



The Remarkable Plasticity of Macrophages: A Chance to Fight Cancer

Nadège Bercovici^{1,2,3}, Marion V. Guérin^{1,2,3}, Alain Trautmann^{1,2,3} and Emmanuel Donnadieu^{1,2,3*}

¹ INSERM, U1016, Institut Cochin, Paris, France, ² CNRS, UMR8104, Paris, France, ³ Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

It is well established that tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) found in most advanced tumors have a pro-tumoral role. In this context, TAM limit the activity of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), and a number of mechanisms have been described including a trapping in the stroma, impeding TIL to reach malignant cells. Based on these results, a number of therapeutic approaches have been designed to deplete TAM. However, during tumor regression induced by immunotherapeutic treatments, recent studies revealed that TAM can switch from pro-tumoral to anti-tumoral and actively cooperate with TIL. Here, we will review the two faces of TAM in their interaction with TIL. We will summarize how they can inhibit T cell activities in growing tumors, and how they may also, together with T cells, successfully contribute to tumor eradication after an appropriate stimulation. Finally, we will discuss current promising therapies combining TAM reprogramming with T cell-based immunotherapy.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Maija Hollmén, University of Turku, Finland

Reviewed by:

Paola Allavena, Milan University, Italy Reno Debets, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands

*Correspondence: Emmanuel Donnadieu emmanuel.donnadieu@inserm.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

> Received: 18 March 2019 Accepted: 24 June 2019 Published: 12 July 2019

Citation:

Bercovici N, Guérin MV, Trautmann A and Donnadieu E (2019) The Remarkable Plasticity of Macrophages: A Chance to Fight Cancer. Front. Immunol. 10:1563. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01563 Keywords: cancer, macrophages, T cells, immunotherapy, migration, inflammation

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages are amongst the most versatile cells in the body. Resident macrophages are abundant in all tissues where, like microglia in the brain or Kuppfer cells in the liver, these "*pro-tissular macrophages*" contribute to optimize the functioning of the tissue in which they are, by maintaining it clean and preventing an unnecessary inflammation (1). Besides, following an appropriate stimulation, e.g., following an infection, macrophages may be key contributors to immune responses (2). They participate to a variety of functions, primarily as effector cells to eliminate the invading bodies but also to drive an acute inflammation, to promote the recruitment of other immune cells as well as to present antigens to T cells. The switch from the pro-tissular, anti-inflammatory state to the pro-immune, inflammatory one, may take place within a few minutes. This is what happens to subcapsular macrophages when they detect the arrival of pathogens in the lymph node subcapsular sinus (3). This switch may take hours or days, when it involves the recruitment of blood monocytes, followed by their appropriate differentiation in the tissue. Even though the distinction between *pro-tissular* and *pro-immune* macrophages shares similarities with the M2/M1 distinction, we favor the idea that the most important difference between these two macrophage subtypes is functional rather than phenotypic.

In advanced tumors, macrophages favor tumor growth and are associated with a bad outcome in most cancers. Therefore, tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) are usually considered as simply "pro-tumoral". This has not always been the case. In the 1990s, a potential role of macrophages

1

for cancer treatment has been a popular idea and this concept has begun to emerge. Indeed, in sensitized tumors, macrophages may be anti-tumoral, with the modulation of some gene expression (4).

We will summarize here some specific consequences of the functioning of macrophages in progressing tumors, in which their dominant role is pro-tumoral and immunosuppressive. In particular, we will focus on the mechanisms by which TAM limit TIL from reaching tumor cells. We will continue by considering how one can favor the switch of TAM to pro-immune cells exerting an anti-tumoral action. For these two TAM faces, our focus will be on positive or negative interactions between TAM and TIL, as summarized in the **Figure 1**.

TAM INHIBIT T CELL ACTIVITIES IN PROGRESSING TUMORS

TAM can promote tumor growth by a variety of mechanisms that include tumor cell proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and inhibition of T cell anti-tumoral activities. A considerable number of excellent reviews have been published on the various ways in which TAM contribute to tumor growth [for instance see (5)]. Yet, the mechanisms by which TAM negatively control T cells are not completely understood and we would like to focus on those related to intratumoral T cell migration.

TAM Impair T Cell Migration Within Tumors

Our team has recently shown that, in untreated progressing tumors, TAM have a detrimental impact on TIL ability to migrate within tumors and contact malignant cells (6). By using an experimental system based on thick slices made from fresh tumor biopsies combined with fluorescent imaging microscopy, we evidenced the presence of stable conjugates formed between TAM and CD3T cells in the stroma of human lung tumors as illustrated in the Figure 2A. If such interactions do not result in T cell activation, macrophages could contribute to sequestering lymphocytes away from tumor cells (6). Remarkably, in mouse mammary tumor models we found that the depletion of TAM with pexidartinib, an inhibitor of the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R), increased the motility of TIL and their ability to reach tumor cells. This is consistent with data obtained in a mouse model of pancreatic carcinoma but with a CD8T cell-macrophage trapping process that occurs outside the tumor (7). Whether a similar mechanism also affects CD4T cells is unknown for the moment. In murine lymph nodes, macrophages were shown to sequester $\gamma\delta T$ cells unable to recirculate in the blood (8).

Altogether, these data suggest that TAM participate to the exclusion of TIL from the vicinity of cancer cells which

is considered to be a major hurdle for T cell-based antitumor immunotherapy.

Mechanisms Underlying Blockade of T Cell Migration by TAM

The mechanisms by which TAM prevent CD8 T cells from reaching tumor cells is not known at the moment. We favor an adhesion process between both cell types triggered by an antigen recognition which by itself is insufficient to trigger full T cell activation. This would be in line with data showing antigen-dependent interactions between CD8 T cells and myeloid cells in a spontaneous mammary carcinoma murine model (9). However, the nature of the adhesion molecules involved in such cell-cell conjugates needs to be further investigated.

