



Head Start Immunity: Characterizing the Early Protection of C Strain Vaccine Against Subsequent Classical Swine Fever Virus Infection

Ronan R. McCarthy^{1†}, Helen E. Everett¹, Simon P. Graham^{1,2,3}, Falko Steinbach^{1,2} and Helen R. Crooke^{1*}

¹ Virology Department, Animal and Plant Health Agency, Addlestone, United Kingdom, ² School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom, ³ The Pirbright Institute, Pirbright, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Jayne Hope,

University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Viskam Wijewardana, International Atomic Energy Agency, Austria Cornelia Deeg, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

*Correspondence:

Helen R. Crooke helen.crooke@apha.gov.uk

[†]Present Address:

Ronan R. McCarthy, Division of Biosciences, Department of Life Sciences, College of Health and Life Sciences, Heinz Wolff Building, Kingston Lane, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Comparative Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 04 January 2019 Accepted: 25 June 2019 Published: 23 July 2019

Citation:

McCarthy RR, Everett HE, Graham SP, Steinbach F and Crooke HR (2019) Head Start Immunity: Characterizing the Early Protection of C Strain Vaccine Against Subsequent Classical Swine Fever Virus Infection. Front. Immunol. 10:1584. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01584 Classical Swine Fever Virus (CSFV) is an ongoing threat to the pig industry due to the high transmission and mortality rates associated with infection. Live attenuated vaccines such as the CSFV C strain vaccine are capable of protecting against infection within 5 days of vaccination, but the molecular mechanisms through which this early protection is mediated have yet to be established. In this study, we compared the response of pigs vaccinated with the C strain to non-vaccinated pigs both challenged with a pathogenic strain of CSFV. Analysis of transcriptomic data from the tonsils of these animals during the early stages after vaccination and challenge reveals a set of regulated genes that appear throughout the analysis. Many of these are linked to the ISG15 antiviral pathway suggesting it may play a role in the rapid and early protection conferred by C strain vaccination.

Keywords: swine, CSFV, innate, C Strain, ISG15, antiviral, vaccination

INTRODUCTION

Classical Swine Fever (CSF) is a contagious, haemorrhagic and often fatal disease of suidae such as pigs and wild boar, caused by the classical swine fever virus (CSFV). CSFV is an enveloped, single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the pestivirus genus of the Flaviviridae family (1). The positive-sense RNA genome of \sim 12.3 kb is translated as a single polyprotein that is then cleaved by both host and native proteases to form 11 proteins, 4 of which are structural components of the virion (2). Of these structural proteins 2 envelope glycoproteins, E1 and E2, are required for virus entry into the cell through clathrin-dependent, receptor-mediated endocytosis (3). The primary site of replication are the tonsils and oropharyngeal lymph nodes. From here, the virus is transported through the lymphatic system to the primary lymph nodes, where further rounds of replication occur until the virus eventually reaches all other organs in the body via the circulatory system (4). Interferon signaling is a key component of how the innate immune system responds to challenge with CSFV. High levels of interferon- α (IFN- α) are a characteristic feature of acute disease (5). The levels of induction are associated with the virulence of the strain, with highly virulent strains inducing the highest levels (6-8). Despite the classical functional role of IFNs during viral infection, which is to induce the expression of a cohort of antiviral proteins, these high levels of IFN-α are counterproductive. They do not limit virus replication, and lead to the development of diseaseassociated immunopathology observed through severe lymphoid depletion, lymphocyte apoptosis

1

and thrombocytopenia. This immune dysfunction presents clinically as a viral haemorrhagic fever (5).

