Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### EDITED BY

Rui-Wu Wang, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Ensheng Weng, Columbia University, United States Jing Peng, CAS Key Lab of Regional Climate-Environment for East Asia (TEA), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jianyang Xia ⊠ jyxia@des.ecnu.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Models in Ecology and Evolution, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

RECEIVED 23 November 2022 ACCEPTED 30 January 2023 PUBLISHED 24 February 2023

#### CITATION

Bian C and Xia J (2023) Uncertainty propagation in a global biogeochemical model driven by leaf area data. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11:1105832. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1105832

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Bian and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Uncertainty propagation in a global biogeochemical model driven by leaf area data

#### Chenyu Bian<sup>1,2</sup> and Jianyang Xia<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang Tiantong Forest Ecosystem National Observation and Research Station, State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Global Change and Complex Ecosystems, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

Satellite-observed leaf area index (LAI) is often used to depict vegetation canopy structure and photosynthesis processes in terrestrial biogeochemical models. However, it remains unclear how the uncertainty of LAI among different satellite products propagates to the modeling of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) cycles. Here, we separately drive a global biogeochemical model by three satellitederived LAI products (i.e., GIMMS LAI3g, GLASS, and GLOBMAP) from 1982 to 2011. Using a traceability analysis, we explored the propagation of LAI-driven uncertainty to modeled C, N, and P storage among different biomes. The results showed that the data uncertainty of LAI was more considerable in the tropics than in nontropical regions, whereas the modeling uncertainty of C, N, and P stocks showed a contrasting biogeographic pattern. The spread of simulated C, N, and P storage derived by different LAI datasets resulted from assimilation rates of elements in shrubland and C3 grassland but from the element residence time ( $\tau$ ) in deciduous needle leaf forest and tundra regions. Moreover, the assimilation rates of elements are the main contributing factor, with 67.6, 93.2, and 93% of vegetated grids for the modeled uncertainty of C, N, and P storage among the three simulations. We further traced the variations in  $\tau$  to baseline residence times of different elements and the environmental scalars. These findings indicate that the data uncertainty of plant leaf traits can propagate to ecosystem processes in global biogeochemical models, especially in non-tropical forests.

#### KEYWORDS

ecosystem modeling, leaf area index, nitrogen cycle, phosphorus cycle, traceability analysis, uncertainty propagation

#### 1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, terrestrial ecosystems have absorbed nearly one-third of the  $CO_2$  of anthropogenic emissions by vegetation canopy (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). However, the terrestrial carbon uptake by vegetation photosynthetic is widely limited by the availability of essential nutrients, especially nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) (Elser et al., 2007; LeBauer and Treseder, 2008; Xia and Wan, 2008; Allen et al., 2020; Hou et al., 2020). The availability of N and P affects vegetation productivity (Elser et al., 2007; Norby et al., 2010), carbon (C) allocation (Hofhansl et al., 2015), litter decomposition (Averill and Waring, 2018), and other processes (Sutton et al., 2008; Melillo et al., 2011). The availability of N and P also constrains soil carbon storage (Crowther et al., 2019), especially under the scenarios of climate change and increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  (Wang et al., 2020). Thus, global distributions of C, N, and P storages are crucial for modeling the global biogeochemical feedback to future climate change. Many global biogeochemical models have coupled nutrients processes to provide more realistic simulations of terrestrial ecosystems (Thornton et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007, 2010; Goll et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021). Several global models not only incorporated the N cycles (Manzoni and Porporato, 2009; Zaehle et al., 2014; Meyerholt and Zaehle, 2015) but also implemented the P processes, such as ORCHIDEE-CNP (Goll et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2021), QUINCY v1.0 (Thum et al., 2019), GOLUM-CNP (Wang et al., 2018), JSM (Yu et al., 2020), JULES-CNP (Nakhavali et al., 2022), and E3SM (Zhu et al., 2019). The coupled C-N-P cycle reduced the magnitude of disequilibrium in the terrestrial C cycle (Wei et al., 2022a), but how to accurately represent the nutrient cycles and the effects of N and P limitation in biogeochemical models are still challenges (Hungate et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2015; Wieder et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2017).

Due to the difference in model structure and parameters (Zaehle and Dalmonech, 2011), the increased model complexity further hinders our understanding of the modeling uncertainty. However, most global biogeochemical models share a typical pool-flux structure and follow some fundamental properties of terrestrial element cycling (Xia et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2015). For example, C atoms enter the ecosystem through plant photosynthesis, while plants assimilate N and P mainly from mineral soil. The elements of C, N, and P are allocated among plant pools, then transferred to litter and soil pools, and eventually returned to the atmosphere via the decomposition of organic matter (Olson, 1963; Zhang et al., 2008). In biogeochemical models, there are a specific soil inorganic-N pool and a few soil inorganic P pools, which generally separate to distinct pools based on its chemical fractionation (Hedley et al., 1982; Cross and Schlesinger, 1995; Hou et al., 2018). Labile P usually comes from P mineralization, P weathering, and dust deposition (Wang et al., 2010). Some of the labile P can enter the sorbed P pool and subsequently become occluded, but this form is assumed to be unavailable by plants (Wang et al., 2018). Those specific processes of the coupled C-N-P biogeochemical cycles can be found in Figure 1.

Leaf area index (LAI), as a significant uncertainty source of simulated photosynthetic carbon uptake (Li et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2019), is widely used as a critical parameter in the process-based biogeochemical models for depicting vegetation canopy structure (Forzieri et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). Many inter-model comparisons on Earth system models (ESMs) have shown a large spread of LAI on a global scale (Zeng et al., 2016), partially leading to their persistent uncertainty in carbon storage projections (Wei et al., 2022b). Recently, many studies have used satellite-based LAI to directly force the models for a more realistic prediction of the global carbon (C) cycle (Zeng et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). However, there are significant discrepancies in different satellite-based LAI products on temporal and spatial scales (Jiang et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018). Therefore, understanding whether and how the data uncertainty in LAI observations propagates to global biogeochemical models helps provide a more accurate prediction of future terrestrial carbon sinks (Heinsch et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2018).

This study introduces a framework to decompose a complex biogeochemical model coupled with C-N-P processes into its traceable components. Considering that the N and P cycles are not a closed cyclic system, we only focused on the organic matter of C, N, and P in this study. Specifically, the framework traces the modeled ecosystem organic C, N, and P storage to the influx of C (i.e., net primary productivity, NPP), N, and P uptake and the corresponding ecosystem residence time [(i = C, N, P)]. The  $\tau_i$  can be further traced to the baseline residence time [ $\tau'_i(i = C, N, P)$ ] and environmental scalars ( $\xi$ ). The former  $\tau'_i$  usually preset in models depends on the soil properties and vegetation characteristics, while the latter usually includes temperature and water scalars and is determined by climate forcings. Based on the framework, we further analyzed the difference among biomes (Supplementary Figure S1) in simulated C, N, and P storage caused by the disagreement of LAI estimates among three satellite-derived products (i.e., GIMMS LAI3g, GLASS, and GLOBMAP) with the Australian Community Atmosphere Biosphere Land Exchange (CABLE) model. The primary goal of this study is to explore the uncertainty propagation of LAI observations to global simulations of C, N, and P storage in the biogeochemical models.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Satellite-derived leaf area index datasets

Leaf area index is an important parameter that consistently monitors vegetation structure dynamics over large spatial and temporal scales. This study uses three satellite-derived long-term global LAI products: GIMMS LAI3g, GLASS LAI, and GLOBMAP LAI. We re-sampled all three LAI datasets from their native resolution into  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  special resolution using the nearest neighbor algorithm and interpolated to the hourly temporal resolution to force the model. All three datasets have been validated and widely used to monitor terrestrial vegetation dynamics (Dardel et al., 2014; Piao et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016, 2017; Jiang et al., 2017).

