



Genome-Wide Analysis Suggests the Relaxed Purifying Selection Affect the Evolution of WOX Genes in Pyrus bretschneideri, Prunus persica, Prunus mume, and Fragaria vesca

Yunpeng Cao¹, Yahui Han², Dandan Meng¹, Guohui Li¹, Dahui Li¹, Muhammad Abdullah², Qing Jin¹, Yi Lin¹ and Yongping Cai^{1*}

¹ School of Life Sciences, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China, ² State Key Laboratory of Tea Plant Biology and Utilization, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Rosalba Giugno, University of Verona, Italy

Reviewed by:

Manoj Prasad, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, India Rosario Muleo, Università degli Studi della Tuscia, Italy

> *Correspondence: Yongping Cai swkx12@ahau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

> Received: 10 March 2017 Accepted: 29 May 2017 Published: 15 June 2017

Citation:

Cao Y, Han Y, Meng D, Li G, Li D, Abdullah M, Jin Q, Lin Y and Cai Y (2017) Genome-Wide Analysis Suggests the Relaxed Purifying Selection Affect the Evolution of WOX Genes in Pyrus bretschneideri, Prunus persica, Prunus mume, and Fragaria vesca. Front. Genet. 8:78. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2017.00078 WUSCHEL-related homeobox (WOX) family is one of the largest group of transcription factors (TFs) specifically found in plant kingdom. WOX TFs play an important role in plant development processes and evolutionary novelties. Although the roles of WOXs in Arabidopsis and rice have been well-studied, however, little are known about the relationships among the main clades in the molecular evolution of these genes in Rosaceae. Here, we carried out a genome-wide analysis and identified 14, 10, 10, and 9 of WOX genes from four Rosaceae species (Fragaria vesca, Prunus persica, Prunus mume, and Pyrus bretschneideri, respectively). According to evolutionary analysis, as well as amino acid sequences of their homodomains, these genes were divided into three clades with nine subgroups. Furthermore, due to the conserved structural patterns among these WOX genes, it was proposed that there should exist some highly conserved regions of microsynteny in the four Rosaceae species. Moreover, most of WOX gene pairs were presented with the conserved orientation among syntenic genome regions. In addition, according to substitution models analysis using PMAL software, no significant positive selection was detected, but type I functional divergence was identified among certain amino acids in WOX protein. These results revealed that the relaxed purifying selection might be the main driving force during the evolution of WOX genes in the tested Rosaceae species. Our result will be useful for further precise research on evolution of the WOX genes in family Rosaceae.

Keywords: WOX genes, phylogenetic analysis, microsynteny, selection, functional divergence

INTRODUCTION

The WUSCHEL-related homeobox (WOX) gene family encodes a group of plant-specific transcription factors (TFs), which belongs to the homeodomain (HD) TF superfamily (Deveaux et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). There are 15 and 13 members of the WOX family in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice (*Oryza sativa*) genomes, respectively (Haecker et al., 1991; Graaff et al., 2009; Xin et al., 2010). WOX TFs have been reported to play important role in plant development,

1

such as regulating dynamic balance of stem cell division and differentiation, embryo development, and post-embryonic development (Palovaara et al., 2010; Yadav et al., 2010; Ueda et al., 2011). Bioinformatics analysis showed that WOX homology sequences were found in the genomes of Selaginella, Bryophyta and *Chlorophyta*, but not in the *Rhodophyta* genome, indicating that WOX family might originate from green algae (Mukherjee et al., 2009; Lian et al., 2014). According to the phylogenetic analysis among A. thaliana and Petunia hybrida, tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and rice (O. sativa), the WOX family was divided into three separate clades: WUS/modern clade, the intermediate clade, and the ancient clade (Haecker et al., 1991). Research on the structural characteristics of WOX members showed that the evolutionary branch members contained a specific WUS box (T-L-X-L-F-P-X-X, where X represents an amino acid) (Haecker et al., 1991). WUS box is an essential component for WUS regulation of stem tip meristem stem cell homeostasis and floral meristem morphogenesis (Ikeda and Ohme-Takagi, 2009). Moreover, AtWUS and AtWOX5 within modern/WUS clade, can redundantly maintain the apical stem cells under undifferentiated status (Sarkar et al., 2007); AtWOX4 can influent the process of secondary growth by modulating the activity of vascular cambium (Hirakawa et al., 2010); AtWOX1/3 can coordinate the development of paraxial and distal ends during the leaf development; the primordial initiation and development within meristem were terminated by overexpression of AtWOX6. Within the intermediate clade, AtWOX9 can maintain the growth and division of meristematic cells (Wu et al., 2005); AtWOX11 was specifically expressed in the cambium, and can promote the formation of adventitious roots (Zhao et al., 2009). Within the ancient clade, AtWOX13 can promote the formation of embryonic placenta during fruit development (Romera-Branchat et al., 2013); AtWOX14 and AtWOX4 can redundantly regulate the differentiation of vascular meristem (Etchells et al., 2013). These studies suggest that the WOX gene family is widely involved in the regulation of plant meristem. The members of WOX gene family appear to be functionally diverse. Although this gene family in some model plants, such as Arabidopsis and rice, has been studied on a phylogenetic scale, a comprehensive molecular evolutionary study remains elusive in Rosaceae species. Recently, a number of researches on application of comparative genome in analysis of evolution and function of the gene family have been reported (Cao et al., 2016a). Similar to other highly conserved genes, the WOX gene and its flanking sequences are likely to be conserved with microsynteny, which can promote the transfer of genetic knowledge among the related species of Rosaceae. The genomes of pear (Pyrus bretschneideri), peach (Prunus persica), mei (Prunus mume), and strawberry (Fragaria vesca) were published in Shulaev et al. (2011), Zhang et al. (2012), Verde et al. (2013), and Wu et al. (2013), respectively. Therefore, the availability of whole-genome sequences for four members of three Rosaceae subfamilies (Fragaria, Prunus, and Pyrus) have enabled us to explore the selection regimes under which WOX genes have diversified during the radiation of the Rosaceae. The present research could lead to a better understanding of WOX

gene family on evolutionary history and diversification in Rosaceae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database Search

WOX genes were identified from the genome data representing the four Rosaceae species (*P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *F. vesca*, and *P. mume*, respectively). Two different methods were used to identify WOX genes in the *P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *F. vesca*, and *P. mume* genome: (1) BLASTP search using Arabidopsis and rice WOX protein sequences according to previous research methods (Cao et al., 2016a,d), and (2) the screening of Hidden Markov Model profile (PF00046) in four Rosaceae genome using DNAtools software with an e-value cut off of 0.001 (Gehring, 1992). All candidate WOX proteins were confirmed to have a complete WOX domain using both Pfam (Punta et al., 2011), SMART dadabases (Letunic et al., 2012) and InterProScan database (Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001).

