



Human Dendritic Cells: Their Heterogeneity and Clinical Application Potential in Cancer Immunotherapy

Thiago A. Patente¹, Mariana P. Pinho¹, Aline A. Oliveira¹, Gabriela C. M. Evangelista¹, Patrícia C. Bergami-Santos¹ and José A. M. Barbuto^{1,2*}

¹ Laboratory of Tumor Immunology, Department of Immunology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ² Discipline of Molecular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Dendritic cells (DC) are professional antigen presenting cells, uniquely able to induce naïve T cell activation and effector differentiation. They are, likewise, involved in the induction and maintenance of immune tolerance in homeostatic conditions. Their phenotypic and functional heterogeneity points to their great plasticity and ability to modulate, according to their microenvironment, the acquired immune response and, at the same time, makes their precise classification complex and frequently subject to reviews and improvement. This review will present general aspects of the DC physiology and classification and will address their potential and actual uses in the management of human disease, more specifically cancer, as therapeutic and monitoring tools. New combination treatments with the participation of DC will be also discussed.

Keywords: human dendritic cells, DC, monocyte-derived dendritic cells, mo-DC, cancer vaccines, cancer combination therapies

INTRODUCTION

Identified in mouse spleen for their peculiar shape and capacity to activate naïve lymphocytes (1–3), dendritic cells (DC) are considered the most efficient antigen presenting cells (APC) (3, 4), uniquely able to initiate, coordinate, and regulate adaptive immune responses. Though their ability to capture, process and present antigens is considered their main characteristic, their phenotypic heterogeneity is striking and very different consequences can come from their action. This review will present an overview of the main subpopulations of human DC described and will focus on their potential translational use.

OVERVIEW OF DENDRITIC CELLS IN THE IMMUNE SYSTEM PHYSIOLOGY

Human DC are identified by their high expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules (MHC-II) and of CD11c, both of which are found on other cells, like lymphocytes, monocytes and macrophages (5–12). DC express many other molecules which allow their classification into various subtypes (**Table 1**). Although some of the DC subtypes were originally described as macrophages, DC and macrophages have distinct characteristics (13–15) and ontogeny, so that, currently, little doubt remains that they belong to distinct lineages (16–24).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Daniela Santoro Rosa, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Susan Kovats, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, United States Laura Santambrogio, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, United States

> *Correspondence: José A. M. Barbuto jbarbuto@icb.usp.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antigen Presenting Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 31 August 2018 Accepted: 24 December 2018 Published: 21 January 2019

Citation:

Patente TA, Pinho MP, Oliveira AA, Evangelista GCM, Bergami-Santos PC and Barbuto JAM (2019) Human Dendritic Cells: Their Heterogeneity and Clinical Application Potential in Cancer Immunotherapy. Front. Immunol. 9:3176. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.03176 DC can be found in practically all tissues, where they detect homeostatic imbalances and process antigens for presentation to T cells, establishing a link between innate and adaptive immune responses. Furthermore, DC can secrete cytokines and growth factors (25) that modify ongoing immune responses, and are influenced by their interactions with other immune cells, like natural killer (26–28) and innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) (29).

DC are found in two different functional states, "mature" and "immature". These are distinguished by many features, but the ability to activate antigen-specific naïve T cells in secondary lymphoid organs is the hallmark of mature DC (30–32). DC maturation is triggered by tissue homeostasis disturbances, detected by the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP) (33, 34) (**Figure 1**). Maturation turns on metabolic, cellular, and gene transcription programs allowing DC to migrate from peripheral tissues to T-dependent areas in secondary lymphoid organs, where T lymphocyte-activating antigen presentation may occur (35–40).

During maturation, DC lose adhesive structures, reorganize the cytoskeleton and increase their motility (41). DC maturation also leads to a decrease in their endocytic activity but increased expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules (42–44). Mature DC express higher levels of the chemokine receptor,

TABLE 1 | Main surface markers of human and mouse DC subtypes.

DC subtype	Human	Mouse
cDC1	CD141/CLEC9A/XCR1	CD8a/CD103/XCR1
cDC2	CD1c/CD172a	CD11b/CD172a
pDC	CD123/CD303/CD304	B220/SiglecH
LC	Langerin/CD1a	Langerin/CD24



FIGURE 1 | Dendritic cells activation. Extracellular signals, such as PAMPs or DAMPs, trigger alterations on immature DCs culminating on significant changes on surface proteins, intracellular pathways and metabolic activity.

CCR7 (45–48) and secrete cytokines, essential for T-cell activation (42, 49–52). Thus, the interaction between mature DC and antigen-specific T cells is the trigger of antigen-specific immune responses (53, 54). When interacting with CD4+ T cells, DC may induce their differentiation into different T helper (Th) subsets (52) such as Th1 (55–60), Th2 (56, 57, 61, 62), Th17 (63–65), or other CD4+ T cell subtypes (66) (**Figure 2**). T cell differentiation in each subtype is a complex phenomenon, that can be influenced by the cytokines in the DC tissue of origin (67), their maturation state (42) and cause of tissue imbalance (68). However, this process is not completely elucidated, as, for example, the source of IL-4 during Th2 responses, which is discussed extensively elsewhere (69).

DC present a unique characteristic: the ability to perform cross-presentation (70–74). This phenomenon was described in 1976, by Bevan (75) and is defined as the presentation, in the context of class I MHC molecules (MHC-I), of antigens captured from the extracellular milieu. This feature allows DC to trigger responses against intracellular antigens from other cell types, thus providing means for the system to deal with threats that avoid professional APC (70, 76, 77) and, even, to prime CD8+ lymphocytes in the absence of CD4+ T cells (78, 79). Cross-presentation is involved also in the induction of tolerance to intracellular self-antigens that are not expressed by APC and, then, called, cross-tolerance (80, 81).

Before receiving maturation stimuli, DC are said to be in an "immature state." Immature DC are poor inducers of naïve lymphocyte effector responses, since they have low surface expression of co-stimulatory molecules, low expression of chemokine receptors, and do not release immunostimulatory cytokines (44, 82). These "immature" cells, though, are very efficient in antigen capture due to their high endocytic capacity, via receptor-mediated endocytosis, including lectin- (83–85);



FIGURE 2 | CD4+ T cell fate induced by dendritic cells. When in contact with DC, naïve CD4+ T cells can differentiate into a number of subtypes. Among them, are regulatory T cells (Treg) and T helper (Th) subsets, which include Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells. Each subtype expresses different transcription factors, which regulate the function and cytokine secretion pattern of the cells. The T cell fate decision is a complex phenomenon that heavily depends on the interaction of DC with the T cells and the cytokines present in the microenvironment.

Toll-like- (86–88), FC- and complement receptors (89) and macropinocytosis (84). Thus, immature DC act, indeed, as sentinels against invading pathogens (32, 90), but also as tissue scavengers, capturing apoptotic and necrotic cells (91).

This latter feature confers to immature DC an essential role in the induction and maintenance of immune tolerance (31, 92– 95). Apoptotic cells that arise in consequence of natural tissue turnover (96, 97) are internalized by DC but do not induce their maturation (31, 98–100). Thus, their antigens are presented to T cells without the activating co-stimulatory signals that a mature DC would deliver, resulting in T cell apoptosis (80, 101), anergy (102, 103) or development into regulatory T cells (104, 105).

These "tolerogenic DC" express less co-stimulatory molecules and proinflammatory cytokines, but upregulate the expression of inhibitory molecules (like PD-L1 and CTLA-4), secrete antiinflammatory cytokines (IL-10, for example) (102, 106–108) and are essential to prevent responses against healthy tissues (30, 31, 109–112). However, in some contexts, immature DC can be harmful to the body. It is known that DC that are unable to induce lymphocyte effector responses may contribute to the immune system's failure to fight infections (113, 114) or tumors (115–120). In these situations, DC, even after recognition of pathogens or other changes in microenvironment, fail to increase the co-stimulatory molecules required to activate T cells, thus allowing the disease to "escape" immune control.

Although many factors are recognized as contributing to drive DC maturation (100, 121, 122), the full set of such factors is not precisely defined, but involves a long series of transcriptional adaptations (119, 121, 123–125). The complexity and heterogeneity of these adaptations allows DC to translate effectively (most of the times) the pattern of homeostatic disturbance to interacting T lymphocytes, thus establishing DC as the main connector between innate and acquired mechanisms of immunity (43, 126).

HUMAN DENDRITIC CELL SUBPOPULATIONS AND MONOCYTE-DERIVED DENDRITIC CELLS

Dendritic cells can be divided into resident lymphoid tissue DC and migratory non-lymphoid tissue DC (16). Both are heterogeneous cell populations with different subsets that can be distinguished by phenotypic markers and genetic profile. The first identification of different DC subsets arose from the observation that CD8 expression occurred on some, but not all, mouse resident splenic and thymic DCs (127). While the identification of mouse DC subpopulations is well advanced (128, 129), mostly due to tissue accessibility, the same is not true for human DC, where most studies were performed only in peripheral blood or skin, in spite of recent data characterizing DC subpopulations in human lung (130) and intestine (131).

Recent efforts have been addressed to understand the ontogeny and function of human DC subsets, attempting to correlate well-defined murine subpopulations with those found in human peripheral blood (16, 128, 132). DC arise from a CD34⁺ hematopoietic precursor that gives rise to myeloid (MP)

and lymphoid (LP) precursors (**Figure 3**). MP differentiate into monocyte, macrophage and DC precursors (MDP), which will give rise to monocytes and to the common DC precursors (CDP). CDP can differentiate into plasmacytoid DC (pDC) or the preclassical DC (pre-cDC). Pre-cDC are the progenitors of the two major cDC subpopulations named cDC1 and cDC2 (14), which will be further discussed latter. Recent technologies, such as single cell RNAseq, are allowing a better characterization of DC ontogeny and the identification of DC subset precursors in peripheral blood (133), demonstrating that the commitment with a DC subset may be an early event, both in mice (134) and humans (135).

Curiously, in lymphohematopoietic tissue, such as spleen, thymus and blood, DC commitment to a subpopulation is mainly defined by ontogeny, while in non-lymphohematopoietic tissue, such as lung and skin, DC subpopulations are more influenced by signals derived from the microenvironment. This, once again, confirms that DC are a very plastic cell population that can shape its phenotype to the microenvironment and to homeostatic state of the tissue where it is located (136).

In blood, DC constitute a rare cell population that can be broadly divided into two subtypes (**Figure 4**): CD123⁺CD11c⁻ DC, called plasmacytoid DC (pDC), and CD123⁻CD11c⁺ cells, called classical DC or myeloid DC (cDC) (25, 128, 137). Dzionek et al. (138) identified three antigens called BDCA-2, BDCA-3, and BDCA-4 (Blood Dendritic Cell Antigens), which, together with BDCA-1 (CD1c), allowed the further discrimination of human blood DC subsets. cDC can be separated into cDC1 and cDC2 (139): cDC1 are characterized by the expression of BDCA-3 (CD141) and Clec9A, while cDC2 express CD1c. BDCA-2 (CD303) and-4 (CD304), on the other hand, together with CD123, characterize pDC.

It is noteworthy that recent genomic studies, with emphasis on the subpopulations of monocytes and DC, made it possible to align CD141⁺ DC (cDC1) and CD1c⁺ (cDC2) from human peripheral blood with the mouse CD8 α^+ /CD103⁺ and CD11b⁺DC, respectively (140, 141). This will allow the confirmation, or not, of the roles played by these subsets in murine immune responses also in humans.

cDC1

The human cDC1 subpopulation is present in blood and in lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues (142). This subpopulation is characterized by the expression of CD141, the chemokine receptor XCR1, C-type lectin CLEC9A, the cell adhesion molecule CADM1, and is the counterpart of mouse $CD8\alpha^+/CD103^+$ cross-presenting DC subset (132, 142). cDC1 can be generated in vitro from CD34⁺ progenitors after 21 days of culture with fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (Flt3L) and thrombopoietin (TPO) (143) or with Flt3L and murine bone marrow stromal cell lines (144). As mentioned above, this subpopulation of DC seems to be specially adapted to perform cross-presentation, a phenomenon that is associated with the expression of the chemokine receptor XCR1 (145). The main transcription factors (TF) shown to be essential for the generation of cDC1 are the basic leucine zipper transcriptional factor ATF-like 3 (BATF3) (146) and IFN-regulatory factor 8



FIGURE 3 | Simplified scheme of DC ontogeny. DC arise from HSC that give rise to MP and LP. MP are further differentiated into MDP that can differentiate into CDP and monocytes. CDP differentiate further into pDC or pre-cDC. LP can also give rise to pDC, although this ontogenic pathway is not completely elucidated. Once in the blood, pre-cDC give rise to two of the main DC subtypes: cDC1 and cDC2. Both pDC and cDC can migrate from the blood to lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues. HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; MP, myeloid precursors; LP, lymphoid precursors; MDP, macrophage-DC precursors; CDP, common DC precursors; pre-cDC, pre-classical dendritic cells; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cells; cDCs, conventional dendritic cells; FLT3, Fms-Related Tyrosine Kinase 3.



conventional DC (cDC) and plasmacytoid DC (pDC). cDC can be further subdivided in cDC1 and cDC2. All three subtypes of DC can be differentiated by their signature transcription factors and also by the expression of specific surface markers.

(IRF8) (130). In mice, besides BATF3 (147) and IRF8 (148), gene knockout models pointed out to the role of two other TF: DNA binding protein inhibitor ID2 (149) and nuclear factor interleukin-3-regulated protein (NFIL3) (150), whose

participation in the generation of human cDC1 needs yet to be demonstrated.

cDC1 prime CD8⁺ T cells efficiently, what is important in anti-tumor and anti-virus immunity. However, the induction and modulation of an immune response is a very complex phenomenon that involves many cell interactions, including interactions among different DC subsets, as recently demonstrated in mice infected with modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) (151). In this model, activated CD8⁺ T cells recruit both pDC (via CCL3/CCL4) and cDC1 (via XCL1); type I interferons, (IFN-I) produced by pDC, act on cDC1 optimizing their maturation, costimulatory capacity and ability to cross-present viral antigens, thus leading to an effective antivirus response. cDC1 were also shown to be important for the antitumor activity induced by heat-inactivated MVA in murine melanoma and colon cancer models (152). Furthermore, both in mice and humans, cDC1 are found sparsely distributed along tumor margins (competing with tumor associated macrophages-TAM-for tumor antigens?) and their presence was important for the success of adoptively transferred cytotoxic T cells (CTL) (153) and for the delivery of tumor antigens to the draining lymph nodes, in a CCR7 dependent manner (154).

cDC2

cDC2 constitute a heterogeneous subset of DC that can be found in blood, lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissue (16, 142).

