#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Paweł Tomczyk, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland

REVIEWED BY Mohamed Gad, University of Sadat City, Egypt Chengyu Xie, Xiangtan University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yuping Han, is comhanyp@ncwu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 13 December 2023 ACCEPTED 08 January 2024 PUBLISHED 19 January 2024

CITATION

Zhao M, Wei J, Han Y, Shi J and Wang S (2024), Water resource security evaluation and barrier analysis in Henan Province utilizing the DPSIR framework. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 12:1354175. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2024.1354175

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhao, Wei, Han, Shi and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Water resource security evaluation and barrier analysis in Henan Province utilizing the DPSIR framework

Mengdie Zhao<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jinhai Wei<sup>1</sup>, Yuping Han<sup>1</sup>\*, Jiahao Shi<sup>1</sup> and Shuaibin Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Water Resources, North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power, Zhengzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Yellow River Survey Planning and Design Institute Co., Ltd., Zhengzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Intensive Conservation of the Yellow River Basin, Zhengzhou, China

Water resource health is one of the necessary conditions for society to achieve sustainable development. Due to the predominant focus of most studies on relatively short time spans, with limited attention to long time series and spatial trends, this study, using various regions of Henan Province as a case study, constructs a water resource security assessment framework based on the DPSIR model encompassing Drivers (D), Pressures (P), State (S), Impact (I), and Response (R) dimensions, with a selection of 19 evaluation indicators. Based on this evaluation index system, the CRITIC-TOPSIS evaluation method is formulated by integrating the CRITIC (Criteria Importance Through Intercriteria Correlation) and TOPSIS (Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution) models. This method is employed to assess the degree of water resource security in Henan Province from 2013 to 2022. And the Obstruction Degree Model is introduced to diagnose the water resource security levels in various regions of Henan Province. The assessment results indicate that over the past decade, the overall level of water resource security in various regions of Henan Province has shown an increasing trend. Irrigated area, per capita water resources, water consumption per unit of industrial value added, per acre water consumption for agricultural irrigation, the ratio of river length meeting water quality standards, groundwater supply proportion, and sewage treatment rate are identified as the primary obstacles influencing the water resource security levels in different regions of Henan Province. The research outcomes of this study can serve as theoretical foundations to enhance urban water resource security globally, ultimately facilitating sustainable development.

#### KEYWORDS

water resource security, DPSIR model, CRITIC-TOPSIS model, obstacle factor analysis, Henan Province

# Highlights

- Construct DPSIR model evaluation index system
- The critical-TOPSIS model was established to evaluate the degree of water resources security in Henan Province
- The main obstacle factors of water resource security were calculated
- According to the results provide corresponding policy Suggestions

# **1** Introduction

In recent years, with the rapid advancement of global climate change and economic development, the issue of water resource security has drawn widespread attention. Water resources constitute the foundation for human societal survival and development, and ensuring the security of these resources is of paramount significance for achieving sustainable development and environmental protection (Lei et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2023). As the largest agricultural province in China, Henan Province plays a pivotal role in supporting grain production, ecological conservation, and the livelihoods of its people through its water resources. However, with the rapid growth of the economy and the continual increase in population, Henan Province's water resources are facing severe challenges and pressures (Liu S. et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023).

The assessment of water resource security is a crucial step in identifying and addressing water resource issues, providing a basis for the formulation of scientifically effective management strategies and measures (Li et al., 2020). However, the majority of current research efforts are primarily focused on relatively short time spans, with limited attention given to long-term temporal sequences and spatial trends. For instance, Gong et al. (2023a) employed the entropy method to assess the water resource security in Shanxi Province for the year 2020. Chen et al (2023a) utilized the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to evaluate water resource security in Heilongjiang Province for the period from 2016 to 2020. Therefore, this study adopts the DPSIR (Driving forces-Pressures-State-Impacts-Responses) model (Shan et al., 2022; Margarita et al., 2023; Xi et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023), capable of reflecting causal relationships between indicators, to construct a comprehensive system of water security assessment indicators. The CRITIC-TOPSIS method is then employed to analyze the water resource security levels in Henan Province from 2013 to 2022. Furthermore, an obstacle degree model is introduced to identify the primary obstacles influencing the level of water resource security.

When employing the DPSIR model as the analytical framework in this study, it necessitates a comprehensive consideration of the interactions among the natural environment, human activities, and policy measures (Zhao et al., 2022). Therefore, in assessing driving forces, the impacts of factors such as population growth, economic development, and urbanization on water resource security must be taken into account. When evaluating pressure factors, considerations should include the increase in water resource demand and the diffusion of water pollution, revealing the sources of pressure faced by the water resource system (Chen et al., 2022; Tesfaldet and Nideh, 2022). Assessing the state of water resources can involve aspects such as quantity, quality, and ecological functionality, aiming to gain a comprehensive understanding of the current status of water resources. In evaluating the impact of water resources on the environment, socio-economic aspects, etc., considerations should extend to ecological degradation, socio-economic losses, and other relevant factors, emphasizing the significance of water resource security. Lastly, it is essential to consider response measures and management plans at various government levels to assess the

influence and improvements of existing policies on water resource security.

By systematically assessing these factors, a more comprehensive understanding of the current status of water resource security in Henan Province can be achieved. Building upon this foundation, the application of the impediment degree model allows for the identification of key obstacles influencing the level of water resource security (Yan et al., 2020; Yang and Dong, 2020; Chen and Yan, 2021). These obstacles may involve insufficient water resource management, water pollution, over-extraction, ecosystem degradation, and climate change issues. For instance, the rapid economic development and urbanization processes in Henan Province have led to a substantial demand for water resources, thereby intensifying pressure on water resources (Hao et al., 2023; Li B. et al., 2023). Simultaneously, water pollution resulting from agricultural, industrial, and urbanization activities has significantly impacted the quality and sustainable utilization of water resources (Zhang et al., 2023; Liu Y. et al., 2023). Additionally, excessive extraction of groundwater resources may lead to issues such as declining groundwater levels and reduced water volume, posing a threat to the sustainability of agricultural irrigation and people's domestic water supply (Bera and mukhopadhyay, 2023).

Through the study of the water resource security level in Henan Province, the research findings not only serve as a scientific reference for the sustainable utilization and management of water resources in Henan Province but also offer effective decision support for relevant departments and policymakers. Furthermore, they provide valuable insights and guidance for other regions and countries in the assessment and management of water resource security. Water resource security is a global challenge that requires collaborative efforts from all parties to achieve sustainable utilization and protection. Through in-depth research and analysis, we can better comprehend the complexity of water resource security and implement targeted measures to address current and future water resource issues, contributing to the construction of a sustainable future.