Phylogenetic Trees Construction

The multiple alignment of WOX proteins in five plant species (*P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *F. vesca*, *P. mume*, and *A. thaliana*) was performed using CLUSTAL_X software (Thompson et al., 1997). Subsequently, we constructed NJ (neighbor-joining) tree using MEGA version 5.1 software (Tamura et al., 2011) with the following parameters: bootstrap (1000 replicate), pairwise deletion and Poisson correction. At the same time, we used ML (maximum-likelihood) and ME (Minimum-evolution) methods to generate the phylogenetic trees to validate the topologies.

Exon–Intron Structural Analysis and Identification of Conserved Motifs

The online program Gene Structure Display Server (Hu et al., 2014) was used to detect the exon-intron structure of cDNAs and genomic DNA sequences. Subsequently, the MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation, Version 4.11.1) program (Bailey et al., 2015) was used to obtain the motifs in all candidate WOX proteins, with the parameters: the maximum number of motifs at 20, and the optimum motif width between six and 200 residues. Furthermore, the Pfam database (Punta et al., 2011), SMART software (Letunic et al., 2012), and InterProScan database (Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001) were used to annotate these structure motifs.

Microsynteny Analysis

According to the comparisons of the specific regions containing *WOX* genes, we carried out microsynteny analysis across the four Rosaceae species. Similarly, the *WOX* genes of *P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *P. mume*, and *F. vesca* were categorized based on their classification in the evolutionary tree. Subsequently, all *WOX* genes in *P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *F. vesca*, and *P. mume* were set as anchor sites, according to their physical location. Then the flanking protein-coding genes of the *WOX* gene in one species were compared with those in other species. The criterion for

dividing an interspecific synteny block is to locate three or more conserved homologous genes within 100 KB between genomes (BLASTP E-value $< 10^{-10}$) (Wang et al., 2012).

Selective Pressure and Functional Divergence Analysis

To future understand whether the *WOX* genes have undergone positive selection during evolution, maximum likelihood codon models (site models and branch-site models) in PAML software (Yang, 2007) were performed. Three pairs of models (M0 vs. M3, M1a vs. M2a, and M7 vs. M8) were utilized to detect positive selection sites. In the free site models, M0 (one ratio), M1a (neutral), M2a (selection), M3 (discrete), M7 (beta), and M8 (beta and ω) were evaluated by the likelihood ratio test (LRT). The LRT was used to judge which model was more suitable in the two models, and the amino acids sites with positive selection were obtained by the Bayesian method of PAML software (Yang, 2007).

Functional divergence analysis of amino acid sequence data was performed using Diverge 2.0 combined with constructed phylogenetic tree (Gu, 1999, 2006; Gu et al., 2013). The type I functional divergence led to a change of functional limitation, which was highly correlated with the evolution rate after gene duplication (Gu, 1999, 2006; Gu et al., 2013). Type II functional divergence did not result in a change in the functional limitation of the members after gene duplication, but the change of physical and chemical properties of amino acid residues (Gu, 1999, 2006; Gu et al., 2013).

cis-Acting Elements Analysis

To identify putative *cis*-elements in promoter regions of *WOX* genes, the PlantCARE database (Lescot et al., 2002) was used. 2000 bp genomic sequence upstream of the start codon (ATG) was used for *cis*-acting elements analysis.

Expression Profiles of FvWOX Genes

The normalized data (Fragments Per Kilobase Exon model per Million mapped fragments, FPKM) during *F. vesca* development was reported by Darwish et al. (2013), and available from SGR GBrowse. A gene was thought to be expressed if the FPKM value was greater than or equal to 0 FPKM in at least one of the 14 tissues. Subsequently, the transcriptome data of *FvWOXs* was visualized using the R software¹.

RESULTS

Identification and Chromosomal Distribution of WOX Genes in Rosaceae

For identification of *WOXs* gene families, the genome data of tested Rosaceae species was subjected to HMM and BLASTP searches. As a result it is revealed, presence of 9, 10, 10, and 14 *WOX* genes in *P. mume, P. bretschneideri, P. persica*, and *F. vesca*, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). These *WOX* genes were named according to method of Haecker et al.

¹http://www.bioconductor.org

(1991). For this purpose, the phylogenetic tree was carried out based on multiple sequence alignments for the full-length WOX protein sequences of tested Rosaceae species and A. thaliana. The identified WOX genes of these tested four Rosaceae species were renamed according to the evolutionary relationship as shown in Supplementary Table S1. Subsequently, the distribution of these WOX genes on chromosomes was identified, based on genomic annotation information. As shown in Figure 1, it is discovered that the WOX genes were unevenly distributed among the chromosomes in each of tested four species. In the P. bretschneideri genome, four of WOX genes were distributed on chromosome 15, while remaining distributed on chromosomes 3, 5, 6, 12, and 17. In F. vesca, five and four of 14 WOX genes were distributed among chromosomes 3 and 5, respectively. In both P. persica and P. mume, three WOX genes distributed were found on one chromosome (no. 7 and no. 8, respectively), with others scattered across different chromosomes (Figure 1).

Evolution of WOX Genes in Rosaceae

To investigate the possible evolutionary history of the WOX genes in the tested Rosaceae species, we carried out a joint phylogenetic analysis using three methods; ME, ML, and NJ. Based on previous report that WOX13 subfamily was an ancient member in the WOX gene family (Deveaux et al., 2008), the WOX13 subfamily was selected as an outgroup to root phylogenetic tree. All the tree topologies generated by the three methods (ME, ML, and NJ) were largely consistent with each other, with only minor changes in internal branches (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S1, S2). Therefore, only NJ phylogenetic tree was used in the following analysis. Previous studies on WOX genes have confirmed that motifs FYWFQNH, FYWFQNR, and YNWFQNR were representative markers for the WUS/modern clade, intermediate clade and ancient clade, respectively (Graaff et al., 2009; Ge et al., 2016). Confining the previous results (Deveaux et al., 2008; Xin et al., 2010), our evolutionary analysis exposed a total of 58 members of the WOX genes in P. bretschneideri, P. persica, F. vesca, P. mume along with A. thaliana. These 58 members of WOX genes were divided into three clades and nine subfamilies. The Modern clade contained a total of six subfamilies (WUS, WOX1, WOX2, WOX3, WOX4, and WOX5), and Intermediate clade included two subfamilies (WOX9 and WOX11), while Ancient clade just had a WOX13 subfamily, which were consistent with the evolutionary relationships of WOXs in other species (Xin et al., 2010; Hedman et al., 2013; Nardmann and Werr, 2013; Lian et al., 2014). Remarkably, we found that all subfamilies contained at least one WOX member from each of the four Rosaceae species (Figure 2). These results imply that rapid duplication of WOX genes occurred before these dicotyledonous species were diverged.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that three pairs of paralogous genes were found among the WOX genes, which were consistent with the previous notion that most members of the WOX gene family are represented by pairs of orthologous genes. As shown in **Figure 3**, up to eight pairs of orthologous WOX genes were shared by *P. persica* and *P. mume*, whereas only two pairs of orthologous WOX genes found between *P. bretschneideri* and



P. persica. However, no orthologous *WOX* genes were found between *F. vesca* and other species. These results were consistent with the evolutionary relationships among these four Rosaceae species (Dickinson et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2016b).