#### 2.1.1. GIMMS LAI3g product

The Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS) LAI3g product (version 01) was generated by the Feed-Forward Neural Network (FFNN) algorithm based on the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) GIMMS Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) dataset and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) LAI (Zhu et al., 2013). It provides a global observation at 1/12-degree spatial resolution and 15-day temporal resolution from July 1981 to December 2011. The GIMMS LAI3g dataset was extensively evaluated by comparison with field LAI measurements, other satellite-derived data products, statistical climatic variables, and the simulation results from land models (Mao et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2013).

#### 2.1.2. GLASS LAI product

The Global LAnd Surface Satellite (GLASS version 03) long-time series LAI product was estimated from MODIS and AVHRR remote sensing data using the General Regression Neural Networks (GRNNs) approach (Xiao et al., 2014). The GRNNs were trained with the fused time-series LAI from MODIS and CYCLOPES products and the MODIS reflectance of the BELMANIP sites. The GLASS LAI product has a temporal resolution of 8 days and spans from 1981 to 2014. For the period of 1981–1999, the data product was generated from AVHRR reflectance data, providing a geographic projection at the spatial resolution of 0.05°. From 2000 to 2014, the LAI product was generated from MODIS surface reflectance data with a spatial resolution of 1 km (Xiao et al., 2014, 2016).



Schematic diagram of major carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) pools and fluxes in a terrestrial ecosystem. Black, blue and pink arrows indicate the C-cycle processes, N-cycle processes, and P-cycle processes, respectively. Green, yellow, and gray rectangles represent the vegetation, litter and soil pools of organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus. LAI, leaf area index; P<sub>LAI</sub>, LAI-leval photosynthesis, GPP, gross primary productivity, CUE, carbon use efficiency; NPP, net primady productivity; Meta, metabolic litter; Str, structural litter; CWD, coarse woody debris.

#### 2.1.3. GLOBMAP LAI product

The consistent long-term GLOBMAP LAI product (version 01) was generated by a combination of AVHRR LAI (1981–2000) and MODIS LAI (2000–2011) (Liu et al., 2012). The MODIS LAI time series was generated from MODIS land surface reflectance data based on the GLOBCARBON LAI algorithm (Deng et al., 2006). By establishing a pixel by pixel relationships between AVHRR observations and MODIS LAI data series during the overlapped period (2000–2006), the AVHRR LAI could be retrieved back to 1981. The temporal resolution of this dataset is half a month and 8 days in 1981–2000 and 2001–2011, respectively. The spatial resolution of the AVHRR LAI dataset is 8 km.

## 2.2. Matrix representation of the carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycle

We developed a theoretical framework for decomposing the terrestrial carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus stock into some traceable components based on the biogeochemical principles of the terrestrial carbon cycle. For example, the terrestrial carbon cycle can be generally described as the following processes, which includes carbon enters the ecosystem *via* plant photosynthesis; photosynthetic carbon is then allocated among plant pools; part of this carbon is consumed by respiration, and the remainder is further transferred to

litter and soil carbon pools; lastly, the carbon in the litter and soil pools is decomposed and back into the atmosphere (Luo and Weng, 2011; Luo et al., 2022). Plants assimilate the nitrogen and phosphorus from mineral soils and then transfer following the same flow with organic matter in terrestrial ecosystems. Therefore, following the approach developed by Xia et al. (2013), the biogeochemical cycle processes can be mathematically represented by three matrix equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(t)}{dt} = B_C U_C(t) - A\xi(t) CX(t) \\ \frac{dN(t)}{dt} = B_N U_N(t) - A\xi(t) CN(t) \\ \frac{dP(t)}{dt} = B_P U_P(t) - A\xi(t) CP(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t), ..., X_9(t))^T$ ,  $N(t) = (N_1(t), N_2(t), ..., N_9(t))^T$ , and  $P(t) = (P_1(t), P_2(t), ..., P_9(t))^T$  are  $9 \times 1$  vector, which describes the C, N and P pool size of leaf, root, wood, metabolic litter, structural litter, coarse wood debris (CWD), fast soil, slow soil and passive soil pool at time *t* in CABLE model.  $B_i = (b_1, b_2, b_3, 0, ..., 0)^T (i = C, N, P)$ is a vector of allocation coefficients of C, N, and P among different plant pools. For the C allocation, the partitioning coefficients from NPP to root and wood carbon pools are equations of availably of light, nitrogen, and water, respectively. Then the rest of NPP goes to the leaf pool. For the N and P, the allocations of N and P uptake among different plant pools are calculated based on the proportion to each pool's demand of N and P. The inputs of C, N, and P are represented by  $U_i(t)(i=C,N,P)$ .  $U_C$  is the fixed carbon by canopy photosynthesis, i.e., net primary production (NPP).  $U_N$  and  $U_P$  are the assimilated N and P via plant uptake from soil minerals.  $\xi(t)$  is a diagonal matrix, the diagonal components representing the environmental scalars (such as temperature and soil moisture) effects on carbon decomposition rate at time t. C is a  $9 \times 9$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries by  $9 \times 1$  vectors  $c = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_3)^T$ . The diagonal elements indicate the C decay rate for each pool. A is a transfer coefficients matrix, which can quantify how much carbon can be transferred among different pools. Therefore, the first term on the right side of Equation (1),  $B_i U_i$  (*i* = *C*,*N*,*P*), describes the C, N, and P inputs and allocation among different plant pools, and the second term on the right represents the transfer and exit rates (Xia et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2017).