CSF is endemic to parts of South East Asia, Russia and South America. Within Europe, stringent controls such as a stamping out policy, movement restrictions and epidemiological surveillance measures have been in place since 1990 to prevent the spread of the disease, however, sporadic outbreaks have occurred, for example in Lithuania and Latvia, and the recent reoccurrence of CSF in Japan after 26 year absence highlights that CSFV remains an epizootic threat (9, 10). CSF is amenable to control by vaccination with a number of different live attenuated vaccines available, the most widely used of which is the C strain vaccine (9). However, the inability to distinguish serologically between animals that have been vaccinated or are infected with the virus (DIVA) means its use as an outbreak control tool is limited in CSF-free countries (2). The C strain vaccine was generated through serial passage in rabbits until it was no longer pathogenic. It provides a rapid and complete protection of pigs against infection and also prevents viral transmission within 5 days of vaccination (11, 12). The immunological signaling cascades behind the early protection afforded by C strain are poorly understood, but precede the adaptive response, where IFN γ^+ CD8⁺ cells precede the detection of a humoral, virus neutralizing response (13-15). As the C strain vaccine has been the most widely used vaccine for CSFV to date, deciphering the precise innate immune signaling pathways underpinning its effectiveness may help shape and optimize the current generation of marker and subunit vaccines. To achieve a greater insight into the host response to vaccination with C strain, porcine microarrays were utilized to analyse the differences in gene expression in tonsil tissue between pigs that were vaccinated with C strain or given a mock inoculum. These pigs were then subsequently challenged with a virulent strain of CSFV five days post immunization, thus before an effective adaptive response could be mounted. In this study we have examined transcriptional changes in tonsils at early time points to identify subsets of genes that may be integral to this rapid protection and could support the induction of an early adaptive immune response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses

C strain CSFV (AC Riemser Schweinepestvakzine, Riemser Arzneimittel AG, Riems, Germany) and the virulent CSFV Brescia strain were propagated in PK15 cell monolayers. Both mock virus and virus stocks were prepared, and titers were determined, as described previously for this animal cohort (15).

Ethics Statement

All animal work was approved by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board, and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (United Kingdom) under project license permit PPL 70/6559. Each animal was euthanized on predetermined days by stunning and exsanguination.

Animals

Eighteen Large White/Landrace crossbreed pigs of 9 weeks of age were randomly assigned to one of two groups. On day 0 the animals in group 1 (n = 9) were vaccinated with 2 ml of C strain vaccine into the brachiocephalous muscle (as recommended by the manufacturer), and group 2 (n = 9) was intranasally inoculated with tissue culture supernatant (mock). For intranasal inoculations 1 ml per nostril was administered using a mucosal atomization device (MAD300; Wolf Tory Medical, USA). On day 5 post vaccination (dpv), both groups were inoculated intranasally with 10^5 TCID₅₀ of CSFV Brescia strain. EDTA anti-coagulated blood samples were collected in Vacutainers (BD Biosciences), prior to and after challenge, from the external jugular vein. Three animals from each group were euthanized on dpv 5 (prior to challenge), dpv 8 and dpv10 and the tonsils were collected.

Clinical, Hematological, and Virological Methods

The animals were inspected by the APHA Animal Sciences Unit staff twice daily (am and pm), and 10 parameters relevant to an indication of CSF (temperature, liveliness, body shape and tension, breathing, walking, skin, eye/conjunctiva, appetite, and defecation) were examined and scored as 0 (normal), to 3 (severely altered; known CSF sign) (16). A total clinical score for each animal was assigned twice daily, and their temperatures were monitored by rectal thermometer readings once daily. Peripheral blood leukocytes and CSFV RNA were monitored in EDTA blood samples collected every 3 days using volumetric flow cytometry and real-time reverse transcription-quantitative RT-PCR (RRT-qPCR), respectively (16).

Gene Expression Microarray Analysis

At days 5, 8, and 10 post-vaccination animals were euthanized, the tonsils removed, chopped into fine pieces and stored at -80°C in RNAlater (Sigma-Aldrich). RNA was extracted using MagMax 96 microarray total RNA isolation kit which includes a Turbo DNAse treatment to remove contaminating genomic DNA. Elimination of genomic DNA was confirmed by q-PCR detection of porcine β -actin gene with and without reverse transcription. The Ovation PicoSL WTA System v2 kit (NuGEN, Leek, The Netherlands) was used to amplify cDNA from 50 ng total RNA. The MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) was used to purify cDNA, and 1 µg was then labeled using a one-color DNA labeling kit (NimbleGen, Madison, USA). For each sample, 4 µg labeled cDNA was hybridized to a custom NimbleGen 12 \times 135 K porcine array designed using the Sus scrofa 10.2 genome build and incorporating a total of 19,351 genes, each represented on the array by a set of six different probes (116,106 probes in total) (17). The microarray also contained a large number (24,179) of random probes. Hybridized arrays were scanned at 2 µm resolution on a microarray scanner (Agilent, Wokingham, UK). Microarray images were processed using DEVA v1.2.1 software to obtain a pair report containing the signal intensity values for each probe. To correct for differences in the overall intensity levels between slides robust multi-array (RMA) normalization was used. Data was

then processed using GeneSpring GX using the manufacturer's guidelines. RMA normalized pair files were imported and empirical Bayesian unpaired comparison (moderated *t*-test, P < 0.05), combined with a Westfall and Young Permutation to correct for multiple testing, was carried out to generate a list of genes with significantly altered expression, between C strain and mock inoculated pigs, of > 2-fold. The raw microarray data (background-corrected signal) can be assessed at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO accession GSE111486).