Analysis of Exon–Intron Structure and the Conserved Motifs

Previous studies have shown that gene structural diversity is an important resource for the evolution of multigene families (Liu et al., 2009; Cao et al., 2016c). To understand the structural diversity of the WOX genes in Rosaceae, gene structures of PbWOXs, PpWOXs, PmWOXs, and FvWOXs were deduced. It is revealed that these WOX genes contained different numbers of exons as shown in Figure 4A. For example, FvWOX11 only contained one exon, while FvWOX9A contained the largest number of exons (5). Moreover, 16, 15, and 3 of WOX genes contained two, three and four exons, respectively. These results suggested that the functional diversity of WOX genes may be in consequence due to exon loss or gain during the evolution of the WOX gene family. Subsequently, gene structures of the WOX paralogous and orthologous gene pairs were further analyzed. Among these genes, we found that the exon number of seven gene pairs had changed, including FvWOX9A/FvWOX9B, FvWOX13B/FvWOX13C,

PmWOX3/PpWOX3, *PbWOX4/PpWOX4*, *PmWUS/PpWUS*, *PmWOX11/PpWOX11*, and *PmWOX13B/PpWOX13B*. By comparing among these seven gene pairs, it was found that one exon was lost in *FvWOX9B*, *PmWOX3*, *PpWOX4*, *PpWUS*, *PmWOX11* and *PmWOX13B*, while one exon was obtained in *FvWOX9A*, *PpWOX3*, *PbWOX4*, *PmWOXWUS*, *PpWOX11*, and *PpWOX13B*. It may happen during the long evolutionary period. Previous studies have proposed that introns could be specifically inserted and remained in the plant genome during evolution (Rogozin et al., 2003; Carmel et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2016d). In our study, these phenomenon were observed, which might explain the functional differences and diversity of closely related *WOX* genes, such as *PbWOX3* and *FvWOX3*, *PbWOX13B*, and *FvWOX13A* (**Figure 4A**).

Furthermore, it was observed that 20 of the conserved motifs were found in the 43 WOX proteins using MEME website (Supplementary Table S2). These motifs were annotated by using Pfam and SMART. Motif 1, present in all subfamilies, was identified to encode for a conserved homodomain. In addition to the homodomain, most of the WOX members within the same clade shared the similar motif compositions as shown in **Figure 4B**. These results reinforced the classification of WOX subfamilies. However, several motifs were unique to the proteins in some clades. For example, Motif 4 was unique to Ancient clade (clade I: WOX13 subfamily), Motif 5 to WUS clade (clades A–F)







orientation on strands was indicated by the triangle. Remarkably, the relative positions of all flanking protein-coding genes were defined by anchored WOX genes, highlighted in red. Subsequently, we used black lines to connect the homologous genes on two fragments. All genes are numbered from left to right, in order, for each segment. The (A–I) subfamilies in figure were consistent with those in Figure 2.

and Motif 15 to clade C (Supplementary Table S2 and **Figure 4B**). To some extent, these specific motifs may play an important role in the clade or subfamily, as well as contribution to the functional divergence of *WOX* genes.

Sequence Analysis of WOX Domains

Based on their amino acid sequences, the newly identified WOX gene family members were found to contain the conserved homeodomain by multiple sequence alignment of Cluxa2.0 with default parameters. The conserved homeodomain was selected for the visualized results by ESPript 3 (Gouet et al., 2005). The homeodomain structures of these four species were highly similar with each other. They contained a helix-loop-helixturn-helix structure with either 65 or 66 amino acid residues. A total of 11 conserved sites (Q, L and Y in helix1; I, V, W, F, N, K, and R in helix3) of homeodomain reported previously (Gehring, 1992; Xin et al., 2010), were also conservative in the WOX proteins of the Rosaceae species (Figure 5). These findings suggested that these amino acid residues could play an important role in their functions. In addition to the previously reported conserved amino acid sites, other conserved amino acid sites have been identified in this study, such as P, L, and I in

helix 2, Q and F in helix 3, as well as G in the turn region. Interestingly, an extra Y residue was found in the homeodomain of PbWUS, PmWUS, PpWUS, FvWUS and AtWUS, compared with other members of WOX gene family in P. bretschneideri, P. persica, P. mume and F. vesca and A. thaliana. Similar finding have been reported by Mayer et al. (1998) and Xin et al. (2010), that the homeodomains of A. thaliana WUS, O. sativa WUS, Z. mays WUS1, Z. mays WUS2, S. bicolor WUS and P. trichocarpa WUS were composed of 66 amino acid residues containing an extra Y residue by multiple sequence alignment, which indicates that this residue might play an important role on the function of WUS TF. Remarkably, in Arabidopsis, AtWOX5 (without Y residue between Helix1 and Loop) could replace AtWUS (containing Y residue) to maintain the dynamic balance of stem cells in the shoot apical meristem (Sarkar et al., 2007)

It was reported previously that the WUS protein contains three functional domains, including WUS-box, acidic region and EAR-like motif (Xin et al., 2010; Xiaoxu et al., 2016). These functional domains significantly contribute to its function as a TF (Xin et al., 2010; Xiaoxu et al., 2016). In present study, Motif 5 (WUS box: amino acids, TLLFP) was observed to be in



the presence of all WOX proteins in WUX clade (clades A–F) (Supplementary Table S2 and **Figure 4B**). In clade C, the Motif 15 (EAR-like motif: amino acids, SLELSL) was found in all WOX proteins. However, no acidic region was identified in all WOX proteins (Supplementary Table S2 and **Figure 4B**). These results were consistent with previous findings that acidic region may be an important function domain only in Arabidopsis *WUS* gene (Xin et al., 2010; Xiaoxu et al., 2016).

Microsynteny Analysis of WOX Genes

Microsynteny has been surveyed in different species to understand the position of the homologous genes (orthology or paralogy) (Cannon et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2004; Cao et al., 2016a). In this study, microsynteny analysis was carried out for identification of homologous relationships within the WOX genes in *P. bretschneideri*, *P. persica*, *F. vesca*, and *P. mume* (**Figure 3**). Additionally, to measure the linkages and molecular history among WOX genes, a stepwise gene-by-gene reciprocal comparison was performed. In general, if the flanking genes in the chromosome region of the target gene contained three or more pairs of genes that are collinear, they could be considered as the conserved microsynteny (Lin et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2016a).

