner, T., George, S., and Tibbett, M. (2015). Interacting controls on innate sources of CO2 efflux from a calcareous arid zone soil under experimental acidification and wetting. J. Arid Environ. 122, 117–123. doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv. 2015.07.001
- Liu, S., Zhou, L., Li, H., Zhao, X., Yang, Y., Zhu, Y., et al. (2020). Shrub Encroachment Decreases Soil Inorganic Carbon Stocks in Mongolian Grasslands. J. Ecol. 108, 678–686. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.13298
- Ma, J., Liu, R., and Li, Y. (2017). Abiotic Contribution to Total Soil CO2 Flux across a Broad Range of Land-Cover Types in a Desert Region. J. Arid Land 9, 13–26. doi:10.1007/s40333-016-0061-4
- Meng, F., Dungait, J. A. J., Zhang, X., He, M., Guo, Y., and Wu, W. (2013). Investigation of Photosynthate-C Allocation 27 Days after 13C-Pulse Labeling of Zea mays L. At Different Growth Stages. *Plant Soil* 373, 755–764. doi:10. 1007/s11104-013-1841-7
- Meng, F., Lal, R., Kuang, X., Ding, G., and Wu, W. (2014). Soil Organic Carbon Dynamics within Density and Particle-Size Fractions of Aquic Cambisols under Different Land Use in Northern China. *Geoderma Reg.* 1, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j. geodrs.2014.05.001
- Mubarak, A. R., and Nortcliff, S. (2010). Calcium Carbonate Solubilization through H-Proton Release from Some Legumes Grown in Calcareous Saline-Sodic Soils. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 21, 24–31. doi:10.1002/ldr.962
- Pan, G. X. (1999). Study on Carbon Reservoir in Soils of China. Bull. Sci. Technol. 15, 330–332.
- Phillips, D. L., and Gregg, J. W. (2003). Source Partitioning Using Stable Isotopes: Coping with Too Many Sources. *Oecologia* 136, 261–269. doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1218-3
- Plestenjak, G., Eler, K., Vodnik, D., Ferlan, M., Čater, M., Kanduč, T., et al. (2012). Sources of Soil CO2 in Calcareous Grassland with Woody Plant Encroachment. J. Soils Sediments 12, 1327–1338. doi:10.1007/s11368-012-0564-3
- Raza, S., Miao, N., Wang, P., Ju, X., Chen, Z., Zhou, J., et al. (2020). Dramatic Loss of Inorganic Carbon by Nitrogen-induced Soil Acidification in Chinese Croplands. *Glob. Change Biol.* 26, 3738–3751. doi:10.1111/gcb.15101
- Remus, R., and Augustin, J. (2016). Dynamic Linking of 14C Partitioning with Shoot Growth Allows a Precise Determination of Plant-Derived C Input to Soil. *Plant Soil* 408, 493–513. doi:10.1007/s11104-016-3006-y
- Schindlbacher, A., Borken, W., Djukic, I., Brandstätter, C., Spötl, C., and Wanek, W. (2015). Contribution of carbonate weathering to the CO2 efflux from temperate forest soils. *Biogeochemistry* 124, 273–290. doi:10.1007/s10533-015-0097-0
- Shahbaz, M., Kumar, A., Kuzyakov, Y., Börjesson, G., and Blagodatskaya, E. (2018). Priming Effects Induced by Glucose and Decaying Plant Residues on SOM Decomposition: A Three-Source 13C/14C Partitioning Study. Soil Biol. Biochem. 121, 138–146. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.004
- Skidmore, M., Sharp, M., and Tranter, M. (2004). Kinetic Isotopic Fractionation during Carbonate Dissolution in Laboratory Experiments: Implications for

Detection of Microbial CO₂ Signatures Using 8¹³C-DIC. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 4309–4317. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.09.024