By letting Equation (1) equal zero, we obtained the C, N, and P pool size at steady-state as the product of ecosystem residence time and influx:

$$\begin{cases} X_{ss} = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_C U_C \\ N_{ss} = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_N U_N \\ P_{ss} = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_N U_P \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $X_{ss}$ ,  $N_{ss}$ , and  $P_{ss}$  are vectors that includes all the organic pools at steady state.  $U_C$ ,  $U_N$ , and  $U_P$  are the ecosystem C, N, and P influx at steady state.  $U_C$  represents NPP in this study, which can be further decomposed to gross primary production (GPP) and carbon use efficiency (CUE) based on some previous studies (Bradford and Crowther, 2013; Xia et al., 2017). The term  $(A\xi C)^{-1}B_i (i = C, N, P)$  in Equation (2) are vectors of the residence time of individual pools as:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_C = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_C \\ \tau_N = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_N \\ \tau_P = (A\xi C)^{-1} B_P \end{cases}$$
(3)

The ecosystem-level residence time of *C*, N, or P was summed from all the individual pools. The ecosystem residence time can be determined by multiple ecological processes, such as allocation (i.e., the *B* vector), carbon transfer among different pools (i.e., the *A* matrix), decomposition rates (i.e., the *C* matrix), and the environmental scalars (i.e.,  $\xi$ ). The scalar  $\xi$  usually consists of the temperature ( $\xi_T$ ) and water scalars ( $\xi_W$ ) as:

$$\xi = \xi_T \xi_W \tag{4}$$

Generally, the parameters of *B*, *A*, and *C* matrices are preset in a specific model according to model structure, soil properties, and vegetation characteristics (Zhou et al., 2018). By rearranging Equation (3), we can further decompose the residence time to the environment scalar and the corresponding preset parameters:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_C = \xi^{-1} \times (AC)^{-1} B_C \\ \tau_N = \xi^{-1} \times (AC)^{-1} B_N \\ \tau_P = \xi^{-1} \times (AC)^{-1} B_P \end{cases}$$
(5)

The residence time can be further decomposed to environment scalars and baseline residence time vectors. The equation of baseline residence time can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} \tau'_{C} = (AC)^{-1} B_{C} \\ \tau'_{N} = (AC)^{-1} B_{N} \\ \tau'_{P} = (AC)^{-1} B_{P} \end{cases}$$
(6)

#### 2.3. The Community Atmosphere-Biosphere-Land Exchange (CABLE) model: overview and experiments

The Australian Community Atmosphere Biosphere Land Exchange (CABLE) model version 2 is a global land surface model that can simulate biophysical and biogeochemical processes (Wang et al., 2010, 2011). It includes five submodels: (1) radiation, (2) canopy micrometeorology, (3) surface flux, (4) soil and snow, and (5) ecosystem respiration, and it also incorporates carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) cycles. CABLE has been widely evaluated by other global observations (Piao et al., 2015), eddy-flux measurements (Best et al., 2015), and manipulated field experiments (De Kauwe et al., 2014). This model can be applied to attribution analysis (Zhang et al., 2016) or plant feedback effects (Lei et al., 2019) by doing a series of simulation experiments. The default settings of LAI are prognostic in the CABLE model, but a switch can control them. When the switch is turned on, the prognostic LAI can be calculated as the product between specific leaf area (SLA) and leaf biomass. SLA and the phenology phases (used to determine the leaf growth) are prescribed for each plant functional type.

In this study, we turned the switch off and replaced the modeled LAI with data from three satellite-observed products (GIMMS LAI3g, GLASS, and GLOBMAP). Based on the traceability analysis approach, we performed three simulations to diagnose the source of uncertainty in the biogeochemical cycle caused by LAI. The CABLE model was first spun up with the C-N-P coupled schemes to the steady state in 1,900 using a semi-analytical solution (Xia et al., 2012). The forcing data (Zhang et al., 2016) used to spin up the model concludes seven 6-hourly meteorological forcing variables (i.e., temperature, precipitation, downward shortwave radiation, downward longwave radiation, specific humidity, pressure, and wind speed) from the CRUNCEP version 5 (New et al., 1999, 2000, 2002). Using spin-up results as an initial value, we run the model from 1901 to 1981. After that, we performed three simulations by replacing the modeled LAI with three satellite-based LAI products (GIMMS LAI3g, GLASS, GLOBMAP), respectively. Lastly, we spun up CABLE to a steady state forced by the satellite-derived LAI datasets and time-variant CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from 1982 to 2011 (Supplementary Table S1).

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Spatial variations of terrestrial carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage

The estimated global mean LAI was  $1.24 \pm 0.18$  m<sup>-2</sup> (mean  $\pm$  SD) across the three data products. The simulated global stocks of C, N, and P were  $11.0 \pm 1.4$  C kg C m<sup>-2</sup>,  $504.3 \pm 68.1$  g N m<sup>-2</sup>, and  $97.7 \pm 13.6$  g P m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. All three simulations showed the highest mean LAI in tropical regions among the eight biomes (Figure 2A). Furthermore, the variability of LAI across three simulations was also higher in the tropics

than in any other area (Figure 2B). However, the simulated element storage (i.e., C, N, and P) showed a divergent spatial pattern in magnitude and variability compared with LAI (Figures 2C–H). Specifically, northern high-latitude regions showed the highest annual mean element storage (12.0 kg C m<sup>-2</sup> for the C storage, 531.3 g N m<sup>-2</sup> for the N storage, and 93.1 g P m<sup>-2</sup> for the P storage) compared with other climate regions. In contrast, tropical regions showed a relatively high C storage (10.0 kg C m<sup>-2</sup>) but low storage of N (400. 2 g N m<sup>-2</sup>) and P (78.5 g P m<sup>-2</sup>) (Figures 2C,E,G). A similar distribution was also found in the spatial variability of elements (Figures 2D,E,H). In addition, it is noted that the high disagreement in P storage across three simulations



#### FIGURE 2

Spatial distributions of annual leaf area index (LAI, A), carbon (C), nitrogen (E) and phosphorus (G) storage and the corresponding standard deviation of LAI (B), C (D), N (F), and P (H) storage among three satellite-derived simulations. Note that we only consider the organic pools for nitrogen and phosphorus in this study.

is mainly located in the regions covered by herbaceous vegetation, such as eastern Australia, southeastern South America, and southern Africa (Figure 2H).

# 3.2. Decomposing carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage into ecosystem residence time and the corresponding influx

The ecosystem element storage can be decomposed into the corresponding element residence time (  $\tau_C, \tau_N, \tau_P$  ) and the influx rate  $[U_C \text{ (NPP)}, U_N \text{, and } U_P]$  based on the traceability framework according to Equations (2, 3). Deciduous needle leaf forest (DNF) had the largest ensemble annual mean results of ecosystem C (86.0 kg C m<sup>-2</sup>), N (424.3 g N m<sup>-2</sup>), and P (24.4 g P m<sup>-2</sup>) storage among the eight biomes, resulting from its longest residence time ( $\tau_C$ , 154.3 years;  $\tau_N$ , 78.4 years;  $\tau_P$ , 65.5 years) and mediated element input (NPP, 557.4 g C  $m^{-2}$  yr.<sup>-1</sup>;  $U_N$ , 5.4 g N  $m^{-2}$  yr.<sup>-1</sup>;  $U_P$ , 0.37 g P  $m^{-2}$  yr.<sup>-1</sup>). However, the order of the size in C, N, and P storage was inconsistent in the remaining seven biomes (Supplementary Table S2). Shrubland had the lowest C storage  $(10.7 \text{ kg C m}^{-2})$  as a result of the smallest NPP (257.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>) and a moderate  $\tau_C$  (41.4 years). Evergreen needle leaf forest (ENF) had a relatively long  $\tau_N$  (50.4 years) and low  $U_N$  (3.1 gN m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>). While evergreen broadleaf forest (EBF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), and C3 grassland (C3G) had a short  $\tau_N$  (~25.0 years) and relatively high  $U_N$ (~9.0 g N m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>), leading to a moderate N storage. For P storage, EBF, DBF, and C3G had a relatively short  $\tau_P$  (~23.9 years) and relatively high  $U_P$  (~0.50 g P m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>), resulting in a moderate P storage. Although Tundra had a relatively long  $\tau_N$  (53.0 years) and  $\tau_P$  (50.9 years), it still has the lowest N (16.5 g N m  $^{-2})$  and P (1.4 g P m  $^{-2})$  storage as the result of the smallest  $U_N$  (0.31 g N m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>) and  $U_P$  (0.03 g P m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>). Additionally, the carbon influx via canopy photosynthesis (NPP) can be further decomposed into GPP and CUE (Xia et al., 2017). The results showed that EBF had the highest annual mean GPP (3312.2g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr.<sup>-1</sup>), followed by DBF (2103.1), C4 grassland (C4G, 1873.4), C3G (951.3), ENF (912.6), DNF (871.2), Shrubland (511.6) and Tundra (370.8) regions (Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary Table S2). The ranges of carbon use efficiency of all eight biomes from 0.37 to 0.71.