An effect of TAM on environmental factors controlling the motility of T cells cannot be ruled out. Studies performed over the last few years have provided evidence for a role of the structure of the tissue and the presence of chemokines in regulating the migration of T cells (10). By tracking T cells in fresh human lung tumor slices, we reported an important role of chemokines produced by tumor cells in the ability of T cells to infiltrate tumor islets (11). Such chemokines contribute to a low grade chronic inflammation. In mice harboring mammary tumors, we found that the depletion of TAM resulted in more inflammatory chemokines, such as CCL2 and CXCL10, which are likely to enhance the entry of T cells into the tumor and their intratumoral migration (6). The reason of an enhanced production of chemokines upon TAM depletion is not known for the moment. One possibility is that TAM could participate to the degradation and/or inactivation (e.g., nitration) of inflammatory chemokines, a process reported to occur in murine tumors (12).

A hallmark of advanced tumors is the development of a fibrosis characterized by an excessive accumulation of collagen I, likely to favor tumor progression and prevent antitumor T cell functions by limiting lymphocytes from migrating and contacting tumor cells, as we have previously demonstrated (13). Thus, a dense extracellular matrix (ECM) made by activated carcinoma-associated fibroblasts (CAF) might be responsible for the excluded T cell profile observed in various human carcinomas. The cells and elements that are susceptible to enhance collagen I production by CAF include macrophages. In many physiological situations like breast development, macrophages actively participate to the construction of the tissue (14, 15). In addition, the number of pro-tissular macrophages parallels the amount of tissue fibrosis in many human tumors. For example in colorectal tumors and in melanoma, a mesenchymal signature, associated with a bad outcomes and resistance of PD-1 therapy, are characterized by genes involved in extracellular matrix remodeling, angiogenesis, wound healing and TAM suggesting that pro-tissular macrophages and CAF are part of a similar environment (16-18). Evidence obtained in mouse models of colon cancer and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma indicates a role of TAM in ECM production within the tumor suggesting that TAM could indirectly inhibit

Abbreviations: CAF, carcinoma-associated fibroblasts; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; CSF1R, colony stimulating factor 1 receptor; ECM, extracellular matrix; HDAC, histone deacetylase; IFN, interferon; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; STING, stimulator of interferon genes; TAM, tumor-associated macrophages; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; TGF β , transforming growth factor beta.



FIGURE 1 | Characteristics of TAM in tumors. In advanced tumors, TAM are pro-tissular and promote tumor growth by several ways including by trapping TIL in the stroma. However, under appropriate activation, TAM positively cooperate with TIL to induce tumor regression. The pro-tissular and pro-immune TAM subtypes are present in two different environments depicted in the figure. Pro-tissular TAM reside in a mesenchymal environment enriched in a dense ECM network and TGFβ. Conversely, pro-immune TAM are distributed in an inflammatory milieu enriched in type I IFN and T-cell chemoattractants.



T cell migration through the construction of a dense stroma (19, 20). TAM can fine-tune fibrosis by depositing and/or remodeling the ECM (20) but indirect effects through cross-talks with

CAF are also envisioned (**Figure 1**). In that context, a recent study demonstrates that TAM activate CAF to produce excessive amount of the ECM, excluding T cells from tumor cells, through

the secretion of granulin, a growth factor belonging to the epithelin family (21).

Macrophage-Depletion Strategies May Potentiate Anti-tumor T-Cell Therapies

The aforementioned studies demonstrating a negative impact of TAM on T cells fostered the development of strategies combining pro-tissular macrophage-depletion with approaches that boost T cells. In preclinical mouse tumor models, the depletion of TAM has been combined with T cell-based therapies, both anti-PD-1 and adoptive T cell transfer, which results in enhanced efficacy of the immunotherapy treatment (22–26). For example, we have shown that a macrophage-depletion strategy through CSF1R inhibition, which by itself has a minor effect on the tumor growth, also improved the efficacy of an anti-PD-1 treatment (6).

Based on these results, several therapeutic applications to impair TAM recruitment or survival are either entering or have entered clinical trials (27). CSF1R inhibitors are currently being tested, the most advanced being the smallmolecule Pexidartinib (28). However, CSF1R inhibitors have shown very limited antitumor effects in patients as single agents, suggesting the need to combine these inhibitors with other approaches, including immune checkpoint inhibitors. Such combination strategies are ongoing in a number of solid malignancies (NCT02452424, NCT02713529). Macrophages also use the CCL2/CCR2 axis to enter into tumors. Thus, anti-CCR2 approaches are being developed to reduce the number of immunosuppressive macrophages into solid malignancies (29). In addition, chemotherapeutic agents (e.g., gemcitabine, cyclophosphamide, trabectedin), although not specific to TAM, have been shown to deplete myeloid cells (30-32).

TOWARD STRATEGIES FAVORING AN ANTI-TUMORAL ROLE OF TAM

There is increasing evidence that an appropriate activation of macrophages, rather than their depletion, would drastically potentiate an anti-tumor immune response. Macrophages appropriately stimulated by TLR ligands or after abundant cell death, be it induced by radiotherapy, chemotherapy or other means, are key players of an acute inflammation, with numerous consequences. First, inflammatory macrophages release chemokines, leading to the recruitment of innate immune cells and T cells (33). Another major feature of acute inflammation is the activation of the tumor vasculature which controls T cell extravasation (34, 35). In addition, activated macrophages can attack and reduce the density of the intratumoral ECM (36), thus facilitating TIL mobility in the tumor microenvironment. Finally, macrophages are the most abundant cells in tumors, after tumor cells themselves, which constitute a major asset to propagate de novo inflammatory process in the tumor microenvironment. A careful analysis of the various TAM subsets infiltrating human tumors revealed in addition that a high density of anti-tumoral TAM correlated with a favorable prognosis (37).

Historically, various clinical trials were initiated, for instance with the injection of activated macrophages (38) or cytokines and microbial derived molecules (39–43) aiming at activating macrophages directly *in vivo*. Anti-tumoral effects of such macrophage activators have been reported, but such approaches have been globally disappointing. The conclusion to be drawn from this scientific period is that targeting only macrophages cannot induce a systematic tumor regression.