Primarily, the intraspecies microsynteny was investigated among four Rosaceae species. However, it was revealed that no collinear WOX genes were observed (Figure 3). These results suggested that independent duplication events were the main expansion pattern of WOX gene family members. Consequently, we analyzed the relationship of the WOX genes within each interspecies. The results exposed that the nine clades containing 38 WOX genes were found, among which 10 were from P. persica and F. vesca, 9 from P. bretschneideri and P. mume, respectively. Then several higher levels of microsynteny found in subfamilies A-G. Among these microsynteny some were remarkably inverted, duplicated such as PpWOX3/PmWOX3, *PbWOX1/PpWOX1*, and *FvWOX13C/PpWOX13B* (Figure 3). Usually, genome segments in the same group may evolve from a single sequence, which led to species differentiation (Tripoli et al., 2005; Jing et al., 2016). However, sequence fragments from the same group are considered to be homologous genes, and

	Helix1		Helix2	000 Turn	Helix3
-0	۵4000000000000000000000000000000000000		22222222222222222222222222222222222222		,
4.0-					T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
3.0 2.0 1.0	ŇŨĿĔŴŧĸŦĹĔĔIJ	└╽Ÿ┢ょĠ≠₿	I <mark>PsaeQIQ</mark> eIi		I EGKNVEYWE(JNHK & BEB()
Atwus			S <mark>P</mark> TADQIQKI1		
FvWUS1 RW	TPTTDOIRILKEI	LYYNKGVR	SPSAEOIORIO	CLOLKRYGK	IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
FvWUS2 RW	TPTNDQLII <mark>L</mark> NDJ	L Y <mark>Y</mark> N K <mark>G</mark> V R	S <mark>P</mark> S N E Q I Q M I F	R F Q <mark>L</mark> R Q Y <mark>G</mark> K	IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
PbWUS RW PmWUS RW	TPTTDQIKILKDJ	LYYNNGIR	SPSAEQIHRIS	SAKLRQYGK	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
PpWUS RW	TPTSDOIRILKD	LYYNNGVR	SPSAEQIQRIS	SARLROYGK	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
AtWOX1 RW	NPTPDQLRV <mark>L</mark> EEJ	L.YRQ <mark>G</mark> TR	T <mark>P</mark> SADHIQQI7	[A Q <mark>L</mark> R R Y <mark>G</mark> K	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
FvWOX1 RW	NPTPEQLRALEEI	. YRRGTR	TPSAEQIQQI T	AQLRRFGK	IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
PbWOX1 RW PmWOX1 RW	NPTPEOLRALEEI	, YRRGIR	TPSAEQIQHI I TPSAEOIOHI I	A Q L R K Y G K	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
PpWOX1 RW	NPTPEQLRALEEI	. YRRGTR	T P S A E Q I Q H I T	I A Q L R K Y G K	IEGKNVFYWFQNHKARERQ
AtWOX11 RW	SPKPEQILILES	I.FHSGMV	NPPKEETVRIF	R K M L E K F G A	VGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RRSRSRR
FvWOX11 RW PbWOX11 RW	IPKPEQILILES.	L.FNSGMV	NPPKEEIVKIE	KKLLEKFGS KKLLEKFGS	V G D A N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R S R S R R V G D A N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R S R S R R
PmWOX11 RW	IPKPEQILILESI	I.FNS <mark>G</mark> MV	NPPKEETVRIF	R K L <mark>L</mark> E K F <mark>G</mark> T	IGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RRSRSRR
					VGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RRSRSRR
AtWOX12 RW AtWOX13A RW	SPKPEQILILES. TPTPVOLOTIER		NPPKDETVRI H TPSKOKIKDI I	KKMLEKFGA EELSOHGO	V G D A N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R S R S R R I A E Q N V Y N W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R A R S K R
AtWOX13B RW	TPTTTQLQILEN	I.YKEGSG	TPNPRRIKEIT	MELSEHGQ	IMEKNVYHWF <mark>QN</mark> RRARSKR
AtWOX13C RW	TPTSTQLQILES	I.YDE <mark>G</mark> SG	T <mark>P</mark> NRRRIREI <i>F</i>	ATE L SEH G Q	ITETNVYNWF <mark>QN</mark> RRARSKR
FVWOX13A RW	TPTPVQLQILEQI	L.FDQGNG	TPSKQKIKEI TPCKOPVKET	ISELSQHGQ KELSOHGO	I S E T <mark>N V Y N W F Q N</mark> R R A R S K R N Q I V S P K D K R <mark>Q N</mark> L K T C S F E
FvWOX13C RW	TPSNTQLEILER	L.YNEANG	TPGKHRVKEI T	KELSQH G H	VTETNVYNWF <mark>QN</mark> RRARSKR
PbWOX13A RW	TPTPVOLOILERI	. FEOGNG	TPSKOKIKEIT	TELSOHGO	ISETNVYNWF <mark>ON</mark> RRARSKR
PbWOX13B RW	TPSAVQLQILEQ TPTPVOLOTIEPI	I.FEEGNG	TPCKQKIKEL]	MELTQHGQ	I S E T N V Y N W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R A R S K R I S E T N V Y N W F Q N R R A R S K R
PmWOX13B RW	TPTPVOLOMLER	I.FEEGTG	TPCKOKIKEII	MELTOHGO	ISETNVYNWF <mark>ON</mark> RRARSKR
PpWOX13A RW	TPTPVQLQILERI	. F E Q G N G	ΤΡSΚQΚΙΚΕΙΊ	ISE L SQH G Q	ISETNVYNWF <mark>QN</mark> RRARSKR
PpWOX13B RW AtWOX2 RW	TPTPVQLQMLER:	I.FEEGTG	TPCKQKIKEI T	IMELTQHGQ	I S E T N V Y N W F <mark>Q N</mark> R R A R S K R I E G K N V F Y W F Q N H K A R Q R Q
					IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARQRQ
PbWOX2	MLENE	YKQ <mark>G</mark> VR	T <mark>P</mark> S A E Q I Q Q I 7	[SR <mark>L</mark> KAF <mark>G</mark> H	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R Q R Q
PpWOX2 AtWOX3 RW					I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R Q R Q I E G K N V F Y W F Q N H K A R D R Q
FvWOX3 RW	CPTPEOLMILEEN	4.YRAGVR	TPNASOIOHI 1	TOLSYYGK	IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARDRQ
PbWOX3 RW	CPSPEQLMLLEE	4.YRA <mark>G</mark> IR	T <mark>P</mark> NASQIQHI 1	[AQ <mark>L</mark> SSY <mark>G</mark> K	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R D R Q
PmWOX3 RW PpWOX3 RW	CPTPEQLMI L EEN CPTPEQLMI L EEN	1.YRAGIR	TPNASQIQHI T	TAQLSLYGK	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R D R Q I E G K N V F Y W F Q N H K A R D R Q
AtWOX4 RW	NPTOEOIGILEMI	L.YKGGMR	TPNAOOIEHI T	LOLGKYGK	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
FvWOX4 RW	NPTQEQIGI <mark>L</mark> EMI	L.YRG <mark>G</mark> MR	T <mark>P</mark> NAQQIEQI1	[A Q <mark>L</mark> G K Y <mark>G</mark> K	IEGKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
PbWOX4 RW PmWOX4 RW	N P T Q E Q I G I L E M J	L.YRGGMR	TPNAQQIEQI TPNAQQIEQI	I A Q L G K Y G K	I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
PpWOX4 RW	NPTOEOIGILEMI	. YRGGMR	TPNAOOIEOI 7	AOLGKYGK	IEGKNVF IWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
AtWOX5A RW	NPTVEOVKLLTDI	L.FKAGLR	TPSTDOIOKIS	SMELSFY G K	IESKNVFYWF <mark>ON</mark> HKARERO
AtWOX5B RW	NPTVEQLKILTDI	L. FRAGLR	TPTTDQIQKI S	STELSFYGK	IESKNVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> HKARERQ
TTWOY5B DW	NDTTFOURVITTDI				I E G K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> Y R A R E R Q I E S K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
PbWOX5 RW	NPTIEQVKVLTD	L.FRSGLR	T <mark>P</mark> STDQIQKIS	SSQ <mark>l</mark> SFY <mark>G</mark> K	I E S K N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> H K A R E R Q
PmWOX5 RW	NPTTEQVKVLTD	L. FRSGLR	IPSTDQIQKIS	SSQLSFYGK	IESKNVFYWFQNHKARERQ IESKNVFYWFQNHKARERQ IESKNVFYWFQNHKARERQ IEGKNVFYWFQNHKARERL VGDANVFYWFQNRKSRSKH
PpWOX5 RW AtWOX6 RW	NPTIEQVKVLTDI NPTPEOTTTLEEI	L. FRSGLR	TPTTEOTOOT	SKLRKYGR	IEGKNVFYWFONHKARERQ
AtWOX9A RW	NPKPEQIRILEA	I.FNSGMV	NPPREEIRRIF	AQLQEYGQ	VGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RKSRSKH
Atwox98 RW	NPKIPEOLRIES	L. FIN SIGT L	NPREEDORIE	KI K FOEY (CO	LIGDAINVE YWE ONRKS RAKIH
FvWOX9A RW FvWOX9B RW	NPRPEQIRILEA	L. FNSGMV	NPPRDEIRKIE NPSPFFVPMT 7	KAQLQEYGQ	VGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RKSRSKH VVDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RKSRSKH
PbWOX9 RW	NPKPEQIRILEA	[.FNSGMV	NPPRDEIRKIF	₹VQ L QEY G Q	V G D A N V F Y W F <mark>Q N</mark> R K S R S K H
PmWOX9 RW	NPKPEQIRILEA	I.FNSGMV	NPPRDEIRKIE	RAOLOEYGO	VGDANVFYWF <mark>QN</mark> RKSRSKH
PpWOX9 RW	<u>N P K P E Q I R I L E</u> A I	L.FNSGMV	N <mark>P</mark> PRDEIRKIF	RAQ L QE <mark>YG</mark> Q	VGDA <mark>NVFYWF<mark>QN</mark>RKSR</mark> SKH