SIRP α (CD172a) is expressed by cDC2 (both in humans and mice) (130) and, along with CD1c (humans) and CD11b (mice), characterizes this subpopulation (25, 132). Coherently with its heterogeneity, other markers are expressed by cDC2, according to their localization, as for example, CD1a in dermal and CD103 in gut cDC2 (25, 141). Like cDC1, cDC2 can also be differentiated from CD34⁺ progenitors, after 21 days of culture with Flt3L and TPO (143) or with Flt3L and murine bone marrow stromal cell lines (144). More than one transcription factor is involved in cDC2 differentiation and IRF4 seems to be the master transcription factor (155), but other transcription factors are required. In mice, PU.1 (156), RelB (157) and recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (RBP/J) (158) were shown to be associated with the differentiation of cDC2, and in humans, IRF8 (159).

Again, in accordance with their heterogeneity and innate plasticity (132), cDC2 have been show to induce Th1, Th2, and Th17 responses (160, 161). The puzzling heterogeneity of these cells is further illustrated by the recent description of two novel DC subtypes within the $CD1c^+$ subpopulation: DC2 and DC3. These two subpopulations diverged by the expression of CD32B and CD163/CD36. Functional experiments showed that both these cDC2 subtypes were potent stimulators of naïve T cell proliferation, but show a different pattern of cytokine secretion after stimulation with a series of toll like receptors (TLR) agonists (162).

In the immune system physiology, cDC2 seem to have many, but frequently, regulatory roles. These cells have been described as potent inducers of regulatory T cells in intestine (141), and as responsible for maintaining tolerance in the liver (163). Also, cDC2 have been described as the only DC subset able to produce retinoic acid upon stimulation with vitamin D3, thus stimulating CD4⁺ naïve T cells to express gut-homing molecules and to produce Th2 cytokines (164).

Plasmacytoid DC (pDC)

The pDC subpopulation is a subset of DC distinct from cDC, that arises directly from the CDP (while cDC arise from pre-DC precursor) (14). These cells are characterized by the secretion of high levels of IFN- α/β upon TLR7/9 stimulation, and are extremely important in viral infections (165). This subset of DC is phenotypically distinct in mice and humans. In mice, it is characterized as CD11c^{int}CD11b⁻B220⁺SiglecH⁺CD317⁺ while in humans it is characterized by the absence of expression of CD11c and the expression of CD123, CD303, and CD304 (25, 128, 132). In terms of transcription factors, on the other hand, both mouse and human pDC seem to depend on the same master transcription factor, E2.2 (25, 132, 166).

Since the secretion of IFN- α/β is the main feature of pDC, their association with viral infections is not surprising. The secretion of IFN- α/β by pDCs can be a consequence of direct viral infection [like in HIV infection, where the virus infects pDC via CD4, CCR5 and CXCR4 (167)], or from external stimuli. Indeed, human pDC were shown to secrete high levels of IFN- α/β in *Aspergilus fumigatus* infection in a Dectin-2-dependent manner (168).

In keeping with the other DC subpopulations heterogeneity, human pDC may be subdivided into two subpopulations, distinguished by the expression of CD2 (169). Both pDC subsets secrete IFN- α/β efficiently, but only the CD2^{hi} subset secretes IL-12p40 and induces CD4⁺ T cell proliferation. These data, however, may be in need of a second look. As mentioned before, single cell RNAseq analysis is providing new data and allowing better characterization of DC subpopulations. When this approach was used to study pDC subpopulations, a "contaminant" putative precursor of cDC (pre-cDC), characterized as CD123⁺CD33⁺CD303⁺CD304⁺CD2⁺, was identified. When these putative pre-cDC and "pure" pDC populations (characterized by the absence of CD2 and CD33 expression) were separated and stimulated, only pre-cDC were able to induce CD4⁺ T cell proliferation and secrete IL-12p40 (135). This raises the possibility that many of the observed attributes of pDC, such as their ability to induce Th1 responses (170), to perform cross-presentation (171), to exhibit naïve T cell allostimulatory capacity (169) and expression of co-stimulatory (172) molecules might reflect the activity of this contaminating pre-cDC population.

Puzzling, as these data may seem, they illustrate quite well the plasticity of the cells "clustered" under the name of DC. They further suggest that attempts to classify strictly these cells may lead to more confusion than it is necessary to understand their role in responding to microenvironmental challenges, in shaping immune response patterns in the body and, eventually, in driving the immune response toward therapeutic goals in humans.

Monocyte-Derived DC (mo-DC)

Much of the knowledge acquired in the past years about human DC biology was possible due to the methodology of *in vitro* deriving DC from CD34⁺ precursors (stimulated with GM-CSF and TNF- α) (173) or from monocytes (stimulated with GM-CSF and IL-4) (174). Like cDC2, mo-DC depend on IRF4 for their differentiation (175). However, they do not seem to be an equivalent population, since they arise from different precursors (14).

In mice, the precursors used for *in vitro* generation of DC are extracted from the bone marrow. In the presence of GM-CSF, these precursors give rise to large number of cells that resemble tissue DC and are called bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDC) (176). Helft et al. showed that BMDC comprise a heterogeneous population expressing both CD11c and MHCII. A CD11c⁺MHCII^{int} population seems to be more closely related to macrophages (hence, called GM-Macs), while the CD11c⁺MHCII^{high} population resembles DC and is, thus, called GM-DC. Addition of IL-4 to these cultures limits, but does not eliminate, the generation of GM-Macs (177). The heterogeneity of precursors and cell populations obtained *in vitro* fuels a vivid and complex discussion about the biological relevance of these cells (178–180).

It is still unclear to which subpopulation of DC, mo-DC are more closely related, but DC ontogeny data suggest that mo-DC are similar to the inflammatory DC (132). Not surprisingly, inflammatory DC is the designation of another heterogeneous

subpopulation of DC, typically CD11chiMHCIIhi. One of the first reports of inflammatory DCs described a population of DC characterized by the production of TNF and iNOS, named Tip-DCs (181). Another study identified inflammatory DC in the skin of atopic dermatitis patients and named these cells inflammatory epidermal dendritic cells (IDECs), which were characterized by the expression of CD11c, CD206, CD1a, CD11b, CD209, FcERI (182). Recently, another inflammatory DC population was described in the synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis patients and in the inflammatory ascites of untreated cancer patients. In this study, inflammatory DC were characterized as $CD14^+CD1c^+SIRP\alpha^+CD206^+Fc\epsilon RI^+$ and their gene signature (when compared to in vitro generated mo-DC, macrophages, cDC2, CD16⁺ monocytes and CD14⁺ monocytes) was more closely related to that of mo-DC, suggesting that inflammatory DC could be, indeed, the in vivo counterparts of mo-DC (183).

MO-DC AS A "WINDOW" TO IMMUNE SYSTEM EVALUATION IN CANCER PATIENTS

It has been known for a while that established tumors affect their microenvironment in ways that facilitate their persistence and progression. These local modifications include zones of hypoxia, altered pH, induction of angiogenesis (184), alterations of pre-mRNA splicing in surrounding cells (185) and the recruitment of cells that facilitate tumor progression, such as tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) (186), immature DC (115), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) (187) and regulatory T cells (188). However, mechanisms to avoid immune system surveillance and tumor progression (189) are not limited to the tumor site and, today, it is recognized that individuals with cancer present also systemic modifications to that effect as well (190). As discussed before, DC are a plastic and heterogeneous population and it should be expected that, among these systemic adaptations, some affect the various DC subpopulations, including mo-DC.

Described Alterations in mo-DC of Cancer Patients

Various publications have described phenotypic and functional alterations in mo-DC from patients with different tumors (191-193). Our group demonstrated that mo-DC from breast cancer patients are poor stimulators of allogeneic T lymphocytes proliferation but are good inducers of regulatory T cells. These characteristics were observed both in immature and mature mo-DC and the regulatory T cell bias, though decreased by blocking of TGF- β , was not completely inhibited (192). Similar phenomena were also observed in patients with CLL, whose mo-DC expressed reduced levels of important molecules involved in antigen presentation and lymphocyte activation, such as HLA-DR, CD80, CD86, CD83, and CD40, and, coherently, were less effective in inducing proliferation of both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. Furthermore, CD4⁺ T lymphocytes co-cultivated with mo-DC from CLL patients presented reduced IFN-y and IL-4 production, when compared to healthy donors (193). Further similar results were also observed in chronic myeloid leukemia (194), colorectal cancer (195), and cervical neoplasia (196). It is worth noting that dysfunctional and apoptosis prone mo-DC were also obtained from healthy donors, when their monocytes were exposed to tumor culture supernatants (197).

Although detected in cancer patients, the altered phenotype and functions of mo-DC could precede the emergence of the tumor and reflect an individual constitutional characteristic of the patients, which might be related or not to their disease. The follow up of cancer patients that present such alterations, however, suggests otherwise and indicate that, indeed, it is the presence of the tumor that affects the cells.

In a study of a chromophobe renal carcinoma patient, mo-DC obtained before surgery induced less allogeneic T cell proliferation and more regulatory T cells when compared to cells from healthy donors. Three months after surgery, yet, mo-DC from the patient exhibited functional properties similar to that of healthy controls, suggesting that the presence of the tumor was the cause of the biased mo-DC function in the patient (198). Another example of the transitory and, possibly, in this case, tumor-dependent functional bias of circulating cells has been described in a study with patients with obstructive jaundice. Monocytes from 53 patients with obstructive jaundice (44 due to cancer and 9 due to non-neoplastic diseases) were obtained before surgery and found unable to release H2O2 upon stimulation, but this was progressively reversed after surgery (199). Yet, in another paper we described a patient with type 2-papillary renal cell carcinoma, whose mo-DC also presented functional biases. Though after the tumor was surgically removed, the patient's mo-DC already regained some activity, their T lymphocyte-stimulating activity reached healthy controls' levels only after the patient was submitted to treatment with a dendritic cell-based cancer vaccine (200).

Altogether, these data point out to the fact that circulating monocytes may reflect systemic effects of tumors in such a manner that their functional evaluation could become an effective tool to monitor disease progression and/or response to therapy.

Alterations in Circulating Subpopulations of DC in Cancer Patients

Circulating subsets of DC are also affected in cancer patients. Diminished numbers of total DC have been observed in melanoma patients; this was more intense in stage IV patients and, though it was more pronounced in the pDCs, it also occurred among cDC (201). In breast cancer patients, reductions in total circulating DC and in DC IL-12 production was also described. However, in these patients cDC were the culprit and not pDC (117). Circulating DC isolated from patients with CLL showed decreased expression of co-stimulatory molecules, lower ability to stimulate allogeneic T lymphocytes and did not secrete IL-12, but retained the ability to secrete IL-10 (202). A recent publication, evaluating the effects of different TLR-L in cDC1, cDC2, pDC, and monocytes from breast cancer patients showed that, upon stimulation with IFN-a, cDC2 and nonclassical monocytes (CD14⁻CD16⁺) exhibited reduced secretion of TNF-α (203).

These observations point out to systemic effects induced by tumors upon the immune-hematopoietic system and suggest that circulating cells are influenced and, possibly functionally handicapped to fight the tumor, even before actually infiltrating the tumor mass. These phenomena, added to our view and understanding of tumor biology, should allow the design of improved therapeutic approaches, even for those that do not specifically target the immune system.

Possible Mechanisms

It is quite evident, thus, that tumors promote local and systemic alterations in immune cells and substantial efforts have been made to identify possible mechanisms of how tumors promote these alterations and, most importantly, how to correct them.

Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 6 (STAT6) is an important molecule, induced by IL-4, in the process of mo-DC differentiation. STAT6 is naturally inhibited by the Suppressor Of Cytokine Signaling 5 (SOCS5), which, in turn, is up regulated by phosphorylated STAT3 in monocytes. In CLL patients, IL-10 induces the phosphorylation of STAT3, thus up regulating the expression of SOCS5. As a consequence, monocytes of CLL patients have impaired phosphorylation of STAT6 and its downstream genes, blocking their differentiation and maturation into functional mo-DC (193). However, mo-DC from healthy donors differentiated in the presence of lung cancer patients' sera, showed decreased STAT3 phosphorylation (204). Although apparently contradictory, these findings might reflect a difference in the monocytes of patients and healthy donors or a difference in the moment of analysis. If monocytes from patients and healthy donors differ, it would not be surprising that they would respond differently to the same stimuli. Likewise, the moment when STAT3 phosphorylation is analyzed may show quite different results. When monocytes from healthy donors were pre-treated with IL-10 and then stimulated with IL-4, an initial increase in STAT3 phosphorylation occurred during the first 72 h, but with the increasing SOCS5 expression, STAT3 (and STAT6) phosphorylation was downregulated (193).

The STAT3 pathway is activated also by IL-6, which, like IL-10, is found in higher concentration in patients sera (205). The impaired functions of DC have been, thus, also attributed to upregulation of IL-6-induced STAT3 activity, both in animal models (206) and humans (207)- these data were recently reviewed by Kitamura et al. (208). Offering a potential solution to these hard to reconcile data is the fact that STAT3 signaling induced by IL-6 seems to be modulated by SOCS in a different way than the IL-10-induced signaling, at least in human macrophages (209).

Undeniably, the available data, though suggesting possible pathways are not enough to elucidate the complex molecular mechanisms underlying DC dysfunction in patients.