### 2 Literature review

# 2.1 Research progress of water resources security evaluation

Research on water resources security assessment has made significant progress in recent years. The following is a summary of the research progress in water resources security assessment:

Firstly, researchers have widely applied various evaluation indicators and methods to comprehensively assess the status of water resources security. Zhang C. et al. (2021), for instance, conducted a comprehensive selection of indicators encompassing water quantity, water quality, water use efficiency, and water environmental conditions. The aim was to provide a comprehensive depiction of the water resources situation. At the same time, the use of remote sensing technology and geographic information systems has enhanced the monitoring and assessment capabilities of water resources (Chen et al., 2023). Secondly, water resources security assessment research has gradually focused on considering regional differences and spatiotemporal variations (Zhou et al., 2022). Researchers have realized that water resources security faces different challenges and issues in different regions and time scales. Zhang et al. (2021a), for instance, conducted an analysis of the water diversion area of the Yellow River in Henan Province across different time periods. Therefore, quantitative and qualitative analyses have been conducted for different regions and time periods, providing more targeted recommendations for water resources management (Lu et al., 2022).

Thirdly, water resources security assessment research has been integrated with the goals of sustainable development. With the popularization of the concept of sustainable development, researchers have started to integrate water resources security assessment with factors such as ecological conservation, economic development, and social equity, proposing comprehensive water resources management schemes (Guo et al., 2023). These schemes aim to achieve sustainable utilization of water resources, ensure people's basic water needs, and promote sustainable economic development (Cheng et al., 2023).

Lastly, researchers have actively explored new research methods and techniques. For example, the application of artificial intelligence, big data analysis, and other technologies has improved the accuracy and efficiency of water resources security assessment (Zaghloul et al., 2023). Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration has become an important trend in water resources security assessment research, integrating knowledge and methods from different fields to provide more comprehensive and holistic solutions for water resources management (Sinha et al., 2023).

In conclusion, significant progress has been made in water resources security assessment research in terms of indicators and methods, regional differences, sustainable development goals, and technological means. In the future, further research collaboration and exploration of the potential of water resources security assessment are needed to make greater contributions to achieving sustainable water resources management and ensuring water security for people.

# 2.2 Research progress of water resources security optimization

Research on water resources security optimization aims to improve the efficiency of water utilization and management strategies to ensure sustainable supply of water resources and maintain ecological environments. Significant progress has been made in this field in recent years. The following is a summary of the research progress in water resources security optimization:

Firstly, researchers have actively explored methods and technologies to improve water resource utilization efficiency. Measures such as improving irrigation techniques, promoting water-saving devices, and optimizing water supply systems have been implemented to enhance water resource utilization efficiency (Castellini et al., 2022). Additionally, water resource scheduling research has been conducted using mathematical models and optimization algorithms to achieve rational allocation and distribution of water resources, improving the level of supply-demand matching (Tahiri et al., 2022).

Secondly, research on water resources security optimization has increasingly emphasized the synergy between ecological environment protection and water resources management. Researchers have recognized the crucial importance of protecting and restoring aquatic ecosystems for water resources security. Jia (2017), for instance, optimized the distribution and security status assessment of water resources in Henan Province.

Thirdly, research on water resources security optimization has strengthened social participation and collaboration with stakeholders. Researchers value public participation and the opinions and needs of stakeholders, introducing diverse interests in water resources management to achieve fair, just, and sustainable water resources management (Wang and Guo, 2021). Collaboration with governments, businesses, and social organizations has been fostered to jointly promote the implementation of water resources security optimization.

Lastly, researchers have also focused on the impact of climate change on water resources security and actively researched response strategies. By analyzing the effects of climate change on water cycling and water supply, researchers have proposed adaptive regulation and risk management methods to mitigate the adverse impact of climate change on water resources security (Ren and Zhang, 2018).

In conclusion, significant progress has been made in research on water resources security optimization in terms of improving water resource utilization efficiency, ecological environment protection, social participation, and climate change adaptation. In the future, further in-depth research and exploration of new methods and strategies are needed to achieve sustainable utilization of water resources and ensure harmonious development between human society and the ecological environment.

# 2.3 Research progress on obstacle factors analysis of water resources security

Research on the analysis of obstacles to water resources security aims to identify and analyze various factors that affect water resources security, providing a scientific basis for effective water resources management strategies. Significant progress has been made in this field in recent years. The following is a summary of the research progress in the analysis of obstacles to water resources security:

Firstly, researchers have extensively explored and identified various factors that influence water resources security (You et al., 2023). These factors include natural factors (such as climate change, rainfall pattern variations), human factors (such as water pollution, overexploitation), policy factors (such as water resources management policies, laws and regulations), and socio-economic factors (such as population growth, economic development). Through in-depth analysis of these factors, researchers can have a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and obstacles faced by water resources security (Chen et al., 2023).

Secondly, research on the analysis of obstacles to water resources security has gradually emphasized the interrelationships and comprehensive impacts among different factors. Researchers have recognized that water resources security is a complex system with interactions and linkages among various factors (Guo and Hui, 2022). Therefore, researchers have conducted comprehensive assessments and model analyses to reveal the relationships between different factors and predict their comprehensive impacts on water resources security.

Thirdly, research on the analysis of obstacles to water resources security pays attention to regional differences and considerations of sustainability. Water resources security faces different obstacle factors and challenges in different regions. Researchers have compared and analyzed different regions to reveal the impact of regional differences on water resources security and provide targeted management measures and policy recommendations (Yuan et al., 2022). Furthermore, emphasis is placed on sustainability considerations, highlighting the long-term and sustainable nature of water resources management strategies to ensure the sustainable supply of water resources and the protection of the ecological environment.

Lastly, researchers have also conducted research on countermeasures, exploring methods and measures to address the obstacles to water resources security. Through the analysis of various obstacle factors, researchers have proposed corresponding countermeasures and management suggestions, such as improving water resources management policies, promoting environmental protection measures, and facilitating the application of water-saving technologies, to address and overcome obstacles to water resources security.

In conclusion, significant progress has been made in research on the analysis of obstacles to water resources security in terms of factor identification, analysis of interrelationships, regional differences, and research on countermeasures. In the future, further research and enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration are needed to provide more scientific and effective recommendations for water resources management decisions, in order to achieve sustainable water resources utilization and ensure water resources security.

### 2.4 Literature summary

Significant progress has been made in the research on water resources security. Firstly, researchers comprehensively understand the various factors affecting water resources security, encompassing natural, anthropogenic, policy-related, and socio-economic aspects, achieved through extensive exploration and analysis. Secondly, there is an increasing emphasis among researchers on exploring the interrelationships and integrated impacts of different factors, aiming for a better comprehension of the complexity surrounding water resources security. Furthermore, attention has been directed towards regional disparities and sustainability considerations, offering tailored management recommendations for different areas. Finally, by studying the barriers to water resources security, corresponding strategies and measures have been proposed to address and overcome the challenges faced by water resources security. In summary, these advancements in research provide crucial foundations and guidance for formulating scientifically effective strategies in water resources management.