FIGURE 5 | Alignment of the WOX homodomain sequences in five plant species. Highly conserved residues of homodomains were represented by the red shaded blocks in the five tested species. The secondary structure was indicated according to Mayer et al. (1998).

their genetic evolution resulted in species segregation (Tripoli et al., 2005; Jing et al., 2016). Remarkably, with the construction of the phylogenetic tree, the conservation of microsynteny in different families gradually emerged. Furthermore, some flanking genes were not conserved in each microsyntenic group. Therefore, it was speculated that these new genes were later than this duplication event. Interestingly, several lower levels of microsynteny were also found, such as *PpWOX13B/FvWOX13A* and *PbWOX13A/PmWOX13B* in clade I, *PbWOX11/PpWOX11* and *PpWOX11/PmWOX11* in clade H (**Figure 3**). These results

strongly suggested that the ancient large-scale duplications could follow by gene rearrangement and loss.

Analysis of Selection Pressures and Functional Divergence

To investigate whether WOX genes have undergone strong selection pressures in the evolution of WOX gene family, we used site and branch-site models in the CODEML program of PAML software to detect positive selection sites (Yang, 2007). However, no positive selection was detected among these genes (Supplementary Table S3). These finding imply that relaxed purifying selection might play a major role in the evolution of WOX genes. Nardmann and Werr (2013) have shown that the WOX gene expansion was resulted from the increased complexity of plant morphology (Nardmann and Werr, 2013). These results proposed that the novel members after gene expansion were retained with partly overlapping expression domains and functions. These results are similar with findings of Nardmann and Werr (2013) who reported that with the relaxed purifying, dosage effects will lead to a selective advantage (Nardmann and Werr, 2013).

For further investigation to comprehend significant differences in selection pressures among WUS clade, intermediate clade and ancient clade, the branch-site models were performed using PAML software. It was exposed that no significant positive selection observed in the different branches of *WOX* gene family (Supplementary Table S4). This result was in contrast to the previous report that some significant positive selection sites were fixed in *WOX* genes of peanut (Wang et al., 2015). Because significant positive selection usually exerts its effects only in few sites and in a short period of evolutionary processes, it is difficult to detect positive selection. Thus, the selected signal could be diluted by the purifying selection (Zhang, 2005). However, as the *WOX* coding regions are highly conserved among members of orthologous families, the absence of strong positive selection was expected in Rosaceae species.

Due to the fact that significant positive selection could only detect a limited number of adaptive selection events, we performed a functional divergence analysis according to method used by Cao et al. (2016a). The DIVERGE software was used to calculate functional divergence of type I or II between gene clades in *WOX* genes with posterior analysis. In general, type I functional divergence usually resulted in a specific amino acid selectivity change, i.e., evolutionary rate change. The type II functional divergence only led to the change of physical and chemical properties of amino acids, which were occurred after gene duplication. In present study, to avoid the emergence of false positives, the sites with a posterior probability Q K > 0.9 were set as the key amino acid sites arising the functional differences according to previous experimentation reported (Yin et al., 2013; Cao et al., 2016a). Our results showed that five key amino acid sites (144, 154, 161, 165, and 166) were identified as type I functional divergence between Ancient and Modern (**Table 1**), while just one key site (152) between Intermediate and Modern (**Table 1**). The chi-square test (x 2) found that the *P*-values of Ancient/Modern and Intermediate/Modern were less than 0.05, reaching a significant level. Interestingly, among these three clades, no specific type II functional divergence site (Q K > 0.9) was detected (Supplementary Table S5), suggesting that the physicochemical properties of amino acid sequences between these Rosaceae *WOX* genes were highly identical.

cis-Acting Element Analysis of *WOX* Genes

Two thousand bp sequences of upstream from start codon (ATG) among the putative *WOX* genes, were used for analysis of *WOX* promoters by searching, against the PlantCARE website. Consequently, we detected various types of *cis*-acting elements in the promoter region of 43 *WOX* genes (Supplementary Table S6). These results indicated that the same type of *WOX* might carry out different functions. MBS and ABRE elements were found to be distributed in promoter region of most *WOX* genes, implying that *WOX* genes were transcriptionally regulated upon salt stress and dehydration. Remarkably, we found that the *cis*-elements exhibit significant differences in the promoter regions of duplicated *WOX* genes. These results indicated that the duplicated *WOX* genes may exhibit different regulation features.