DENDRITIC CELLS AS THERAPEUTIC INSTRUMENTS

The key concept of the cancer immunotherapy is that the manipulation of the immune system can achieve cancer control

and, ideally, cure. The possibility of cancer immunotherapy was first shown by Coley, who used a mixture of bacterial toxins to treat patients with inoperable sarcomas (210). Since then, many studies have shown clinical benefit when using general immune system activators, such as bacterial products (211) and TLR agonists (212). The antitumor activity of these approaches, when it occurs, is attributed to the ability of these compounds to activate the immune system that, in turn, acquires the ability to kill tumor cells. Much of this effect was shown to be due to DC activation followed by the generation of T cell responses (213). Dendritic cells, as key activators of the adaptive immune response, would be expected to have a central role in inducing antitumor immune responses and the many functional deviations these cells show in cancer patients emphasize the relevant role they may, indeed, play in anti-tumor immune responses. In face of these data, it would be intuitive to exploit the immune activating potential of DC to induce antitumor responses in cancer patients. However, because of the difficulty of obtaining large numbers of these cells by non-invasive methods, therapeutic approaches using DC became possible only after methods for the in vitro generation of these cells were described (174).

Use of Monocyte-Derived Dendritic Cells

mo-DC are able to present antigens in the context of both MHC class I (91) and class II molecules (214) and, hence, can be used to generate therapeutic cancer vaccines. When injected in humans, mo-DC can prime CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (215) and expand antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells, which can lead to regression of metastatic lesions in patients (216). Nevertheless, some argue that mo-DC, possibly due to a limited migration potential, might be insufficient to consistently induce effective immune responses *in vivo* (217). Contrastingly, Kuhn and co-workers have shown that successful therapy using immune-activating compounds was followed by the appearance of mo-DC in the draining lymph nodes of treated mice (218) and these cells were essential for the priming of CD8+ T cells and antitumor immunity (219).

Nonetheless, to be used as therapeutic instruments, mo-DC must be properly differentiated *in vitro*, induced to mature, loaded with tumor antigens, and, finally, administered to the patient (**Figure 5**). It is easy, thus, to realize the challenges that face the development of mo-DC-based vaccines. What are the markers of a "properly activated" DC? What is the "proper" response to be induced? What are the relevant tumor antigens? What is the best pathway for these cells to reach secondary lymphoid organs, where they should encounter tumor-specific T lymphocytes? Not surprisingly, each of the aforementioned steps diverges among the various clinical reported protocols, adding much complexity to the evaluation of the approach, but also a possible explanation for the large diversity in the reported efficiencies of such treatments.

To differentiate monocytes into dendritic cells, the cytokines IL-4 and GM-CSF are classically used (174). Most approaches use this protocol to obtain mo-DC, but other ways to differentiate monocytes into dendritic cells have been described and tested. mo-DC differentiated in the presence of GM-CSF and IFN- α , for example, secrete large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines, induce a IL-12p70-independent Th1 response (220) and have



given rise to cancer-specific CD8 responses, in phase I/II clinical trials (221). mo-DC differentiated in the presence of GM-CSF and IL-15, on the other hand, were better inducers of Th17 responses (222).

The lengthy culture time to achieve the differentiation of mo-DC (usually 5-7 days) is a limitation of the wide clinical use of these protocols. Thus, alternative protocols for mo-DC differentiation were developed. Dauer et al. have shown that monocytes cultured for 48-hours with IL-4 and GM-CSF already have characteristics of immature DC (223) and these, so called FastDC, prime tumor-antigen specific CD8T cells as efficiently as conventional mo-DC (224). Another strategy is the transduction of monocytes with plasmids containing the genes of the cytokines, which, constitutively expressed, will lead to their differentiation into DC (225). The FDA-approved cancer vaccine, Sipuleucel-T (PROVENGE^(R)) uses a similar approach for mo-DC generation, in a protocol that only requires 3 days for manufacturing (226). This vaccine is approved for castrationresistant prostate cancer and consists of autologous PBMC incubated with a fusion protein containing both GM-CSF and PAP, a prostate-specific cancer-associated antigen (227).

The second step in vaccines generation consists of mo-DC activation, since differentiation generates immature cells. The maturation stimulus can come from a variety of molecules, including cytokines (TNF- α , IFN- γ), TLR agonists (LPS), agonistic recombinant proteins (CD40L) or maturation cocktails (228). However, the best conditions for mo-DC activation are still unclear. Activation with TNF- α , for example, has

been implicated in the induction of mo-DC with impaired ability to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, which could even protect mice from autoimmunity (229). On the other hand, combinations of TLR agonists synergize to promote Th1 responses (230). Vopenkova et al. made a direct *in vitro* comparison of different maturation stimuli to induce tumorspecific T cells, showing that the highest response was achieved with the combination of IFN- γ and LPS (231). However, clinical effectiveness comparisons of different mo-DC formulations are still lacking.

Next, mo-DC need to be loaded with tumor antigens. For this, bulk tumor products or selected tumor antigens have been used. Tumor associated antigens (TAAs), recognized by T cells, are found in several tumors (232). Immunodominant synthetic peptides derived from TAAs have been tested and were able to induce clinical and immunological responses of the vaccinated patients (233). Also DNA molecules encoding TAA genes can be employed to load mo-DC, in which case, viral vectors, intrinsically able to activate DC (234), bring further advantage. It is noteworthy that, for all these methods, there is no need of tumor samples from the patient, which may be scarce. However, the use of single antigens has its drawback. Due to the cellular heterogeneity of tumors, they can escape from the immune response generated by the vaccine, through the selection of cells that do not express the immunizing antigens (235). Strategies that involve the induction of a polyantigenic response can be used to avoid this resistance, especially in melanoma, where this effect is frequently observed. Bulk tumor products may be used as a broad source of tumor antigens.

In addition to tumor lysates, living tumor cells, necrotic debris, apoptotic bodies and tumor-derived exosomes have been used (236). The type of antigenic source used, however, can interfere with the type of immune response obtained and it is impossible, today, to predict which would be the most appropriate antigenic source. For example, in mice, dendritic cells loaded with apoptotic tumor cells were show to induce better responses than tumor lysates, peptides or RNA (237), a finding that contradicts the many data showing that apoptotic cells captured by DC constitute a mechanism of immune tolerance induction.

Although several protocols of vaccination with mo-DC have been tested in clinical trials, only a few obtained relevant clinical responses, and most of them failed to reach the expected results (238). The lack of success in these approaches could be attributed to the functional alterations found in cancer patients mo-DC (239). The use of allogeneic mo-DC obtained from healthy histocompatible donors would be a strategy to bypass this problem, although limited by the need of a MHC-matched donor. Another approach is the use of dendritic cell-tumor cell hybrids. These fused cells express MHC molecules from both tumor and DC origin, forsaking the need of a MHCmatched donor to generate the mo-DC (240). They are also superior than the mixture of these cells, induce antitumor responses and clinical response in patients with advanced metastatic tumors (241). Regardless of the strategy, however, clinical responses to mo-DC-based vaccines are still beyond the desired. This suggests that it may be not enough to have an efficient antigen presentation to induce tumor regression, once it is established. Other compromises between the tumor and the immune system might still prevent an effective tumor-clearing immune response requiring the design of new approaches and, very likely, the combined targeting of different immunological pathways.

Targeting DC Subsets in vivo

More recently, a new modality of DC-based immunotherapy strategy is under development. With the better DC subsets characterization and the identification of specific surface markers for these subsets, it became possible to design strategies to deliver different molecules or "packages" to these cells *in vivo* (242). This would allow the selective delivery of antigens and/or immunostimulatory molecules to defined cell subtypes *in vivo*, preventing the costly and laborious *ex vivo* mo-DC generation.

Among the most studied DC-targeting antibodies are those specific for DEC205, CLEC9A, and CLEC12A. These C-type lectin receptors are expressed, in mice, by cDC1 and, the last two, also by pDC (243). Due to their cross-presentation ability, targeting to cDC1 seems to be a reasonable choice, which would favor a higher CD8+ T cell response.

Indeed, experimental settings targeting these molecules were able to induce T cell responses (244, 245) and regression of metastatic melanoma in mice (246). Interesting and well designed as this strategy may be, in humans this strategy is still restricted to *in vitro* studies (247) and awaits, urgently, translational research.

STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE THE CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS OF MO-DC-BASED THERAPIES

Before specifically addressing the many current pathways for the improved translation of our knowledge of DC biology into clinical applications, it is worth mentioning that, though most of this effort is concentrated into the use of these cells to induce effector immune responses, it is only a matter of time till it becomes feasible to delineate DC-based strategies to treat conditions where the immune system went rogue and is causing autoimmunity, or where medical interventions require the limitation of immune responses, like organ transplantations.

That said, let us consider the strategies that may lead to enhanced immunogenic effects of mo-DC-based treatments.

Approaches for the Improvement of DC-Based Treatments

Since mo-DC show deviant phenotypes in cancer (192) and are susceptible to negative modulation by different drugs, for example STAT5 inhibitors (248), the converse is also true and various approaches are under development to achieve the generation of "better" mo-DC.

The chemokine CXCL-4 is a powerful chemoattractant to monocytes and an important immunoregulator that has been shown to enhance the expression of MHC, CD86, and CD83 molecules by mo-DC of healthy donors, leading to more efficient antigen presentation, induction of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells proliferation and production of IFN- γ (249).

As mentioned before, IL-6 through the activation of STAT3 interferes with proper DC maturation and, indeed, in patients with colorectal cancer has been associated with poor CD4⁺ T cells responses (207). Coherently, a phase-I study in ovarian cancer patients showed that, combined with chemotherapy, IL-6 blockade was safe and induced a series of positive modifications in immune parameters of the treated patients, including increases in IL-12, IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IFN- γ secretion (250).

Besides targeting the negative regulators of DC activation, it is possible to overcome this phenomenon by changing the activating signals delivered to these cells. Following this line of research, a cocktail of inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , poly I:C, IFN- α , and IFN- γ) has been tested for mo-DC maturation and was shown to increase their IL-12 production and their ability to prime melanoma-antigens-specific T cells *in vitro* (251). This mo-DC activating cocktail, in a vaccination study of 22 recurrent glioma patients, was associated with increases in serum type 1 cytokines and chemokines, tumorassociated antigens-specific T cell responses and clinical benefit in 9 patients (252).

Another approach is based on the use of adjuvants to boost the immune response. Among these, GM-CSF used in vaccines as GVAX (253) and STINGVAX (254) and, even TLR agonists (255), may be more effective for cell maturation. Other adjuvants could be listed, as for example, aluminum salts (256) (an inflammasome activator), and montanide (257) (an equivalent to incomplete Freund's adjuvant). Those adjuvants may boost responses due to physical effects upon antigens and cells, but also enhance DC activation. Nonetheless, the consideration of such a heterogeneous group of substances is enough to realize that adjuvant research is a rich field that may broaden the applicability and enhance the effectiveness of DC-based vaccination (258).

A different pathway to improve the effectiveness of DCbased therapy focuses on the selection of the immunizing antigens. In cancer, the mapping of a patient's set of neoantigens and use thereof would represent the epitome of personalized medicine. Though very tempting, this approach would still have its drawbacks, a significant one being the fact that not all tumors express immunogenic neoantigens (259), not to mention the cost that such strategy would impose on any health care system. Nevertheless, its feasibility and efficacy has already been demonstrated in an elegant study (260) where personalized vaccines were prepared for 6 melanoma patients. Whole-exome sequencing of their tumors allowed the identification of the mutated antigens from which a set of peptides was selected and synthesized so that they would be presented in the context of MHC-I. Four patients presented complete clinical responses to the vaccine alone and the other two, who had progressive disease after the vaccination, experienced complete responses after treatment with anti-PD-1. Curiously, in spite of the selection of MHC-I selective peptides, both CD4+ and CD8+ antigenspecific T cells were stimulated, with a predominance of CD4+ T cell responses. This observation illustrates very well how much "real life" immune responses still differ from our predictions.

Another ingenious strategy bypasses many of the known hurdles to exploit the immunogenic potential of DC. This approach aims to deliver RNA-containing nanoparticles systemically, which due to their lipid composition would be preferentially captured by DC and, then, release the RNA encoding the selected antigen(s) to be synthesized and presented. In a murine model, this approach lead, indeed, to DC maturation, IFN- α production and strong antigen-specific immune responses, which were effective in a series of tumor models (261). Accordingly, this strategy is under investigation in a clinical trial (NCT02410733) for patients with advanced melanoma.

Combination Treatments Including mo-DC

Chemotherapy and radiotherapy, together with surgery, still remain as the main pillars of cancer therapy. Since chemotherapy in general was formerly considered immunosuppressive, little attention was given to the fact that this is not always true. Indeed, some drugs might potentiate the anti-tumor immune response, by inducing the now recognized "immunogenic cell death" (262, 263). However, due to the frequently observed cancer patients' DC dysfunctions, the simple immunogenic death may not be enough to disrupt the tumor-favoring status of the immune response in patients. To achieve that, active immune interventions may be necessary to take advantage of the phenomenon. Indeed, a series of studies, both experimental and in humans, has been addressing this issue with promising results (264–266).

Radiation may also favor the induction of anti-tumor immune responses and, as with chemotherapy, there are plenty of data indicating a beneficial effect of its combination with cancer vaccines or other immune-stimulating strategies in different settings, including hepatocellular carcinoma (267), prostate cancer (268), lymphoma (269), and glioblastoma (270). Currently, the potential of such combinations are under scrutiny in a series of clinical trials for patients with such disparate diseases as anal (NCT01671488), lung (NCT01579188) and pancreatic cancer (NCT01072981) (271).

The disparity of the diseases mentioned at the previous paragraphs is a good indicator of the contrast between therapeutic strategies directed against the tumor cell and those targeting the immune system. Those that aim at the tumor cell will differ significantly from one tumor to the other, since each tumor has its own set of genetic changes and will respond differently to a given treatment. On the other hand, strategies that target the immune system, though still dealing with a very complex set of interactions, will face, very frequently, standard responses of the immune system to the perturbations caused by the presence of the tumor, regardless of the tumor's set of genetic mutations.