### 3 Materials and methodologies

#### 3.1 Study area

Henan Province is a province located in the central part of China, in the middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River. The province is renowned for its abundant water resources, prosperous socio-economic development, and diverse ecological environment. In terms of water resources, Henan Province is situated in the Yellow River Basin, benefiting from rich water resources (Ma et al., 2023). The Yellow River, known as one of the mother rivers of China, provides crucial water sources for the province. Additionally, the province is home to numerous rivers and lakes, such as the Yellow River, Yangtze River, Qi River, Ying River, and the South-to-North Water Diversion Project in the southeast of Henan Province. These water sources play a vital role in supporting local agricultural irrigation, industrial water usage, and urban water supply. In terms of socio-economic development, Henan Province is one of the most populous provinces in China with a relatively high population density. The province has experienced rapid economic growth and possesses a diversified industrial structure. Agriculture serves as a significant pillar industry in Henan Province, with major crops including rice, wheat, and cotton, as well as livestock farming. Furthermore, the province has witnessed significant developments in sectors such as manufacturing, energy, construction materials, and logistics. Industries such as steel, automobile, electronics, and food processing demonstrate certain strengths. The provincial capital, Zhengzhou, serves as the political, economic, and transportation center, attracting substantial investments and talents. In terms of ecological environment, Henan Province boasts diverse ecological landscapes, including mountains, plains, lakes, and rivers. The province actively engages in environmental protection efforts and places emphasis on ecological restoration. Protection and governance of water resources, improvement of river water quality through enhanced monitoring and management, and promotion of energy conservation, emission reduction, and sustainable development concepts are prioritized. Additionally, the province actively promotes the development of green industries such as ecological agriculture and ecotourism. Henan Province is renowned for its abundant water resources, prosperous socio-economic development, and diverse ecological environment (An et al., 2023). Significant progress has been made in the aspects of water resources, socio-economic development, and ecological environment, providing a solid foundation for sustainable development. In the future, Henan Province will continue to strive for a balance between economic development and environmental protection, aiming for a more sustainable development path. The regional map of Henan Province is shown in Figure 1.

### 3.2 The connotation of safe water resources

Water resource security refers to the protection, management, and rational utilization of water resources to ensure a sustainable and reliable water supply for human society and ecosystems. The essence of water resource security encompasses several aspects: Firstly, water resource security includes the preservation of the functionality and quality of water sources. Safeguarding the ecological environment of water sources and maintaining the water quality of groundwater and surface water form the foundation for ensuring water resource security. By implementing rigorous soil and water conservation measures, environmental monitoring, and water pollution control, the health of the ecosystems in water source areas can be protected,



reducing water resource contamination and losses. Secondly, water resource security also involves balancing water supply and demand and ensuring equitable distribution. With population growth and economic development, the contradiction between water supply and demand is becoming increasingly prominent. Rational planning and management of water resources are necessary to ensure that water supply meets the basic needs of the people while balancing the requirements of economic development and ecological conservation. This requires the establishment of scientific water resource management systems, optimization of water resource allocation, and improvement of water resource utilization efficiency. Furthermore, water resource security also encompasses the capacity to cope with climate change and natural disasters. Climate change alters precipitation patterns and water cycles, posing new challenges to water resource security. To address extreme climate events and natural disasters, it is crucial to enhance monitoring and early warning capabilities, construct disaster prevention and control facilities, and improve emergency response and recovery capabilities. Lastly, water resource security requires strengthened international cooperation and sharing. Water resources are transboundary and shared resources, necessitating increased collaboration among countries to collectively address water resource security challenges. By promoting the establishment of international cooperation mechanisms and sharing technologies, experiences, and information, we can jointly advance the sustainable utilization and protection of water resources, achieving global water resource security. In summary, the essence of water resource security includes the preservation of water sources, balancing supply and demand, addressing climate change and disasters, and enhancing international cooperation. Only through comprehensive management and cooperation can we ensure long-term access to safe and reliable water supplies for human society and ecosystems, enabling sustainable development.

### 3.3 The DPSIR model framework

The DPSIR model framework is an analytical tool used to assess and understand the interactions between human activities and the environment (Li et al., 2012). DPSIR stands for Driving forces, Pressures, State, Impact, and Response, which are the key components of the framework. Each component represents a stage in the causal chain of environmental issues and serves as a basis for analysis and decision-making. Here's an explanation of each component:

 Driving forces: These are the underlying factors or processes that influence human activities and ultimately have an impact on the environment. Driving forces can include social, economic, technological, and policy-related factors, such as population growth, industrial development, urbanization, consumption patterns, and legislative frameworks.



- 2) Pressures: Pressures refer to the specific actions or activities that exert stress or pressure on the environment. These can be direct or indirect and include factors like pollution emissions, resource extraction, land-use changes, habitat destruction, and climate change-related activities.
- 3) State: The state component describes the current condition or state of the environment. It involves monitoring and assessing various environmental parameters, such as air quality, water quality, biodiversity levels, ecosystem health, and natural resource availability.
- 4) Impact: Impact represents the consequences or effects of the pressures exerted on the environment. This component focuses on understanding the direct and indirect impacts on ecosystems, human health, natural resources, and socio-economic systems. Examples include biodiversity loss, water scarcity, air pollutionrelated health problems, and economic costs.
- 5) Response: The response component involves the measures and actions taken in response to the identified environmental issues. This can include policies, regulations, management strategies, technological innovations, awareness campaigns,

and international cooperation aimed at mitigating or adapting to the impacts, addressing the driving forces, and reducing pressures on the environment.

The DPSIR model provides a systematic framework for analyzing complex environmental issues, identifying cause-andeffect relationships, and informing decision-making processes. It helps policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders understand the interactions between human activities and the environment, enabling more effective environmental management and sustainable development. The evaluation system established in this paper is shown in Figure 2.

# 3.4 The method of CRITIC calculates the weight value of the system

Here is the translation of the steps for calculating weight values using the CRITIC (Criteria Importance Through Intercriteria Correlation) method: **Step 1**: Identify decision criteria. First, identify the set of criteria that are relevant to the decision. These criteria should be factors that can measure and evaluate the decision alternatives.

**Step 2**: Construct the relative matrix of criteria. For the given set of criteria, construct a relative matrix of criteria. The relative matrix is used to compare the relative importance between each pair of criteria. In the relative matrix, compare each pair of criteria based on expert judgment or empirical data and assign them importance scores.

**Step 3**: Calculate the correlation matrix of criteria. Based on the relative matrix, calculate the correlation matrix of criteria. The correlation matrix measures the relationships between criteria and reflects their degree of correlation.

**Step 4**: Compute the weight vector of criteria. Utilize the correlation matrix to compute the weight vector of criteria by solving for the eigenvector. The eigenvector represents the importance of each criterion in the overall criteria system.

**Step 5**: Normalize the weight vector. Normalize the obtained weight vector to ensure that the weights sum up to 1. This yields the weight values for each criterion, indicating their relative importance in the decision-making process.

