



Proteomic Profiling Unravels a Key Role of Specific Macrophage Subtypes in Sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis

Andreas Roos^{1,2†}, Corinna Preusse^{3†}, Denisa Hathazi², Hans-Hilmar Goebel³ and Werner Stenzel^{3,4*}

¹ Department of Neuropediatrics, Developmental Neurology and Social Pediatrics, Centre for Neuromuscular Disorders in Children, University Children's Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany, ² Leibniz-Institut für Analytische Wissenschaften -ISAS- e.V., Dortmund, Germany, ³ Department of Neuropathology, Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany, ⁴ Leibniz Science Campus Chronic Inflammation, Berlin, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stefan Bittner, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

Reviewed by:

Janine Adele Lamb, University of Manchester, United Kingdom Ann Marie Reed, Duke University, United States

*Correspondence:

Werner Stenzel werner.stenzel@charite.de

[†]These authors share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Multiple Sclerosis and Neuroimmunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 30 December 2018 Accepted: 23 April 2019 Published: 09 May 2019

Citation:

Roos A, Preusse C, Hathazi D, Goebel H-H and Stenzel W (2019) Proteomic Profiling Unravels a Key Role of Specific Macrophage Subtypes in Sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis. Front. Immunol. 10:1040. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01040

Unbiased proteomic profiling was performed toward the identification of biological parameters relevant in sIBM, thus giving hints about the pathophysiological processes and the existence of new reliable markers. For that purpose, skeletal muscle biopsies from 13 sIBM and 7 non-diseased control patients were analyzed with various methods, including liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (four patients). Subsequent data analysis identified key molecules further studied in a larger cohort by qPCR, immunostaining, and immunofluorescence in situ. Proteomic signature of muscle biopsies derived from sIBM patients revealed the chaperone and cell surface marker CD74, the macrophage scavenger molecule CD163 and the transcription activator STAT1 to be among the highly and relevantly expressed proteins suggesting a significant contribution of immune cells among the myofibers expressing these markers. Moreover, in silico studies showed that 39% of upregulated proteins were involved in type I or mixed type I and type II interferon immunity. Indeed, further studies via immunohistochemistry clearly confirmed the prominent involvement of the key type I interferon signature-related molecules, ISG15 as well as IRF8 with MHC class II⁺ myofibers. Siglec1 colocalized with CD163⁺ macrophages and MHC class II molecules also co-localized with CD74 on macrophages. STAT1 co-localized with Siglec1⁺ macrophages in active myofibre myophagocytosis while STAT6 colocalized with endomysial macrophages. These combined results show involvement of CD74, CD163, and STAT1 as key molecules of macrophage activation being crucially involved in mixed and specific type I interferon, and interferon gamma associated-pathways in sIBM. On a more general note, these results also highlight the type of immune-interaction between macrophages and myofibers in the etiopathology of sIBM.

Keywords: CD74, SIGLEC1, CD163, STAT1, STAT6, type I interferon (IFN), muscle proteomics

1

INTRODUCTION

Inclusion body myositis is a chronic muscle-specific disease of adulthood leading to progressive and very characteristic hip flexor and quadriceps paresis, long finger flexor paresis, and swallowing difficulties (1-4). Muscle biopsy reveals a severe myopathic/dystrophic process with the characteristic complex inflammatory infiltrate composed of different types of T cells, macrophages and other mononuclear cells. Additional degenerative changes with the presence of amyloidogenic protein deposits, disturbed autophagy, and mitochondrial abnormalities are present. Clinical and muscle biopsy characteristics are the basis of a precise diagnosis of sIBM (1, 3, 5, 6). The autoantibody cN1A can be used as a marker of severity in sIBM, however, its role in the pathogenicity of this disease has not been fully elucidated (7-9). Despite the characteristic clinical picture and well-known biopsy findings, the pathogenesis of sIBM is still elusive and not fully understood. So far, therapeutic approaches have not been broadly successful, questioning the (auto)immune pathogenesis. Moreover, these therapeutic interventions present attempts to address the degenerative autophagic dysfunction (10).

Proteomics and subsequent specific data analysis of diseased tissue such as skeletal muscle can help to identify key pathogenic molecules or groups of molecules involved in certain processes, which may be of relevance in inflammatory or genetic diseases affecting muscle fiber integrity [exemplified in (11)].

In this study, we applied unbiased proteomic profiling and identified CD74, CD163, and STAT1 among the highly expressed proteins in muscle biopsies of sIBM patients. Notably, these proteins were found to localize to macrophages and partially to the sarcolemma of myofibers. Further analyses were performed in the larger context of associated immune responses in skeletal muscle tissue. These approaches revealed a specific and key role of the cellular interaction of specifically activated macrophages with myofibers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Clinical data of all IBM patients enrolled in this study are listed in **Table 1**. We included patients with clinical, and morphological signs and symptoms consistent with sIBM, according to present criteria (12), as well as sex- and age-matched patients defined as non-diseased controls (NDCs). We chose as controls subjects who had undergone a muscle biopsy, but who were found not to have any inflammatory muscle disease. They had suffered from non-specific complaints like myalgia, but objective muscle weakness and morphological abnormalities on skeletal muscle biopsy were absent. CK levels were normal and no signs of systemic inflammation and no myositis-specific antibodies (MSA) or myositis-associated antibodies (MAA) were detectable. sIBM patients had moderate illness (still ambulatory) and homogeneous muscle biopsy findings (not severely atrophic muscle bulk). Informed consent was obtained from all patients and the Charité ethics committee (EA2/163/17), had granted ethical approval.