Gene Ontology and Pathway Analysis

To aid in the analysis of the data, where possible human ortholog of porcine genes were used for further analysis. Gene Ontology analysis was performed using BiNGO within Cytoscape 3.2 (18, 19). BiNGO analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test with a Benjamini Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction and significance value of 0.05, the ontology file used was GO_Biological_Process. PANTHER Overrepresentation Analysis (release 20171205) was performed



FIGURE 1 | C Strain Vaccination and Subsequent Challenge. (A) Schematic outline of the vaccine/challenge study highlighting the key time points of vaccination and challenge. Three animals per group were euthanized at day 5, 8, and 10 pv for sample acquisition (Blue arrows). (B) Mean clinical score data from both C strain vaccinated and mock inoculated animals from before the study commenced until completion. (C) Rectal temperatures of animals throughout the course of the study. (D) Peripheral blood leukocyte counts in EDTA blood samples throughout the study (E) CSFV RNA as detected in blood by reverse transcription-quantitative RT-PCR. Black arrows indicate time of challenge. Error bars indicate SD.

using the annotation Reactome version 58 (Release 20161207) using a Binomial test with a Bonferroni Correction for multiple comparison (20, 21). Network analysis was performed using NetworkAnalyzer tool in Cytoscape, nodes and label sizes are mapped based on betweenness centrality and isolated nodes (degree = 0) removed (18).

RESULTS

Vaccination, Challenge and Clinical Observations

Samples for transcriptomic analysis were generated from animals vaccinated, or mock inoculated, 5 days prior to challenge with virulent CSFV (15). Tonsil samples were collected prior to challenge at 5 dpv and also at day 8 and day 10 pv [3 and 5 days post-challenge (dpc)] (Figure 1A). C strain vaccinated animals were protected from the challenge with no clinical signs or temperature increase detected. The mock inoculated animals had early clinical signs of CSF (inappetence, lethargy and reddening of conjunctiva) from 4 to 5 dpc and elevated rectal temperatures (Figures 1B,C). CSFV RNA and leukopenia was detected in blood samples from 8 dpv in the mock inoculated animals but not in vaccinated animals (Figures 1D,E). This level of protection corresponds with previous studies in that complete protection from challenge with CSFV was observed within 5 days of vaccination, thus before the onset of an adaptive immune response, which then rapidly develops after challenge (12).

TABLE 1 Genes differentially regulated in C strain vaccinated pigs compared to
mock inoculated pigs at 5 days post vaccination.

Genes up	LogFC	Genes down	LogFC
ifit2	2.553934	pg-2	-1.64178
pkia	2.373655	c6h19orf33	-1.64285
hgf	2.295012	zfp36	-1.66558
ano5	2.191831	bcas4	-1.67219
rsad2	2.176041	ssc-mir-135-1	-1.68395
adam7	2.075018	tmem141	-1.70127
rab27b	1.918744	ddt	-1.71247
kiaa1107	1.892113	ndufa11	-1.7917
loc100520366	1.842525	loc100511639	-1.80764
tmem178a	1.816612	loc100626517	-1.80975
ifit 1	1.807552	atox1	-1.81446
epb41l4b	1.796457	myadm	-1.83981
c1h14orf37	1.785264	ccl14	-1.88256
tdrd1	1.777872	loc100521485	-1.89069
rpgrip1	1.77437	ssc-mir-125b-2	-1.92758
galntl5	1.714732	dusp15	-1.93288
ifi44	1.689456	tmem160	-1.94606
ttc39a	1.678465	dpm3	-1.95109
wdr35	1.673544	scgb3a1	-2.0114
pln	1.670908	ndufb11	-2.03292

Top 20 up/down regulated genes shown.