The ecosystem element storage derived from three satellite-based LAI differed among the eight biomes. For example, ENF, EBF, and DBF had similar C, N, and P storage for the three simulations (Figure 3). Although Shrubland and C3G had comparable values of element residence time ( $\tau_C$ ,  $\tau_N$ , and  $\tau_P$ ), their different element uptake rates (NPP,  $U_N$ , and  $U_P$ ) led to variations in element storage across the three simulations. In addition, compared with the simulations derived from GIMMS LAI3g and GLASS, the simulation derived by GLOBMAP LAI had the smallest NPP,  $U_N$ , and  $U_P$  (Figure 3). Tundra and DNF had comparable C storage across three simulations. However, the N and P storage magnitude varied widely in these regions. The differences in N and P storage across the three simulations are mainly due to  $\tau_N$  and  $\tau_P$  (Supplementary Table S2).

## 3.3. Traceability analysis of ecosystem residence time

Ecosystem residence time can be decomposed into baseline residence time and environmental scalars. The baseline residence time is determined by the carbon transfer coefficients matrix (A matrix),



decomposition rates (C matrix), and the allocation coefficients (B vector) among different plant element pools based on Equation 6. Considering that the element cycles (C, N, and P) share the same A and C matrix, the difference in baseline element residence time is mainly caused by the element allocation coefficients ( $B_C$ ,  $B_N$ ,  $B_P$ ). The baseline C residence time ( $\tau'_C$ ) is the longest compared with baseline N residence time ( $\tau'_N$ ) and baseline P residence time ( $\tau'_P$ ) (Supplementary Figure S3) in all the eight biomes. Deciduous needle leaf forest has a relatively long  $\tau'_C$  (21.8 years), which is almost three times that of  $\tau'_N$  (8.2 years) and  $\tau'_P$  (6.8 years). Baseline element residence times were similar in C3 grassland ( $\tau'_C$ , 4.6 years;  $\tau'_N$ ,

3.7 years;  $\tau'_P$ , 3.6 years), C4 grassland ( $\tau'_C$ , 5.6 years;  $\tau'_N$ , 4.3 years;  $\tau'_P$ , 4.3 years), and shrubland ( $\tau'_C$ , 8.7 years;  $\tau'_N$ , 7.1 years;  $\tau'_P$ , 7.1 years) regions. Additionally,  $\tau'_C$  were similar to each other in three LAI-derived simulations. While  $\tau'_N$  and  $\tau'_P$  differed substantially across three simulations, especially in ENF, DNF, and tundra regions (Figure 4).

Environmental scalar ( $\xi$ ) can regulate ecosystem residence time by limiting the decomposition rates of litter and soil organic pools. By decomposing environmental scalar into temperature ( $\xi_T$ ) and water scalar ( $\xi_W$ ), we can found that the multi-year mean of  $\xi_T$  ranges from 0.09 for deciduous needle leaf forest to 0.70 for C4 grassland, while  $\xi_W$ ranges from 0.60 for tundra and 0.92 for C4 grassland (Figure 5). The global average of  $\xi_T$  (0.38) is considerably lower than that of  $\xi_W$ (0.82). In general,  $\xi_T$  dominates the difference in  $\xi$  among eight biomes compared with  $\xi_W$ .

# 3.4. Variation decomposition of the simulated carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage

We decomposed the variations of element storage into several traceable components on each vegetated grid by a traceability analysis approach. Figure 6 shows the dominant traceable component for each grid which explains the greatest contrition to the element storage variation. The results showed that the element influx rates (i.e., NPP,  $U_N$ , and  $U_p$ ) are the primary uncertainty source in 67.6, 93.2, and 93.0% of the vegetated grid cell for the C, N, and P storage, respectively. By further tracing the modeled variation of NPP into GPP and CUE, we found that GPP and CUE explained 91.6 and 8.4% of the variation across simulations, respectively. The baseline residence time has a larger uncertainty contribution than the environmental scalars (Figure 6). Specifically, the contributions of baseline element residence time (i.e.,  $\tau'_C$ ,  $\tau'_N$ , and  $\tau'_P$ ) to the variation of ecosystem element residence time (i.e.,  $\tau_C$ ,  $\tau_N$ , and  $\tau_P$ ) is 75.3, 91.2, and 91.8%, respectively. While the contribution of  $\,\xi\,\,$  is 24.7% for the variation of  $\,\tau_{C}$  , 8.8% for  $\,\tau_{N}$  , and 8.2% for  $\tau_P$ . In addition, the contributions of  $\xi_T$  and  $\xi_W$  to the variation of element storage are relatively small compared with other



contributors, i.e.,  $\xi_T$  and  $\xi_W$  only contribute 3.8 and 4.2% for C storage, 0.32 and 0.28% for N storage, and 0.28 and 0.29% for P storage variation, respectively.

#### 4. Discussion

Several recent studies have compared the differences among the existing satellite-derived LAI products on regional and global scales (Jiang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018). However, few studies have explored the influences of data uncertainty on simulated element storage in biogeochemical models. Our study shows that the largest discrepancies in the magnitude and spatial variance among different LAI products are mainly located in the evergreen broadleaf forest (Figure 2B), which is consistent with some previous studies (Camacho et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2017; Piao et al., 2020). The significant divergence in different satellite-based LAI observations is due to the saturation effects of LAI in dense vegetation (Goswami et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018), sensor degradation, changes in platforms and sensors, and contamination by clouds and aerosols (Jiang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Piao et al., 2020). However, the high northern latitudes rather than the tropical regions have the most considerable discrepancies in simulated element storage due to the uncertainty of permafrost processes and the difficulty of spinning the model to equilibrium (Thornton and Rosenbloom, 2005; Xia et al., 2012). The results of the uncertainty pattern in element storage are consistent with that emerged in the current generation of Earth System Models (Arora et al., 2013; Friedlingstein et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2022b). The contrast spatial distribution of uncertainty between satellite-derived LAI data and the modeled C-N-P storages indicates a nonlinear propagation of leaf area uncertainty in the biogeochemical models.