Skeletal Muscle Specimens

In this study, we analyzed skeletal muscle biopsies derived from sIBM patients (clinically and morphologically definite sIBM) (12). Skeletal muscle biopsies from sIBM patients were used to produce proteomic results (four biopsies) and qualitative morphological characteristics *in situ* (whole cohort). In addition, four control muscle biopsies were included for the proteomic profiling and additional nine biopsies for subsequent immunohistochemical and *q*PCR studies. All skeletal muscle specimens were cryopreserved at -80° C prior to analysis.

Morphological Analysis

All stains were performed on $7 \mu m$ cryomicrotome sections, according to standard procedures. Immunohistochemical and double immunofluorescence reactions were carried out as described previously (13). The following antibodies were used for staining procedures:

Mouse anti-human CD163, 1:50, St. John's Lab/polyclonal; rabbit anti-human CD74, 1:100 St. John's Lab/polyclonal; mouse anti-human CD68, 1:100 Dako/EBM1; rabbit anti-human iNOS, ready-to-use, Genetex/polyclonal; rabbit anti-human ISG15, 1:100, abcam/polyclonal; MHCI, 1:1.000, Dako/W6/32; mouse anti-human MHC class II, 1:100, DAKO/ CR3/43; rat anti-human STAT1, 1:50, R&D Systems/246523; mouse anti-human STAT6, 1:50, R&D Systems/253906; mouse antihuman Siglec1, 1:100, Novus Biologicals/HSn7D2; IRF8, 1:100 Abcam/polyclonal.

Proteomics

Proteomic profiling of four sIBM-patient derived and four control quadriceps muscles was carried out as described previously (14).

In Silico Studies

Further *in silico* studies included "Proteomaps" (www. proteomaps.net), "Interferome" (www.interferome.org), "Cytoscape" (www.cytoscape.org) and "STRING" (www. string-db.org) and have been carried out to unravel functional connections and interdependences between the proteins vulnerable in sIBM with a special focus on such involved in the interferon-mediated immune response. All regulated proteins (24 down, 119 up-regulated) were used for the analyses.

"Proteomaps" enables us to obtain a picture of the quantitative composition of vulnerable pathways and cellular processes with a focus on individual protein functions controlling these pathways and processes. The visualization of affected pathways (and responsible proteins) is built automatically from the computerized proteome data and based on the "KEGG Pathways" gene classification. Hereby, individual proteins are shown as polygons and to emphasize the fold of regulation, polygon-sizes reflect fold of changes abundances. Functionally related proteins are arranged in proximity. This *in silico* tool has been applied



FIGURE 1 | into consideration (right figures). (C) Venn diagram-based categorization of proteins increased in sIBM-patient-derived muscle and modulated by the three different interferon types (detailed list of increased proteins controlled by interferons is provided in **Table 2**). (D) Cytoscape-based visualization of functional networks of upregulated proteins controlled by interferons. (E) STRING-based analysis of functional protein networks of CD74 (left figure), STAT1 (figure in the middle) and CD163 (right figure) toward the identification of further interferon-modulated and macrophage-expressed proteins with pathophysiological significance in sIBM.



showed a positive staining in sIBM patients' skeletal muscle biopsies, where CD74 (A), as well as CD163 (B) highlighted macrophages. STAT1 (C) was expressed in macrophages in active myophagocytosis, while it was not present at the sarcolemma of myofibers.

to proteins showing a statistically significant altered abundance and thus vulnerability in sIBM-diseased muscle. "Interferome" enables the reliable identification of individual interferonregulated genes or respective molecular signatures. Here, "Ensembl IDs" have been utilized to filter for interferon-regulated genes (or rather corresponding proteins) based on our proteomic findings. "Cytoscape" as an additional open source in silico tool enabling the visualization of molecular and functional proteinprotein interaction networks. Here, we applied "Cytoscape" to proteins modulated by the interferon-response (based on the results of our "Interferome"-based data analysis). "STRING" (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) represents an in silico tool enabling the delineation of (direct and functionally related) protein-protein interactions and thus allows to identify functional interdependences of proteins with altered abundances in diseased tissues such as sIBM muscle. Here, we applied "STRING" to decipher proteins interacting with CD74, STAT1, and CD63.

Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from muscle specimens using the technique described previously (13). Briefly, cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). For qPCR reactions, 2 ng of cDNA were used and for subsequent analysis, the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) was utilized with the following, running conditions: 95°C 0:20, 95°C 0:01, 60°C 0:20, 45 cycles (values above 40 cycles were defined as not expressed). All targeted transcripts were run as triplicates. For each of these runs, the reference gene *PGK1* has been included as internal control to normalize the relative expression of the targeted transcripts. The qPCR assay identification numbers, TaqMan[®] Gene Exp Assay from Life Technologies/ThermoFisher are listed as follows: STAT1 Hs01013989_m1, STAT6 Hs00598625_m1, PGK1 Hs99999906_m1. The Δ CT of non-diseased controls was subtracted from the Δ CT of sIBM patients muscles to determine the differences (Δ Δ CT) and fold change ($2^{\wedge} - \Delta\Delta$ CT) of gene expression. Gene expression was illustrated by the log10 of fold change values compared to NDCs.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of proteomic data has been carried out as described previously (14). Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni-Dunn correction of the *post hoc* tests was used to analyze quantitative differences of mRNA transcripts. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05. GraphPad Prism 5.02 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Proteomic Signature: CD74, CD163, and STAT1 Are Highly Expressed in Skeletal Muscle Biopsies of sIBM Patients