These provisional failures and the very fast increase of T cell knowledge led, in the following years, to an almost complete oversight of anti-tumoral capabilities of macrophages. However, recent advances in myeloid cell biology are putting macrophages back into play, as we will discuss.

Inhibition of Pro-tumoral TAM Orientation

The inhibition of molecules that contribute to a pro-tumoral TAM orientation represents an interesting strategy. Here we will focus on TGF β , PI3K γ , and some HDAC (histone deacetylases) which will nicely illustrate our point.

TGFβ Inhibition

The major effect of TGFB, in large tumors in particular, is to resolve inflammation, to facilitate wound healing and to contribute to immunosuppression. TGFβ does it in various ways. In tumors, TAM are both a source and a target of TGFB, which is involved in a positive feed-back loop for stabilizing the pro-tumoral TAM phenotype. In parallel, TGF^β promotes the activation of fibroblasts while it inhibits the expression of several molecules necessary for the cytotoxic activity of T cells. Therefore, this molecule appeared to be a central mediator in the TAM/CAF/TIL axis. In the literature on erythropoiesis or on fibrotic diseases, one can find that an anti-TGFB treatment could be done, in principle, by reducing the concentration of circulating TGF^β with anti-TGF^β antibodies or with TGF^β ligand traps (44), or with pharmacological blockers of TGF β signaling, such as SB431542 (45). Importantly for the activity of anti-tumor T cells, the combination of TGF^β blockade and anti-PDL1 has been shown to decrease the activity of fibroblasts and the density of ECM relieving the exclusion of T cells from malignant cells (46, 47). Another major effect of TGF β is the inhibition of IFN β signaling as we have recently shown in murine spontaneous tumors (48). Type I IFN are central in the initiation of T-cell responses and this finding could be of major importance for combined anti-tumor treatments, in which an anti-TGFB would not be active on its own, but only for amplifying the potency of T cells. An anti-TGFB treatment could modify the TAM phenotype and sensitivity to STING agonist within 3 days in this spontaneous tumor model (48).

Class II HDAC Inhibition

Class IIa HDAC, which includes HDAC4, act quite differently from other HDAC. A specific Class IIa HDAC inhibitor, which appears to have no effect on T cells, is able to induce an inflammatory state by promoting the infiltration of phagocytic and immunostimulatory CD40⁺ TAM, resulting in an antitumoral action (49). Thus, specific inhibitors of class IIa HDAC might prove to be of great interest in combined anti-tumoral therapies. Note that, in different instances, TGF β effects appear to be mediated by HDAC4 (48, 50, 51). Thus, the two molecules may use common pathways to maintain an immunosuppressive, anti-inflammatory macrophage activity.

PI3Ky Inhibition

PI3K γ is a PI3K activated by chemokine receptors (52). In myeloid cells, PI3K γ is important both for the recruitment of monocytes/macrophages and neutrophils (53), and for the resolution of inflammation, in particular with macrophages phagocyting apoptotic neutrophils. In macrophages, the dominant role of PI3K γ is the resolution of inflammation and immunosuppression (54). Under these conditions, specific inhibitors of PI3K γ (55, 56) could obviously be of major interest in combined anti-tumoral therapies.

Note that a recent study has evidenced the role of the scavenger receptor clever-1 in TAM pro-tumoral activities. In mouse tumor models, clever-1 blockade leads to macrophage repolarization that become immunostimulatory enhancing T cell responses against tumors (57). A phase I clinical trial with a blocking anti-clever-1 antibody is currently ongoing in various solid tumors (NCT03733990).

Activation of Anti-tumoral TAM Activities

We would like to shed light on two main pathways promoting an anti-tumoral TAM activity. One involves the CD40 pathway, the other one the induction of type I IFN.

The CD40L-CD40 pathway may be activated by CD40 agonists or with cells that express CD40L as it is the case with activated TIL or NKT (58). In a mouse model of pancreatic cancer, the density of the ECM was shown to be reduced after an anti-CD40 agonist treatment, through the activation of matrix metalloproteinases production by TAM, which may facilitate the motility of T cells (59). Further work from the same group indicates that a CD40 agonist triggers the release of IFN γ and CCL2 responsible for both the recruitment of monocytes/macrophages into the tumor and their polarization toward ECM-degrading cells (60).

Even if anti-CD40 antibodies may not only target TAM and DCs, but also other CD40-expressing cells such as endothelial cells, in combination with gemcitabine, CD40 agonists have already been shown to induce clinical responses in patients with surgically incurable pancreatic cancer (59). This CD40-dependent TAM activation is more efficient when combined with T cell activation (61), or with TLR9 stimulation (62).

Type I IFN has also been shown to enhance anti-tumor activities of myeloid cells. The release of IFN α/β in tumors can be achieved by irradiation (63), some chemotherapeutic agents (64) but more efficiently by a direct activation of the STING (Stimulator of Interferon Genes) molecule. TLR ligands, such as CpG, may also result in the production of IFN α/β by TAM. We have recently shown that this type I IFN contribution to anti-tumoral treatments may be strongly inhibited by TGF β that accumulates abundantly in spontaneous tumors (48). TGF β inhibition may therefore be an important element of an efficient combined treatment stimulating anti-tumor activity of TAM. Overall, the balance toward anti-tumor activity of TAM maybe switched ON if one aims at inhibiting their pro-tissular activity while favoring their pro-immune activity. Various clinical trials are ongoing with such macrophages targeting agents (65).

The duration of an increased anti-tumoral activity of TAM is a question that warrants further investigations. Recruited macrophages with high cytotoxic and phagocytic activities were found to accumulate between 4 and 8 days following treatments (30, 33, 66). Thereafter, factors of the tumor microenvironment, such as VEGF, have been shown to influence the reconstitution of the TAM compartment (30), and to promote tumor outgrowth. The persistence of anti-tumor activity of macrophages may also depend on their interactions with anti-tumor T cells as will be discussed below.