Intramuscular Vaccination Produces a Robust Transcriptional Response in Tonsil Cells of Naïve Pigs

At day 5 post-vaccination (prior to challenge), when vaccinated pigs were compared to mock inoculated pigs, 448 genes were differentially regulated; 255 genes were down-regulated and 193 genes upregulated (**Table 1**, **Supplementary Table 1**). Gene Ontology analysis (19) highlighted over representation of gene categories associated with response to virus among the upregulated genes as expected since the C strain vaccine is a live, attenuated virus (**Figure 2A**). Among the downregulated genes a number of different metabolic processes were overrepresented which could suggest an appropriation of cellular processes and resources toward the production of antiviral effectors (**Figure 2B**).

At day 8 pv, i.e., 3 dpc and thus when viral RNA was detected in the unvaccinated animals (**Figure 1E**) 138 genes were differentially regulated, with 118 genes significantly less expressed in tonsils of C strain vaccinated pigs compared to mock inoculated pigs (**Table 2**, **Supplementary Table 2**). In terms of gene ontology over-representation, an inversion occurred whereby pathways associated with response to virus were now overrepresented in those pigs that were not vaccinated (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

At 10 dpv, thus 5 dpc, 142 genes were differentially regulated, with 127 of these genes expressed less in the vaccinated animals compared to the mock inoculated group (**Table 3**, **Supplementary Table 3**). Ontology analysis yielded similar observations as was seen at day 8 with an overrepresentation of pathways associated with a response to a virus (**Supplementary Figure 2**) in pigs that were not vaccinated. Notably, among the few genes that were up regulated in the C strain vaccinated pigs was *eomes*, a gene that encodes a transcriptional regulator known to play a role in CD8⁺ T cell differentiation (22). This corresponds with previously published data where CSFV specific CD8⁺ T cells were detected in the same animal cohort described previously (15).

Specific Sub-sets of Genes Fluctuate in Response to CSFV Regardless of Strain Virulence

Analysis of all the significantly differentially expressed genes at day 5, 8 and 10 post-vaccination revealed a cohort of genes that were differentially expressed at all of the time points. This suggested that these genes were integral to the response to both the C strain vaccine and the virulent CSFV strain Brescia. These genes were significantly upregulated in C strain vaccinated pigs at 5 dpv (**Figure 3A**). However, by day 8 and day 10 the expression of these genes in vaccinated animals had partially alleviated suggesting they were no longer induced. Remarkably, this same subset of genes was instead induced significantly in the mock inoculated animals at 8 days (**Figure 3B**) and 10 days (**Figure 3C**) post-vaccination (3 and 5 days post-challenge) (**Figure 3D**). The expression of these genes corresponds with exposure to either strain of the virus and could potentially play a key role in enabling vaccinated pigs to



overcome challenge. Indeed, among this cohort are a number of genes coding for antiviral effectors, such as IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT5 which encode proteins that directly interact with viral RNA preventing the initiation of translation (23–28). As well as MX1 and MX2, proteins that can directly prevent viral ribonucleoprotein complex formation (29–35). The increase in expression of the genes encoding these antiviral effectors, as well as other proteins involved in the innate immune response, such as RSAD2 (Viperin), DDX60 and DHX58, at the time of challenge may play a role in the early protection offered by C strain vaccination.

The ISG15 Pathway Is Activated in Response to C Strain Vaccination

The proteins encoded by the subset of genes differentially expressed across all three time points were subjected to an interaction analysis using Cytoscape and pathways from the InnateDB database. This network analysis revealed that many of the proteins within this cohort are capable of directly interacting with at least one other protein in the cohort and also highlighted ISG15 as the best connected node within the network (**Figure 4**). This is likely to be expected given the nature of ISG15, which functions in a pathway similar to the ubiquitination pathway, in that ISG15 is conjugated to a range of host and non-host proteins modifying their function in a process known as ISGylation (36). Indeed among our common cohort of genes differently expressed at all time points were a number of known ISG15 conjugation targets such as IFIT1-3, IFIT5, DHX58, MX1 (37), as well as other components of the ISG15 pathway including key enzymes HERC5 and USP18, which are directly involved in the ISGylation conjugation and deconjuguation process, respectively (38).

Gene overrepresentation analysis using the Reactome Database identified the Interferon signaling pathway and also identified the ISG15 pathway as being significantly overrepresented across all time points (p < 4.04E-09, **Supplementary Table 4**), albeit in different groups at each time point. It was overrepresented in C strain vaccinated pigs at 5 dpv (p < 5.99E-03, **Supplementary Table 5**), but in mock inoculated pigs at day 8 (p < 1.83E-07,

 TABLE 2 | Genes differentially regulated in C-Strain vaccinated pigs compared to mock vaccinated pigs, 8 days post vaccination (3 days post challenge) with CSFV.