Decomposing the modeled element storage to its traceable components can facilitate understanding of inter-biome distributions of terrestrial C, N, and P storage on the globe (Figure 2). For instance, due to the long residence times and the corresponding moderate uptake rate, the deciduous needle leaf forest has the highest C, N, and P storage among all the eight biomes (Figure 3). Although evergreen broadleaf forests have relatively large influxes of C and N, the corresponding short residence time results in intermediate C and N storages. The P storage in the evergreen broadleaf forest regions can be decomposed into the medium P uptake and residence time (Figure 3). The ecosystem element residence time can be further decomposed into the corresponding baseline residence time and environmental scalars. As shown in Figure 4, the evergreen broadleaf forest has almost the longest mean baseline residence times of C (21.9 years), N (15.5 years), and P (11.5 years). However, although deciduous needle leaf forest has a relatively long baseline C residence time (21.8 years), it has only moderate baseline N (8.2 years) and P (6.8 years) residence time (Figure 4). This is because more assimilated N and P than C are allocated to leaves (C: 0.08, N: 0.26, P: 0.38) with faster turnover (Supplementary Figure S4). Previous studies also reported a longer P residence time on soils with low P availability (Tsujii et al., 2020), which can contribute to more efficient P conservation to support plant productivity under nutrient-limited regions (Wang et al., 2018). In addition, environmental scalars can also influence ecosystem residence time by regulating the baseline residence time in the CABLE model. For example, the low temperature decreases the decomposition rates in north-high latitude regions, though the absolute magnitude of



FIGURE 5

Determining of the environmental scalars ( $\xi$ ) by temperature scalars ( $\xi_T$ ) and water scalars ( $\xi_W$ ) among biomes. The dashed line show the constant value of environment scalars. Abbreviations of biomes are given in Figure 3.



baseline N residence time;  $\tau_P$ , baseline P residence time.

discrepancy is large (Figure 5; Koven et al., 2015). Our results indicate that compared with the water scalar, the temperature scaler is the main limiting factor in all eight biomes (Figure 5), which is also supported by

the observational datasets in temperate forests on the site levels (Chen et al., 2022).

We diagnosed the uncertainty source of different simulations derived from three satellite-based LAI products based on the traceability framework. We further quantified the dominant traceable component for each grid on a global scale. The results indicate that the uncertainty of element storage across three LAI-derived simulations shows a large spatial variation. Specifically, our study demonstrates that GPP contributes most to the spatial uncertainty of C storage in 61.9% of vegetated grids, mainly located in subtropical and some tropical regions. By contrast, the baseline C residence time was the major contributing factor for northern high-latitude areas (Figure 6). The spatial pattern of the dominant uncertainty components of N and P storage was similar to C storage, with N and P uptake rates dominating >90% of the global vegetated grids.

Although our biogeochemical traceability framework helps trace the uncertainty propagation path, we also acknowledge that it still has some limitations. First, the steady-state assumptions developing the traceability framework in this study are widely used in decomposing the land surface models (Xia et al., 2013; Rafique et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2022b) and developing models (Wang et al., 2018). However, terrestrial ecosystems are not steady (Luo and Weng, 2011) due to increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, climate warming, nitrogen deposition, and other anthropogenic disturbances (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Sitch et al., 2015). Second, the plant functional types in each land grid cell are prescribed in the CABLE model. Thus, the uncertainty of LAI data may propagate to different processes in dynamic vegetation models, such as the CLM-FATES (Fisher et al., 2015) and BiomeE (Weng et al., 2015, 2019). Third, we acknowledge that this approach mainly focuses on ecosystems' emergent properties but ignores some understanding of internal ecological mechanisms such as competitive strategies and evolutionary systems. Furthermore, the traceability framework we applied in this study only considered organic pools and ignored soil inorganic N and P pools. The size of soil inorganic N and P pools may enhance or weaken the feedback between vegetation dynamics and climate change (Wei et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022), calling for a further understanding of the interaction between vegetation and inorganic nutrient pools in biogeochemical models.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, this study explored data uncertainty propagation to model uncertainty by decomposing the terrestrial organic element (i.e., C, N, and P) storage into its traceable components. Those components include the element uptake rates, ecosystem baseline residence time, temperature, and water scalars. Such a traceable analytical framework effectively reveals the mechanisms behind the simulation uncertainty and its propagation through ecosystem processes. By applying this framework, we can distinguish the reasons for the difference in simulated element storage caused by LAI among biomes and further diagnose the uncertainty source. It can be applied to other biogeochemical models to help characterize and quantify the uncertainty propagated in element cycles. The nonlinear uncertainty propagation of data to the model explored in this study can help improve biogeochemical models' future prediction ability. The findings in this study also call for more research efforts on the causal links between leaf area and biogeochemical cycles in terrestrial ecosystems.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### Author contributions

CB performed simulations, analyzed the results, and wrote the first draft. JX designed the study and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the submitted version.

#### Funding

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 31722009 and 41630528).

#### References

Allen, K., Fisher, J. B., Phillips, R. P., Powers, J. S., and Brzostek, E. R. (2020). Modeling the carbon cost of plant nitrogen and phosphorus uptake across temperate and tropical forests. *Front. For. Glob. Change* 3:43. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2020.00043

Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Friedlingstein, P., Eby, M., Jones, C. D., Christian, J. R., et al. (2013). Carbon–concentration and carbon–climate feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth system models. *J. Clim.* 26, 5289–5314. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00494.1

Averill, C., and Waring, B. (2018). Nitrogen limitation of decomposition and decay: How can it occur? *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 1417–1427. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13980

Best, M. J., Abramowitz, G., Johnson, H. R., Pitman, A. J., Balsamo, G., Boone, A., et al. (2015). The plumbing of land surface models: benchmarking model performance. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 16, 1425–1442. doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-14-0158.1

Bradford, M. A., and Crowther, T. W. (2013). Carbon use efficiency and storage in terrestrial ecosystems. *New Phytol.* 199, 7–9. doi: 10.1111/nph.12334

Camacho, F., Cernicharo, J., Lacaze, R., Baret, F., and Weiss, M. (2013). GEOV1: LAI, FAPAR essential climate variables and FCOVER global time series capitalizing over existing products. Part 2: validation and intercomparison with reference products. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 137, 310–329. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.02.030

Chen, J. M., Ju, W., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Liu, R., Liu, Y., et al. (2019). Vegetation structural change since 1981 significantly enhanced the terrestrial carbon sink. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4259–4257. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12257-8

Chen, Y., Wang, Y. P., Tang, X., Zhou, G., Wang, C., Chang, Z., et al. (2022). Temperature dependence of ecosystem carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus residence times differs between subtropical and temperate forests in China. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 326:109165. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109165