Since the precise pathogenesis of IBM is still unclear, we aimed to analyze the proteomic signature via label-free profiling as an unbiased approach to gather new relevant molecules that might play decisive roles in the disease pathogenesis. The proteomic analysis unraveled that CD74 (6.7-fold; log2-ratio), STAT1 (5-fold; log2-ratio), and CD163 (4.8-fold; log2-ratio) are among the highly expressed proteins in skeletal muscle specimens derived from sIBM patients (**Figure 1A**). *In silico* studies (proteomap: https://www.proteomaps.net/) of all proteins altered in abundance (out of 1375 quantified proteins, 24 are statistically significantly decreased and 119 are increased; vulnerability of 10.4% of the investigated proteome) in sIBM-patient derived skeletal muscles, revealed alteration

of biosynthesis, cellular composition, cytoskeleton and altered protein processing (folding, sorting, and degradation) along with vesicular transport. Moreover, the activation of the immune response is a predominating mechanism mirrored by an increase in specific proteins (Figure 1B). Remarkably, proteomap-based linking of altered cellular processes to key proteins (taking their fold of regulation into consideration) revealed that CD74 and STAT1 hereby seem to be major molecular "determinators" of modulation of the immune response. Prompted by this finding and by the fact that CD74, STAT1, and CD163 are well-known modulators of interferon-mediated processes (15-21), all up-regulated proteins (total of 119 proteins) were additionally analyzed in silico using the "interferome" platform (http://www.interferome.org). This resulted in the identification of a total 46 transcripts corresponding to the proteins up-regulated in the muscle of sIBM patients which are controlled by interferon-modulated processes (Figure 1C). Hereby 26 out of the 46 up-regulated transcripts are controlled by both types I and II interferon and four proteins by types I, II, and III. Five transcripts are controlled either by type 1 interferons while eleven are by type 2 interferons (Figure 1C). An additional analysis of the interferonpathway controlled proteins via the "cytoscape" platform (https:// cytoscape.org/) confirmed a functional interdependence of these proteins (Figure 1D), thus suggesting a functional relevance in sIBM-pathogenesis.

A further STRING analysis (https://string-db.org) toward the identification of functional protein-protein networks confirmed the functional interplay of the proteins encoded by these transcripts (**Figure 1D**), suggesting a fundamental role of interferon-modulated processes in the etiopathology of sIBM. An individual STRING analysis of CD74, STAT1, and CD163 confirmed a functional link of these immune-response proteins to a variety of interferon-induced proteins (**Figure 1E**).

Based on the dense networks of predicted functional protein-protein interplays, we evaluated the potential reaction partners and hence decided to focus on some key players for further studies. The selected key players are MIF-Macrophage migration inhibitory factor, involved in the interferon type response and showing a functional interplay with CD74 (Figure 1E) as well as ISG15, which was not upregulated in our analyses, but represents a well-known partner (22) for e.g., STATs, JAKs and IL-6, which are all found in our STRING analysis (Figure 1E). Both of these molecules showed an increased immunoreactivity within macrophages in sIBM-patient derived muscle biopsy specimens, thus supporting the concept of the involvement of different types of interferon-mediated downstream intracellular activation programs in macrophages. The concept of a particular role of macrophage-mediated interferon response in sIBM is not only further supported by increased abundance of Macrophage-capping protein (CAPG; identified via proteomic profiling; Table 2), but also by the identification of increased immunoreactivity of additional key players such as Siglec1 and CD68 within macrophages in sIBM muscle (see below), adding additional markers to the subsequent analyses.

 TABLE 1 | Summarized clinical information of all IBM patients included in the study.

Patient No.	Age	Sex	Duration of disease (years)	Muscle symptoms
sIBM1	72	Μ	?	Symmetric LL prox. and distal paresis CK 3-fold elevated
sIBM2	78	F	3	Prox. LL and paresis of finger flexors CK 2-fold elevated
sIBM3	64	М	2	Prox. tetraparesis and paresis of dista forearms, CK 2-fold elevated
sIBM4	68	F	3	Chronic progressive tetraparesis significant muscle atrophy
sIBM5	66	М	5	Prox. paresis LL, significant atrophy o vastus lateralis
sIBM6	75	F	?	Prox. paresis LL and distal paresis UL CK 6-fold elevated
sIBM7	64	М	?	Prox. paresis and distal paresis of fingers
sIBM8	75	Μ	2	Prox. progressive paresis LL and exercise induced pain, CK 3-fold elevated
sIBM9	66	М	?	Dysphagia, distal and proxima tetraparesis, CK 1.5-fold elevated
sIBM10	79	F	11	Prox. tetraparesis, progressive muscle atrophy
sIBM11	76	М	>2	Muscle pain, proximal weakness muscle atrophy, CK normal
sIBM12	72	F	7	Prox. tetraparesis, muscle atrophy
sIBM13	71	М	?	Prox. tetraparesis