It is important to note that the CRITIC method requires the construction of the relative matrix based on expert judgment or empirical data, and it relies on experts with domain knowledge and experience. In practical applications, various mathematical tools and techniques, such as matrix operations and eigenvalue decomposition, can be used to perform the steps of the CRITIC method. The specific calculation steps are as follows:

Due to the influence of the extreme value of the indicator, the value of some indicators may not be representative. In order to eliminate the effect of extreme value the contingency of extreme values was eliminated by a standardized transformation method.

$$r_{ij} = \left(x_{ij} - \overline{X_j}\right)/S_j$$

where  $x_{ij}$  is the indicator data of nine provinces and regions;  $r_{ij}$  is the normalization result of the index values of the nine provinces;  $\overline{X_j}$  is the average value of the selected indicator; and  $S_j$  is the standard deviation of the selected index value.

The contrast of item *j* is represented by standard deviation  $\sigma_j$ .

$$\sigma_j = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij}}{m-1}}$$

The conflict between indicator j and the remaining indicators is denoted by  $f_j$ 

$$f_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - r_{ij})$$

In the formula:  $r_{ij}$  is the correlation coefficient between indicators *i* and *j*, the Pearson correlation coefficient, and is the linear correlation coefficient.

The information carrying capacity of index j is calculated as  $Z_j$ 

 $Z_j = \sigma_j \times f$ 

The objective weight value of index j is determined to be  $W_j$ 

$$W_j = \frac{Z_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n Z_j}$$

# 3.5 Optimizing the degree of water resource security in Henan Province based on the CRITIC-TOPSIS model

The CRITIC-TOPSIS model is a decision analysis model based on the CRITIC and TOPSIS methods, which can be used to optimize the degree of water resource security in Henan Province. The following are the steps involved in using the CRITIC-TOPSIS model to optimize the degree of water resource security in Henan Province:

- Determine decision criteria: Firstly, identify the key criteria used to evaluate the degree of water resource security. These criteria may include water supply-demand balance, water quality status, sustainable utilization of water resources, among others.
- 2) Collect data: Gather relevant data on water resources from various regions in Henan Province, including water quantity, water quality monitoring data, water demand data, etc.
- 3) Normalize the data: Standardize the collected data to ensure that different indicators have equal importance and comparability. Normalization or standardization methods can be employed for data processing.
- 4) Determine criteria weights: Utilize the CRITIC (Criteria Importance Through Intercriteria Correlation) method to determine the weights of each criterion. The CRITIC method considers the intercorrelations between criteria, enabling a more accurate determination of weights.
- 5) Identify positive and negative ideal solutions: Based on the evaluation indicators and normalized data, determine the positive and negative ideal solutions. The positive ideal solution represents the solution with the best performance across all criteria, while the negative ideal solution represents the solution with the worst performance across all criteria.
- 6) Calculate similarity: Calculate the similarity of each region relative to the positive and negative ideal solutions. Similarity measures from the TOPSIS method, such as Euclidean distance or cosine similarity, can be employed.
- 7) Compute comprehensive evaluation index: Based on the similarity, calculate the comprehensive evaluation index for each region. The comprehensive evaluation index can be the ratio of similarity to the positive ideal solution and the negative ideal solution or the relative weights derived from the similarity rankings.
- 8) Ranking and optimization: Rank the regions based on the comprehensive evaluation index and prioritize regions with higher scores. Formulate corresponding water resource management and protection strategies for the prioritized regions.

By applying the CRITIC-TOPSIS model, a more comprehensive assessment of the degree of water resource security in different regions of Henan Province can be achieved. Furthermore, reasonable optimization solutions can be provided to promote sustainable utilization and management of water resources. The specific calculation formula is as follows:

A group of data with sample number *m* and index number *n* are processed with the same trend, and then dimensionless data is processed according to the following formula, that is, dimensionless decision matrix  $Z = (z_{ij})_{m \times n}$  is obtained:

$$z_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij}}}$$

Determine the optimal solution  $z_j^+$  and the worst solution  $z_j^-$  for each index:

$$\begin{cases} z_j^+ = max \{ z_{1j}, z_{2j}, \cdots, z_{mj} \} \\ z_{\overline{j}}^- = min \{ z_{1j}, z_{2j}, \cdots, z_{mj} \} \end{cases}$$

Determine the weighted Euclidean distance  $D_i^+$  and  $D_i^-$  between each evaluation object and the optimal and the worst solution:

$$\begin{cases} D_i^+ = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[ w_j \left( z_{ij} - z_j^+ \right) \right]^2} \\ D_i^- = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[ w_j \left( z_{ij} - z_j^- \right) \right]^2} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

In the formula:  $w_j$  is the combined weight of indicator j. True proximity  $C_i$ :

$$C_i = \frac{D_i^-}{D_i^+ + D_i^-}$$

The closer the  $C_i$  value is to 1, it proves that the object is closer to the best scheme, that is, the object is relatively superior, and finally sorted according to the closeness of each object from the largest to the smallest.

### 3.5.1 Classification criteria for water resources security

This paper aims to propose a scientifically sound classification criteria for water resources security in order to assess and monitor the sustainable utilization and protection of water resources. The classification criteria are based on three key aspects: water supply and demand, water quality issues, and water resources management systems. It employs five levels of classification: Emergency, Critical, Alert, Monitoring, and Safe (Peng et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2017). This classification criteria can provide guidance for the development of targeted water resources management strategies at the national and regional levels and promote effective management and protection of water resources.

- Emergency: Severe water scarcity that fails to meet basic needs; significant water quality issues that pose risks to safe water supply; large-scale water-related disasters, droughts, etc.
- Critical: Serious conflicts between water supply and demand, with inadequate water supply to meet the needs; severe water

quality problems threatening human health; weak water resources management systems unable to effectively address water resource issues.

- 3) Alert: Tense water supply and demand situation, with insufficient water supply to meet the growing demands; worsening water quality issues posing potential threats to human health and ecosystems; the need for further improvement and optimization of water resources management systems.
- 4) Monitoring: Relatively balanced water supply and demand, able to meet general needs; existing water quality issues with minimal impact on human health and ecosystems; continuous monitoring and improvement of water resources management systems required.
- 5) Safe: Balanced water supply and demand, capable of meeting various needs; water quality meets safety standards suitable for human and ecosystem use; effective water resources management systems ensuring protection and rational utilization of water resources.

These criteria can be used to guide the formulation of water resources management strategies, optimize resource allocation, and achieve sustainable utilization and protection of water resources. The classification of safety levels is shown in Figure 3.