Expression Profiles of *F. vesca WOX* Genes

To explore the role of the WOX gene family in *F. vesca* development process, the expression of the *FvWOX* genes was explored. The results showed that their expression levels were divergent from each other, indicating that they may be functionally active among all tissues except *FvWOX5B* (**Supplementary Figure S3**), which was located in Pollen with no expression. At the same time, most of *FvWOX* genes exhibited developmental stage-specificity, such as higher expression of *FvWOX13A*, *FvWOX3*, *FvWOX13B*, and *FvWOX1* in flowering, and *FvWOX4*, *FvWOX5*, and *FvWOX5A* in embryo (**Supplementary Figure S3**). Surprisingly, we found that *FvWOX13A*, *FvWOX9A*, and *FvWOX1* were highly expressed among all tissues, indicating that these genes were persistent and very important during development process of *F. vesca*.

TABLE 1 | Analysis of type I functional divergence

Group 1	Group 2	$\Theta \pm SE$	LRT	Q K > 0.9	Р
Ancient	Intermediate	0.277 ± 0.286	0.938	Not allowed	P < 0.05
Ancient	Modern	0.754 ± 0.153	24.289	144,154,161,165,166	P < 0.05
Intermediate	Modern	0.368 ± 0.114	10.454	152	P < 0.05

SE, standard error; LRT, value of likelihood ratio test.

DISCUSSION

In present study, 43 WOX genes from four Rosaceae species were identified. It is observed that no direct relevance between genome sizes and the number of WOX gene family members. For example, there was no significant variety in the genome size of P. bretschneideri (271.9 Mb) (Wu et al., 2013) and F. vesca (240 Mb) (Shulaev et al., 2011), the number of WOX genes have been obviously changed. On the contrary, the number of WOX genes of the P. persica (224.6 Mb) (Verde et al., 2013) and P. mume (201 Mb) (Zhang et al., 2012) had a corresponding relationship with their genome sizes. In addition, we also noted that P. bretschneideri undergoes two genome-wide duplication events compared with those from P. persica, P. mume, and F. vesca (Wu et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the members of the WOX gene family among these four species did not change significantly. These findings indicate that the recent genome-wide duplication event did not contribute to the expansion of P. bretschneideri WOX gene family numbers. These results were supported by microsynteny analysis (Figure 3).

Previous studies suggested that the WOX gene family was divided into three major clades; the ancient clade were mainly present in land plants and green algae, while the intermediate and modern clades were only present in ferns and seed plants (Deveaux et al., 2008; Graaff et al., 2009; Nardmann and Werr, 2012, 2013). In present study, we found that all WOX genes from four Rosaceae species were distributed in the three clades, and was supported by the result of exon-intron and conserved domains analysis. At the same time, we also found that each clade contained its specific conserved motifs, implying these specific conserved motifs were likely required for subfamilyspecific functions, such as Motif 5 to WUS clade (clades A-F). In the WOX gene family, the modern/WUS clade and intermediate clade were evolved from the ancient clade. It is well-known that gene sequence divergence, recombination, and duplications were considered to be the main driving forces for the evolution of gene families (Lin et al., 2014). In our study, the selection pressure was analyzed by using PAML program (Yang, 2007). In general, values of dn/ds (ω) >1, =1, and <1 represents positive selection, neutral evolution and purifying selection on the target gene, respectively. In this study, we found that the ω value of WOX genes was 0.07304 in M0 model (Supplementary Table S3). These results implied that WOX genes from four Rosaceae mainly underwent purifying selection during evolution, which was consistent with the hypothesis that highly conserved genes remain in the genome due to purifying selection. For example, the conserved WOX clade genes were all retained from green alga to seed plants (Nei, 2007).

Hedman et al. (2013) found that most conifer *Picea abies WOX* genes expressed at high levels in all developmental stages, while a few *PaWOXs* expression were low in specific tissues (Hedman et al., 2013). Zhang et al. (2015) reported that 10 *Citrullus lanatus WOX* genes were expressed in almost all tissues (Na et al., 2015). In our study, we found that the most of the *FvWOX* genes were expressed in different tissues. Among them, *FvWOX4*, *FvWOX55*, *FvWOX5A*, and *FvWOX9B* were mainly expressed in embryo stage with a very low expression for these genes in other tissues,

which implied that these genes might have the same function as the key regulation factor *AtWOX9A* and *AtWOX9B* which was involved in the maintenance of the SAM (Wu et al., 2005; Skylar et al., 2010). The high expression of *FvWOX13A*, *FvWOX13B*, and *FvWOX13C* (Ancient clade) in flower tissue implied it had an important role similar to *AtWOX13A* and *AtWOX13B* in floral transition (Deveaux et al., 2008).

In this work, we identified 43 WOX genes in four Rosaceae species. These genes were divided into three wellsupported clades (ancient, modern/WUS, intermediate) with nine subgroups. We also found that WOX genes phylogenetic relationship was supported by the presence of gene structure and conserved motif distribution. Our study demonstrated the existence of extensive microsynteny between WOX genes by comparing the WOX genes across four Rosaceae genomic sequences. The results showed that the maintenance of gene copy number after a whole genome duplication event was the main force to shape the WOX family evolution, with the purifying selection and a period of possibly relaxed constraint. Functional divergence was detected among the ancient, intermediate, and modern clades, which leaded to functional constraints, especially different evolutionary rates, after gene duplication. Furthermore, the expression profile of FvWOX gene identified that these genes play crucial roles in the floral transition during strawberry growth and development. The comprehensive analysis of the WOX family genes and the preliminary results presented here will be useful in the selection of appropriate candidate genes for further research on biological functions of WOX genes in strawberry.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YuC and YH conceived and designed the experiments; YuC, QJ, and YH performed the experiments; YuC, YH, and DM analyzed the data; YuC, YH, DL, GL, MA, YL, and YoC contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; YuC and YH wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 31640068) and 2017 Graduate innovation fund of Anhui Agriculture University (2017yjs-31).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fgene. 2017.00078/full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1 | Maximum-Likelihood tree of WOX family members in four Rosaceae species.