Cellular Localization of CD74, CD163, and STAT1 in Skeletal Muscle Biopsies From sIBM Patients

To verify the *in silico* results and the respective increased expression of CD74, CD163, and STAT1 in sIBM muscle biopsies, we stained sections of muscle biopsy specimens in a larger cohort of sIBM patients (n = 13). In sIBM patients' skeletal muscle biopsies, CD74 mostly stained endomysial macrophages (**Figure 2A**). CD163 highlighted macrophages in the endomysium but was not positive on the sarcolemma of the myofibres (**Figure 2B**). STAT1 was expressed in macrophages in active myophagocytosis but not in the endo- and perimysium, independently of macrophages. STAT1 antibodies did not stain the sarcolemma of myofibers (**Figure 2C**). In summary and on a descriptive first approach, all three molecules are detectable on a variety of macrophages in the endomysium or in myophagocytosis.

Significance of CD74, CD163, and STAT1 to Immunological Processes in Skeletal Muscle of sIBM Patients

To expand these descriptive findings and to further implement functional association of the above-mentioned quantitatively and qualitatively highly relevant proteins, we analyzed

Proteomic Profiling in sIBM

additional proteins which are predicted to interact with those *in situ* and studied co-expression of several markers by immunofluorescence. CD74 stained some muscle fibers sarcolemmaly and co-localized with CD68⁺ macrophages (**Figure 3A**), as well as with sarcolemmal MHC class II immunoreactivity (**Figure 3B**). On the contrary, the macrophage migration inhibitory factor MIF did not co-stain with CD74 (**Figure 3C**). Furthermore, our immunostaining studies revealed that many CD163⁺ macrophages co-stained with Siglec1 (CD169) in the endomysium (**Figure 3D**).

In addition, Siglec1⁺ macrophages expressed the transcription factor STAT1 in active myophagocytic clusters (**Figure 4A**), while STAT6 was expressed endomysially (**Figure 4B**), demonstrating the involvement of different yet complementary immune mechanisms in the course of sIBM-associated muscle inflammation. Importantly, both markers were also significantly elevated on the transcript level in skeletal muscle biopsies of sIBM patients as compared to NDCs (**Figure 4E**), with gene expression of *STAT1* being elevated around 15-fold, and of *STAT6* around 4,5-fold. Of note, activated MHC class II⁺ macrophages strongly co-stained with key proteins of the type I interferon pathway such as IRG8 and ISG15 (**Figures 4C,D** and **Table 2**).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, data from unbiased proteomic analysis highlighted the presence of certain proteins playing decisive roles in immune response to be highly abundant in sIBM patients' skeletal muscle biopsies. *In silico* analyses and subsequent morphological studies in skeletal muscle revealed their key characteristics in pathways driving specific macrophage responses. Along this line, Siglec1⁺ CD163⁺ and Siglec1⁺ STAT1⁺ macrophages were identified. Furthermore, MHC class II⁺ macrophages co-expressed ISG15 and IRF8 in patient-derived muscles highlighting a tight bond between these activated macrophages and the type I and type II interferon responses. Additionally, MHC class II⁺ macrophages and the sarcoplasm of adjacent muscle fibers expressed CD74.

These findings have important implications for the current understanding of the role of specifically activated macrophages in the pathogenesis of sIBM. Several ways of addressing the activation of macrophages *in vivo* and *in vitro* by using targeted and unbiased approaches notoriously exist (13, 23–27). Flexible states of macrophage activation have been found to occur according to their vast duties in physiology and pathophysiology of different diseases, specifically in chronic inflammatory and fibrotic diseases (24, 28–31). In sIBM, the vast majority of studies focusing on the immune-system have addressed the adverse effects of systemic T cell function and dysfunction or T cell dysfunction within the muscle itself (1, 5, 32–38). In contrast, only few studies have had a broader approach in tackling additional aspects of the immune response (48, 49) or genetic factors influencing the pathogenesis such as