### 3.6 Analysis of obstacle factors in water resources security evaluation in Henan Province

The obstacle degree model is a model used to evaluate, quantify, and analyze the extent of the impact of obstacle factors on a specific objective or system (He et al., 2023). It is commonly used to identify and understand the degree to which various factors hinder the achievement of the objective, thereby aiding in the formulation of corresponding solutions and decisions. The model typically involves the following main steps:

- 1) Determine the objective: Clearly define the objective or system to be evaluated, such as water resources security assessment.
- 2) Identify obstacle factors: Through research and analysis, identify the primary obstacle factors that affect the achievement of the objective. In the context of water resources security assessment, these factors can include supply-demand conflicts, pollution issues, utilization efficiency, among others.
- 3) Determine evaluation indicators: To quantify the degree of impact of the obstacle factors, it is necessary to determine the corresponding evaluation indicators. These indicators should objectively measure and compare the influence of each obstacle factor on the objective.
- 4) Establish the evaluation model: Based on the identified evaluation indicators, establish the obstacle degree model. This can be a quantitative model, qualitative model, or a comprehensive model, depending on the specific circumstances and suitable methods.
- 5) Data collection and analysis: Collect relevant data and analyze the data to calculate the obstacle degree for each obstacle factor.

	Grade	C <sub>i</sub>	Level of safety
	Ι	0~0.2	Emergency
	Π	0.2~0.4	Critical
	III	0.4~0.6	Alert
	IV	0.6~0.8	Monitoring
	V	0.8~1.0	Safe
FIGURE 3 Water resource security c	classification chart.		

6) Obstacle degree evaluation and solutions: Evaluate the degree of impact for each obstacle factor based on the calculated results and develop corresponding solutions. These solutions can be targeted at specific obstacle factors to maximize the effectiveness of achieving the objective.

Through the application of the obstacle degree model, it can provide references for decision-making support and policy formulation, helping to address issues and improve the effectiveness of achieving the objective.

The specific calculation steps are as follows:

$$O_i = I_i \times \frac{w_i}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^m (I \times w_i)}$$

In the equation,  $O_i$  represents the obstacle degree of the *i* evaluation indicator.  $I_i$  represents the skewness of the *i* evaluation indicator.  $I_i = 1 - X_{ij}$ . The  $w_i$  represents the weight of the *i* evaluation indicator, which can be used to indicate the contribution of a single indicator to the overall objective.

The formula for calculating the obstacle degree of each factor in the criterion layer is as follows:

$$U_i = \sum O_{ij}$$

In the equation:  $U_i$  represents the obstacle degree of *i* evaluation indicator in the criterion layer.  $O_{ij}$  represents the obstacle degree of the *i* evaluation indicator in the *j* year.

# 4 Results and discussion

### 4.1 Water resource security evaluation results

#### 4.1.1 The weight results

This study adopts the CRITIC method to calculate the weights of the criteria layer and the indicator layer in the



index system. According to the calculation results, in the criteria layer, the highest weight value is assigned to "Pressure," which is 0.302, while the lowest weight value is assigned to "Drive forces," which is 0.124. In the indicator layer, the highest weight value is assigned to the indicator "Proportion of river length above class III water quality (I2)" in the "Impact" criteria layer, with a weight value of 0.0852. The lowest weight value is assigned to "Irrigated area (D3)" in the "Driving forces" criteria layer, with a weight value of 0.0224. In the "Pressures" criteria layer, the highest weight value is assigned to "Water use per 10<sup>4</sup> Yuan of GDP (P3)," with a weight value of 0.0743. In the "State" criteria layer, the indicator "Water consumption per mu for farmland irrigation (S3)" has the highest weight value of 0.0668, among all the indicators in this criteria layer. In the "Response" criteria layer, the indicator with the highest weight value is "Proportion of



groundwater supply (R1)," with a specific weight value of 0.0721. The weight results are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

# 4.1.2 The temporal and spatial analysis of water resources security

During the period of 2013–2014, the water resource security assessment values in various cities in Henan Province ranged from 0.164 to 0.2568, indicating a relatively low level of water resource security. This was mainly due to the weak water conservation awareness among residents during that period, and the development trend focused primarily on socio-economic construction, to some extent neglecting the security of water resources.

From 2015 to 2019, the water resource security assessment values across the entire province of Henan increased to a peak of 0.567. The level of water resource security in various cities significantly improved. This was mainly attributed to vigorous government promotion and a substantial increase in water conservation awareness among urban residents. Additionally, water resource protection measures were widely promoted. Moreover, with the rapid development of smart water management and advancements in water technology in China, the overall water resource security level in the province has been continuously enhanced. Furthermore, as China's economy continues to improve, greater emphasis has been placed on the protection of the ecological environment, further enhancing the country's water resource security. In 2021, the water resource security assessment values in the province experienced a certain degree of decline. This was primarily due to an extremely rare heavy rainfall event in Zhengzhou City on 20 July 2021, which nearly caused a crisis at the Chang Zhuang Reservoir. The lives, property, and water resource conditions of residents in various areas suffered significant damage, resulting in a decrease in water resource security across the region.

In 2022, local governments implemented measures to improve responses to extreme weather conditions, and the damaged urban infrastructure caused by heavy rainfall was rebuilt. As a result, the water resource security level in the province greatly improved.

In summary, from 2013 to 2021, with the promotion of ecological environmental protection policies by local governments and the increased awareness of water conservation among residents, the water resource security level in Henan Province has significantly improved. Furthermore, the experience gained from the "7·20" heavy rainfall event has greatly enhanced China's ability to respond to extreme weather conditions. Therefore, the overall water resource security situation in the province has gradually improved, and the disparities in water resource security among different areas have been gradually reduced. The temporal and spatial trend charts of water resource security level in Henan Province are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7.



# 4.1.3 Analysis of factors water resources security in the Henan Province

Based on the analysis of obstacle factors, this study selected seven influential factors with higher obstacle degrees. As shown in Figure 8, the obstacle factor with the highest average degree is "The rate of reaching water quality standards for the length of river (I3)", indicating that the water quality of rivers has several impacts on the level of water resource security. Therefore, in future development, local governments should strengthen the monitoring and management of river water quality. The obstacle degree of "Water consumption per mu for farmland irrigation (S3)" is second only to "The rate of reaching water quality standards for the length of river (I3)", mainly because Henan Province is an agricultural province, and the impact of the water quality of rivers on water resource security is also significant. The proportion of groundwater supply (R1) reflects the protection level of groundwater resources in different areas, so local governments should reduce the extraction and use of groundwater to improve water resource security. The indicators of GDP per capita (P1), irrigated area (D3), water use per 104 Yuan of industrial production (D2), and sewage treatment rate (R2) all indicate the impact of human activities on water resource conditions. In the process of

social development, human influence on nature is gradually increasing. While developing the economy, we should improve sewage treatment rates and maximize the use of water resources in ecological environmental protection.