Actually, the realization of this scenario and the better understanding of the immune system and its interactions with tumors opened the way to a very attractive and successful approach for cancer immunotherapy: instead of targeting directly the tumor, one could target the immune regulatory mechanisms that allow a frequently immunogenic tumor to grow in an otherwise immunocompetent host. With this, the "checkpoint inhibitors era" started and achieved unprecedented good clinical results (272), leading to this 2018's award of the Nobel Prize in Medicine for James Allison and Tasuku Honjo for their work in this area.

However, after the initial excitement and even after the inclusion of other checkpoint inhibitors among the available armamentarium against cancer, it is necessary to appreciate that not all patients will respond to this approach, since it needs an existing response, kept in check and "waiting" to be released by the treatment. On the other hand, it is quite possible that the frequently unsatisfactory response to cancer vaccines is caused by the pre-existence or vaccine-induced activation of these same regulatory circuits. Hence, a coherent path to achieve better clinical results would be the combination of both immune modulating strategies. Indeed, experimental (273) and clinical data (274) suggest that this may be true. In the aforementioned clinical study, patients with advanced melanoma were treated with a combination of MART-1-peptide pulsed-DC and anti-CTLA-4 and the results indicated that the combination might be, indeed, more effective than either approach alone. Likewise, also in the PD-1/PD-L1-PD-L2 pathway (275, 276) the combination of DC vaccination with checkpoint inhibition may offer, at least theoretical, advantages.

A different set of combination treatments has been targeting immune modulatory enzymes. The enzyme indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase (IDO) catalyzes the degradation of tryptophan

contributing to tolerance induction by favoring regulatory T cell differentiation and reducing DC activity (277). IDO expression by DC is induced by inflammatory stimuli (278), but also by CTLA-4 and PD-1 (279). Accordingly, IDO inhibition has shown positive effects in murine models of pancreatic cancer (280) and a study combining IDO inhibitors with DC vaccines for breast cancer patients has completed recruitment (NCT01042535). Similarly, an inhibitor of BCR-ABL, SRC, c-KIT, PDGFR, and ephrin tyrosine kinases has shown synergistic effects with a DC vaccine in a mouse melanoma model (281) and this combination is the object of ongoing clinical trials in patients with melanoma (NCT01876212) and metastatic renal cells carcinoma (NCT02432846 phase II e NCT01582672 phase III). Arginase-1, an enzyme that regulates cell proliferation and is constitutively expressed by myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) (282) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2), are other two enzyme whose inhibition might have positive interactions with immunotherapeutic approaches, including those that exploit DC.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Dendritic cells have a central role in the immune system homeostasis and are directly involved in defining the patterns of response the system develops when facing an antigenic challenge. Their normal function warrants protection against infections, possibly cancer, but also against autoimmunity

REFERENCES

- Steinman RM, Cohn ZA. Identification of a novel cell type in peripheral lymphoid organs of mice. I. Morphology, quantitation, tissue distribution. *J Exp Med.* (1973) 137:1142–62.
- 2. Steinman RM, Idoyaga J. Features of the dendritic cell lineage. *Immunol Rev.* (2010) 234:5–17. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2009.00888.x
- Steinman RM, Witmer MD. Lymphoid dendritic cells are potent stimulators of the primary mixed leukocyte reaction in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1978) 75:5132–6.
- Banchereau J, Briere F, Caux C, Davoust J, Lebecque S, Liu YJ, et al. Immunobiology of dendritic cells. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2000) 18:767–811. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.767
- Carlens J, Wahl B, Ballmaier M, Bulfone-Paus S, Förster R, Pabst O. Common γ-chain-dependent signals confer selective survival of eosinophils in the murine small intestine. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:5600–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0801581
- Drutman SB, Kendall JC, Trombetta ES. Inflammatory spleen monocytes can upregulate CD11c expression without converting into dendritic cells. J Immunol. (2012) 188:3603–10. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1102741
- Hebel K, Griewank K, Inamine A, Chang HD, Hilke BM, Fillatreau S, et al. Plasma cell differentiation in T-independent type 2 immune responses is independent of CD11chigh dendritic cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (2006) 36:2912–9. doi: 10.1002/eji.200636356
- Hochweller K, Striegler J, Hämmerling GJ, Garbi N. A novel CD11c.DTR transgenic mouse for depletion of dendritic cells reveals their requirement for homeostatic proliferation of natural killer cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (2008) 38:2776–83. doi: 10.1002/eji.200838659
- Huleatt JW, Lefrançois L. Antigen-driven induction of CD11c on intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes and CD8+ T cells *in vivo. J Immunol.* (1995) 154:5684–93.
- Rubtsov AV, Rubtsova K, Fischer A, Meehan RT, Gillis JZ, Kappler JW, et al. Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7)–driven accumulation of a novel CD11c+ B-cell

and hypersensitivity reactions. The more is uncovered of the mechanisms that drive these cells to modulate the response in one way or another, the more tools will be available to direct the immune system to desired therapeutic outcomes. Today, much of the efforts and clinical results are focused into harnessing these cells to induce effector responses, mainly, but not only, in cancer. With the advancement of the understanding of their physiology and regulatory pathways, it is possible to predict their effective use in such opposing conditions as cancer and diabetes, with less untoward and more durable effects.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TP, MP, AO, and GE reviewed the literature and wrote the manuscript. PB-S revised the literature and the manuscript and JB designed, wrote and revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work had the support of grants from the Sao Paulo Research Foundation-FAPESP (2014/25988-1; 2014/26437-9; 2105/03314-1; 2016/01137-8), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel-CAPES, and from National Council for Scientific and Technological Development-CNPq (308053/2017-6).

population is important for the development of autoimmunity. *Blood* (2011) 118:1305–15. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-01-331462

- Probst HC, Tschannen K, Odermatt B, Schwendener R, Zinkernagel RM, Van Den Broek M. Histological analysis of CD11c-DTR/GFP mice after *in vivo* depletion of dendritic cells. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2005) 141:398–404. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2005.02868.x
- Vermaelen K, Pauwels R. Accurate and simple discrimination of mouse pulmonary dendritic cell and macrophage populations by flow cytometry: methodology and new insights. *Cytometry* (2004) 61A:170–7. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.20064
- Delamarre L, Pack M, Chang H, Mellman I, Trombetta ES. Differential lysosomal proteolysis in antigen-presenting cells determines antigen fate. *Science* (2005) 307:1630–4. doi: 10.1126/science.1108003
- Geissmann F, Manz MG, Jung S, Sieweke MH. Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. *Science* (2010) 327:656–61. doi: 10.1126/science.1178331
- van Montfoort N, Camps MG, Khan S, Filippov DV, Weterings JJ, Griffith JM, et al. Antigen storage compartments in mature dendritic cells facilitate prolonged cytotoxic T lymphocyte cross-priming capacity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2009) 106:6730–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.09009 69106
- Haniffa M, Collin M, Ginhoux F. Ontogeny and functional specialization of dendritic cells in human and mouse. (2013) 120:1–49. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-417028-5.00001-6
- Hashimoto D, Chow A, Noizat C, Teo P, Beasley MB, Leboeuf M, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages self-maintain locally throughout adult life with minimal contribution from circulating monocytes. *Immunity* (2013) 38:792– 804. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.04.004
- Hettinger J, Richards DM, Hansson J, Barra MM, Joschko A-C, Krijgsveld J, et al. Origin of monocytes and macrophages in a committed progenitor. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:821–30. doi: 10.1038/ni.2638
- McGovern N, Schlitzer A, Gunawan M, Jardine L, Shin A, Poyner E, et al. Human dermal CD14? cells are a transient population

of monocyte-derived macrophages. *Immunity* (2014) 41:465–77. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.08.006

- Naik SH, Perié L, Swart E, Gerlach C, van Rooij N, de Boer RJ, et al. Diverse and heritable lineage imprinting of early haematopoietic progenitors. *Nature Publish Group* (2013) 496:229–32. doi: 10.1038/nature12013
- Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, Szabo-Rogers H, Cagnard N, Kierdorf K, et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. *Science* (2012) 336:86–90. doi: 10.1126/science.1219179
- Schraml BU, van Blijswijk J, Zelenay S, Whitney PG, Filby A, Acton SE, et al. Genetic tracing via DNGR-1 expression history defines dendritic cells as a hematopoietic lineage. *Cell* (2013) 154:843–58. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.014
- Wang J, Dai X, Hsu C, Ming C, He Y, Zhang J, Wei L, et al. Discrimination of the heterogeneity of bone marrow-derived dendritic cells. *Mol Med Rep.* (2017) 16:6787–93. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2017.7448
- Yona S, Kim K-W, Wolf Y, Mildner A, Varol D, Breker M, et al. Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics of monocytes and tissue macrophages under homeostasis. *Immunity* (2013) 38:79–91. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012. 12.001
- O'Keeffe M, Mok WH, Radford KJ. Human dendritic cell subsets and function in health and disease. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2015) 72:1–17. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-2005-0
- Hermans IF, Silk JD, Gileadi U, Salio M, Mathew B, Ritter G, et al. NKT cells enhance CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to soluble antigen *in vivo* through direct interaction with dendritic cells. *J Immunol.* (2003) 171:5140– 7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.10.5140
- Münz C, Steinman RM, Fujii S-I. Dendritic cell maturation by innate lymphocytes: coordinated stimulation of innate and adaptive immunity. J Exp Med. (2005) 202:203–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20050810
- Smyth MJ, Crowe NY, Hayakawa Y, Takeda K, Yagita H, Godfrey DI. NKT cells - conductors of tumor immunity? *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2002) 14:165–71. doi: 10.1016/S0952-7915(02)00316-3
- Halim TYF, Hwang YY, Scanlon ST, Zaghouani H, Garbi N, Fallon PG, et al. Group 2 innate lymphoid cells license dendritic cells to potentiate memory TH2 cell responses. *Nat Immunol.* (2016) 17:57–64. doi: 10.1038/n i.3294
- Hawiger D, Inaba K, Dorsett Y, Guo M, Mahnke K, Rivera M, et al. Dendritic cells induce peripheral T cell unresponsiveness under steady state conditions *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (2001) 194:769–79. doi: 10.1084/jem.194.6.769
- 31. Steinman RM, Hawiger D, Liu K, Bonifaz L, Bonnyay D, Mahnke K, et al. Dendritic cell function *in vivo* during the steady state: a role in peripheral tolerance. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* (2003) 987:15–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2003.tb06029.x
- 32. Worbs T, Hammerschmidt SI, Förster R. Dendritic cell migration in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2017) 17:30–48. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.116
- Hemmi H, Akira S. TLR signalling and the function of dendritic cells. Chem Immunol Aller. (2005) 86:120–135. doi: 10.1159/000086657
- Cerboni S, Gentili M, Manel N. Diversity of pathogen sensors in dendritic cells. Adv Immunol. (2013) 120:211–237. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-417028-5.00008-9
- Alvarez D, Vollmann EH, Andrian von UH. Mechanisms and consequences of dendritic cell migration. *Immunity* (2008) 29:325–42. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.08.006
- Dong H, Bullock TNJ. Metabolic influences that regulate dendritic cell function in tumors. *Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:24. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00024
- Friedl P, Gunzer M. Interaction of T cells with APCs: the serial encounter model. *Trends Immunol.* (2001) 22:187–91. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(01)01869-5
- Henderson RA, Watkins SC, Flynn JL. Activation of human dendritic cells following infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Immunol. (1997) 159:635–43.
- Randolph GJ, Angeli V, Swartz MA. Dendritic-cell trafficking to lymph nodes through lymphatic vessels. *Nature Rev Immunol.* (2005) 5:617–28. doi: 10.1038/nri1670
- Imai Y, Yamakawa M, Kasajima T. The lymphocyte-dendritic cell system. *Histol Histopathol.* (1998) 13:469–510.