Genes up	LogFC	Genes down	LogFC
npg1	2.47	sprr1a	-2.65
pgrmc2	1.97	krt78	-2.68
lyzl4	1.83	lgals7	-2.71
tex14	1.76	oasl	-2.73
pg-2	1.69	krt78	-2.74
lrg1	1.58	gsta1	-2.86
loc102158214	1.54	cnfn	-2.88
pcd1b	1.50	sprp	-2.89
loc102157463	1.45	loc100516001	-2.91
npg4	1.39	cnfn	-2.92
loc100739707	1.29	ifit2	-3.18
loc100522081	1.26	csta	-3.32
pcd1e	1.25	olfm4	-3.37
slc7a8	1.18	sprr1a	-3.43
loc100514211	1.17	spink5	-3.56
znf449	1.11	tprg1	-3.63
c1h9orf116	1.06	csta	-3.80
kcnip1	1.02	krt23	-3.86
pr39	1.01	pheroc	-4.05
tenm3	1.00	cldn17	-4.19

 TABLE 3 | Genes differentially regulated in C-Strain vaccinated pigs compared to mock vaccinated pigs, 10 days post vaccination (5 days post challenge with CSFV).

 Genes up
 LogFC
 Genes down
 LogFC

	-		-
eomes	3.35	loc100157995	-2.98
dapl1	2.94	ifi44	-3.14
il21	2.83	ifit5	-3.19
gzmk	2.74	cd101	-3.20
rgs5	2.74	loc100511472	-3.24
loc100516016	2.65	rsad2	-3.29
apitd1	2.57	oas1	-3.40
loc100512025	2.40	loc100518694	-3.46
pcdh15	2.34	loc100525838	-3.51
loc100153678	2.26	dhx58	-3.62
abca8	2.24	irg1	-3.77
loc100523628	2.12	loc100511550	-3.98
loc100521080	1.98	ube2l6	-4.15
cacnb4	1.90	fcgr1a	-4.15
loc100512149	1.81	loc100512690	-4.23
		usp18	-4.28
		cxcl11	-4.55
		oasl	-4.78
		slpi	-4.90
		ifit2	-5.72

Top 20 Up/Down regulated genes shown.

Supplementary Table 6) and 10 dpv (p < 6.97E-06, **Supplementary Table 7**).

The early induction of the ISG15 pathway may play a role in the early protection afforded by the C strain vaccination as it ensures that an innate immune response that is producing numerous antiviral effectors (IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT5, MX1 and MX2) is elevated during this early window.

DISCUSSION

Since its introduction in the early 1960's the C strain vaccine, has proven remarkably effective and is still the most used vaccine to control CSFV in endemic settings for example in SE Asia (39). It has been shown to stimulate an adaptive cell mediated immune response within 8–10 dpv as previously demonstrated in this animal cohort (15). However, vaccinated pigs are protected already 5 dpv, with partial protection observed even earlier (11, 12). Understanding the molecular mechanisms underpinning this early immunity may aid in the development of more effective, rapid vaccines and in the optimization of vaccines that are currently available. In this study we used a transcriptomic approach to identify a subset of genes that are regulated after both vaccination and challenge and that are linked to a distinct antiviral pathway that is up-regulated during this early protective window.

Type I and III IFNs are known to play a key role in generating a robust host immune response to viral infection. The observed expression of interferon stimulated genes (ISG) with vaccination or challenge had been expected since it is known that CSFV is Top 20 Up/Down regulated genes shown.

a strong inducer of type I IFNs, with the main cellular source identified as plasmacytoid dendritic cells (5). C strain localizes specifically in the tonsils which are also the initial site of virus replication during natural CSFV infections, thus the presence of ISGs should be expected first and foremost to be detected locally-but as the infection spreads induction may, in particular, be reflected in other lymphoid tissue (40-42). The interaction of type I IFN with CSFV has been extensively studied, not least as CSFV also exhibits ways to suppress type I IFN (43). While the interferon responses to viral infections are well studied and comprise of over 300 ISGs (44) the precise mechanisms through which these signaling cascades mediate the numerous antiviral responses are yet to be fully elucidated, particularly in the case of CSFV. We focussed here on a set of genes that was significantly regulated across both studied conditions (vaccination and challenge) and was significantly regulated at all time points studied.