ACTIVATED TAM COOPERATE WITH TIL FOR A GLOBAL ANTI-TUMORAL ACTIVITY

An increasing number of reports lead to the conclusion that T cells and TAM can cooperate to fight tumors. We have shown that activated TAM were necessary to reject transplanted tumors after therapeutic vaccination (66) or STING /type I IFN activation (33). Importantly, STING exerts an anti-tumoral activity involving both TAM and T cells (33, 48) with a key role exerted by IFN α/β production by TAM. In such an acute inflammatory context, the depletion of TAM drastically reduced the production of T-cell chemoattractants and the accumulation of CD8T cells in tumors. Thus, TAM can either favor or prevent intra-tumoral T cell infiltration, depending on whether an inflammatory/immune response has been triggered or not. In addition, a positive feed-back may be observed, with T cells amplifying the activity of immunostimulatory TAM (66). This demonstrates that TAM and anti-tumoral CD8 TIL can work in synergy to reject tumors following an appropriate stimulation.

As a matter of fact, TAM-T cells positive interactions have been observed in various settings, but were rarely put at the forefront. Such positive interactions have been reported after intratumoral injection of TLR3 or TLR9 agonists (67, 68), after the use of checkpoints blockers anti-PD1/anti-CTLA4 (69), or after the adoptive transfer of tumor-infiltrating T cells (70) as well as chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells (71). **Table 1** summarizes clinical trials in which a combination of drugs targeting TAM and TIL has been evaluated.

Consequences of TAM-T Cell Cooperation

After facilitating the entry of T cells in sensitized tumors, TAM can interact closely with T cells as illustrated in **Figure 2B** and present tumor antigens, and thus reactivate them (72). The importance of such a reactivation may be illustrated by the fact that MHC class I expression on tumor infiltrating myeloid cells is strikingly crucial for the rejection of B16 tumor cells by adoptively transferred tumor-specific CD8 T cells (73).

Thus, TAM may help T cells, but reciprocally, T cells can contribute to macrophage activation, and the release of IFN γ

TABLE 1 | Ongoing clinical trials targeting TAM and TIL in solid tumors.

	Macrophage/TIL targets	Clinical trial number	Investigators	Indications	Study design	Immune response evaluation	Phase
Depletion of pro-tumoral TAM	Anti- CCR2/CCR5/anti-PD1	NCT03184870	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Solid tumors	aCCR2/CCR5 vs. aCCR2/CCR5 + aPD1 vs. aCCR2/CCR5+ chemotherapies	Decrease in regulatory T cells & tumor-associated macrophages	I
	Anti-CFS1R/anti-PD1	NCT02526017	Five Prime Therapeutics, Inc.	Solid tumors	aCSF1R + aPD1 vs. aCSF1R alone	Changes in macrophage and T-cell levels/Changes in gene expression in peripheral T-cell and other leukocyte phenotypes, and levels of peripheral myeloid-derived suppressor cells	I
	Anti-CFS1R/anti- PDL1	NCT03238027	Syndax Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Solid tumors	aCSF1R alone vs. aCSF1R + aPDL1	Inflammatory cytokines/TIL expansion	Ι
	Anti-CSF1R/anti- PDL1	NCT02323191	Hoffmann-La Roche	Solid tumors	aCSF1R + aPDL1	TAM depletion	+
Inhibition of pro-tumoral TAM activity	Anti-CTLA-4, Anti-PDL1/OX40L Ig	NTC02705482	MedImmune LLC	Advanced solid tumors	OX40L Ig + aPDL1 vs. OX40L Ig + aCTLA4	TIL expansion	I
	Anti-PDL1/OX40L Ig	NTC02221960	MedImmune LLC	Recurrent or Metastatic Solid Tumors	OX40Llg alone vs. OX40L lg + aPDL1	Biomarkers activity on TIL	I
	PD1-Fc-OX40L	NTC03894618	Shattuck Labs	Solid tumors and lymphomas	1 or 2 inejctions i.t		I
	TGFbRI inhibitor/anti-PDL1	NCT02937272	Eli Lilly and Company	Solid tumors	TGFbRI inh orally alone vs. TGFbRI inh orally + anti-PDL1 i.v		I
	TGFb inhibitor/anti-PD1	NCT02423343	Eli Lilly and Company	Solid tumors (NLSC/HCC)	TGFB inh orally + anti-PD1 i.v		+
Activation of anti-tumoral TAM activity	TLR7, 8 agonist/anti-PDL1	NTC02556463	MedImmune LLC	Solid tumors	aTLR7/8 alone vs. aTLR7/8 + aPDL1	TIL expansion/Inflammatory cytokine levels	I
	TRL9 agonist/OX40 agonist	NCT03831295	Stanford Cancer Institute Palo Alto	Solid neoplasms	TLR9 agonist x3 i.t + OX40 agonist x2 i.v and x3 i.t vs. TLR9 agonist x3 i.t + OX40 agonist x3 i.v and x3 i.t		I
	TLR4 agonist/anti-PD1, ICOS agonist, OX40 agonist	NCT03447314	GlaxoSmithKline	Neoplasms	OX40 + TLR4 agonists vs. ICOS + TLR4 agonists vs. aPD1 + TRL4 agonists vs. OX40 + ICOS + TLR4 agonists		I
	STING agonist/anti-PD1	NCT03172936	Novartis Pharmaceuticals	Solid tumors and lymphomas	One vs. 3 doses of STING agonist (i.t) + 1 injection of anti-PD1 (i.v)	Cytokines, TIL expansion in targeted and non-targeted lesions	I
	STING agonist/anti-CTLA4	NCT02675439	Novartis Pharmaceuticals	Solid tumors and lymphomas	3 injections of STING agonist (i.t) vs. 2 injections of STING agonist (i.t) + 1 injection of aCTLA4	Measurement of CD8-TIL counts/RNA expression analysis of IFN gamma and immunomodulatory genes	I
	CD40 agonist/anti-PDL1	NCT02304393	Hoffmann-La Roche	Advanced/ metastatic solid tumors	1 dose of CD40 agonist i.v $+$ aPDL1 vs. 1 dose of CD40 agonist s.c $+$ aPDL1	TIL expansion, PDL1 expression on tumor and immune infiltrating cells	I
	anti-CD47, IFN-α2/anti-PD1, anti-PDL1	NCT02890368	Trillium Therapeutics Inc.	Solid tumors	aCD47 Monotherapy/aCD47 + PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitor/aCD47 + pegylated IFN-α2/aCD47 + T-Vec/aCD47 + radiation	Anti-tumor activity	I
	GMCSF/iNeo-Vac- P01 (peptides)	NCT03662815	Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital	Solid tumors	iNeo-Vac-P01 (peptides)+ GM-CSF x7 doses	IFN-gamma measurement/CD4 and CD8 T cells subsets	Ι
	Ad-IFN _Y /TIL adoptive transfer	NCT01082887	Nantes University Hospital	Metastatic melanoma	2 injections of Ad-IFN γ (i.t) +2 injections of TIL (i.v)		I+II