FIGURE S2 | Minimum-Evolution tree of WOX family members in four Rosaceae species.

FIGURE S3 | Expression patterns of *FvWOX* genes during strawberry growth and development.

REFERENCES

- Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E., and Noble, W. S. (2015). The MEME suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, W39-W46. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkv416
- Cannon, S. B., Mccombie, W. R., Sato, S., Tabata, S., Denny, R., Palmer, L., et al. (2003). Evolution and microsynteny of the apyrase gene family in three legume genomes. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 270, 347–361. doi: 10.1007/s00438-003-0928-x
- Cao, Y., Han, Y., Jin, Q., Lin, Y., and Cai, Y. (2016a). Comparative genomic analysis of the GRF genes in Chinese pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd), poplar (Populous), grape (*Vitis vinifera*), Arabidopsis and rice (*Oryza sativa*). Front. Plant Sci. 7:1750.
- Cao, Y., Han, Y., Li, D., Lin, Y., and Cai, Y. (2016b). MYB transcription factors in chinese pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.): genome-wide identification, classification, and expression profiling during fruit development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:577. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00577
- Cao, Y., Han, Y., Li, D., Lin, Y., and Cai, Y. (2016c). Systematic analysis of the 4-coumarate:coenzyme a ligase (4CL) related genes and expression profiling during fruit development in the chinese pear. *Genes* 7:89.
- Cao, Y., Han, Y., Meng, D., Li, D., Jin, Q., Lin, Y., et al. (2016d). Structural, evolutionary, and functional analysis of the class III peroxidase gene family in chinese pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1874.
- Carmel, L., Wolf, Y. I., Rogozin, I. B., and Koonin, E. V. (2007). Three distinct modes of intron dynamics in the evolution of eukaryotes. *Genome Res.* 17, 1034–1044. doi: 10.1101/gr.6438607
- Darwish, O., Slovin, J. P., Kang, C., Hollender, C. A., Geretz, A., Houston, S., et al. (2013). SGR: an online genomic resource for the woodland strawberry. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13:223. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-223
- Deveaux, Y., Toffanonioche, C., Claisse, G., Thareau, V., Morin, H., Laufs, P., et al. (2008). Genes of the most conserved WOX clade in plants affect root and flower development in Arabidopsis. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8:291. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-8-291
- Dickinson, T. A., Lo, E., and Talent, N. (2007). Polyploidy, reproductive biology, and Rosaceae: understanding evolution and making classifications. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 266, 59–78. doi: 10.1007/s00606-007-0541-2
- Etchells, J. P., Provost, C. M., Mishra, L., and Turner, S. R. (2013). WOX4 and WOX14 act downstream of the PXY receptor kinase to regulate plant vascular proliferation independently of any role in vascular organisation. *Development* 140, 2224–2234. doi: 10.1242/dev.091314
- Ge, Y., Liu, J., Zeng, M., He, J., Qin, P., Huang, H., et al. (2016). Identification of WOX family genes in *Selaginella kraussiana* for studies on stem cells and regeneration in lycophytes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:93. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00093
- Gehring, W. J. (1992). The homeobox in perspective. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 17, 277–280. doi: 10.1016/0968-0004(92)90434-B
- Gouet, P., Robert, X., and Courcelle, E. (2005). ESPript/ENDscript: sequence and 3D information from protein structures. *Acta Crystallogr.* 61, 42–43. doi: 10.1107/S0108767305098211
- Graaff, E. V. D., Laux, T., and Rensing, S. A. (2009). The WUS homeoboxcontaining (WOX) protein family. *Genome Biol.* 10:248. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-12-248
- Gu, X. (1999). Statistical methods for testing functional divergence after gene duplication. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 1664–1674. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals. molbev.a026080
- Gu, X. (2006). A simple statistical method for estimating type-II (cluster-specific) functional divergence of protein sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 1937–1945. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msl056
- Gu, X., Zou, Y., Su, Z., Huang, W., Zhou, Z., Arendsee, Z., et al. (2013). An update of DIVERGE software for functional divergence analysis of protein family. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 1713–1719. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst069
- Haecker, A., Grosshardt, R., Geiges, B., Sarkar, A., Breuninger, H., Herrmann, M., et al. (1991). Expression dynamics of WOX genes mark cell fate decisions during early embryonic patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* 131, 657–668. doi: 10.1242/dev.00963
- Hedman, H., Zhu, T., Von, A. S., and Sohlberg, J. J. (2013). Analysis of the WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX gene family in the conifer picea abies reveals extensive conservation as well as dynamic patterns. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13:89. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-89