Expression of the ISG15 gene has previously been shown to be induced in response to virulent strains of CSFV *in vitro* (45, 46). However, this is the first study to demonstrate induction of the ISG15 pathway in response to vaccination with C strain *in vivo* and specifically that this induction occurs in the tonsil, the primary site of CSFV replication. Further studies can now target genes both up- and down-stream of ISG15 to elucidate in more detail (and possibly with improved sensitivity), which pathways are regulated in response to C strain vaccination. It is noteworthy that ISG15 is not only upregulated through the action of type I IFN, but also IFN- λ and has been shown to be induced via PU.1 a



gene expressed in various myeloid cells, including DC (47, 48). Importantly, the ISG15 pathway was up-regulated in C strain vaccinated animals during the window in which a protective immune response exists and the adaptive immunity develops. Although the C strain vaccine was given intramuscularly it is well-established that CSFV has a tropism for tonsil tissue which is the primary site of replication of CSFV (4). The elevation of the ISG15 pathway in this specific tissue is ideally placed to prevent challenge by the most likely natural route of infection.

ISG15 plays a central role in mediating IFN-induced host antiviral responses. ISG15 is a 15 kDa protein that is covalently attached to its target proteins via the action of a group of 3 enzymes (UBE1L, UBCH8, and HERC5), which are also induced in response to type I IFN. This pathway is similar to that of ubiquitination, however unlike ubiquitination, conjugation of ISG15 to host target proteins does not prime them for degradation but instead stabilizes or activates them. Over 150 host ISG15 conjugation targets have been identified thus far (37) Among this cohort of ISGylation targets are some antiviral proteins whose mRNA has been identified as differentially regulated through our analysis such as IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT5 MX1, and MX2. These proteins target a number of different aspects of the viral replication cycle such as RNA translation and virion assembly (24–26, 29, 30, 32). Some of the proteins such as MX1, have direct antiviral activity against CSFV (33, 34), other proteins are known to be active against other *Flaviviruses*, such as IFIT2 which restricts growth of West Nile virus (26). Moreover, the free unconjugated form of the ISG15 has antiviral activity and can protect mice against another RNA (Toga-) virus, the Chikungunya virus infection (49).



Conjugation of ISG15 to viral proteins results in their loss of function and the evolutionary importance of this pathway in controlling viral infection is demonstrated by the emerging number of viral proteins that have evolved to disrupt this pathway. For example, the NS1 protein of influenza A and B viruses inhibits ISG15 conjugation (36, 50–52) and NSP2 of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, another important pig pathogen, inactivates ISG15 (53).

Further to those proteins directly linked with the ISG15 pathway, we also saw the upregulation of a number of other ISGs. These included IFI44 which is known to have antiviral activity although the precise mechanism of action remains to be characterized (54) and RSAD2 (Viperin) which inhibits many DNA and RNA viruses, including CSFV through interaction with the E2 structural protein (55). Importantly, RSAD2 has also been

implicated in DC maturation and CD4 T cell activation (56, 57) and may thus be one of the genes that links the innate and adaptive immune system. One porcine gene LOC100157244 was differentially regulated that has not previously been characterized but is predicted to be an ATP-dependent RNA helicase similar to DDX60. This protein may be a novel component of the pig host's immune response to viral infection and future work needs to focus on characterizing this gene, as well as establishing if some of the other genes upregulated that have not yet been directly related to the ISG15 pathway could represent as yet uncharacterised ISG15 conjugation targets.

The role of IFN I in CSFV infection has been discussed (5) and it is proposed that the type I IFNs contribute to the pathology of haemorrhagic fever. However, it is well-known that IFN I induce anti-viral effects in cells that have been treated before infection,



so that ISGs can be induced, and that a single dose IFN I does not induce a long lasting anti-CSFV effect (58). In light of our analysis, we propose a model whereby C strain vaccination is activating a signaling cascade that is giving vaccinated pigs a head start during which a wide range of innate antiviral effectors are produced, which serve to contain viral replication, should exposure to a virulent strain of CSFV take place prior to the onset of adaptive responses. This is possibly achieved through a number of small changes in several pathways, centering around ISG15, rather than the accumulation of single effector molecules. In naïve hosts, a virulent strain of CSFV will replicate faster, as the innate response cannot produce enough antiviral effectors in time to contain the infection (Figure 5). Future experiments will focus on further exploring the ISG15 pathway temporal dynamics and verifying if the increased transcription of these antiviral effectors is associated with changes in the proteome of the cells. While many of the proteins described have been shown to have direct antiviral activity against CSFV, this response is not necessarily specific to CSFV, but since C strain targets the tonsil, which is also the primary site of CFSV replication, it is particularly effective at protecting against CSFV. Should further analysis corroborate these findings the sustained induction of ISG15 may be a crucial step for successful vaccines. However, the up-regulation of the ISG15 pathway in unvaccinated pigs after CSFV Brescia challenge is most likely associated with the

failed attempt of the immune system to induce an antiviral response after infection, contributing to clinical disease including leukopenia (5, 36).