the SQSTM1 or VCP variants (39). Proteomic analysis has recently helped to decipher new and unexpected molecules involved in the pathophysiology of sIBM such as FYCO1 and its role in autophagy or the composition of protein aggregates in rimmed vacuoles (40). While the latter studies have focused on the elucidation of vacuole pathophysiology, in the present study we have used the whole protein extracts of skeletal muscle biopsies to obtain molecular information about the entire tissue, and thus, to gain a better and unbiased understanding of the etiology of the disease. This approach revealed that CD74, CD163 and STAT1, driving inflammatory responses, were at the forefront of the highly expressed proteins within the diseased skeletal muscle tissue. This finding accords with our hypothesis of a major role for molecules driving macrophage polarization since sIBM biopsies feature very strong immunity-related aspects over all with macrophages being by far the most abundant cell type in the lesion. Hence, we were able to characterize the immunity of these macrophages in more detail and found molecules identified by proteomic analysis and subsequently verified by immunohistochemistry in biopsies which are strongly related to type I/II interferon responses, specifically IRF8, ISG15, GBP1, GBP1P1, HLA-DOB, IFIT3, STAT1, TAP2. Since macrophages can adopt a great variety of functional states (24, 31, 41, 42), it was not surprising to identify a further important subgroup consisting of STAT1⁺Siglec1⁺ macrophages in active myophagocytosis. This type of macrophages is implicated in acute clearance of necrotic muscle fibers, a process which, despite the explicitly chronic character of the disease (active over decades), is a constant muscle biopsy feature. Of note, we also identified STAT6⁺Siglec1⁺ macrophages in the endomysium at distance of myophagocytic and necrotic fibers, highlighting that both downstream transcription factors may become activated in certain macrophages, which have then different duties and fates. A specific immune phenotype of Caucasian sIBM patients with HLA-A3 suggesting MHC class I activation has been described (43), MHC class II staining patterns were recently described for sIBM patients in comparison to dermatomyositis and anti-synthetase syndrome-associated myositis (44). This finding is in keeping with our proteomic identification of elevated CD74, as a protein regularly interacting with MHC class II molecules. Our findings also indicate that macrophages (which are mentioned as being the most abundant mononuclear inflammatory cells in skeletal muscle biopsies of sIBM patients) have been underestimated as cellular key players in the etiopathology of sIBM. The second relevant finding of this study, is the type I and type II interferon response identified both by proteomic profiling, subsequent in silico studies, and immunohistochemistry, expanding previous data where the interferon signature genes have been addressed by transcriptomics (45). Notably, the signatures of type I and type II Interferons, identified here, differ from the ones that have been described to be crucial in dermatomyositis (45-47). Herewith, the relevant role of macrophages as versatile multifunctional immune cells playing a decisive role in the etiopathology of sIBM was shown. These findings may define a molecular starting TABLE 2 | List of proteins altered in abundance and controlled by interferon-mediated processes (based on "Interferome" database).

Acces-sion #	Peptide count/unique peptides	Anova (p)	Description	Gene Symbol	Ensembl ID	Fold of reguination [log2]
UPREGULATED	PROTEINS					
Q99972	1/1	0.04	Myocilin	MYOC	ENSG0000034971	6.49
P15153	1/1	<0.0005	Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 2	RAC2	ENSG00000128340	5.21
P42224	9/9	0.01	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1-alpha/beta	STAT1	ENSG00000115415	4.99
Q14764	8/8	<0.0005	Major vault protein	MVP	ENSG0000013364	4.66
Q9UJU6	1/1	< 0.0005	Drebrin-like protein	DBNL	ENSG0000136279	4.60
P08754	1/1	0.01	Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(k) subunit alpha	GNAI3	ENSG0000065135	4.44
P33241	1/1	0.02	Lymphocyte-specific protein 1	LSP1	ENSG00000130592	4.29
Q03519	1/1	0.01	Antigen peptide transporter 2	TAP2	ENSG0000204267 ENSG00000250264	3.95
O95865	2/2	<0.0005	N(G),N(G)-dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydro-lase 2	DDAH2	ENSG00000213722	3.89
P32455	4/3	0.03	Interferon-induced guanylate-binding protein 1	GBP1	ENSG00000117228 ENSG00000225492	3.72
Q9UKY7	1/1	< 0.0005	Protein CDV3 homolog	CDV3	ENSG0000091527	3.65
O14879	1/1	0.03	Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3	IFIT3	ENSG00000119917	3.58
Q9UHD8	4/3	<0.0005	Septin-9	SEPT9	ENSG00000184640 ENSG00000261843	3.58
Q14203	3/3	< 0.0005	Dynactin subunit 1	DCTN1	ENSG00000204843	3.46
P49756	1/1	<0.0005	RNA-binding protein 25	RBM25	ENSG00000119707	3.32
Q9Y3B3	1/1	0.01	Transmembrane emp24 domain-containing protein 7	TMED7	ENSG00000134970	3.19
P78559	1/1	0.02	Microtubule-associated protein 1A	MAP1A	ENSG00000166963	3.16
Q14980	1/1	0.02	Nuclear mitotic apparatus protein 1	NUMA1	ENSG00000137497	3.12
P35580	9/4	0.04	Myosin-10	MYH10	ENSG00000133026	2.91
P23142	3/3	0.02	Fibulin-1	FBLN1	ENSG0000077942	2.87
Q13033	1/1	0.01	Striatin-3	STRN3	ENSG00000196792	2.79
075534	1/1	0.03	Cold shock domain-containing protein E1	CSDE1	ENSG0000009307	2.77
Q99536	4/4	<0.0005	Synaptic vesicle membrane protein VAT-1 homolog	VAT1	ENSG00000108828	2.77
Q9BSJ8	6/6	< 0.0005	Extended synaptotagmin-1	ESYT1	ENSG00000139641	2.76
P62070	1/1	<0.0005	Ras-related protein R-Ras2	RRAS2	ENSG00000133818	2.75
Q07065	2/2	0.01	Cytoskeleton-associated protein 4	CKAP4	ENSG00000136026	2.75
Q9Y696	4/4	0.01	Chloride intracellular channel protein 4	CLIC4	ENSG00000169504	2.75
O60240	9/8	0.05	Perilipin-1	PLIN1	ENSG00000166819	2.73
P32456	3/2	0.01	Interferon-induced guanylate-binding protein 2	GBP2	ENSG00000162645	2.72
Q15075	4/3	0.02	Early endosome antigen 1	EEA1	ENSG00000102189	2.72
P04275	5/5	<0.0005	von Willebrand factor	VWF	ENSG00000110799	2.71
P04083	9/8	<0.0005	Annexin A1	ANXA1	ENSG00000135046	2.70
P51911	2/2	0.01	Calponin-1	CNN1	ENSG00000130176	2.66
Q9Y4L1	2/2	0.04	Hypoxia up-regulated protein 1	HYOU1	ENSG00000149428	2.61
P09936	5/4	0.02	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase isozyme L1	UCHL1	ENSG00000154277	2.57
P16070	1/1	<0.0005	CD44 antigen	CD44	ENSG0000026508	2.52