# 4.1.4 Discussion on water resources security in Henan Province

However, in previous research, Qian (2014) constructed a water resource security evaluation index system for Henan Province from three aspects: social security, economic security, and ecological security. Qian referenced the index systems used in national water resource security analyses and other water resource evaluation indices and standards, selecting seven indicators. Notably, Qian did not classify the indicators, and the number of selected indicators was relatively small. However, the chosen indicators are representative. In contrast, this study employs the DPSIR model, selecting evaluation indicators from the aspects of Drivers, Pressures, States, Impacts, and Responses. Nineteen indicators are chosen in order to comprehensively depict the water resource security evaluation index system for Henan Province. Zhang et al. (2021a) employed the Analytic Hierarchy Process to conduct a comprehensive assessment of water resource



security in the Yellow River receiving area of Henan Province. In contrast, this study utilizes the CRITIC-TOPSIS method for water resource security evaluation, which is particularly suitable for addressing fuzzy problems. Additionally, the present research employs an Obstacle Degree model to diagnose primary hindering factors. The study identifies sensitive indicators

through a discriminant analysis of comprehensive weights, indicator values, and indicator grading criteria, aligning more closely with the actual conditions of the study area. In terms of the temporal scope, while Zhang focused solely on the water resource security situation in Henan Province for the year 2019, rendering its applicability limited, this study investigates the dynamic changes in Henan Province's water resource security from 2013 to 2022. Furthermore, it spatially analyzes these variations, enhancing its overall applicability.

In summary, comparing with the literature on the evaluation of water resource security in Henan Province, the results of this study are generally consistent with the conclusions drawn from the literature on this specific region. The evaluation findings are reasonable and reliable.

# 4.2 Practical application of water resources security evaluation

The outcomes of water resource security assessment studies hold diverse practical implications for society, encompassing the following aspects:

In terms of sustainable management, the assessment results offer a comprehensive understanding of the water resource status, aiding in the formulation and optimization of water resource management policies. This ensures the sustainable utilization of water resources, fostering their long-term health and preservation.

In addressing water crises, the assessment of water resource security enables society to gain a better understanding of the challenges and potential crises at hand. This aids in the early detection and response to issues such as water scarcity, water quality concerns, and other related environmental challenges.

In the realm of infrastructure planning, research findings serve as guidance for infrastructure planning, ensuring the rational design and management of water resource supply in urban and rural areas. This is crucial, particularly during periods of urbanization and population growth.

In terms of societal engagement, conveying the assessment results to the public enhances awareness of water resource issues, encouraging public participation and support for relevant conservation and management measures. This contributes to the establishment of a more water-conscious society.

In the realm of environmental conservation, research findings aid in identifying regions where water resources are under threat, thereby guiding environmental actions to protect aquatic ecosystems. This is crucial for the maintenance of biodiversity and ecological balance.

In the context of economic development, water resource assessment results play a guiding role in the sustainable development of related industries and investment decisions. Economic sectors can optimize resource utilization, reduce production costs, and enhance efficiency based on the assessment results.

In summary, water resource security assessment research provides society with effective decision-making support, contributing to the maintenance of ecological balance, ensuring human drinking water safety, and fostering sustainable development. These functions are of paramount significance for a healthy, stable, and sustainable society.



# 4.3 The significance of water resources safety evaluation

In terms of theoretical significance, the research can be delineated into two aspects. In the realm of advancing knowledge in water resource security diagnosis, the current study addresses previously existing gaps in the field of water resource security assessment, offering a fresh perspective to the development of theoretical foundations. By delving into concepts associated with the sustainability of water resources, we propel the boundaries of knowledge in this domain. Concerning the optimization of the water resource security diagnosis model, researchers employed the DPSIR assessment model to construct an evaluation index system. Through the integration of diverse data sources and the application of advanced analytical techniques, the accuracy and predictability of water resource security assessment have been enhanced.

In terms of practical significance, the research encompasses three key aspects. In the realm of policy formulation, the outcomes of the study furnish robust tools for governments and decisionmakers, facilitating the improved crafting of water resource management policies. Our theoretical framework and models serve as guidance for the actual development of policies, promoting the judicious utilization of water resources within society. Concerning sustainable development, the synergy of theoretical exploration and practical experience in our work contributes to the advancement of sustainable utilization of water resources. This bears positive, tangible impacts on meeting the needs of current and future generations, as well as on preserving ecological equilibrium. In the domain of societal engagement, conveying assessment results to the public enhances societal awareness of water resource issues, prompting public involvement and support for pertinent conservation and management measures. This aids in cultivating a society more attuned to water resource consciousness.

# 4.4 Limitations and potential of water resources security assessment

# 4.4.1 The primary limitations of this study 4.4.1.1 Data limitations

One of the constraints of this study arises from limitations in the accessibility and quality of data. Water resource assessment typically

demands extensive, diverse datasets, and the absence or inaccuracy of data may impact the precision of the evaluation.

#### 4.4.1.2 The rationality of indicator selection

When choosing evaluation indicators, it is essential that the selected indicators not only reflect the characteristics of various subsystems of water resources but also capture the relationship between regional economic development and the fundamental status of water resource security.

#### 4.4.1.3 Model complexity

The utilization of intricate evaluation models may result in an increased demand for computational resources, concurrently raising the technical requirements for users. This could potentially constrain the application of this method in resource-constrained environments.

#### 4.4.1.4 Uncertainty of climate change

With the increasingly pronounced impact of climate change, incorporating it into water resource security assessments presents challenges. Uncertain climate change models and data may result in uncertainties in the assessment outcomes.

#### 4.4.1.5 Comprehensive nature of socio-economic factors

The assessment may inadequately account for the impact of socio-economic factors on water resources, such as population growth, urbanization, and industrial development, potentially introducing biases in certain contexts.

# 4.4.2 Potential future developments of the study 4.4.2.1 Data enhancement

Future research efforts can focus on improving methods for data acquisition and processing, incorporating advanced technologies such as remote sensing and sensor networks. This aims to enhance the temporal and spatial resolution as well as the quality of the data.

#### 4.4.2.2 Simplification and optimization of models

Develop evaluation models that are both simplified and computationally efficient to enhance practicality and applicability. This effort aims to ensure the adoption of the methods in a broader range of application scenarios.

#### 4.4.2.3 Climate change adaptability

Future research should focus on enhancing adaptability to climate change, including better management of uncertainties and a more comprehensive consideration of changing scenarios.

#### 4.4.2.4 Interdisciplinary research

Strengthen interdisciplinary research with fields such as social sciences and economics to comprehensively consider socioeconomic factors. This will facilitate assessment outcomes that better reflect real-world scenarios.

By comprehensively considering these limitations and future directions, advancements in the assessment methods for water resource security can be propelled. This will enable them to better serve societal needs and contribute to the objectives of sustainable development.