seems determinant in this process (66). For instance, the antitumor potency of some adoptively transferred T cells was shown to rely on the IFN γ -dependent activation of TAM (74).

Another consequence of such a TAM repolarization is the acquisition of effector functions by these activated cells. Indeed, appropriately activated TAM can phagocyte and engulf tumor cells (75, 76). Additionally, they can kill tumor cells, as shown by several groups (67, 68), including ours (66). TAM, endowed with cytotoxic and cytostatic activities, can kill malignant cells by TNF α secretion (66, 77), NO (74) and sometimes on TRAIL (78).

CONCLUSION

The common point of view that TAM are pro-tumoral cells is only correct in one specific situation: that of growing tumors. We have recalled that TAM could play such a role in different ways, including by trapping T cells in the tumor stroma and by reducing their mobility and therefore their capacity to reach cancer cells.

However, we have also discussed that TAM, when appropriately stimulated, have the capacity to cooperate with T cells for an anti-tumoral action. Despite the well-established importance of such a cooperation in anti-infectious immune responses, its importance in anti-tumoral responses has been too often neglected. First, 30 years ago, it has been neglected by those who attempted to treat cancer by only stimulating the innate immune system. More recently, the importance of the TAM-T cell cooperation has again been ignored by those who considered that, for anti-tumoral immune responses, T cells were the good guys and macrophages the bad ones.

We have shown here that an efficient strategy should aim at stimulating both T cells and TAM so as to promote their cooperation. This cooperation is not just about a help provided by TAM to T cells: *the two cell types may be both helpers*

REFERENCES

- Wynn TA, Chawla A, Pollard JW. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature*. (2013) 496:445–55. doi: 10.1038/nature12034
- Biswas SK, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and interaction with lymphocyte subsets: cancer as a paradigm. *Nat Immunol.* (2010) 11:889–96. doi: 10.1038/ni.1937
- Sagoo P, Garcia Z, Breart B, Lemaitre F, Michonneau D, Albert ML, et al. *In vivo* imaging of inflammasome activation reveals a subcapsular macrophage burst response that mobilizes innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat Med.* (2016) 22:64–71. doi: 10.1038/nm.4016
- Guerriero JL. Macrophages: the road less traveled, changing anticancer therapy. *Trends Mol Med.* (2018) 24:472–89. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2018.03.006
- DeNardo DG, Ruffell B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol. (2019) 19:369–82. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0127-6
- Peranzoni E, Lemoine J, Vimeux L, Feuillet V, Barrin S, Kantari-Mimoun C, et al. Macrophages impede CD8T cells from reaching tumor cells and limit the efficacy of anti-PD-1 treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2018) 115:E4041–E50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720948115
- 7. Beatty GL, Winograd R, Evans RA, Long KB, Luque SL, Lee JW, et al. Exclusion of T cells from pancreatic carcinomas in mice

for the other, and final effectors against the tumor. Treatments aiming at stimulating only one cell type (only T cells or only macrophages) should be systematically replaced by well-thought combined treatments for stimulating both of them. In particular, *T cell-focused treatments* with checkpoint inhibitors or CAR T cells would greatly benefit being combined with activators of proimmune TAM activities such as CD40 agonists, STING activators or otherof IFN α inducers, and with *inhibitors of pro-tissular TAM functions*, i.e., inhibitors of TGF β or class IIa HDAC. The only caveat we would put on it is the potential immune-related adverse effects that may follow efficient tumor rejection. But taking into account that such triple combinations have the greatest chances to promote efficient anti-tumoral therapies would be a fundamental step forward.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ED, AT, and NB conceived the article, wrote the first version of the manuscript, and integrated inputs from MG. MG designed the **Table 1** with the contribution of NB and the **Figure 1** with the contribution of AT. ED and AT designed the **Figure 2**. All authors approved the final version of the article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was granted by Worldwide Cancer Research, the Plan Cancer (Tumor Heterogeneity and Ecosystem Program), the Comité de Paris de La Ligue Contre le Cancer, the Cancer Research for Personalized Medicine (CARPEM), CNRS, INSERM, and University Paris-Descartes. MG was granted by the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche. We are grateful to E. Peranzoni and IMAG'IC facility of the Cochin Institute for the **Figure 2**.

is regulated by Ly6C(low) F4/80(+) extratumoral macrophages. Gastroenterology. (2015) 149:201–10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015. 04.010