- Winzler C, Rovere P, Rescigno M, Granucci F, Penna G, Adorini L, et al. Maturation stages of mouse dendritic cells in growth factor-dependent long-term cultures. J Exp Med. (1997) 185:317–28.
- 42. Reis e Sousa C. Dendritic cells in a mature age. *Nature Rev Immunol.* (2006) 6:476–83. doi: 10.1038/nri1845
- Steinman RM. Decisions about dendritic cells: past, present, and future. Annu Rev Immunol. (2012) 30:1–22. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-100311-102839
- 44. Trombetta ES, Mellman I. Cell biology of antigen processing in vitro and in vivo. Annu Rev Immunol. (2005) 23:975–1028. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104538
- Förster R, Schubel A, Breitfeld D, Kremmer E, Renner-Müller I, Wolf E, et al. CCR7 coordinates the primary immune response by establishing functional microenvironments in secondary lymphoid organs. *Cell* (1999) 99:23–33.
- Ohl L, Mohaupt M, Czeloth N, Hintzen G, Kiafard Z, Zwirner J, et al. CCR7 governs skin dendritic cell migration under inflammatory and steady-state conditions. *Immunity* (2004) 21:279–88. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.06.014
- Sallusto F, Schaerli P, Loetscher P, Schaniel C, Lenig D, Mackay CR, et al. Rapid and coordinated switch in chemokine receptor expression during dendritic cell maturation. *Eur J Immunol.* (1998) 28:2760–9. doi: 10.1002/ (SICI)1521-4141(199809)28:09<2760::AID-IMMU2760>3.0.CO;2-N
- Steinman RM. The control of immunity and tolerance by dendritic cell. Pathol Biol. (2003) 51:59–60. doi: 10.1016/S0369-8114(03)00096-8
- Caux C, Massacrier C, Vanbervliet B, Dubois B, Van Kooten C, Durand I, et al. Activation of human dendritic cells through CD40 cross-linking. *J Exp Med.* (1994) 180:1263–72.
- Jensen SS, Gad M. Differential induction of inflammatory cytokines by dendritic cells treated with novel TLR-agonist and cytokine based cocktails: targeting dendritic cells in autoimmunity. J Inflamm (Lond) (2010) 7:37. doi: 10.1186/1476-9255-7-37
- Tan JKH, O'Neill HC. Maturation requirements for dendritic cells in T cell stimulation leading to tolerance versus immunity. *J Leukocyte Biol.* (2005) 78:319–324. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1104664
- 52. Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Control of adaptive immunity by the innate immune system. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:343–353. doi: 10.1038/ni.3123
- Luft T, Rodionova E, Maraskovsky E, Kirsch M, Hess M, Buchholtz C, et al. Adaptive functional differentiation of dendritic cells: integrating the network of extra- and intracellular signals. *Blood* (2006) 107:4763–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-04-1501
- Jonuleit H, Knop J, Enk AH. Cytokines and their effects on maturation, differentiation and migration of dendritic cells. *Arch Dermatol Res.* (1996) 289:1–8.
- Amsen D, Blander JM, Lee GR, Tanigaki K, Honjo T, Flavell RA. Instruction of distinct CD4T helper cell fates by different notch ligands on antigenpresenting cells. *Cell* (2004) 117:515–26. doi: 10.1038/nri1402
- Constant S, Pfeiffer C, Woodard A, Pasqualini T, Bottomly K. Extent of T cell receptor ligation can determine the functional differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells. J Exp Med (1995) 182:1591–6.
- Hosken NA, Shibuya K, Heath AW, Murphy KM, O'Garra A. The effect of antigen dose on CD4+ T helper cell phenotype development in a T cell receptor-alpha beta-transgenic model. *J Exp Med.* (1995) 182:1579–84.
- Kadowaki N. Dendritic cells: a conductor of T cell differentiation. Allergol Int. (2007) 56:193–9. doi: 10.2332/allergolint.R-07-146
- Maekawa Y, Tsukumo S-I, Chiba S, Hirai H, Hayashi Y, Okada H, et al. Delta1-Notch3 interactions bias the functional differentiation of activated CD4+ T cells. *Immunity* (2003) 19:549–59. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00270-X
- Pulendran B, Smith JL, Caspary G, Brasel K, Pettit D, Maraskovsky E, et al. Distinct dendritic cell subsets differentially regulate the class of immune response *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1999) 96:1036–41.
- Jenkins SJ, Perona-Wright G, Worsley AGF, Ishii N, MacDonald AS. Dendritic cell expression of OX40 ligand acts as a costimulatory, not polarizing, signal for optimal Th2 priming and memory induction *in vivo*. *J Immunol.* (2007) 179:3515–23. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.6.3515
- Soumelis V, Reche PA, Kanzler H, Yuan W, Edward G, Homey B, et al. Human epithelial cells trigger dendritic cell mediated allergic inflammation by producing TSLP. *Nat Immunol.* (2002) 3:673–680. doi: 10.1038/ ni805

- Bailey SL, Schreiner B, McMahon EJ, Miller SD. CNS myeloid DCs presenting endogenous myelin peptides "preferentially" polarize CD4+ T(H)-17 cells in relapsing EAE. Nat Immunol. (2007) 8:172–80. doi: 10.1038/ni1430
- 64. Iezzi G, Sonderegger I, Ampenberger F, Schmitz N, Marsland BJ, Kopf M. CD40-CD40L cross-talk integrates strong antigenic signals and microbial stimuli to induce development of IL-17-producing CD4+ T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2009) 106:876–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.08107 69106
- Huang G, Wang Y, Chi H. Regulation of TH17 cell differentiation by innate immune signals. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2012) 9:287–95. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2012.10
- Levings MK, Gregori S, Tresoldi E, Cazzaniga S, Bonini C, Roncarolo MG. Differentiation of Tr1 cells by immature dendritic cells requires IL-10 but not CD25+CD4+ Tr cells. *Blood* (2005) 105:1162–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-03-1211
- Rescigno M. Dendritic cell-epithelial cell crosstalk in the gut. *Immunol Rev.* (2014) 260:118–28. doi: 10.1111/imr.12181
- Vega-Ramos J, Roquilly A, Asehnoune K, Villadangos JA. Modulation of dendritic cell antigen presentation by pathogens, tissue damage and secondary inflammatory signals. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2014) 17:64–70. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2014.07.013
- Na H, Cho M, Chung Y. Regulation of Th2 cell immunity by dendritic cells. *Immune Netw.* (2016) 16:1–12. doi: 10.4110/in.2016.16.1.1
- Coulon P-G, Richetta C, Rouers A, Blanchet FP, Urrutia A, Guerbois M, et al. HIV-infected dendritic cells present endogenous MHC class II-restricted antigens to HIV-specific CD4+ T cells. J Immunol. (2016) 197:517–32. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1600286
- Delamarre L, Mellman I. Harnessing dendritic cells for immunotherapy. Semin Immunol. (2011) 23:2–11. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2011.02.001
- 72. Jung S, Unutmaz D, Wong P, Sano G-I, De los Santos K, Sparwasser T, et al. *In vivo* depletion of CD11c+ dendritic cells abrogates priming of CD8+ T cells by exogenous cell-associated antigens. *Immunity* (2002) 17:211–20. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00365-5
- 73. Segura E, Amigorena S. Cross-presentation in mouse and human dendritic cells. *Adv Immunol.* (2015) 127:1–31. doi: 10.1016/bs.ai.2015.03.002
- 74. Segura E, Villadangos JA. Antigen presentation by dendritic cells *in vivo*. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2009) 21:105–110. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2009.03.011
- Bevan MJ. Cross-priming for a secondary cytotoxic response to minor H antigens with H-2 congenic cells which do not cross-react in the cytotoxic assay. J Exp Med. (1976) 143:1283–8.
- Bevan MJ. Cross-priming for a secondary cytotoxic response to minor H antigens with H-2 congenic cells which do not cross-react in the cytotoxic assay. J Exp Med. (1976) 143:1283–8.
- 77. Sánchez-Paulete AR, Teijeira A, Cueto FJ, Garasa S, Pérez-Gracia JL, et al. Antigen cross-presentation and T-cell cross-priming in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. Ann Oncol. (2017) 28:xii74. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx727
- McCoy KD, Hermans IF, Fraser JH, Le Gros G, Ronchese F. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) can regulate dendritic cellinduced activation and cytotoxicity of CD8(+) T cells independently of CD4(+) T cell help. J Exp Med. (1999) 189:1157–62.
- Young JW, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells stimulate primary human cytolytic lymphocyte responses in the absence of CD4+ helper T cells. *J Exp Med.* (1990) 171:1315–32.
- Kurts C, Kosaka H, Carbone FR, Miller JF, Heath WR. Class Irestricted cross-presentation of exogenous self-antigens leads to deletion of autoreactive CD8(+) T cells. J Exp Med. (1997) 186:239–45.
- Rock KL, Shen L. Cross-presentation: underlying mechanisms and role in immune surveillance. *Immunol Rev.* (2005) 207:166–83. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2005.00301.x
- Steinman RM, Swanson J. The endocytic activity of dendritic cells. J Exp Med. (1995) 182:283–8.
- Geijtenbeek TB, Torensma R, van Vliet SJ, van Duijnhoven GC, Adema GJ, van Kooyk Y, et al. Identification of DC-SIGN, a novel dendritic cellspecific ICAM-3 receptor that supports primary immune responses. *Cell* (2000) 100:575–585. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80693-5

- Sallusto F, Cella M, Danieli C, Lanzavecchia A. Dendritic cells use macropinocytosis and the mannose receptor to concentrate macromolecules in the major histocompatibility complex class II compartment: downregulation by cytokines and bacterial products. *J Exp Med.* (1995) 182:389–400.
- Valladeau J, Ravel O, Dezutter-Dambuyant C, Moore K, Kleijmeer M, Liu Y, et al. Langerin, a novel C-type lectin specific to Langerhans cells, is an endocytic receptor that induces the formation of Birbeck granules. *Immunity* (2000) 12:71–81. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80160-0
- Keller R, Gehri R, Keist R. Macrophage response to viruses, protozoa, and fungi: secretory and cellular activities induced in resting unprimed bone marrow-derived mononuclear phagocytes. *Cell Immunol.* (1994) 159:323– 30. doi: 10.1006/cimm.1994.1318
- Medzhitov R, Preston-Hurlburt P, Janeway CA. A human homologue of the Drosophila Toll protein signals activation of adaptive immunity. *Nature* (1997) 388:394–7. doi: 10.1038/41131
- Muzio M, Bosisio D, Polentarutti N, D'amico G, Stoppacciaro A, Mancinelli R, et al. Differential expression and regulation of toll-like receptors (TLR) in human leukocytes: selective expression of TLR3 in dendritic cells. *J Immunol.* (2000) 164:5998–6004. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.164.11.5998
- Rescigno M, Granucci F, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P. Molecular events of bacterial-induced maturation of dendritic cells. J Clin Immunol (2000) 20:161–166. doi: 10.1023/A:1006629328178
- Wilson NS, El-Sukkari D, Villadangos JA. Dendritic cells constitutively present self antigens in their immature state *in vivo* and regulate antigen presentation by controlling the rates of MHC class II synthesis and endocytosis. *Blood* (2004) 103:2187–95. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-08-2729
- Albert ML, Sauter B, Bhardwaj N. Dendritic cells acquire antigen from apoptotic cells and induce class I-restricted CTLs. *Nature* (1998) 392:86–9. doi: 10.1038/32183
- Castellano G, Woltman AM, Schena FP, Roos A, Daha MR, van Kooten C. Dendritic cells and complement: at the cross road of innate and adaptive immunity. *Mol Immunol.* (2004) 41:133–40. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2004.03.018
- Deluce-Kakwata-Nkor N, Lamendour L, Chabot V, Héraud A, Ivanovic Z, Halary F, et al. Differentiation of human dendritic cell subsets for immune tolerance induction. *Transfus Clin Biol.* (2018) 25:90–5. doi: 10.1016/j.tracli.2017.08.002
- 94. Liu J, Cao X. Regulatory dendritic cells in autoimmunity: a comprehensive review. *J Autoimmun.* (2015) 63:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2015.07.011
- Shiokawa A, Kotaki R, Takano T, Nakajima-Adachi H, Hachimura S. Mesenteric lymph node CD11b- CD103+ PD-L1High dendritic cells highly induce regulatory T cells. *Immunology* (2017) 152:52–64. doi: 10.1111/imm.12747
- 96. Huang FP, Platt N, Wykes M, Major JR, Powell TJ, Jenkins CD, et al. A discrete subpopulation of dendritic cells transports apoptotic intestinal epithelial cells to T cell areas of mesenteric lymph nodes. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 191:435–44. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.3.435
- Steinman RM, Turley S, Mellman I, Inaba K. The induction of tolerance by dendritic cells that have captured apoptotic cells. J Exp Med. (2000) 191:411–416. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.3.411
- Liu K, Iyoda T, Saternus M, Kimura Y, Inaba K, Steinman RM. Immune tolerance after delivery of dying cells to dendritic cells *in situ*. J Exp Med. (2002) 196:1091–1097. doi: 10.1084/jem.20021215
- Stuart LM, Lucas M, Simpson C, Lamb J, Savill J, Lacy-Hulbert A. Inhibitory effects of apoptotic cell ingestion upon endotoxin-driven myeloid dendritic cell maturation. *J Immunol.* (2002) 168:1627–35. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.4.1627
- Wallet MA, Sen P, Flores RR, Wang Y, Yi Z, Huang Y, et al. MerTK is required for apoptotic cell-induced T cell tolerance. J Exper Med. (2008) 205:219–32. doi: 10.1084/jem.20062293
- 101. Hong J, Gu X-D, Xiang J-B, Zhang Z, Zang Y-W, Zhang Q-H, et al. Recipient dendritic cells modified by RNA interference targeting CD80 and CD86 elicit T cell hyporesponsiveness via enhanced T cell apoptosis. *Chin Med J.* (2013) 126:2139–44.
- Manicassamy S, Pulendran B. Dendritic cell control of tolerogenic responses. Immunol Rev. (2011) 241:206–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01015.x

- Zhu H-C, Qiu T, Liu X-H, Dong W-C, Weng X-D, Hu C-H, et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells generated by RelB silencing using shRNA prevent acute rejection. *Cell Immunol.* (2012) 274:12–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2012.02.012
- 104. Saito M, Nagasawa M, Takada H, Hara T, Tsuchiya S, Agematsu K, et al. Defective IL-10 signaling in hyper-IgE syndrome results in impaired generation of tolerogenic dendritic cells and induced regulatory T cells. J Exper Med. (2011) 208:235–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.20100799
- 105. Sela U, Park CG, Park A, Olds P, Wang S, Steinman RM, et al. Dendritic cells induce a subpopulation of IL-12Rβ2-Expressing treg that specifically consumes IL-12 to control Th1 responses. *PLoS ONE* (2016) 11:e0146412. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146412
- 106. Grohmann U, Orabona C, Fallarino F, Vacca C, Calcinaro F, Falorni A, et al. CTLA-4-Ig regulates tryptophan catabolism *in vivo. Nat Immunol.* (2002) 3:1097–101. doi: 10.1038/ni846
- 107. Morelli AE, Thomson AW. Tolerogenic dendritic cells and the quest for transplant tolerance. *Nature Rev Immunol.* (2007) 7:610–21. doi: 10.1038/nri2132
- Sakaguchi S, Miyara M, Costantino CM, Hafler DA. FOXP3+ regulatory T cells in the human immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2010) 10:490–500. doi: 10.1038/nri2785
- Idoyaga J, Fiorese C, Zbytnuik L, Lubkin A, Miller J, Malissen B, et al. Specialized role of migratory dendritic cells in peripheral tolerance induction. J Clin Invest. (2013) 123:844–54. doi: 10.1172/JCI65260
- 110. Mahnke K, Qian Y, Knop J, Enk AH. Induction of CD4+/CD25+ regulatory T cells by targeting of antigens to immature dendritic cells. *Blood* (2003) 101:4862–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-10-3229
- 111. Yates SF, Paterson AM, Nolan KF, Cobbold SP, Saunders NJ, Waldmann H, et al. Induction of regulatory T cells and dominant tolerance by dendritic cells incapable of full activation. *J Immunol* (2007) 179:967–76. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.2.967
- 112. Yogev N, Frommer F, Lukas D, Kautz-Neu K, Karram K, Ielo D, et al. Dendritic cells ameliorate autoimmunity in the CNS by controlling the homeostasis of PD-1 receptor(+) regulatory T cells. *Immunity* (2012) 37:264–75. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.05.025
- 113. Campanelli AP, Roselino AM, Cavassani KA, Pereira MSF, Mortara RA, Brodskyn CI, et al. CD4+CD25+ T cells in skin lesions of patients with cutaneous leishmaniasis exhibit phenotypic and functional characteristics of natural regulatory T cells. J Infect Dis. (2006) 193:1313–22. doi: 10.1086/502980
- 114. Montagnoli C, Bacci A, Bozza S, Gaziano R, Mosci P, Sharpe AH, et al. B7/CD28-dependent CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells are essential components of the memory-protective immunity to *Candida albicans. J Immunol.* (2002) 169:6298–308. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.11.6298
- 115. Baleeiro RB, Anselmo LB, Soares FA, Pinto CAL, Ramos O, Gross JL, et al. High frequency of immature dendritic cells and altered in situ production of interleukin-4 and tumor necrosis factor-α in lung cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2008) 57:1335–45. doi: 10.1007/s00262-008-0468-7
- Almand B, Resser JR, Lindman B, Nadaf S, Clark JI, Kwon ED, et al. Clinical significance of defective dendritic cell differentiation in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2000) 6:1755–66.
- 117. Bella SD, Gennaro M, Vaccari M, Ferraris C, Nicola S, Riva A, et al. Altered maturation of peripheral blood dendritic cells in patients with breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* (2003) 89:1463–72. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601243
- Dunn GP, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. The immunobiology of cancer immunosurveillance and immunoediting. *Immunity* (2004) 21:137–48. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.07.017
- Johnson DJ, Ohashi PS. Molecular programming of steady-state dendritic cells: impact on autoimmunity and tumor immune surveillance. *Anna NY Acad Sci.* (2013) 1284:46–51. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12114
- Vicari AP, Caux C, Trinchieri G. Tumour escape from immune surveillance through dendritic cell inactivation. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2002) 12:33–42. doi: 10.1006/scbi.2001.0400
- 121. Ardouin L, Luche H, Chelbi R, Carpentier S, Shawket A, Montanana Sanchis F, et al. Broad and largely concordant molecular changes characterize tolerogenic and immunogenic dendritic cell maturation in thymus and periphery. *Immunity* (2016) 45:305–18. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016. 07.019