(Continued)

Q8N335

TABLE 2 | Continued

8/7

0.01

Acces-sion #	Peptide count/unique peptides	Anova (p)	Description	Gene Symbol	Ensembl ID	Fold of regu- lation [log2]		
DOWNREGULAT	ED PROTEINS							
P02585	9/9	0.01	Troponin C, skeletal muscle	TNNC2	ENSG0000101470	-2.25		
P48788	12/12	0.01	Troponin I, fast skeletal muscle	TNNI2	ENSG00000130598	-2.21		
P45378	21/20	0.01	Troponin T, fast skeletal muscle	TNNT3	ENSG00000130595	-2.19		
P16219	5/5	0.02	Short-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial	ACADS	ENSG00000122971	-1.83		
P30711	3/2	0.01	Glutathione S-transferase theta-1	GSTT1	ENSG00000184674	-1.37		
Q12797	1/1	0.01	Aspartyl/asparaginyl beta-hydroxylase	ASPH	ENSG00000198363	-1.11		
P11217	55/42	0.01	Glycogen phosphorylase, muscle form	PYGM	ENSG0000068976	-1.10		

Glycerol-3-phosphate

dehydrogenase 1-like protein

GPD1L

ENSG00000152642



FIGURE 3 | Double immunflorescent staining reveal functional interactions in sIBM patients' muscle tissue. Co-staining of various proteins revealed that CD74 co-labels with CD68+ macrophages (A), and MHC class II (B), but not with the macrophage migration inhibitory factor MIF (C). In addition, CD163⁺ macrophages partially co-express Siglec1 (D).

point for future therapeutic approaches in sIBM utilizing JAK-STAT inhibitors.

SUMMARY

In summary, we demonstrate that unbiased proteomic profiling of skeletal muscle biopsies provides important insights into the molecular etiology of a disease and more precisely in the context of sIBM revealed proteins prominently involved in immunity and characterizing a pattern of macrophage activation. Application of immunohistochemical verification and analysis of these cells in the context of the type I and type II interferon signature *in situ* allowed the attribution of these proteins to specific functional states of

-1.10



macrophage activation. Hence, we show that several different types of macrophages are actively affecting the immune response in sIBM, via a prominent type I interferon signature among others.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of Charité ethics committee with written informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Charité ethics committee. No. EA2/163/17.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AR and CP: design of the study, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, as well as drafting the manuscript. DH: acquisition and analysis of data, as well as revising the manuscript. H-HG: design of the study and revising the manuscript. WS: design of the study, interpretation of data, as well as drafting the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Petra Matylewski for technical assistance. This work was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Muskelkranke (to AR). Moreover, financial support by the French Muscular Dystrophy Association (AFM-Téléthon; grant 21466 to AR) and the Ministerium für Innovation, Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes

REFERENCES

- Benveniste O, Stenzel W, Hilton-Jones D, Sandri M, Boyer O, Van Engelen BGM. Amyloid deposits and inflammatory infiltrates in sporadic inclusion body myositis: the inflammatory egg comes before the degenerative chicken. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2015) 611–24. doi: 10.1007/s00401-015-1384-5
- De Bleecker JL, Lundberg IE, De Visser M. 193rd ENMC international workshop pathology diagnosis of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies 30 November - 2 December 2012, Naarden, The Netherlands. *Neuromusc Dis.* (2013) 23:945–51. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2013.07.007
- Brady S, Squier W, Hilton-Jones D. Clinical assessment determines the diagnosis of inclusion body myositis independently of pathological features. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2013) 84:1240–6. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2013-305690
- Dimachkie MM. Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. J Neuroimmunol. (2011) 231:32–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2010.10.013
- Benveniste O, Guiguet M, Freebody J, Dubourg O, Squier W, Maisonobe T, et al. Long-term observational study of sporadic inclusion body myositis. *Brain*. (2011) 134:3176–84. doi: 10.1093/brain/awr213
- Lloyd TE, Mammen AL, Amato AA, Weiss MD, Needham M, Greenberg SA. Evaluation and construction of diagnostic criteria for inclusion body myositis. *Neurology*. (2014) 83:426–33. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.000000000000642
- Larman HB, Salajegheh M, Nazareno R, Lam T, Sauld J, Steen H, et al. Cytosolic 5[']-nucleotidase 1A autoimmunity in sporadic inclusion body myositis. *Ann Neurol.* (2013) 73:408–18. doi: 10.1002/ana.23840
- Pluk H, van Hoeve BJ, van Dooren SH, Stammen-Vogelzangs J, van der Heijden A, Schelhaas HJ, et al. Autoantibodies to cytosolic 5[']nucleotidase 1A in inclusion body myositis. *Ann Neurol.* (2013) 73:397–407. doi: 10.1002/ana.23822
- Goyal NA, Cash TM, Alam U, Enam S, Tierney P, Araujo N, et al. Seropositivity for NT5c1A antibody in sporadic inclusion body myositis predicts more severe motor, bulbar and respiratory involvement. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2016) 87:373–8. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2014-310008
- Benveniste O, Hogrel JY, Annoussamy M, Bachasson D, Rigolet A, Servais L, et al. Rapamycin vs. placebo for the treatment of inclusion body myositis: improvement of the 6 min walking distance, a functional scale, the FVC and muscle quantitative, M. R. I. *Arthr Rheumatol.* (2017) 58:375–6. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/key043
- González Coraspe JA, Weis J, Anderson ME, Münchberg U, Lorenz K, Buchkremer S, et al. Biochemical and pathological changes result from mutated caveolin-3 in muscle. *Skeletal Muscle.* (2018) 8:28. doi: 10.1186/s13395-018-0173-y
- Rose MR, ENMC IBM Working Group. 188th ENMC international workshop: inclusion body myositis, 2-4 December 2011, Naarden, The Netherlands. *Neuromuscul Disord*. (2013) 23:1044–55. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2013.08.007
- Preuße C, Allenbach Y, Hoffmann O, Goebel HH, Pehl D, Radke J, et al. Differential roles of hypoxia and innate immunity in juvenile and adult dermatomyositis. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* (2016) 4:45. doi: 10.1186/s40478-016-0308-5
- Ladislau L, Suárez-Calvet X, Toquet S, Landon-Cardinal O, Amelin D, Depp M, et al. JAK inhibitor improves type i interferon induced damage: proof of concept in dermatomyositis. *Brain.* (2018) 141:1609–21. doi: 10.1093/brain/awy105
- Moeller JB, Nielsen MJ, Reichhardt MP, Schlosser A, Sorensen GL, Nielsen O, et al. CD163-L1 is an endocytic macrophage protein strongly regulated by mediators in the inflammatory response. *J Immunol.* (2012) 188:2399–409. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1103150