- Audemard-Verger A, Riviere M, Durand A, Peranzoni E, Guichard V, Hamon P, et al. Macrophages induce long-term trapping of gammadelta T cells with innate-like properties within secondary lymphoid organs in the steady state. J Immunol. (2017) 199:1998–2007. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700430
- Engelhardt JJ, Boldajipour B, Beemiller P, Pandurangi P, Sorensen C, Werb Z, et al. Marginating dendritic cells of the tumor microenvironment crosspresent tumor antigens and stably engage tumor-specific T cells. *Cancer Cell.* (2012) 21:402–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.01.008
- Krummel MF, Bartumeus F, Gerard A. T cell migration, search strategies and mechanisms. Nat Rev Immunol. (2016) 16:193–201. doi: 10.1038/nri.2015.16
- Salmon H, Franciszkiewicz K, Damotte D, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Validire P, Trautmann A, et al. Matrix architecture defines the preferential localization and migration of T cells into the stroma of human lung tumors. J Clin Investigat. (2012) 122:899–910. doi: 10.1172/JCI45817
- Molon B, Ugel S, Del Pozzo F, Soldani C, Zilio S, Avella D, et al. Chemokine nitration prevents intratumoral infiltration of antigen-specific T cells. J Exp Med. (2011) 208:1949–62. doi: 10.1084/jem.20101956
- Peranzoni E, Rivas-Caicedo A, Bougherara H, Salmon H, Donnadieu E. Positive and negative influence of the matrix architecture on antitumor immune surveillance. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2013) 70:4431–48. doi: 10.1007/s00018-013-1339-8

- Ingman WV, Wyckoff J, Gouon-Evans V, Condeelis J, Pollard JW. Macrophages promote collagen fibrillogenesis around terminal end buds of the developing mammary gland. *Dev Dyn.* (2006) 235:3222–9. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20972
- Wynn TA, Vannella KM. Macrophages in tissue repair, regeneration, and fibrosis. *Immunity*. (2016) 44:450–62. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.015
- Becht E, de Reynies A, Giraldo NA, Pilati C, Buttard B, Lacroix L, et al. Immune and stromal classification of colorectal cancer is associated with molecular subtypes and relevant for precision immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2016) 22:4057–66. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2879
- Calon A, Lonardo E, Berenguer-Llergo A, Espinet E, Hernando-Momblona X, Iglesias M, et al. Stromal gene expression defines poor-prognosis subtypes in colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:320–9. doi: 10.1038/ng.3225
- Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun L, Song C, Moreno BH, Hu-Lieskovan S, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. *Cell*. (2016) 165:35–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.065
- Afik R, Zigmond E, Vugman M, Klepfish M, Shimshoni E, Pasmanik-Chor M, et al. Tumor macrophages are pivotal constructors of tumor collagenous matrix. J Exp Med. (2016) 213:2315–31. doi: 10.1084/jem.20151193
- Zhu Y, Herndon JM, Sojka DK, Kim KW, Knolhoff BL, Zuo C, et al. Tissueresident macrophages in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma originate from embryonic hematopoiesis and promote tumor progression. *Immunity*. (2017) 47:323–38 e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.07.014
- Quaranta V, Rainer C, Nielsen SR, Raymant ML, Ahmed MS, Engle DD, et al. Macrophage-derived granulin drives resistance to immune checkpoint inhibition in metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res.* (2018) 78:4253–69. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3876
- DeNardo DG, Brennan DJ, Rexhepaj E, Ruffell B, Shiao SL, Madden SF, et al. Leukocyte complexity predicts breast cancer survival and functionally regulates response to chemotherapy. *Cancer Discov.* (2011) 1:54– 67. doi: 10.1158/2159-8274.CD-10-0028
- Mok S, Koya RC, Tsui C, Xu J, Robert L, Wu L, et al. Inhibition of CSF-1 receptor improves the antitumor efficacy of adoptive cell transfer immunotherapy. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:153–61. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1816
- Neubert NJ, Schmittnaegel M, Bordry N, Nassiri S, Wald N, Martignier C, et al. T cell-induced CSF1 promotes melanoma resistance to PD1 blockade. *Sci Transl Med.* (2018) 10:436. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan3311
- 25. Strachan DC, Ruffell B, Oei Y, Bissell MJ, Coussens LM, Pryer N, et al. CSF1R inhibition delays cervical and mammary tumor growth in murine models by attenuating the turnover of tumor-associated macrophages and enhancing infiltration by CD8+ T cells. Oncoimmunology. (2013) 2:e26968. doi: 10.4161/onci.26968
- Zhu Y, Knolhoff BL, Meyer MA, Nywening TM, West BL, Luo J, et al. CSF1/CSF1R blockade reprograms tumor-infiltrating macrophages and improves response to T-cell checkpoint immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer models. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:5057–69. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3723
- Mantovani A, Marchesi F, Malesci A, Laghi L, Allavena P. Tumour-associated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2017) 14:399–416. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.217
- Cannarile MA, Weisser M, Jacob W, Jegg AM, Ries CH, Ruttinger D. Colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) inhibitors in cancer therapy. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2017) 5:53. doi: 10.1186/s40425-017-0257-y
- 29. Nywening TM, Wang-Gillam A, Sanford DE, Belt BA, Panni RZ, Cusworth BM, et al. Targeting tumour-associated macrophages with CCR2 inhibition in combination with FOLFIRINOX in patients with borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a single-centre, open-label, dose-finding, non-randomised, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17:651–62. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00078-4
- Loyher PL, Hamon P, Laviron M, Meghraoui-Kheddar A, Goncalves E, Deng Z, et al. Macrophages of distinct origins contribute to tumor development in the lung. J Exp Med. (2018) 215:2536–53. doi: 10.1084/jem.20180534
- Suzuki E, Kapoor V, Jassar AS, Kaiser LR, Albelda SM. Gemcitabine selectively eliminates splenic Gr-1+/CD11b+ myeloid suppressor cells in tumor-bearing animals and enhances antitumor immune activity. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2005) 11:6713–21. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-0883