- 122. George TC, Bilsborough J, Viney JL, Norment AM. High antigen dose and activated dendritic cells enable Th cells to escape regulatory T cell-mediated suppression *in vitro*. *Eur J Immunol*. (2003) 33:502–11. doi: 10.1002/immu.200310026
- 123. Gon Y, Asai Y, Hashimoto S, Mizumura K, Jibiki I, Machino T, et al. A20 inhibits toll-like receptor 2- and 4-mediated interleukin-8 synthesis in airway epithelial cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2004) 31:330–6. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2003-0438OC
- 124. Hammer GE, Turer EE, Taylor KE, Fang CJ, Advincula R, Oshima S, et al. Expression of A20 by dendritic cells preserves immune homeostasis and prevents colitis and spondyloarthritis. *Nat Immunol.* (2011) 12:1184–93. doi: 10.1038/ni.2135
- 125. Kool M, van Loo G, Waelput W, De Prijck S, Muskens F, Sze M, et al. The ubiquitin-editing protein A20 prevents dendritic cell activation, recognition of apoptotic cells, and systemic autoimmunity. *Immunity* (2011) 35:82–96. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.05.013
- Steinman RM. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. *Nature* (1998) 392:245–52. doi: 10.1038/32588
- Vremec D. The surface phenotype of dendritic cells purified from mouse thymus and spleen: investigation of the CD8 expression by a subpopulation of dendritic cells. J Exp Med. (1992) 176:47–58. doi: 10.1084/jem.176.1.47
- 128. Merad M, Sathe P, Helft J, Miller J, Mortha A. The dendritic cell lineage: ontogeny and function of dendritic cells and their subsets in the steady state and the inflamed setting. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2013) 31:563–604. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-074950
- Mildner A, Jung S. Development and function of dendritic cell subsets. *Immunity* (2014) 40:642–56. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.04.016
- 130. Guilliams M, Dutertre C-A, Scott CL, McGovern N, Sichien D, Chakarov S, et al. Unsupervised high-dimensional analysis aligns dendritic cells across tissues and species. *Immunity* (2016) 45:669–84. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.08.015
- 131. Granot T, Senda T, Carpenter DJ, Matsuoka N, Weiner J, Gordon CL, et al. Dendritic cells display subset and tissue-specific maturation dynamics over human life. *Immunity* (2017) 46:504–15. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.02.019
- Reynolds G, Haniffa M. Human and mouse mononuclear phagocyte networks: a tale of two species? *Front Immunol.* (2015) 6:330. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00330
- Breton G, Lee J, Zhou YJ, Schreiber JJ, Keler T, Puhr S, et al. Circulating precursors of human CD1c+ and CD141+ dendritic cells. *J Exp Med.* (2015) 212:401–13. doi: 10.1084/jem.20141441
- 134. Schlitzer A, Sivakamasundari V, Chen J, Bin Sumatoh HR, Schreuder J, Lum J, et al. Identification of cDC1- and cDC2-committed DC progenitors reveals early lineage priming at the common DC progenitor stage in the bone marrow. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:1–13. doi: 10.1038/ni.3200
- 135. See P, Dutertre C-A, Chen J, Günther P, McGovern N, Irac SE, et al. Mapping the human DC lineage through the integration of high-dimensional techniques. *Science* (2017) 66:eaag3009. doi: 10.1126/science.aag3009
- 136. Heidkamp GF, Sander J, Lehmann CHK, Heger L, Eissing N, Baranska A, et al. Human lymphoid organ dendritic cell identity is predominantly dictated by ontogeny, not tissue microenvironment. *Sci Immunol.* (2016) 1:eaai7677. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aai7677
- Boltjes A, van Wijk F. Human dendritic cell functional specialization in steady-state and inflammation. *Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:131. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00131
- 138. Dzionek A, Fuchs A, Schmidt P, Cremer S, Zysk M, Miltenyi S, et al. BDCA-2, BDCA-3, and BDCA-4: three markers for distinct subsets of dendritic cells in human peripheral blood. *J Immunol.* (2000) 165:6037–46. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.165.11.6037
- 139. Guilliams M, Ginhoux F, Jakubzick C, Naik SH, Onai N, Schraml BU, et al. Dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages: a unified nomenclature based on ontogeny. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2014) 14:571–8. doi: 10.1038/nri3712
- 140. Robbins SH, Walzer T, Dembélé D, Thibault C, Defays A, Bessou G, et al. Novel insights into the relationships between dendritic cell subsets in human and mouse revealed by genome-wide expression profiling. *Genome Biol.* (2008) 9:R17. doi: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-1-r17
- 141. Watchmaker PB, Lahl K, Lee M, Baumjohann D, Morton J, Kim SJ, et al. Comparative transcriptional and functional profiling defines conserved

programs of intestinal DC differentiation in humans and mice. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 15:98–108. doi: 10.1038/ni.2768

- 142. Haniffa M, Shin A, Bigley V, McGovern N, Teo P, See P, et al. Human tissues contain CD141hi cross-presenting dendritic cells with functional homology to mouse CD103+ nonlymphoid dendritic cells. *Immunity* (2012) 37:60–73. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.04.012
- 143. Proietto AI, Mittag D, Roberts AW, Sprigg N, Wu L. The equivalents of human blood and spleen dendritic cell subtypes can be generated *in vitro* from human CD34+ stem cells in the presence of fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand and thrombopoietin. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2012) 9:446–54. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2012.48
- 144. Lee J, Breton G, Aljoufi A, Zhou YJ, Puhr S, Nussenzweig MC, et al. Clonal analysis of human dendritic cell progenitor using a stromal cell culture. J Immunol Methods (2015) 425:21–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2015. 06.004
- 145. Bachem A, Güttler S, Hartung E, Ebstein F, Schaefer M, Tannert A, et al. Superior antigen cross-presentation and XCR1 expression define human CD11c +CD141 +cells as homologues of mouse CD8 +dendritic cells. *J Exp Med.* (2010) 207:1273–81. doi: 10.1084/jem.20100348
- 146. Poulin LF, Reyal Y, Uronen-Hansson H, Schraml BU, Sancho D, Murphy KM, et al. DNGR-1 is a specific and universal marker of mouse and human Batf3-dependent dendritic cells in lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues. *Blood* (2012) 119:6052–62. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-01-406967
- 147. Hildner K, Edelson BT, Purtha WE, Diamond M, Matsushita H, Kohyama M, et al. Batf3 deficiency reveals a critical role for CD8alpha+ dendritic cells in cytotoxic T cell immunity. *Science* (2008) 322:1097–100. doi: 10.1126/science.1164206
- 148. Schiavoni G, Mattei F, Sestili P, Borghi P, Venditti M, Morse HC III, et al. ICSBP is essential for the development of mouse Type I interferon-producing cells and for the generation and activation of CD8α +Dendritic Cells. J Exp Med. (2002) 196:1415–25. doi: 10.1084/jem.20021263
- 149. Hacker C, Kirsch RD, Ju X-S, Hieronymus T, Gust TC, Kuhl C, et al. Transcriptional profiling identifies Id2 function in dendritic cell development. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:380–6. doi: 10.1038/ni903
- 150. Kashiwada M, Pham N-LL, Pewe LL, Harty JT, Rothman PB. NFIL3/E4BP4 is a key transcription factor for CD8α? dendritic cell development. *Blood* (2011) 117:6193–97. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-07-295873
- 151. Brewitz A, Eickhoff S, Dähling S, Quast T, Bedoui S, Kroczek RA, et al. CD8+ T cells orchestrate pDC-XCR1+ dendritic cell spatial and functional cooperativity to optimize priming. *Immunity* (2017) 46:205–19. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.01.003
- 152. Dai P, Wang W, Yang N, Serna-Tamayo C, Ricca JM, Zamarin D, et al. Intratumoral delivery of inactivated modified vaccinia virus Ankara (iMVA) induces systemic antitumor immunity via STING and Batf3-dependent dendritic cells. *Sci Immunol.* (2017) 2:eaal1713. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aal1713
- 153. Broz ML, Binnewies M, Boldajipour B, Nelson AE, Pollack JL, Erle DJ, et al. Dissecting the tumor myeloid compartment reveals rare activating antigenpresenting cells critical for T cell immunity. *Cancer Cell* (2014) 26:638–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.09.007
- 154. Roberts EW, Broz ML, Binnewies M, Headley MB, Nelson AE, Wolf DM, et al. Critical Role for CD103+/CD141+ Dendritic Cells Bearing CCR7 for Tumor Antigen Trafficking and Priming of T Cell Immunity in Melanoma. *Cancer Cell* (2016) 30:324–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016. 06.003
- 155. Schlitzer A, McGovern N, Teo P, Zelante T, Atarashi K, Low D, et al. IRF4 Transcription factor-dependent CD11b+ dendritic cells in human and mouse control mucosal IL-17 cytokine responses. *Immunity* (2013) 38:970–83. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.04.011
- 156. Guerriero A, Langmuir PB, Spain LM, Scott EW. PU.1 is required for myeloid-derived but not lymphoid-derived dendritic cells. *Blood* (2000) 95:879–85.
- 157. Wu L, D'Amico A, Winkel KD, Suter M, Lo D, Shortman K. RelB is essential for the development of myeloid-related CD8alpha- dendritic cells but not of lymphoid-related CD8alpha+ dendritic cells. *Immunity* (1998) 9:839–47.
- Caton ML, Smith-Raska MR, Reizis B. Notch-RBP-J signaling controls the homeostasis of CD8 –dendritic cells in the spleen. J Exp Med. (2007) 204:1653–64. doi: 10.1084/jem.20062648