Nordrhein-Westfalen, the Senatsverwaltung für Wirtschaft, Technologie und Forschung des Landes Berlin and the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung is gratefully acknowledged.

- van de Garde MD, Martinez FO, Melgert BN, Hylkema MN, Jonkers RE, Hamann J. Chronic Exposure to glucocorticoids shapes gene expression and modulates innate and adaptive activation pathways in macrophages with distinct changes in leukocyte attraction. *J Immunol.* (2014) 192:1196–208. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302138
- Gutiérrez-González A, Martínez-Moreno M, Samaniego R, Arellano-Sánchez N, Salinas-Muñoz L, Relloso M, et al. Evaluation of the potential therapeutic benefits of macrophage reprogramming in multiple myeloma. *Blood.* (2016) 128:2241–52. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-695395
- Court M, Petre G, Atifi MEL, Millet A. Proteomic signature reveals modulation of human macrophage polarization and functions under differing environmental oxygen conditions. *Mol Cell Proteom.* (2017) 16:2153–68. doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA117.000082
- Tanese K, Hashimoto Y, Berkova Z, Wang Y, Samaniego F, Lee JE, et al. Erratum: cell surface CD74-MIF interactions drive melanoma survival in response to interferon-γ. J Invest Dermatol. (2015) 135:2775–84. doi: 10.1038/jid.2015.204
- Chmielewski S, Olejnik A, Sikorski K, Pelisek J, Błaszczyk K, Aoqui C, et al. STAT1-Dependent signal integration between IFNγ and TLR4 in vascular cells reflect pro-atherogenic responses in human atherosclerosis. *PLoS ONE*. (2014) 9:e113318. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113318
- Buechler C, Ritter M, Orsó E, Langmann T, Klucken J, Schmitz G. Regulation of scavenger receptor CD163 expression in human monocytes and macrophages by pro- and antiinflammatory stimuli. *J Leukocyte Biol.* (2000) 67:97–103. doi: 10.1002/jlb.67.1.97
- 22. Chairatvit K, Wongnoppavich A, Choonate, S. Up-regulation of interferonstimulated gene15 and its conjugates by tumor necrosis factor- α via type I interferon-dependent and -independent pathways. *Mol Cell Biochem.* (2012) 368:195–201. doi: 10.1007/s11010-012-1360-5
- Pesce JT, Ramalingam TR, Mentink-Kane MM, Wilson MS, El Kasmi KC, Smith AM, et al. Arginase-1-expressing macrophages suppress Th2 cytokinedriven inflammation and fibrosis. *PLoS Pathogens*. (2009) 5:e1000371. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000371
- Ginhoux F, Schultze JL, Murray PJ, Ochando J, Biswas SK. New insights into the multidimensional concept of macrophage ontogeny, activation and function. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 17:34–40. doi: 10.1038/ni.3324
- Preuße C, Goebel HH, Held J, Wengert O, Scheibe F, Irlbacher K, et al. Immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy is characterized by a specific th1-m1 polarized immune profile. *Am J Pathol.* (2012) 181:2161–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.08.033
- Stenzel W, Preuße C, Allenbach Y, Pehl D, Junckerstorff R, Heppner FL, et al. Nuclear actin aggregation is a hallmark of anti-synthetase syndrome-induced dysimmune myopathy. *Neurology.* (2015) 84:1346–54. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000001422
- Schultze JL, Schmieder A, Goerdt S. Macrophage activation in human diseases. Sem Immunol. (2015) 27:249–56. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2015.07.003
- Villalta SA, Nguyen HX, Deng B, Gotoh T, Tidball JG. Shifts in macrophage phenotypes and macrophage competition for arginine metabolism affect the severity of muscle pathology in muscular dystrophy. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2009) 18:482–96. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddn376
- Tidball JG, Villalta SA. Regulatory interactions between muscle and the immune system during muscle regeneration. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* (2010) 298:R1173–87. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00735.2009
- Wynn TA. Fibrotic disease and the T(H)1/T(H)2 Paradigm. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2004) 4:583–94. doi: 10.1038/nri1412
- Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Obstacles and opportunities for understanding macrophage polarization. J Leukocyte Biol. (2011) 89:557–63. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0710409
- 32. Zajac AJ, Blattman JN, Murali-Krishna K, Sourdive DJ, Suresh M, Altman JD, et al. Viral immune evasion due to persistence of activated