- 32. Allavena P, Signorelli M, Chieppa M, Erba E, Bianchi G, Marchesi F, et al. Antiinflammatory properties of the novel antitumor agent yondelis (trabectedin): inhibition of macrophage differentiation and cytokine production. *Cancer Res.* (2005) 65:2964–71. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-4037
- 33. Weiss JM, Guerin MV, Regnier F, Renault G, Galy-Fauroux I, Vimeux L, et al. The STING agonist DMXAA triggers a cooperation between T lymphocytes and myeloid cells that leads to tumor regression. *Oncoimmunology*. (2017) 6:e1346765. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1346765
- Ganss R, Ryschich E, Klar E, Arnold B, Hammerling GJ. Combination of Tcell therapy and trigger of inflammation induces remodeling of the vasculature and tumor eradication. *Cancer Res.* (2002) 62:1462–70.
- Sektioglu IM, Carretero R, Bender N, Bogdan C, Garbi N, Umansky V, et al. Macrophage-derived nitric oxide initiates T-cell diapedesis and tumor rejection. *Oncoimmunology*. (2016) 5:e1204506. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1204506
- Long KB, Beatty GL. Harnessing the antitumor potential of macrophages for cancer immunotherapy. *Oncoimmunology*. (2013) 2:e26860. doi: 10.4161/onci.26860
- Fridman WH, Zitvogel L, Sautes-Fridman C, Kroemer G. The immune contexture in cancer prognosis and treatment. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2017) 14:717–34. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.101
- Pages F, Lebel-Binay S, Vieillefond A, Deneux L, Cambillau M, Soubrane O, et al. Local immunostimulation induced by intravesical administration of autologous interferon-gamma-activated macrophages in patients with superficial bladder cancer. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2002) 127:303–9. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2249.2002.01766.x
- Axelrod RS, Havas HF, Murasko DM, Bushnell B, Guan CF. Effect of the mixed bacterial vaccine on the immune response of patients with non-small cell lung cancer and refractory malignancies. *Cancer*. (1988) 61:2219–30.
- Engelhardt R, Mackensen A, Galanos C. Phase I trial of intravenously administered endotoxin (Salmonella abortus equi) in cancer patients. *Cancer Res.* (1991) 51:2524–30.
- Klimp AH, de Vries EG, Scherphof GL, Daemen T. A potential role of macrophage activation in the treatment of cancer. *Crit Rev Oncol.* (2002) 44:143–61. doi: 10.1016/S1040-8428(01)00203-7
- Nardin A, Lefebvre ML, Labroquere K, Faure O, Abastado JP. Liposomal muramyl tripeptide phosphatidylethanolamine: targeting and activating macrophages for adjuvant treatment of osteosarcoma. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. (2006) 6:123–33. doi: 10.2174/156800906776056473
- Tang ZY, Zhou HY, Zhao G, Chai LM, Zhou M, Lu JZ, et al. Preliminary result of mixed bacterial vaccine as adjuvant treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Med Oncol Tumor Pharmacother*. (1991) 8:23–8.
- 44. Suragani RN, Cadena SM, Cawley SM, Sako D, Mitchell D, Li R, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta superfamily ligand trap ACE-536 corrects anemia by promoting late-stage erythropoiesis. *Nat Med.* (2014) 20:408–14. doi: 10.1038/nm.3512
- 45. Farzaneh M, Derakhshan Z, Hallajzadeh J, Sarani NH, Nejabatdoust A, Khoshnam SE. Suppression of TGF-beta and ERK signaling pathways as a new strategy to provide rodent and non-rodent pluripotent stem cells. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2019) 14:466–73. doi: 10.2174/1871527318666190314110529
- Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, Yuen K, Wang Y, et al. TGFbeta attenuates tumour response to PD-L1 blockade by contributing to exclusion of T cells. *Nature*. (2018) 554:544–8. doi: 10.1038/nature25501
- Tauriello DVF, Palomo-Ponce S, Stork D, Berenguer-Llergo A, Badia-Ramentol J, Iglesias M, et al. TGFbeta drives immune evasion in genetically reconstituted colon cancer metastasis. *Nature*. (2018) 554:538–43. doi: 10.1038/nature25492
- Guerin M, Regnier F, Feuillet V, Vimeux L, Weiss JM, Guilbert T, et al. TGFbeta blocks STING-induced IFN release and tumor rejection in spontaneous mammary tumors. *BioRxiv*. (2018). doi: 10.1101/336990
- Guerriero JL, Sotayo A, Ponichtera HE, Castrillon JA, Pourzia AL, Schad S, et al. Class IIa HDAC inhibition reduces breast tumours and metastases through anti-tumour macrophages. *Nature*. (2017) 543:428–32. doi: 10.1038/nature21409
- Glenisson W, Castronovo V, Waltregny D. Histone deacetylase 4 is required for TGFbeta1-induced myofibroblastic differentiation. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2007) 1773:1572–82. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2007. 05.016