- Hambleton S, Salem S, Bustamante J, Bigley V, Boisson-Dupuis S, Azevedo J, et al. IRF8 mutations and human dendritic-cell immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. (2011) 365:127–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1100066
- 160. Segura E, Valladeau-Guilemond J, Donnadieu M-H, Sastre-Garau X, Soumelis V, Amigorena S. Characterization of resident and migratory dendritic cells in human lymph nodes. J Exp Med. (2012) 209:653–60. doi: 10.1084/jem.20111457
- 161. Leal Rojas IM, Mok WH, Pearson FE, Minoda Y, Kenna TJ, Barnard RT, et al. Human blood CD1c+ dendritic cells promote Th1 and Th17 effector function in memory CD4+ T Cells. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:563–11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00971
- 162. Villani A-C, Satija R, Reynolds G, Sarkizova S, Shekhar K, Fletcher J, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals new types of human blood dendritic cells, monocytes, and progenitors. *Science* (2017) 356:eaah4573–14. doi: 10.1126/science.aah4573
- 163. Bamboat ZM, Stableford JA, Plitas G, Burt BM, Nguyen HM, Welles AP, et al. Human Liver Dendritic Cells Promote T Cell Hyporesponsiveness. J Immunol. (2009) 182:1901–11. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0803404
- 164. Sato T, Kitawaki T, Fujita H, Iwata M, Iyoda T, Inaba K, et al. Human CD1c+ myeloid dendritic cells acquire a high level of retinoic acidproducing capacity in response to vitamin D3. *J Immunol.* (2013) 191:3152– 60. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1203517
- Reizis B, Bunin A, Ghosh HS, Lewis KL, Sisirak V. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells: recent progress and open questions. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2011) 29:163–83. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101345
- Swiecki M, Colonna M. The multifaceted biology of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2015) 15:471–85. doi: 10.1038/nri3865
- 167. Evans VA, Lal L, Akkina R, Solomon A, Wright E, Lewin SR, et al. Thymic plasmacytoid dendritic cells are susceptible to productive HIV-1 infection and efficiently transfer R5 HIV-1 to thymocytes *in vitro*. *Retrovirology* (2011) 8:43. doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-8-43
- 168. Loures FV, Röhm M, Lee CK, Santos E, Wang JP, Specht CA, et al. Recognition of Aspergillus fumigatus hyphae by human plasmacytoid dendritic cells is mediated by dectin-2 and results in formation of extracellular traps. *PLoS Pathog.* (2015) 11:e1004643–23. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004643
- 169. Matsui T, Connolly JE, Michnevitz M, Chaussabel D, Yu CI, Glaser C, et al. CD2 distinguishes two subsets of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells with distinct phenotype and functions. J Immunol. (2009) 182:6815–23. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0802008
- Cella M, Facchetti F, Lanzavecchia A, Colonna M. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells activated by influenza virus and CD40L drive a potent TH1 polarization. *Nat Immunol.* (2000) 1:305–10. doi: 10.1038/79747
- 171. Hoeffel G, Ripoche A-C, Matheoud D, Nascimbeni M, Escriou N, Lebon P, et al. Antigen crosspresentation by human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Immunity* (2007) 27:481–92. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.07.021
- 172. Krug A, Towarowski A, Britsch S, Rothenfusser S, Hornung V, Bals R, et al. Toll-like receptor expression reveals CpG DNA as a unique microbial stimulus for plasmacytoid dendritic cells which synergizes with CD40 ligand to induce high amounts of IL-12. *Eur J Immunol.* (2001) 31:3026–37. doi: 10.1002/1521-4141(2001010)31:10<3026::AID-IMMU3026>3. 0.CO;2-H
- 173. Caux C, Dezutter-Dambuyant C, Schmitt D. GM-CSF and TNF- cooperate in the generation of dendritic Langerhans cells. *Nature* (1992) 360:258–61.
- 174. Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A. Efficient presentation of soluble antigen by cultured human dendritic cells is maintained by granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor plus interleukin 4 and downregulated by tumor necrosis factor alpha. *J Exp Med.* (1994) 179:1109–18. doi: 10.1084/jem.179.4.1109
- 175. Lehtonen A, Veckman V, Nikula T, Lahesmaa R, Kinnunen L, Matikainen S, et al. Differential expression of IFN regulatory factor 4 gene in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells and macrophages. J Immunol. (2005) 175:6570–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.10.6570
- 176. Inaba K, Inaba M, Romani N, Aya H, Deguchi M, Ikehara S, et al. Generation of large numbers of dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow cultures supplemented with granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. J Exp Med. (1992) 176:1693–702.
- 177. Helft J, Böttcher J, Chakravarty P, Zelenay S, Huotari J, Schraml BU, et al. GM-CSF mouse bone marrow cultures comprise a heterogeneous population

of CD11c(+)MHCII(+) macrophages and dendritic cells. *Immunity* (2015) 42:1197–211. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.05.018

- Guilliams M, Malissen B. A death notice for *in-vitro*-Generated GM-CSF dendritic cells? *Immunity* (2015) 42:988–90. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.05.020
- Lutz MB, Inaba K, Schuler G, Romani N. Still alive and kicking: in-vitro-generated GM-CSF dendritic cells! Immunity (2016) 44:1–2. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.013
- Helft J, Böttcher JP, Chakravarty P, Zelenay S, Huotari J, Schraml BU, et al. Alive but confused: heterogeneity of CD11c+ MHC class II+ cells in GM-CSF mouse bone marrow cultures. *Immunity* (2016) 44:3–4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.014
- 181. Serbina NV, Salazar-Mather TP, Biron CA, Kuziel WA, Pamer EG. TNF/iNOS-producing dendritic cells mediate innate immune defense against bacterial infection. *Immunity* (2003) 19:59–70. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00171-7
- 182. Wollenberg A, Kraft S, Hanau D, Bieber T. Immunomorphological and ultrastructural characterization of langerhans cells and a novel, inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell (IDEC) population in lesional skin of atopic eczema. J Invest Dermatol. (1996) 106:446–53. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep123 43596
- 183. Segura E, Touzot M, Bohineust A, Cappuccio A, Chiocchia G, Hosmalin A, et al. Human inflammatory dendritic cells induce Th17 cell differentiation. *Immunity* (2013) 38:336–48. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.10.018
- Quail DF, Joyce JA. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and metastasis. *Nat Med.* (2013) 19:1423–37. doi: 10.1038/nm.3394
- Brosseau JP, Lucier JF, Nwilati H, Thibault P, Garneau D, Gendron D, et al. Tumor microenvironment-associated modifications of alternative splicing. *RNA* (2014) 20:189–201. doi: 10.1261/rna.042168.113
- Bingle L, Brown NJ, Lewis CE. The role of tumour-associated macrophages in tumour progression: implications for new anticancer therapies. *J Pathol.* (2002) 196:254–65. doi: 10.1002/path.1027
- Nagaraj S, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in human cancer. *Cancer J.* (2010) 16:348–53. doi: 10.1097/PPO.0b013e3181e b3358
- Ichihara F, Kono K, Takahashi A, Kawaida H, Sugai H, Fujii H. Increased populations of regulatory T cells in peripheral blood and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with gastric and esophageal cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2003) 9:4404–8.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of Cancer: the next generation. Cell (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- McAllister SS, Weinberg RA. The tumour-induced systemic environment as a critical regulator of cancer progression and metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2014) 16:717–27. doi: 10.1038/ncb3015
- 191. Alvarez KLF, Beldi M, Sarmanho F, Rossetti RAM, Silveira CRF, Mota GR, et al. Local and systemic immunomodulatory mechanisms triggered by Human Papillomavirus transformed cells: a potential role for G-CSF and neutrophils. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:1–16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-0 9079-3
- 192. Ramos RN, Chin LS, Santos Dos APSA, Bergami-Santos PC, Laginha F, Barbuto JAM. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells from breast cancer patients are biased to induce CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2012) 92:673–82. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0112048
- 193. Toniolo PA, Liu S, Yeh JE, Ye DQ, Barbuto JAM, Frank DA. Deregulation of SOCS5 suppresses dendritic cell function in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7:46301–14. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10093
- 194. Brown S, Hutchinson CV, Aspinall-O'Dea M, Whetton AD, Johnson SM, Rees-Unwin K, et al. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells from chronic myeloid leukaemia have abnormal maturation and cytoskeletal function that is associated with defective localisation and signalling by normal ABL1 protein. *Eur J Haematol.* (2014) 93:96–102. doi: 10.1111/ejh.12306
- 195. Orsini G, Legitimo A, Failli A, Ferrari P, Nicolini A, Spisni R, et al. Defective generation and maturation of dendritic cells from monocytes in colorectal cancer patients during the course of disease. *IJMS* (2013) 14:22022–41. doi: 10.3390/ijms141122022
- 196. Lopes A, Michelin MR, Murta E. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells from patients with cervical intraepithelial lesions. Oncol Lett. (2017) 13:1456–62. doi: 10.3892/ol.2017.5595

- 197. Kiertscher SM, Luo J, Dubinett SM, Roth MD. Tumors promote altered maturation and early apoptosis of monocyte-derived dendritic cells. J Immunol. (2000) 164:1269–76. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.164.3.1269
- 198. Clavijo-Salomon MA, Ramos RN, Crippa A, Pizzo CR, Bergami-Santos PC, Barbuto JAM. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells reflect the immune functional status of a chromophobe renal cell carcinoma patient: could it be a general phenomenon? *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2015) 64:161–71. doi: 10.1007/s00262-014-1625-9
- 199. Lago MT-D, Jukemura J, Machado MCC, Da Cunha JEM, Barbuto JAM. Phagocytosis and production of H₂O₂ by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with obstructive jaundice. *Pancreatology* (2006) 6:273–8. doi: 10.1159/000092688
- Clavijo-Salomon MA, Bergami-Santos PC, M Barbuto JA. Immunomonitoring reveals interruption of anergy after vaccination in a case of type-2-papillary renal cell carcinoma. *Immunotherapy* (2017) 9:319–29. doi: 10.2217/imt-2016-0145
- 201. Failli A, Legitimo A, Orsini G, Romanini A, Consolini R. Numerical defect of circulating dendritic cell subsets and defective dendritic cell generation from monocytes of patients with advanced melanoma. *Cancer Lett.* (2013) 337:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2013.05.013
- 202. Orsini E, Guarini A, Chiaretti S, Mauro FR, Foa R. The circulating dendritic cell compartment in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia is severely defective and unable to stimulate an effective T-cell response. *Cancer Res.* (2003) 63:4497–506.
- 203. Verronèse E, Delgado A, Valladeau-Guilemond J, Garin G, Guillemaut S, Tredan O, et al. Immune cell dysfunctions in breast cancer patients detected through whole blood multi- parametric flow cytometry assay. OncoImmunology (2016) 5:1–15. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2015.1100791
- 204. Li R, Fang F, Jiang M, Wang C, Ma J, Kang W, et al. STAT3 and NF- κ B are simultaneously suppressed in dendritic cells in lung cancer. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:1–11. doi: 10.1038/srep45395
- 205. Fayad L, Keating MJ, Reuben JM, O'Brien S, Lee BN, Lerner S, et al. Interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 levels in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: correlation with phenotypic characteristics and outcome. *Blood* (2001) 97:256–63. doi: 10.1182/blood.V97.1.256
- Park SJ, Nakagawa T, Kitamura H, Atsumi T, Kamon H, Sawa SI, et al. IL-6 Regulates *in vivo* dendritic cell differentiation through STAT3 activation. J Immunol. (2004) 173:3844–54. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.6.3844
- 207. Ohno Y, Kitamura H, Takahashi N, Ohtake J, Kaneumi S, Sumida K, et al. IL-6 down-regulates HLA class II expression and IL-12 production of human dendritic cells to impair activation of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother* (2016) 65:1–12. doi: 10.1007/s00262-015-1791-4
- 208. Kitamura H, Ohno Y, Toyoshima Y, Ohtake J, Homma S, Kawamura H, et al. Interleukin-6/STAT3 signaling as a promising target to improve the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Sci.* (2017) 108:1947–52. doi: 10.1111/cas.13332
- 209. Niemand C, Nimmesgern A, Haan S, Fischer P, Schaper F, Rossaint R, et al. Activation of STAT3 by IL-6 and IL-10 in primary human macrophages is differentially modulated by suppressor of cytokine signaling 3. *J Immunol.* (2003) 170:3263–72. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3263
- 210. Coley WB. The Treatment of inoperable sarcoma by bacterial toxins (the mixed toxins of the *Streptococcus erysipelas* and the *Bacillus prodigiosus*). *Proc R Soc Med.* (1910) 3:1–48.
- 211. Bernardes N, Seruca R, Chakrabarty AM, Fialho AM. Microbial-based therapy of cancer: current progress and future prospects. *Bioeng. Bugs* (2010) 1:178–90. doi: 10.4161/bbug.1.3.10903
- Adams S. Toll-like receptor agonists in cancer therapy. *Immunotherapy* (2009) 1:949–64. doi: 10.2217/imt.09.70
- Burns EM, Yusuf N. Toll-like receptors and skin cancer. Front Immunol. (2014) 5:135. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00135
- 214. Tanaka H, Demeure CE, Rubio M, Delespesse G, Sarfati M. Human monocyte-derived dendritic cells induce naive T cell differentiation into T helper cell type 2 (Th2) or Th1/Th2 effectors. Role of stimulator/responder ratio. J Exp Med. (2000) 192:405–12. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.3.405
- 215. Dhodapkar MV, Steinman RM, Sapp M, Desai H, Fossella C, Krasovsky J, et al. Rapid generation of broad T-cell immunity in humans after a single injection of mature dendritic cells. J Clin Invest. (1999) 104:173–80. doi: 10.1172/JCI6909

- 216. Thurner B, Haendle I, Röder C, Dieckmann D, Keikavoussi P, Jonuleit H, et al. Vaccination with mage-3A1 peptide-pulsed mature, monocyte-derived dendritic cells expands specific cytotoxic T cells and induces regression of some metastases in advanced stage IV melanoma. *J Exp Med.* (1999) 190:1669–78.
- Soruri A, Zwirner J. Dendritic cells: limited potential in immunotherapy. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. (2005) 37:241–5. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2004.07.003
- 218. Kuhn S, Hyde EJ, Yang J, Rich FJ, Harper JL, Kirman JR, et al. Increased numbers of monocyte-derived dendritic cells during successful tumor immunotherapy with immune-activating agents. *J Immunol.* (2013) 191:1984–92. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1301135
- 219. Kuhn S, Yang J, Ronchese F. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells are essential for CD8(+) T cell activation and antitumor responses after local immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* (2015) 6:584. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00584
- Mohty M, Vialle-Castellano A, Nunes JA, Isnardon D, Olive D, Gaugler B. IFN-alpha skews monocyte differentiation into Toll-like receptor 7expressing dendritic cells with potent functional activities. *J Immunol.* (2003) 171:3385–93. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.7.3385
- 221. Farkas A, Kemény L. Interferon-α in the generation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells: recent advances and implications for dermatology. Br J Dermatol. (2011) 165:247–54. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2011.10301.x
- 222. Harris KM. Monocytes differentiated with GM-CSF and IL-15 initiate Th17 and Th1 responses that are contact-dependent and mediated by IL-15. J Leukoc Biol. (2011) 90:727–34. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0311132
- 223. Dauer M, Obermaier B, Herten J, Haerle C, Pohl K, Rothenfusser S, et al. Mature dendritic cells derived from human monocytes within 48 hours: a novel strategy for dendritic cell differentiation from blood precursors. J Immunol (2003) 170:4069–76. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.170.8.4069
- 224. Dauer M, Schad K, Herten J, Junkmann J, Bauer C, Kiefl R, et al. FastDC derived from human monocytes within 48 h effectively prime tumor antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells. J Immunol Methods (2005) 302:145–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2005.05.010
- 225. Sundarasetty BS, Singh VK, Salguero G, Geffers R, Rickmann M, Macke L, et al. Lentivirus-induced dendritic cells for immunization against high-risk WT1(+) acute myeloid leukemia. *Hum Gene Ther.* (2013) 24:220–37. doi: 10.1089/hum.2012.128
- Anassi E, Ndefo UA. Sipuleucel-T (provenge) injection: the first immunotherapy agent (vaccine) for hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *PT* (2011) 36:197–202.
- 227. Sims RB. Development of sipuleucel-T: autologous cellular immunotherapy for the treatment of metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer. *Vaccine* (2012) 30:4394–7. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.11.058
- Castiello L, Sabatino M, Jin P, Clayberger C, Marincola FM, Krensky AM, et al. Monocyte-derived DC maturation strategies and related pathways: a transcriptional view. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2011) 60:457–66. doi: 10.1007/s00262-010-0954-6
- 229. Menges M, Rößner S, Voigtländer C, Schindler H, Kukutsch NA, Bogdan C, et al. Repetitive injections of dendritic cells matured with tumor necrosis factor alpha induce antigen-specific protection of mice from autoimmunity. *J Exp Med.* (2002) 195:15–21. doi: 10.1084/jem.20011341
- 230. Napolitani G, Rinaldi A, Bertoni F, Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A. Selected Toll-like receptor agonist combinations synergistically trigger a T helper type 1-polarizing program in dendritic cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2005) 6:769–76. doi: 10.1038/ni1223
- 231. Vopenkova K, Mollova K, Buresova I, Michalek J. Complex evaluation of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells for cancer immunotherapy. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2012) 16:2827–37. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2012.0 1614.x
- 232. Coulie PG, Van den Eynde BJ, van der Bruggen P, Boon T. Tumour antigens recognized by T lymphocytes: at the core of cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2014) 14:135–46. doi: 10.1038/nrc3670
- Brossart P, Wirths S, Stuhler G, Reichardt VL, Kanz L, Brugger W. Induction of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses *in vivo* after vaccinations with peptidepulsed dendritic cells. *Blood* (2000) 96:3102–8.
- Jenne L, Schuler G, Steinkasserer A. Viral vectors for dendritic cell-based immunotherapy. *Trends Immunol.* (2001) 22:102–7. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(00)01813-5