T cells without effector function. J Exp Med. (1998) 188:2205–13. doi: 10.1084/jem.188.12.2205

- Crespo J, Sun H, Welling TH, Tian T, Zou W. T cell anergy, exhaustion, senescence and stemness in the tumor microenvironment. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2013) 25:214–21. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2011.01.002
- 34. Wherry EJ. T cell exhaustion. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 12:492–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.2035
- 35. Ivanidze J, Hoffmann R, Lochmüller H, Engel AG, Hohlfeld R, Dornmair K. inclusion body myositis: laser microdissection reveals differential up-regulation of IFN-γ signaling cascade in attacked versus nonattacked myofibers. Am J Pathol. (2011) 179:1347–59. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011. 05.055
- 36. Allenbach Y, Solly S, Grégoire S, Dubourg O, Salomon B, Butler-Browne G, et al. Role of regulatory T cells in a new mouse model of experimental autoimmune myositis. *Am J Pathol.* (2009) 174:989–98. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2009.080422
- Dalakas MC. Polymyoisits, dermatomyositis, and inclusion-body-myositis. N Engl J Med. (1991) 325:1487–98.
- Muth IE, Barthel K, Bähr M, Dalakas MC, Schmidt J. Proinflammatory cell stress in sporadic inclusion body myositis muscle: overexpression of alphabcrystallin is associated with amyloid precursor protein and accumulation of beta-amyloid. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2009) 80:1344–9. doi: 10.1136/ jnnp.2009.174276
- Gang Q, Bettencourt C, Machado PM, Brady S, Holton JL, Pittman AM, et al. Rare variants in SQSTM1 and VCP genes and risk of sporadic inclusion body myositis. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2016) 47:218.e1–218.e9. doi: 10.1016/ j.neurobiolaging.2016.07.024
- Güttsches AK, Brady S, Krause K, Maerkens A, Uszkoreit J, Eisenacher M, et al. Proteomics of rimmed vacuoles define new risk allele in inclusion body myositis. *Ann Neurol.* (2017) 81:227–39. doi: 10.1002/ ana.24847.
- Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol. (2011) 11:723–37. doi: 10.1038/ nri3073
- Schultze JL, Freeman T, Hume DA, Latz E. A transcriptional perspective on human macrophage biology. *Sem Immunol.* (2015) 27:44–50. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2015.02.001

- 43. Price P, Santoso L, Mastaglia F, Garlepp M, Kok CC, Allcock R, et al. Two major histocompatibility complex haplotypes influence susceptibility to sporadic inclusion body myositis: critical evaluation of an association with HLA-DR3. *Tissue Antigens*. (2014) 64:575–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0039.2004.00310.x
- 44. Rigolet M, Hou C, Amer YB, Aouizerate J, Periou B, Gherardi RK, et al. Distinct interferon signatures stratify inflammatory and dysimmune myopathies. *RMD Open.* (2019) 5:10–4. doi: 10.1136/ rmdopen-2018-000811
- Greenberg SA. Dermatomyositis and type 1 interferons. Curr Rheumatol Rep. (2011) 12:198–203. doi: 10.1007/s11926-010-0101-6.Dermatomyositis
- Suárez-Calvet X, Gallardo E, Nogales-Gadea G, Querol L, Navas M, Díaz-Manera J, et al. Altered RIG-I/DDX58-mediated innate immunity in dermatomyositis. J Pathol. (2014) 233:258–68. doi: 10.1002/path.4346
- Greenberg SA, Pinkus JL, Pinkus GS, Burleson T, Sanoudou D, Tawil R, et al. Interferon-alpha/beta-mediated innate immune mechanisms in dermatomyositis. *Ann Neurol.* (2005) 57:664–78. doi: 10.1002/ana.20464
- 48. De Bleecker JL, De Paepe B, Aronica E, de Visser M, Amato A, Benveniste O, et al. 205th ENMC international workshop: pathology diagnosis of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies part II 28-30 March 2014, Naarden, The Netherlands. *Neuromuscul Disord*. (2015) 25:268–72. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2014.12.001
- Paepe BD, Creus KK, De Bleecker JL. Role of cytokines and chemokines in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* (2009) 21:610–6. doi: 10.1097/BOR.0b013e3283317b31

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Roos, Preusse, Hathazi, Goebel and Stenzel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.