- Guo W, Saito S, Sanchez CG, Zhuang Y, Gongora Rosero RE, Shan B, et al. TGF-beta1 stimulates HDAC4 nucleus-to-cytoplasm translocation and NADPH oxidase 4-derived reactive oxygen species in normal human lung fibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2017) 312:L936–L44. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00256.2016
- Solinas G, Becattini B. The role of PI3Kgamma in metabolism and macrophage activation. *Oncotarget.* (2017) 8:106145–6. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.22068
- Hirsch E, Katanaev VL, Garlanda C, Azzolino O, Pirola L, Silengo L, et al. Central role for G protein-coupled phosphoinositide 3-kinase gamma in inflammation. *Science.* (2000) 287:1049–53. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5455.1049
- Kaneda MM, Messer KS, Ralainirina N, Li H, Leem CJ, Gorjestani S, et al. PI3Kgamma is a molecular switch that controls immune suppression. *Nature*. (2016) 539:437–42. doi: 10.1038/nature19834
- 55. Evans CA, Liu T, Lescarbeau A, Nair SJ, Grenier L, Pradeilles JA, et al. Discovery of a selective phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K)-gamma inhibitor (IPI-549) as an immuno-oncology clinical candidate. ACS Med Chem Lett. (2016) 7:862–7. doi: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00238
- Pemberton N, Mogemark M, Arlbrandt S, Bold P, Cox RJ, Gardelli C, et al. Discovery of highly isoform selective orally bioavailable phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-gamma inhibitors. J Med Chem. (2018) 61:5435–41. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00447
- Viitala M, Virtakoivu R, Tadayon S, Rannikko J, Jalkanen S, Hollmen M. Immunotherapeutic blockade of macrophage clever-1 reactivates the CD8(+) T-cell response against immunosuppressive tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25:3289–303. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3016
- Cortesi F, Delfanti G, Grilli A, Calcinotto A, Gorini F, Pucci F, et al. Bimodal CD40/Fas-dependent crosstalk between iNKT cells and tumor-associated macrophages impairs prostate cancer progression. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 22:3006– 20. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.058
- Beatty GL, Chiorean EG, Fishman MP, Saboury B, Teitelbaum UR, Sun W, et al. CD40 agonists alter tumor stroma and show efficacy against pancreatic carcinoma in mice and humans. *Science*. (2011) 331:1612–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1198443
- Long KB, Gladney WL, Tooker GM, Graham K, Fraietta JA, Beatty GL. IFNgamma and CCL2 cooperate to redirect tumor-infiltrating monocytes to degrade fibrosis and enhance chemotherapy efficacy in pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* (2016) 6:400–13. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1032
- Jackaman C, Lew AM, Zhan Y, Allan JE, Koloska B, Graham PT, et al. Deliberately provoking local inflammation drives tumors to become their own protective vaccine site. *Int Immunol.* (2008) 20:1467–79. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxn104
- Jensen JL, Rakhmilevich A, Heninger E, Broman AT, Hope C, Phan F, et al. Tumoricidal effects of macrophage-activating immunotherapy in a murine model of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2015) 3:881–90. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0025-T
- Vanpouille-Box C, Demaria S, Formenti SC, Galluzzi L. Cytosolic DNA sensing in organismal tumor control. *Cancer Cell.* (2018) 34:361–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.05.013
- Sistigu A, Yamazaki T, Vacchelli E, Chaba K, Enot DP, Adam J, et al. Cancer cell-autonomous contribution of type I interferon signaling to the efficacy of chemotherapy. *Nat Med.* (2014) 20:1301–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.3708
- Pathria P, Louis TL, Varner JA. Targeting tumor-associated macrophages in cancer. *Trends Immunol.* (2019) 40:310–27. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2019.02.003
- 66. Thoreau M, Penny HL, Tan K, Regnier F, Weiss JM, Lee B, et al. Vaccineinduced tumor regression requires a dynamic cooperation between T cells and myeloid cells at the tumor site. *Oncotarget.* (2015) 6:27832–46. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.4940

- Shime H, Matsumoto M, Oshiumi H, Tanaka S, Nakane A, Iwakura Y, et al. Toll-like receptor 3 signaling converts tumor-supporting myeloid cells to tumoricidal effectors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:2066–71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1113099109
- Shirota Y, Shirota H, Klinman DM. Intratumoral injection of CpG oligonucleotides induces the differentiation and reduces the immunosuppressive activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *J Immunol.* (2012) 188:1592–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101304
- Gubin MM, Esaulova E, Ward JP, Malkova ON, Runci D, Wong P, et al. High-dimensional analysis delineates myeloid and lymphoid compartment remodeling during successful immune-checkpoint cancer therapy. *Cell.* (2018) 175:1443. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.003
- Hollenbaugh JA, Dutton RW. IFN-gamma regulates donor CD8T cell expansion, migration, and leads to apoptosis of cells of a solid tumor. J Immunol. (2006) 177:3004–11. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.5.3004
- Textor A, Listopad JJ, Wuhrmann LL, Perez C, Kruschinski A, Chmielewski M, et al. Efficacy of CAR T-cell therapy in large tumors relies upon stromal targeting by IFNgamma. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:6796–805. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0079
- Ma Y, Mattarollo SR, Adjemian S, Yang H, Aymeric L, Hannani D, et al. CCL2/CCR2-dependent recruitment of functional antigen-presenting cells into tumors upon chemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:436–45. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1265
- Kerkar SP, Goldszmid RS, Muranski P, Chinnasamy D, Yu Z, Reger RN, et al. IL-12 triggers a programmatic change in dysfunctional myeloidderived cells within mouse tumors. *J Clin Investigat.* (2011) 121:4746–57. doi: 10.1172/JCI58814
- Vicetti Miguel RD, Cherpes TL, Watson LJ, McKenna KC. CTL induction of tumoricidal nitric oxide production by intratumoral macrophages is critical for tumor elimination. *J Immunol.* (2010) 185:6706–18. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903411
- Bougherara H, Nemati F, Nicolas A, Massonnet G, Pugniere M, Ngo C, et al. The humanized anti-human AMHRII mAb 3C23K exerts an antitumor activity against human ovarian cancer through tumor-associated macrophages. *Oncotarget*. (2017) 8:99950–65. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.21556
- Montalvao F, Garcia Z, Celli S, Breart B, Deguine J, Van Rooijen N, et al. The mechanism of anti-CD20-mediated B cell depletion revealed by intravital imaging. J Clin Investigat. (2013) 123:5098–103. doi: 10.1172/JCI 70972
- Zhang B, Karrison T, Rowley DA, Schreiber H. IFN-gamma- and TNFdependent bystander eradication of antigen-loss variants in established mouse cancers. J Clin Investigat. (2008) 118:1398–404. doi: 10.1172/JCI 33522
- Griffith TS, Wiley SR, Kubin MZ, Sedger LM, Maliszewski CR, Fanger NA. Monocyte-mediated tumoricidal activity via the tumor necrosis factor-related cytokine, TRAIL. J Exp Med. (1999) 189:1343–54. doi: 10.1084/jem.189. 8.1343

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Bercovici, Guérin, Trautmann and Donnadieu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.