Cross, A. F., and Schlesinger, W. H. (1995). A literature review and evaluation of the Hedley fractionation: applications to the biogeochemical cycle of soil phosphorus in natural ecosystems. *Geoderma* 64, 197–214. doi: 10.1016/0016-7061(94)00023-4

Crowther, T. W., Van den Hoogen, J., Wan, J., Mayes, M. A., Keiser, A. D., Mo, L., et al. (2019). The global soil community and its influence on biogeochemistry. *Science* 365:eaav0550. doi: 10.1126/science.aav0550

Cui, E., Huang, K., Arain, M. A., Fisher, J. B., Huntzinger, D. N., Ito, A., et al. (2019). Vegetation functional properties determine uncertainty of simulated ecosystem productivity: A traceability analysis in the East Asian monsoon region. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 33, 668–689. doi: 10.1029/2018GB005909

Dardel, C., Kergoat, L., Hiernaux, P., Mougin, E., Grippa, M., and Tucker, C. J. (2014). Re-greening Sahel: 30years of remote sensing data and field observations (Mali, Niger). *Remote Sens. Environ.* 140, 350–364. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.09.011

De Kauwe, M. G., Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Wang, Y. P., et al. (2014). Where does the carbon go? A model-data intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment sites. *New Phytol.* 203, 883–899. doi: 10.1111/nph.12847

Deng, F., Chen, J. M., Plummer, S., Chen, M., and Pisek, J. (2006). Algorithm for global leaf area index retrieval using satellite imagery. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote* 44, 2219–2229. doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2006.872100

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2023.1105832/full#supplementary-material

Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H., et al. (2007). Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 1135–1142. doi: 10.1111/j. 1461-0248.2007.01113.x

Fang, H., Jiang, C., Li, W., Wei, S., Baret, F., Chen, J. M., et al. (2013). Characterization and intercomparison of global moderate resolution leaf area index (LAI) products: Analysis of climatologies and theoretical uncertainties. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 118, 529–548. doi: 10.1002/jgrg.20051

Fisher, R. A., Muszala, S., Verteinstein, M., Lawrence, P., Xu, C., McDowell, N. G., et al. (2015). Taking off the training wheels: the properties of a dynamic vegetation model without climate envelopes, CLM4.5 (ED). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 3593–3619. doi: 10.5194/gmd-8-3593-2015

Forzieri, G., Alkama, R., Miralles, D. G., and Cescatti, A. (2017). Satellites reveal contrasting responses of regional climate to the widespread greening of Earth. *Science* 356, 1180–1184. doi: 10.1126/science.aal1727

Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., et al. (2006). Climate-carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP model intercomparison. *J. Clim.* 19, 3337–3353. doi: 10.1175/JCLI3800.1

Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R. M., Bakker, D. C., Hauck, J., et al. (2022). Global carbon budget 2021. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 14, 1917–2005. doi: 10.5194/essd-14-1917-2022

Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V. K., Jones, C. D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S. K., et al. (2014). Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks. *J. Clim.* 27, 511–526. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00579.1

Goll, D. S., Brovkin, V., Parida, B. R., Reick, C. H., Kattge, J., Reich, P. B., et al. (2012). Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in simulations with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. *Biogeosciences* 9, 3547–3569. doi: 10.5194/bg-9-3547-2012

Goll, D. S., Vuichard, N., Maignan, F., Jornet-Puig, A., Sardans, J., Violette, A., et al. (2017). A representation of the phosphorus cycle for ORCHIDEE (revision 4520). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10, 3745–3770. doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-3745-2017

Goswami, S., Gamon, J., Vargas, S., and Tweedie, C. (2015). Relationships of NDVI, Biomass, and Leaf Area Index (LAI) for six key plant species in Barrow, Alaska. *PeerJ PrePrints* 3:e913v1. doi: 10.7287/peerj.preprints.913v1

Hedley, M. J., Stewart, J. W. B., and Chauhan, B. (1982). Changes in inorganic and organic soil phosphorus fractions induced by cultivation practices and by laboratory incubations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46, 970–976. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1982.03615995004600050017x

Heinsch, F. A., Zhao, M., Running, S. W., Kimball, J. S., Nemani, R. R., Davis, K. J., et al. (2006). Evaluation of remote sensing based terrestrial productivity from MODIS using regional tower eddy flux network observations. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote* 44, 1908–1925. doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2005.853936

Hofhansl, F., Schnecker, J., Singer, G., and Wanek, W. (2015). New insights into mechanisms driving carbon allocation in tropical forests. *New Phytologist* 205, 137–146. doi: 10.1111/nph.13007

Hou, E., Luo, Y., Kuang, Y., Chen, C., Lu, X., Jiang, L., et al. (2020). Global meta-analysis shows pervasive phosphorus limitation of aboveground plant production in natural terrestrial ecosystems. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 637–639. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14492-w

Hou, E., Tan, X., Heenan, M., and Wen, D. (2018). A global dataset of plant available and unavailable phosphorus in natural soils derived by Hedley method. *Sci. Data* 5:180166. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.166

Hungate, B. A., Dukes, J. S., Shaw, M. R., Luo, Y., and Field, C. B. (2003). Nitrogen and climate change. *Science* 302, 1512–1513. doi: 10.1126/science.1091390

Jiang, C., Ryu, Y., Fang, H., Myneni, R., Claverie, M., and Zhu, Z. (2017). Inconsistencies of interannual variability and trends in long-term satellite leaf area index products. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 4133–4146. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13787

Koven, C. D., Chambers, J. Q., Georgiou, K., Knox, R., Negron-Juarez, R., Riley, W. J., et al. (2015). Controls on terrestrial carbon feedbacks by productivity versus turnover in the CMIP5 Earth System Models. *Biogeosciences* 12, 5211–5228. doi: 10.5194/bg-12-5211-2015

LeBauer, D. S., and Treseder, K. K. (2008). Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology* 89, 371–379. doi: 10.1890/06-2057.1

Lei, L., Zhang, K., Zhang, X., Wang, Y. P., Xia, J., Piao, S., et al. (2019). Plant feedback aggravates soil organic carbon loss associated with wind erosion in Northwest China. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 124, 825–839. doi: 10.1029/2018JG004804

Li, Q., Lu, X., Wang, Y., Huang, X., Cox, P. M., and Luo, Y. (2018). Leaf area index identified as a major source of variability in modeled  $CO_2$  fertilization. *Biogeosciences* 15, 6909–6925. doi: 10.5194/bg-15-6909-2018

Liu, Y., Liu, R., and Chen, J. M. (2012). Retrospective retrieval of long-term consistent global leaf area index (1981-2011) from combined AVHRR and MODIS data. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 117:G04003. doi: 10.1029/2012jg002084

Liu, Y., Xiao, J., Ju, W., Zhu, G., Wu, X., Fan, W., et al. (2018). Satellite-derived LAI products exhibit large discrepancies and can lead to substantial uncertainty in simulated carbon and water fluxes. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 206, 174–188. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2017.12.024

Luo, Y., Huang, Y., Sierra, C. A., Xia, J., Ahlström, A., Chen, Y., et al. (2022). Matrix approach to land carbon cycle modeling. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 14:e2022MS003008. doi: 10.1029/2022MS003008