- Hirakawa, Y., Kondo, Y., and Fukuda, H. (2010). TDIF peptide signaling regulates vascular stem cell proliferation via the WOX4 homeobox gene in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 22, 2618–2629. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.076083
- Hu, B., Jin, J., Guo, Y. A., Zhang, H., Luo, J., and Gao, G. (2014). GSDS 2.0: an upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics* 31:1296. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu817
- Ikeda, M., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2009). Arabidopsis WUSCHEL is a bifunctional transcription factor that acts as a repressor in stem cell regulation and as an activator in floral patterning. *Plant Cell* 21, 3493–3505. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109. 069997
- Jing, J., Kong, J., Qiu, J., Zhu, H., Peng, Y., and Jiang, H. (2016). High level of microsynteny and purifying selection affect the evolution of WRKY family in Gramineae. Dev. Genes Evol. 226, 15–25. doi: 10.1007/s00427-015-0523-2
- Lescot, M., Déhais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van De Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 325–327. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.1.325
- Letunic, I., Doerks, T., and Bork, P. (2012). SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D302–D305. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr931
- Lian, G., Ding, Z., Wang, Q., Zhang, D., and Xu, J. (2014). Origins and evolution of WUSCHEL-related homeobox protein family in plant kingdom. *Sci. World* J. 2014, 534140–534140. doi: 10.1155/2014/534140
- Lin, Y., Cheng, Y., Jin, J., Jin, X., Jiang, H., Yan, H., et al. (2014). Genome duplication and gene loss affect the evolution of heat shock transcription factor genes in legumes. *PLoS ONE* 9:e102825. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0102825
- Liu, S. L., Zhuang, Y., Zhang, P., and Adams, K. L. (2009). Comparative analysis of structural diversity and sequence evolution in plant mitochondrial genes transferred to the nucleus. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 875–891. doi: 10.1093/molbev/ msp011
- Mayer, K. F., Schoof, H., Haecker, A., Lenhard, M., Jürgens, G., and Laux, T. (1998). Role of WUSCHEL in regulating stem cell fate in the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. *Cell* 95, 805–815. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81703-1
- Mukherjee, K., Brocchieri, L., and Bürglin, T. R. (2009). A comprehensive classification and evolutionary analysis of plant homeobox genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 2775–2794. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp201
- Na, Z., Xing, H., Bao, Y., Bo, W., Liu, L., Dai, L., et al. (2015). Genomewide identification and expression profiling of WUSCHEL-related homeobox (WOX) genes during adventitious shoot regeneration of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*). Acta Physiol. Plant. 37, 1–12.
- Nardmann, J., and Werr, W. (2012). The invention of WUS-like stem cellpromoting functions in plants predates leptosporangiate ferns. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 78, 123–134. doi: 10.1007/s11103-011-9851-4
- Nardmann, J., and Werr, W. (2013). Symplesiomorphies in the WUSCHEL clade suggest that the last common ancestor of seed plants contained at least four independent stem cell niches. *New Phytol.* 199, 1081–1092. doi: 10.1111/nph. 12343
- Nei, M. (2007). The new mutation theory of phenotypic evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 12235–12242. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0703349104
- Palovaara, J., Hallberg, H., Stasolla, C., and Hakman, I. (2010). Comparative expression pattern analysis of WUSCHEL-related homeobox 2 (WOX2) and WOX8/9 in developing seeds and somatic embryos of the gymnosperm *Picea abies. New Phytol.* 188, 122–135. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010. 03336.x
- Punta, M., Coggill, P. C., Eberhardt, R. Y., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Boursnell, C., et al. (2011). The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D290–D301. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1065
- Rogozin, I. B., Wolf, Y. I., Sorokin, A. V., Mirkin, B. G., and Koonin, E. V. (2003). Remarkable interkingdom conservation of intron positions and massive, lineage-specific intron loss and gain in eukaryotic evolution. *Curr. Biol.* 13, 1512–1517. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00558-X
- Romera-Branchat, M., Ripoll, J. J., Yanofsky, M. F., and Pelaz, S. (2013). The WOX13 homeobox gene promotes replum formation in the Arabidopsis thaliana fruit. Plant J. 73, 37–49. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12010
- Sarkar, A. K., Luijten, M., Miyashima, S., Lenhard, M., Hashimoto, T., Nakajima, K., et al. (2007). Conserved factors regulate signalling in *Arabidopsis thaliana* shoot and root stem cell organizers. *Nature* 446, 811–814. doi: 10.1038/nature05703

- Shulaev, V., Sargent, D. J., Crowhurst, R. N., Mockler, T. C., Folkerts, O., Delcher, A. L., et al. (2011). The genome of woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*). Nat. Genet. 43, 109–116. doi: 10.1038/ng.740
- Skylar, A., Hong, F., Chory, J., Weigel, D., and Wu, X. (2010). STIMPY mediates cytokinin signaling during shoot meristem establishment in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Development* 137, 541–549. doi: 10.1242/dev. 041426
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731–2739. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D. G. (1997). The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 4876–4882. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.24.4876
- Tripoli, G., D'elia, D., Barsanti, P., and Caggese, C. (2005). Comparison of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) nuclear genes in the genomes of Drosophila melanogaster, Drosophila pseudoobscura and Anopheles gambiae. Genome Biol. 6:R11. doi: 10.1186/gb-2005-6-2-r11
- Ueda, M., Zhang, Z., and Laux, T. (2011). Transcriptional activation of Arabidopsis axis patterning genes WOX8/9 links zygote polarity to embryo development. *Dev. Cell* 20, 264–270. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.01.009
- Verde, I., Abbott, A. G., Scalabrin, S., Jung, S., Shu, S., Marroni, F., et al. (2013). The high-quality draft genome of peach (*Prunus persica*) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 487–494. doi: 10.1038/ng.2586
- Wang, P., Li, C., Li, C., Zhao, C., Xia, H., Zhao, S., et al. (2015). Identification and expression dynamics of three WUSCHEL related homeobox 13 (WOX13) genes in peanut. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 225, 221–233. doi: 10.1007/s00427-015-0506-3
- Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., et al. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene syntemy and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:e49. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1293
- Wu, J., Wang, Z., Shi, Z., Zhang, S., Ming, R., Zhu, S., et al. (2013). The genome of the pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.). *Genome Res.* 23, 396–408. doi: 10.1101/ gr.144311.112
- Wu, X., Dabi, T., and Weigel, D. (2005). Requirement of homeobox gene STIMPY/WOX9 for Arabidopsis meristem growth and maintenance. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 436–440. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.12.079
- Xiaoxu, L., Cheng, L., Wei, L., Zenglin, Z., Xiaoming, G., Hui, Z., et al. (2016). Genome-wide identification, phylogenetic analysis and expression profiling of the WOX family genes in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Hereditas* 38, 444–460. doi: 10.16288/j.yczz.15-499

- Xin, Z., Jie, Z., Liu, J., Yin, J., and Zhang, D. (2010). Genome-wide analysis of WOX Gene Family in Rice, Sorghum, Maize, Arabidopsis and Poplar. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 52, 1016–1026. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00982.x
- Yadav, R. K., Tavakkoli, M., and Reddy, G. V. (2010). WUSCHEL mediates stem cell homeostasis by regulating stem cell number and patterns of cell division and differentiation of stem cell progenitors. *Development* 137, 3581–3589. doi: 10.1242/dev.054973
- Yan, H. H., Mudge, J., Kim, D. J., Shoemaker, R. C., Cook, D. R., and Young, N. D. (2004). Comparative physical mapping reveals features of microsynteny between *Glycine max, Medicago truncatula*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genome* 47, 141–155. doi: 10.1139/g03-106
- Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24, 1586–1591. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msm088
- Yin, G., Xu, H., Xiao, S., Qin, Y., Li, Y., Yan, Y., et al. (2013). The large soybean (*Glycine max*) WRKY TF family expanded by segmental duplication events and subsequent divergent selection among subgroups. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13:148. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-148
- Zdobnov, E. M., and Apweiler, R. (2001). InterProScan–an integration platform for the signature-recognition methods in InterPro. *Bioinformatics* 17, 847–848. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.9.847
- Zhang, J. (2005). Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 22, 2472–2479. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msi237
- Zhang, Q., Chen, W., Sun, L., Zhao, F., Huang, B., Yang, W., et al. (2012). The genome of *Prunus mume. Nat. Commun.* 3:1318. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2290
- Zhang, Y., Yue, J., Liu, Z., and Zhu, Y. X. (2015). ROW1 maintains quiescent centre identity by confining WOX5 expression to specific cells. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6003. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7003
- Zhao, Y., Hu, Y., Dai, M., Huang, L., and Zhou, D. X. (2009). The WUSCHELrelated homeobox gene WOX11 is required to activate shoot-borne crown root development in rice. *Plant Cell* 21, 736–748. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.061655

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Cao, Han, Meng, Li, Li, Abdullah, Jin, Lin and Cai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.