- Slingluff CL. The present and future of peptide vaccines for cancer: single or multiple, long or short, alone or in combination? *Cancer J.* (2011) 17:343–50. doi: 10.1097/PPO.0b013e318233e5b2
- 236. Mosca PJ, Clay TM, Morse MA, Lyerly HK. Dendritic cell vaccines. *Front Biosci.* (2007) 12:4050–60.
- 237. Fry TJ, Shand JL, Milliron M, Tasian SK, Mackall CL. Antigen loading of DCs with irradiated apoptotic tumor cells induces improved anti-tumor immunity compared to other approaches. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2009) 58:1257–64. doi: 10.1007/s00262-008-0638-7
- 238. Kalinski P, Urban J, Narang R, Berk E, Wieckowski E, Muthuswamy R. Dendritic cell-based therapeutic cancer vaccines: what we have and what we need. *Future Oncol.* (2009) 5:379–90. doi: 10.2217/fon.09.6
- Barbuto JAM. Are dysfunctional monocyte-derived dendritic cells in cancer an explanation for cancer vaccine failures? *Immunotherapy* (2013) 5:105–7. doi: 10.2217/imt.12.153
- 240. Pinho MP, Sundarasetty BS, Bergami-Santos PC, Steponavicius-Cruz K, Ferreira AK, Stripecke R, et al. Dendritic-tumor cell hybrids induce tumor-specific immune responses more effectively than the simple mixture of dendritic and tumor cells. *Cytotherapy* (2016) 18:570–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2016.01.005
- 241. Barbuto JAM, Ensina LFC, Neves AR, Bergami-Santos P, Leite KRM, Marques R, et al. Dendritic cell-tumor cell hybrid vaccination for metastatic cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2004) 53:1111–8. doi: 10.1007/s00262-004-0551-7
- 242. Tacken PJ, de Vries IJM, Torensma R, Figdor CG. Dendritic-cell immunotherapy: from *ex vivo* loading to *in vivo* targeting. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2007) 7:790–802. doi: 10.1038/nri2173
- 243. van Dinther D, Stolk DA, van de Ven R, van Kooyk Y, de Gruijl TD, Haan den JMM. Targeting C-type lectin receptors: a high-carbohydrate diet for dendritic cells to improve cancer vaccines. J Leukoc Biol. (2017) 102:1017–34. doi: 10.1189/jlb.5MR0217-059RR
- Caminschi I, Maraskovsky E, Heath WR. Targeting Dendritic Cells *in vivo* for cancer therapy. *Front Immunol.* (2012) 3:13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012. 00013
- Macri C, Dumont C, Johnston AP, Mintern JD. Targeting dendritic cells: a promising strategy to improve vaccine effectiveness. *Clin Trans Immunol.* (2016) 5:e66. doi: 10.1038/cti.2016.6
- 246. Mahnke K, Qian Y, Fondel S, Brueck J, Becker C, Enk AH. Targeting of antigens to activated dendritic cells *in vivo* cures metastatic melanoma in mice. *Cancer Res.* (2005) 65:7007–12. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-0 5-0938
- 247. Tullett KM, Leal Rojas IM, Minoda Y, Tan PS, Zhang J-G, Smith C, et al. Targeting CLEC9A delivers antigen to human CD141+ DC for CD4+ and CD8+T cell recognition. *JCI Insight* (2016) 1:e87102. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.87102
- 248. Toniolo PA, Liu S, Yeh JE, Moraes-Vieira PM, Walker SR, Vafaizadeh V, et al. Inhibiting STAT5 by the BET bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 disrupts human dendritic cell maturation. *J Immunol.* (2015) 194:3180–90. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401635
- 249. Silva-Cardoso SC, Affandi AJ, Spel L, Cossu M, van Roon JAG, Boes M, et al. CXCL4 Exposure Potentiates TLR-Driven polarization of human monocytederived dendritic cells and increases stimulation of T Cells. *J Immunol.* (2017) 199:253–62. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1602020
- 250. Dijkgraaf EM, Santegoets SJAM, Reyners AKL, Goedemans R, Wouters MCA, Kenter GG, et al. A phase I trial combining carboplatin/doxorubicin with tocilizumab, an anti-IL-6R monoclonal antibody, and interferon-α2b in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol. (2015) 26:2141–9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdv309
- 251. Mailliard RB, Wankowicz-Kalinska A, Cai Q, Wesa A, Hilkens CM, Kapsenberg ML, et al. alpha-type-1 polarized dendritic cells: a novel immunization tool with optimized CTL-inducing activity. *Cancer Res.* (2004) 64:5934–7. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1261
- 252. Okada H, Kalinski P, Ueda R, Hoji A, Kohanbash G, Donegan TE, et al. Induction of CD8+ T-cell responses against novel glioma-associated antigen peptides and clinical activity by vaccinations with {alpha}-type 1 polarized dendritic cells and polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid stabilized by lysine and carboxymethylcellulose in patients with recurrent malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2011) 29:330–6. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.30.7744

- 253. Sakamoto C, Kohara H, Inoue H, Narusawa M, Ogawa Y, Hirose-Yotsuya L, et al. Therapeutic vaccination based on side population cells transduced by the granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor gene elicits potent antitumor immunity. *Cancer Gene Ther.* (2017) 24:165–74. doi: 10.1038/cgt.2016.80
- 254. Fu J, Kanne DB, Leong M, Glickman LH, McWhirter SM, Lemmens E, et al. STING agonist formulated cancer vaccines can cure established tumors resistant to PD-1 blockade. *Sci Transl Med.* (2015) 7:283ra52. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa4306
- 255. Gonzalez-Gugel E, Saxena M, Bhardwaj N. Modulation of innate immunity in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2016) 65:1261–8. doi: 10.1007/s00262-016-1859-9
- Oleszycka E, Lavelle EC. Immunomodulatory properties of the vaccine adjuvant alum. Curr Opin Immunol. (2014) 28:1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2013.12.007
- 257. van Doorn E, Liu H, Huckriede A, Hak E. Safety and tolerability evaluation of the use of Montanide ISATM51 as vaccine adjuvant: a systematic review. *Hum Vaccin Immunotherapeut.* (2016) 12:159–69. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1071455
- Saxena M, Bhardwaj N. ScienceDirect Turbocharging vaccines: emerging adjuvants for dendritic cell based therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2017) 47:35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2017.06.003
- Yarchoan M, Johnson BA, Lutz ER, Laheru DA, Jaffee EM. Targeting neoantigens to augment antitumour immunity. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2017) 17:209–222. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2016.154
- 260. Ott PA, Hu Z, Keskin DB, Shukla SA, Sun J, Bozym DJ, et al. An immunogenic personal neoantigen vaccine for patients with melanoma. *Nature Publish. Group* (2017) 547:217–21. doi: 10.1038/nature22991
- 261. Kranz LM, Diken M, Haas H, Kreiter S, Loquai C, Reuter KC, et al. Systemic RNA delivery to dendritic cells exploits antiviral defence for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature* (2016) 534:1–16. doi: 10.1038/nature18300
- 262. Marzbani E, Inatsuka C, Lu H, Disis ML. The invisible arm of immunity in common cancer chemoprevention agents. *Cancer Prev Res.* (2013) 6:764–73. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-13-0036
- Fecek RJ, Storkus WJ. Combination strategies to enhance the potency of monocyte-derived dendritic cell-based cancer vaccines. *Immunotherapy* (2016) 8:1205–18. doi: 10.2217/imt-2016-0071
- 264. Ouyang M, White EE, Ren H, Guo Q, Zhang I, Gao H, et al. Metronomic doses of temozolomide enhance the efficacy of carbon nanotube CpG immunotherapy in an invasive glioma model. *PLoS ONE* (2016) 11:e0148139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148139
- 265. Noguchi M, Moriya F, Koga N, Matsueda S, Sasada T, Yamada A, et al. A randomized phase II clinical trial of personalized peptide vaccination with metronomic low-dose cyclophosphamide in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2016) 65:151-60. doi: 10.1007/s00262-015-1781-6
- 266. Tseng C-W, Hung C-F, Alvarez RD, Trimble C, Huh WK, Kim D, et al. Pretreatment with cisplatin enhances E7-specific CD8+ T-Cell-mediated antitumor immunity induced by DNA vaccination. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2008) 14:3185–92. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0037
- 267. Shen J, Wang L-F, Zou Z-Y, Kong W-W, Yan J, Meng F-Y, et al. Phase I clinical study of personalized peptide vaccination combined with radiotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2017) 23:5395– 404. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i29.5395
- 268. Gulley JL, Arlen PM, Bastian A, Morin S, Marte J, Beetham P, et al. Combining a recombinant cancer vaccine with standard definitive radiotherapy in patients with localized prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2005) 11:3353–62. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-2062
- 269. Brody JD, Ai WZ, Czerwinski DK, Torchia JA, Levy M, Advani RH, et al. In situ vaccination with a TLR9 agonist induces systemic

lymphoma regression: a phase I/II study. J Clin Oncol. (2010) 28:4324–32. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.9793

- 270. Inogés S, Tejada S, de Cerio AL-D, Gállego Pérez-Larraya J, Espinós J, Idoate MA, et al. A phase II trial of autologous dendritic cell vaccination and radiochemotherapy following fluorescence-guided surgery in newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients. J Transl Med. (2017) 15:104. doi: 10.1186/s12967-017-1202-z
- 271. Loi M, Desideri I, Greto D, Mangoni M, Sottili M, Meattini I, et al. Radiotherapy in the age of cancer immunology: Current concepts and future developments. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2017) 112:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.02.002
- 272. Raval RR, Sharabi AB, Walker AJ, Drake CG, Sharma P. Tumor immunology and cancer immunotherapy: summary of the 2013 SITC primer. J Immunother Cancer (2014) 2:14. doi: 10.1186/2051-1426-2-14
- 273. Son C-H, Bae J-H, Shin D-Y, Lee H-R, Choi Y-J, Jo W-S. CTLA-4 blockade enhances antitumor immunity of intratumoral injection of immature dendritic cells into irradiated tumor in a mouse colon cancer model. J Immunother (2014) 37:1–7. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0000000000 00007
- 274. Ribas A, Comin-Anduix B, Chmielowski B, Jalil J, la Rocha de P, McCannel TA, et al. Dendritic cell vaccination combined with CTLA4 blockade in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2009) 15:6267–76. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1254
- 275. Freeman GJ, Long AJ, Iwai Y, Bourque K, Chernova T, Nishimura H, et al. Engagement of the PD-1 immunoinhibitory receptor by a novel B7 family member leads to negative regulation of lymphocyte activation. J Exp Med. (2000) 192:1027–34. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.7.1027
- Latchman Y, Wood CR, Chernova T, Chaudhary D, Borde M, Chernova I, et al. PD-L2 is a second ligand for PD-1 and inhibits T cell activation. *Nat Immunol.* (2001) 2:261–8. doi: 10.1038/85330
- 277. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. *Trends Immunol.* (2013) 34:137–43. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2012.10.001
- Grohmann U, Fallarino F, Bianchi R, Orabona C, Vacca C, Fioretti MC, et al. A Defect in tryptophan catabolism impairs tolerance in nonobese diabetic mice. J Exp Med. (2003) 198:153–60. doi: 10.1084/jem.20030633
- Baban B, Chandler PR, Johnson BA, Huang L, Li M, Sharpe ML, et al. Physiologic Control of IDO Competence in Splenic Dendritic Cells. J Immunol. (2011) 187:2329–35. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1100276
- 280. Liu X, Shin N, Koblish HK, Yang G, Wang Q, Wang K, et al. Selective inhibition of IDO1 effectively regulates mediators of antitumor immunity. *Blood* (2010) 115:3520–30. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-09-246124
- 281. Lowe DB, Bose A, Taylor JL, Tawbi H, Lin Y, Kirkwood JM, et al. Dasatinib promotes the expansion of a therapeutically superior T-cell repertoire in response to dendritic cell vaccination against melanoma. *OncoImmunology* (2014) 3:e27589. doi: 10.4161/onci.27589
- Bronte V, Zanovello P. Regulation of immune responses by L-arginine metabolism. *Nature Rev Immunol.* (2005) 5:641–654. doi: 10.1038/nri1668

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Patente, Pinho, Oliveira, Evangelista, Bergami-Santos and Barbuto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.