# **5** Conclusion

Elevating the level of water resource security stands as a fundamental imperative for achieving societal sustainable development. This study employs the five criteria layers-Driving forces (D), Pressures (P), States (S), Impacts (I), and Responses (R)—as a point of entry to construct an assessment indicator system for the water resource security level in Henan Province. This system encompasses 5 criteria layers and 19 indicator layers. Subsequently, the CRITIC method is utilized to compute the weights of each indicator. In conjunction with the TOPSIS model, an evaluation of the water resource security level in Henan Province from 2013 to 2022 is undertaken. Introducing an obstacle degree model, the primary obstacle factors affecting the water resource security level in various regions of Henan Province in 2022 are diagnosed. This study serves as a theoretical foundation to realize world-class urban health in water resource security levels and sustainable development. The following conclusions are drawn:

- 1) The research findings indicate that the overall water resource security level in Henan Province showed an upward trend from 2013 to 2022. There are discernible differences in the water security health levels and improvement rates among different regions, with Zhoukou and Luohe exhibiting a relatively slow state of water resource security. However, the comprehensive evaluation values for these regions are still on the rise. Although there was a decrease in 2021 due to the impact of extreme disasters caused by heavy rainfall, local governments responded swiftly and implemented a series of measures in response to the rainfall, such as timely school closures and the construction of sponge cities. The water resource protection measures and the ability to cope with extreme weather events in Henan Province can provide valuable experience for improving water resource security levels in cities worldwide.
- 2) Irrigated area, *per capita* water resources, water consumption for every 10,000 yuan of industrial value-added, per hectare water consumption for agricultural irrigation, the ratio of river length meeting water quality standards, the proportion of groundwater supply, and sewage treatment rate are the primary obstacles influencing the water resource security levels in various regions of Henan Province. According to the analysis of obstacle factors, it is evident that human society and nature are closely interconnected, and the development of human society should be based on the protection of nature. The results of the obstacle factor analysis indicate that human society should reduce groundwater extraction and increase wastewater treatment rates in order to achieve sustainable social development at an early stage.

This study provides an indicator system and evaluation method for assessing the water resource health levels in various regions of Henan Province, offering a scientific basis for relevant decisionmaking and management. In future research, scholars may integrate water resource security with water quality and ecosystem health, investigating the potential threats of water body pollution to water resources and the regulatory role of ecosystems in water resources.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### Author contributions

MZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing-original draft. JW: Methodology, Software, Writing-original draft. YH: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft. JS: Formal Analysis, Project administration, Writing-original draft. SW: Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing-original draft.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52009045) and Postdoctoral funding in Henan Province

## References

An, H., Cheng, C., An, M., Tang, F., and Dong, X. (2023). Study on agricultural water footprint and its economic value in henan province. China Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, 1-12. Accessed December 26, 2023.

Bera, A., and Mukhopadhyay, B. P. (2023). Identification of suitable sites for surface rainwater harvesting in the drought prone Kumari River basin, India in the context of irrigation water management. *J. Hydrology* 621, 129655. doi:10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2023. 129655

Castellini, M., Simone, Di P., Ryan, S., Marcella, B., Mehdi, R., and Vincenzo, A. (2022). Advances in ecohydrology for water resources optimization in arid and semiarid areas. *Water* 14 (12), 1830. doi:10.3390/W14121830

Chen, H., Chen, S., Yang, R., Shan, L., Jinmin, H., and Ye, Y. (2023). Optimizing the cropland fallow for water resource security in the groundwater funnel area of China. *Land* 12 (2), 462. doi:10.3390/LAND12020462

Chen, H., Xu, J., Zhang, Ke, Guo, S., Lv, X., Mu, X., et al. (2022). New insights into the DPSIR model: revealing the dynamic feedback mechanism and efficiency of ecological civilization construction in China. *J. Clean. Prod.* 348, 131377. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO. 2022.131377

Chen, M., Wang, Z., Wang, L., and Du, Y. (2023a). Evaluation of water resource security in Heilongjiang province based on AHP. *Jilin Water Resour.* 10, 35–39. doi:10. 15920/j.cnki.22-1179/tv.2023.10.006

Chen, W., and Yan, C. (2021). Pre-warning measurement of water resources security in the Yangtze River Basin from the perspective of water-energy-food symbiosis. *Water* 13 (4), 475. doi:10.3390/W13040475

Chen, X., Jiang, S., Xu, L., Xu, H., and Guan, N. (2023). Resilience assessment and obstacle factor analysis of urban areas facing waterlogging disasters: a case study of Shanghai, China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 24, 65455–65469. doi:10.1007/S11356-023-26861-1

Cheng, L., Liang, H., Yang, W., Yang, T., Chen, T., and Gao, D. (2023). The biochar/ Fe-modified biocarrier driven simultaneous NDFO and Feammox to remove nitrogen from eutrophic water. *Water Res.* 243, 120280. doi:10.1016/J.WATRES.2023.120280

Gong, M., Wang, W., and Wang, Y. (2023a). Comprehensive evaluation of water resource security in Shanxi province based on entropy method. *Shanxi Water Resour. Hydropower Technol.* 02, 53–57.

Guo, Na, and Hui, C. (2022). Comprehensive evaluation and obstacle factor analysis of high-quality development of rural E-commerce in China. *Sustainability* 14 (22), 14987. doi:10.3390/SU142214987

Guo, S., Yang, Y., and Guo, P. (2023). An integrated distributed robust optimization framework for agricultural water-food-energy management integrating ecological impact and dynamic water cycle processes. *J. Hydrology* 624, 129859. doi:10.1016/J. JHYDROL.2023.129859

Hao, Q. N., Ho, H. L., and Park, E. (2023). Characterizing sediment load variability in the red river system using empirical orthogonal function analysis: implications for water

(202102086). Sponsored by Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents in Universities of Henan Province (24HASTITO17).

### Conflict of interest

Author MZ was employed by Yellow River Survey Planning and Design Institute Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

resources management in data poor regions. J. Hydrology 624, 129891. doi:10.1016/J. JHYDROL.2023.129891

He, Z., Zhang, X., Lu, B., Wu, J., and Fu, W. (2023). Spatial-temporal evolution of economic resilience and analysis of obstacle factors in Chinese urban agglomerations. *Geogr. Geo-Information Sci.* 39 (06), 125–133.

Jia, P. (2017). Study on the spatiotemporal distribution pattern and security evaluation of water resources in henan province. *Henan Sci.* 35 (9), 1494–1499.

Lei, Y., Wang, Y., Fan, Q., Liu, J., Feng, P., Luo, L., et al. (2021). Diatom assemblage shift driven by nutrient dynamics in a large, subtropical reservoir in southern China. *J. Clean. Prod.* 317, 128435. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2021.128435

Li, B., Wu, Q., Zhang, W., and Liu, Z. (2020). Water resources security evaluation model based on grey relational analysis and analytic network process: a case study of Guizhou Province. *J. Water Process Eng.* 37, 101429, doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2020. 101429

Li, B., Zhang, W., Long, J., Chen, M., Nie, J., and Liu, Pu (2023). Regional water resources security assessment and optimization path analysis in karst areas based on emergy ecological footprint. *Appl. Water Sci.* 6, 142. doi:10.1007/S13201-023-01951-0

Liu, S., Yu, F., Lang, T., Ji, Y., Fu, Yu, Zhang, J., et al. (2023). Spatial distribution of heavy metal contaminants: the effects of water-sediment regulation in the Henan section of the Yellow River. *Sci. Total Environ.* 892, 164568. doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV. 2023.164568

Liu, Y., Shan, F., Yue, H., and Wang, Xu (2023). Characteristics of drought propagation and effects of water resources on vegetation in the karst area of Southwest China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 891, 164663. doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2023. 164663