Luo, Y., Keenan, T. F., and Smith, M. (2015). Predictability of the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Glob. Change Biol.* 21, 1737–1751. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12766

Luo, Y., Shi, Z., Lu, X., Xia, J., Liang, J., Jiang, J., et al. (2017). Transient dynamics of terrestrial carbon storage: mathematical foundation and its applications. *Biogeosciences* 14, 145–161. doi: 10.5194/bg-14-145-2017

Luo, Y., and Weng, E. (2011). Dynamic disequilibrium of the terrestrial carbon cycle under global change. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26, 96–104. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2010.11.003

Manzoni, S., and Porporato, A. (2009). Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization: theory and models across scales. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 1355–1379. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2009.02.031

Mao, J., Shi, X., Thornton, P. E., Hoffman, F. M., Zhu, Z., and Myneni, R. B. (2013). Global latitudinal-asymmetric vegetation growth trends and their driving mechanisms: 1982–2009. *Remote Sens.* 5, 1484–1497. doi: 10.3390/rs5031484

Melillo, J. M., Butler, S., Johnson, J., Mohan, J., Steudler, P., Lux, H., et al. (2011). Soil warming, carbon–nitrogen interactions, and forest carbon budgets. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 9508–9512. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018189108

Meyerholt, J., and Zaehle, S. (2015). The role of stoichiometric flexibility in modelling forest ecosystem responses to nitrogen fertilization. *New Phytol.* 208, 1042–1055. doi: 10.1111/nph.13547

Nakhavali, M. A., Mercado, L. M., Hartley, I. P., Sitch, S., Cunha, F. V., di Ponzio, R., et al. (2022). Representation of the phosphorus cycle in the Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (vn5. 5\_JULES-CNP). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 15, 5241–5269. doi: 10.5194/gmd-15-5241-2022

New, M., Hulme, M., and Jones, P. (1999). Representing twentieth-century space-time climate variability. Part I: Development of a 1961–90 mean monthly terrestrial climatology. *J. Clim.* 12, 829–856. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<0829:RTCSTC>2.0.CO;2

New, M., Hulme, M., and Jones, P. (2000). Representing twentieth-century space-time climate variability. Part II: Development of 1901–96 monthly grids of terrestrial surface climate. J. Clim. 13, 2217–2238. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<2217:RTCSTC>2.0.CO;2

New, M., Lister, D., Hulme, M., and Makin, I. (2002). A high-resolution data set of surface climate over global land areas. *Clim. Res.* 21, 1–25. doi: 10.3354/cr021001

Norby, R. J., Warren, J. M., Iversen, C. M., Medlyn, B. E., and McMurtrie, R. E. (2010). CO<sub>2</sub> enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 19368–19373. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1006463107

Olson, J. S. (1963). Energy storage and the balance of producers and decomposers in ecological systems. *Ecology* 44, 322–331. doi: 10.2307/1932179

Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X. U., He, Y., et al. (2020). Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global greening. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 1, 14–27. doi: 10.1038/s43017-019-0001-x

Piao, S., Yin, G., Tan, J., Cheng, L., Huang, M., Li, Y., et al. (2015). Detection and attribution of vegetation greening trend in China over the last 30 years. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 1601–1609. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12795

Rafique, R., Xia, J., Hararuk, O., Asrar, G. R., Leng, G., Wang, Y., et al. (2016). Divergent predictions of carbon storage between two global land models: attribution of the causes through traceability analysis. *Earth Syst. Dynam.* 7, 649–658. doi: 10.5194/esd-7-649-2016

Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A., et al. (2015). Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* 12, 653–679. doi: 10.5194/bg-12-653-2015

Sun, Y., Goll, D. S., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Guenet, B., Helfenstein, J., et al. (2021). Global evaluation of the nutrient-enabled version of the land surface model ORCHIDEE-CNP v1. 2 (r5986). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 14, 1987–2010. doi: 10.5194/gmd-14-1987-2021

Sun, Y., Peng, S., Goll, D. S., Ciais, P., Guenet, B., Guimberteau, M., et al. (2017). Diagnosing phosphorus limitations in natural terrestrial ecosystems in carbon cycle models. *Earth's Future* 5, 730–749. doi: 10.1002/2016EF000472

Sutton, M. A., Simpson, D., Levy, P. E., Smith, R. I., Reis, S., Van Oijen, M., et al. (2008). Uncertainties in the relationship between atmospheric nitrogen deposition and forest carbon sequestration. *Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 2057–2063. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01636.x

Thomas, R. Q., Brookshire, E. N. J., and Gerber, S. (2015). Nitrogen limitation on land: how can it occur in Earth system models? *Glob. Change Biol.* 21, 1777–1793. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12813

Thornton, P. E., Lamarque, J. F., Rosenbloom, N. A., and Mahowald, N. M. (2007). Influence of carbon-nitrogen cycle coupling on land model response to CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization and climate variability. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 21:GB4018. doi: 10.1029/2006GB002868

Thornton, P. E., and Rosenbloom, N. A. (2005). Ecosystem model spin-up: estimating steady state conditions in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model. *Ecol. Model.* 189, 25–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.04.008

Thum, T., Caldararu, S., Engel, J., Kern, M., Pallandt, M., Schnur, R., et al. (2019). A new model of the coupled carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycles in the terrestrial biosphere (QUINCY v1. 0; revision 1996). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 4781–4802. doi: 10.5194/gmd-12-4781-2019

Tsujii, Y., Aiba, S. I., and Kitayama, K. (2020). Phosphorus allocation to and resorption from leaves regulate the residence time of phosphorus in above-ground forest biomass on Mount Kinabalu. *Borneo. Funct. Ecol.* 34, 1702–1712. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435. 13574

Wang, Y., Ciais, P., Goll, D., Huang, Y., Luo, Y., Wang, Y. P., et al. (2018). GOLUM-CNP v1. 0: a data-driven modeling of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycles in major terrestrial biomes. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3903–3928. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-3903-2018

Wang, Y. P., Houlton, B. Z., and Field, C. B. (2007). A model of biogeochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus including symbiotic nitrogen fixation and phosphatase production. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 21:GB1018. doi: 10.1029/2006GB002797

Wang, Y. P., Huang, Y., Augusto, L., Goll, D. S., Helfenstein, J., and Hou, E. (2022). Toward a global model for soil inorganic phosphorus dynamics: dependence of exchange kinetics and soil bioavailability on soil physicochemical properties. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 36:e2021GB007061. doi: 10.1029/2021GB007061

Wang, Y. P., Kowalczyk, E., Leuning, R., Abramowitz, G., Raupach, M. R., Pak, B., et al. (2011). Diagnosing errors in a land surface model (CABLE) in the time and frequency domains. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 116:G01034. doi: 10.1029/2010jg001385

Wang, Y. P., Law, R. M., and Pak, B. (2010). A global model of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycles for the terrestrial biosphere. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2261–2282. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-2261-2010

Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Ju, W., Chen, J. M., Ciais, P., Cescatti, A., et al. (2020). Recent global decline of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects on vegetation photosynthesis. *Science* 370, 1295–1300. doi: 10.1126/science.abb7772

Wei, N., Cui, E., Huang, K., Du, Z., Zhou, J., Xu, X., et al. (2019). Decadal stabilization of soil inorganic nitrogen as a benchmark for global land models. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 1088–1099. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001633

Wei, N., Xia, J., Wang, Y. P., Zhang, X., Zhou, J., Bian, C., et al. (2022a). Nutrient limitations lead to a reduced magnitude of disequilibrium in the global terrestrial carbon cycle. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 127:e2021JG006764. doi: 10.1029/2021JG006764

Wei, N., Xia, J., Zhou, J., Jiang, L., Cui, E., Ping, J., et al. (2022b). Evolution of uncertainty in terrestrial carbon storage in earth system models from CMIP5 to CMP6. *J. Clim.* 35, 5483–5499. doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-21-0763.1

Weng, E., Dybzinski, R., Farrior, C. E., and Pacala, S. W. (2019). Competition alters predicted forest carbon cycle responses to nitrogen availability and elevated  $CO_2$ : simulations using an explicitly competitive, game-theoretic vegetation demographic model. *Biogeosciences* 16, 4577–4599. doi: 10.5194/bg-16-4577-2019

Weng, E. S., Malyshev, S., Lichstein, J. W., Farrior, C. E., Dybzinski, R., Zhang, T., et al. (2015). Scaling from individual trees to forests in an earth system modeling framework using a mathematically tractable model of height-structured competition. *Biogeosciences* 12, 2655–2694. doi: 10.5194/bg-12-2655-2015

Wieder, W. R., Cleveland, C. C., Smith, W. K., and Todd-Brown, K. (2015). Future productivity and carbon storage limited by terrestrial nutrient availability. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 441–444. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2413

Xia, J., Luo, Y., Wang, Y. P., and Hararuk, O. (2013). Traceable components of terrestrial carbon storage capacity in biogeochemical models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19, 2104–2116. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12172

Xia, J., Luo, Y., Wang, Y.-P., Weng, E., and Hararuk, O. (2012). A semi-analytical solution to accelerate spin-up of a coupled carbon and nitrogen land model to steady state. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 1259–1271. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1259-2012

Xia, J., McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D., Burke, E., Chen, G., Chen, X., et al. (2017). Terrestrial ecosystem model performance in simulating productivity and its vulnerability to climate change in the northern permafrost region. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 122, 430–446. doi: 10.1002/2016JG003384

Xia, J., and Wan, S. (2008). Global response patterns of terrestrial plant species to nitrogen addition. *New Phytol.* 179, 428–439. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02488.x

Xiao, Z., Liang, S., and Jiang, B. (2017). Evaluation of four long time-series global leaf area index products. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 246, 218–230. doi: 10.1016/j. agrformet.2017.06.016

Xiao, Z., Liang, S., Wang, J., Chen, P., Yin, X., Zhang, L., et al. (2014). Use of general regression neural networks for generating the GLASS leaf area index product from timeseries MODIS surface reflectance. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote* 52, 209–223. doi: 10.1109/ tgrs.2013.2237780

Xiao, Z., Liang, S., Wang, J., Xiang, Y., Zhao, X., and Song, J. (2016). Long-timeseries global land surface satellite leaf area index product derived from MODIS and AVHRR surface reflectance. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote* 54, 5301–5318. doi: 10.1109/ tgrs.2016.2560522

Yang, X., Thornton, P. E., Ricciuto, D. M., and Post, W. M. (2014). The role of phosphorus dynamics in tropical forests – a modeling study using CLM-CNP. *Biogeosciences* 11, 1667–1681. doi: 10.5194/bg-11-1667-2014

Yu, L., Ahrens, B., Wutzler, T., Schrumpf, M., and Zaehle, S. (2020). Jena Soil Model (JSM v1. 0; revision 1934): a microbial soil organic carbon model integrated with nitrogen and phosphorus processes. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 783–803. doi: 10.5194/gmd-13-783-2020

Zaehle, S., and Dalmonech, D. (2011). Carbon-nitrogen interactions on land at global scales: current understanding in modelling climate biosphere feedbacks. *Curr. Opin. Env. Sust.* 3, 311–320. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2011.08.008

Zaehle, S., Medlyn, B. E., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hickler, T., et al. (2014). Evaluation of 11 terrestrial carbon–nitrogen cycle models against observations from two temperate Free-Air  $CO_2$  enrichment studies. *New Phytol.* 202, 803–822. doi: 10.1111/nph.12697

Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Li, L. Z., Zhou, L., Ciais, P., Wang, T., et al. (2017). Climate mitigation from vegetation biophysical feedbacks during the past three decades. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 7, 432–436. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3299

Zeng, Z., Zhu, Z., Lian, X., Li, L. Z. X., Chen, A., He, X., et al. (2016). Responses of land evapotranspiration to earth's greening in CMIP5 earth system models. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 11:104006. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/10/104006

Zhang, D., Hui, D. F., Luo, Y. Q., and Zhou, G. Y. (2008). Rates of litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: global patterns and controlling factors. *J. Plant Ecol.* 1, 85–93. doi: 10.1093/jpe/rtn002

Zhang, X., Rayner, P. J., Wang, Y. P., Silver, J. D., Lu, X., Pak, B., et al. (2016). Linear and nonlinear effects of dominant drivers on the trends in global and regional land carbon uptake: 1959 to 2013. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 1607–1614. doi: 10.1002/2015GL0 67162

Zhou, S., Liang, J., Lu, X., Li, Q., Jiang, L., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). Sources of uncertainty in modeled land carbon storage within and across three MIPs: diagnosis with three new techniques. *J. Clim.* 31, 2833–2851. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0357.1

Zhou, J., Xia, J., Wei, N., Liu, Y., Bian, C., Bai, Y., et al. (2021). A traceability analysis system for model evaluation on land carbon dynamics: design and applications. *Ecol. Process.* 10, 1–14. doi: 10.1186/s13717-021-00281-w

Zhu, Z., Bi, J., Pan, Y., Ganguly, S., Anav, A., Xu, L., et al. (2013). Global data sets of vegetation leaf area index (LAI)3g and fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR)3g derived from global inventory modeling and mapping studies (GIMMS) normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI3g) for the period 1981 to 2011. *Remote Sens.* 5, 927–948. doi: 10.3390/rs5020927

Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Lian, X., Myneni, R. B., Peng, S., and Yang, H. (2017). Attribution of seasonal leaf area index trends in the northern latitudes with "optimally" integrated ecosystem models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 4798–4813. doi: 10.1111/gcb. 13723

Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J. G., et al. (2016). Greening of the earth and its drivers. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 6, 791–795. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3004

Zhu, Q., Riley, W. J., Tang, J., Collier, N., Hoffman, F. M., Yang, X., et al. (2019). Representing nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon interactions in the E3SM land model: Development and global benchmarking. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 2238–2258. doi: 10.1029/2018MS001571