- Sun, Z., Wu, S., Zhang, Y., Meng, F., Zhu, B., and Chen, Q. (2019). Effects of Nitrogen Fertilization on Pot-grown Wheat Photosynthate Partitioning within Intensively Farmed Soil Determined by 13 C Pulse-labeling. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 182, 896–907. doi:10.1002/jpln.201800603
- Tamir, G., Shenker, M., Heller, H., Bloom, P. R., Fine, P., and Bar-Tal, A. (2011). Can Soil Carbonate Dissolution Lead to Overestimation of Soil Respiration? Soil Sci. Soc. America J. 75, 1414–1422. doi:10.2136/sssaj2010. 0396
- Tian, J., Pausch, J., Yu, G., Blagodatskaya, E., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2016). Aggregate Size and Glucose Level Affect Priming Sources: A Three-Source-Partitioning Study. Soil Biol. Biochem. 97, 199–210. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.03.013
- Wang, X. J., Xu, M. G., Wang, J. P., Zhang, W. J., Yang, X. Y., Huang, S. M., et al. (2014). Fertilization Enhancing Carbon Sequestration as Carbonate in Arid Cropland: Assessments of Long-Term Experiments in Northern China. *Plant Soil* 380, 89–100. doi:10.1007/s11104-014-2077-x
- Wang, Z. Y., Xie, J. B., Wang, Y. G., and Li, Y. (2020). Biotic and Abiotic Contribution to Diurnal Soil CO2 Fluxes from Saline/Alkaline Soils. Sci. Rep. 10, 5396. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-62209-2
- Weng, Z., Liu, X., Eldridge, S., Wang, H., Rose, T., Rose, M., et al. (2020). Priming of Soil Organic Carbon Induced by Sugarcane Residues and its Biochar Control the Source of Nitrogen for Plant Uptake: A Dual 13C and 15N Isotope Three-Source-Partitioning Study. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 146, 107792. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2020.107792
- Werth, M., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2010). 13C Fractionation at the Root-Microorganisms-Soil Interface: A Review and Outlook for Partitioning Studies. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 1372–1384. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010. 04.009
- Whitman, T., and Lehmann, J. (2015). A Dual-Isotope Approach to Allow Conclusive Partitioning between Three Sources. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8708. doi:10.1038/ncomms9708
- Wu, H., Guo, Z., Gao, Q., and Peng, C. (2009). Distribution of Soil Inorganic Carbon Storage and its Changes Due to Agricultural Land Use Activity in China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 129 (4), 413–421. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2008.10. 0210.1016/j.agee.2008.10.020

- Yin, L., Xiao, W., Dijkstra, F. A., Zhu, B., Wang, P., and Cheng, W. (2020). Linking Absorptive Roots and Their Functional Traits with Rhizosphere Priming of Tree Species. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 150, 107997. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2020.107997
- Yu, Z., Li, Y., Jin, J., Liu, X., and Wang, G. (2017). Carbon Flow in the Plant-Soil-Microbe Continuum at Different Growth Stages of Maize Grown in a Mollisol. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 63, 362–374. doi:10.1080/03650340.2016.1211788
- Zamanian, K., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2019). Contribution of Soil Inorganic Carbon to Atmospheric CO₂ : More Important Than Previously Thought. *Glob. Change Biol.* 25, e1–e3. doi:10.1111/gcb.14463
- Zamanian, K., Zarebanadkouki, M., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2018). Nitrogen Fertilization Raises CO2efflux from Inorganic Carbon: A Global Assessment. Glob. Change Biol. 24, 2810–2817. doi:10.1111/gcb.14148
- Zhu, B., and Cheng, W. (2011). ¹³C Isotope Fractionation during Rhizosphere Respiration of C₃ and C₄ Plants. *Plant Soil* 342, 277–287. doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0691-9
- Zhu, B., Gutknecht, J. L. M., Herman, D. J., Keck, D. C., Firestone, M. K., and Cheng, W. (2014). Rhizosphere Priming Effects on Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Mineralization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 76, 183–192. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014. 04.033
- Zhu, Z., Ge, T., Liu, S., Hu, Y., Ye, R., Xiao, M., et al. (2018). Rice Rhizodeposits Affect Organic Matter Priming in Paddy Soil: The Role of N Fertilization and Plant Growth for Enzyme Activities, CO₂ and CH₄ Emissions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 116, 369–377. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.001

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Sun, Zhu, Wang, He and Meng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.