Lu, S., Xiao, B., Zhang, J., Li, J., Li, W., and Lin, Ji (2022). Impact of virtual water export on water resource security associated with the energy and food bases in Northeast China. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change* 180, 121635. doi:10.1016/J. TECHFORE.2022.121635

Ma, S., Wang, F., Wang, X., and Ma, X. (2023). Assessment of the Groundwater Ecosystem Service Value in Henan Province, a Receiving City of the Middle Route of South-to-North Water Diversion Project. South-to-North Water Transfers and Water Science and Technology (Bilingual Edition) 02, 1204–1212. doi:10.13476/j.cnki.nsbdqk. 2023.0119

Margarita, N. M., Busico, G., Mastrocicco, M., and Kazakis, N. (2023). Coupling SWAT and DPSIR models for groundwater management in Mediterranean catchments. *J. Environ. Manag.* 344, 118543. doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2023.118543

Peng, T., Deng, H., Lin, Y., and Jin, Z. (2021). Assessment on water resources carrying capacity in karst areas by using an innovative DPESBRM concept model and cloud model. *Sci.Total Environ.* 767, 144353. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144353

Qian, X. (2014). Empirical analysis of water resource security in henan province. J. Zhongyuan Inst. Technol. 25 (03), 67-70.

Ren, C., and Zhang, H. (2018). A fuzzy max-min decision Bi-level fuzzy programming model for water resources optimization allocation under uncertainty. *Water* 10 (4), 488. doi:10.3390/w10040488

Shan, Y., Shaokang, W., Yuan, W., and Yuan, M. (2022). Evaluation and prediction of land ecological security in Shenzhen based on DPSIR-TOPSIS-GM(1,1) model. *PloS one* 17 (11), e0265810. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0265810

Sinha, H., Suresh Chand, R., and Kumar, S. (2023). Spatial variation in groundwater quality and health risk assessment for fluoride and nitrate in Chhotanagpur Plateau, India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 195 (8), 921. doi:10.1007/S10661-023-11529-7

Sun, S., Yihe, Lü, and Fu, B. (2022). Relations between physical and ecosystem service flows of freshwater are critical for water resource security in large dryland river basin. *Sci. total Environ.* (P3). doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.159549

Tahiri, A., Che, D., David, L., Pascale, C., and Bernard, A. (2022). Network flow and flood routing model for water resources optimization. *Sci. Rep.* 12 (1), 3937. doi:10. 1038/S41598-022-06075-0

Tesfaldet, Y. T., and Ndeh, N. T. (2022). Assessing face masks in the environment by means of the DPSIR framework. *Sci. Total Environ.* 814, 152859. doi:10.1016/J. SCITOTENV.2021.152859

Wang, M., Sheng, H., Liu, Y., Wang, G., Huang, H., Fan, L., et al. (2023). Research on the diurnal variation characteristics of ozone formation sensitivity and the impact of ozone pollution control measures in "2 + 26" cities of Henan Province in summer. *Sci. Total Environ.* 888, 164121. doi:10.1016/J.SCITIOTENV.2023.164121

Wang, Y., and Guo, P. (2021). Irrigation water resources optimization with consideration of the regional agro-hydrological process of crop growth and multiple uncertainties. *Agric. Water Manag.* 245, 106630. doi:10.1016/J.AGWAT.2020.106630

Xi, H., Chen, Y., Zhao, X., Celestin, S., and Cheng, W. (2023). Safety assessment of fragile environment in Badain Jaran Desert and its surrounding areas based on the DPSIR model. *Ecol. Indic.* 146, 109874. doi:10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2023.109874

Yan, Tu, Chen, K., Wang, H., and Li, Z. (2020). Regional water resources security evaluation based on a hybrid fuzzy BWM-TOPSIS method. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 14, 4987. doi:10.3390/ijerph17144987

Yang, T., and Dong, Z. (2020). The effect of sponge city construction on non-point source load reduction: a case study in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area, China. *Ecohydrol. Hydrobiology* 21 (2), 223–232. doi:10.1016/J.ECOHYD.2020.12.002

You, M., Zou, Z., Pan, J., Zhao, W., and Sun, H. (2023). Resilience assessment of cities based on entropy weight method and obstacle factor diagnosis: a case study of Henan province. J. Henan Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed. 53 (02), 207-225. doi:10.15991/j.cnki.411100. 2023.02.010

Yuan, K., Hu, B., Niu, T., Zhu, B., Zhang, L., and Guan, Y. (2022). Competitiveness evaluation and obstacle factor analysis of urban green and low-carbon development in beijing-tianjin-hebei cities. *Math. Problems Eng.* 2022, 1–15. doi:10.1155/2022/5230314

Zaghloul, G. Y., Zaghloul Amira, Y., Hamed Mohamed, A., El-Moselhy Khalid, M., and Ezz, E.-D. H. M. (2023). Water quality assessment for northern Egyptian lakes (bardawil, manzala, and burullus) using NSF-wqi index. *Regional Stud. Mar. Sci.* 64, 103010. doi:10.1016/J.RSMA.2023.103010

Zhang, C., Li, J., and Zhou, Z. (2021b). Spatial pattern of water resource security in the weihe River Basin based on the spatial flow model of water supply services. *Geogr. Sci.* 41 (2), 350–359.

Zhang, S., Fan, W., Yi, Y., Zhao, Y., and Liu, J. (2017). Evaluation method for regional water cycle health based on nature-society water cycle theory. *J. Hydrology* 551, 352–364. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.06.013

Zhang, X., Wang, L., and Yang, Q. (2021a). Comprehensive evaluation of water resource security in the water diversion area of the Yellow River in henan province. *People's Yellow River* 43 (9), 90–93.

Zhang, Yu, Zuo, Q., Wu, Q., Han, C., and Tao, J. (2023). An integrated diagnostic framework for water resource spatial equilibrium considering water-economy-ecology nexus. *J. Clean. Prod.* 414, 137592. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2023.137592

Zhao, M., Li, J., Zhang, J., Han, Y., and Cao, R. (2022). Research on evaluation method for urban water circulation health and related applications: a case study of Zhengzhou city, henan province. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 10552. doi:10.3390/ IJERPH191710552

Zhao, M., Li, J., Zhang, Y., Han, Y., and Jinhai, W. (2023). Water cycle health assessment based on combined weight and hook trapezoid fuzzy TOPSIS model: a case study of nine provinces in the Yellow River basin, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 147, 109977. doi:10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2023.109977

Zhou, Y., Lu, N., Hu, H., and Fu, B. (2023). Water resource security assessment and prediction in a changing natural and social environment: case study of the Yanhe Watershed, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 154, 110594. doi:10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2023. 110594

Zhou, Y., Tao, W., and Song, M. (2022). Regional water resource security in China based on a new fuzzy method with combination weighting. *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.* 24 (8), 3584–3601. doi:10.1007/S40815-022-01298-9