ETHICS STATEMENT

All animal work was approved by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board, and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (United Kingdom) under project license permits PPL 70/6559.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HE, SG, and HC contributed to the performance of the animal experiments and generation of microarray data. RM performed bioinformatics analysis and prepared the manuscript. SG, FS, and HC designed the experiments. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Giulia Franzoni, Pedro Sanchez-Cordon, and Felicity Haines for sample processing and RNA extractions. Jane Edwards and others from the Mammalian Virology Unit, APHA, for many valuable discussions and critical comments on the manuscript. We also wish to thank staff from the animal sciences and pathology departments, APHA, for animal care, animal procedures, clinical monitoring and provision of samples. The authors and these studies were financially supported by the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), the Scottish

REFERENCES

- Moennig V. Introduction to classical swine fever: virus, disease and control policy. Vet Microbiol. (2000) 73:93–102. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1135(00)00137-1
- 2. Blome S, Staubach C, Henke J, Carlson J, and Beer M. Classical swine fever-an updated review. *Viruses.* (2017) 9:86. doi: 10.3390/v9040086
- Shi BJ, Liu CC, Zhou J, Wang SQ, Gao ZC, Zhang XM, et al. Entry of classical swine fever virus into PK-15 Cells via a pH-, dynamin-, and cholesteroldependent, clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway that requires Rab5 and Rab7. J Virol. (2016) 90:9194–208. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00688-16
- Gavier-Widen D, Meredith A, Duff JP. Infectious Diseases of Wild Mammals and Birds in Europe. Chichester, UK: Wiley (2012).
- Summerfield A, Ruggli N. Immune responses against classical swine fever virus: between ignorance and lunacy. *Front Vet Sci.* (2015) 2:10. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2015.00010
- Durand B, Davila S, Cariolet R, Mesplede A, Le Potier MF. Comparison of viraemia- and clinical-based estimates of withinand between-pen transmission of classical swine fever virus from three transmission experiments. *Vet Microbiol.* (2009) 135:196–204. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.056
- Durand SV, Hulst MM, de Wit AA, Mastebroek L, Loeffen WL. Activation and modulation of antiviral and apoptotic genes in pigs infected with classical swine fever viruses of high, moderate or low virulence. *Arch Virol.* (2009) 154:1417–31. doi: 10.1007/s00705-009-0460-3
- Renson P, Blanchard Y, Le Dimna M, Felix H, Cariolet R, Jestin A, et al. Acute induction of cell death-related IFN stimulated genes (ISG) differentiates highly from moderately virulent CSFV strains. *Vet Res.* (2010) 41:7. doi: 10.1051/vetres/2009055
- van Oirschot JT. Emergency vaccination against classical swine fever. Dev Biol. (2003) 114:259–67.
- Schulz K, Staubach C, Blome S. African and classical swine fever: similarities, differences and epidemiological consequences. *Vet Res.* (2017) 48:84. doi: 10.1186/s13567-017-0490-x
- Leifer I, Lange E, Reimann I, Blome S, Juanola S, Duran JP, et al. Modified live marker vaccine candidate CP7_E2alf provides early onset of protection against lethal challenge infection with classical swine fever virus after both intramuscular and oral immunization. *Vaccine*. (2009) 27:6522–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.08.057
- Graham SP, Everett HE, Haines FJ, Johns HL, Sosan OA, Salguero FJ, et al. Challenge of pigs with classical swine fever viruses after C-strain vaccination reveals remarkably rapid protection and insights into early immunity. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e29310. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029310
- Kaden V, Lange B. Oral immunisation against classical swine fever (CSF): onset and duration of immunity. *Vet Microbiol.* (2001) 82:301–10. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1135(01)00400-X
- Dewulf J, Laevens H, Koenen F, Mintiens K, de Kruif A. Efficacy of E2-subunit marker and C-strain vaccines in reducing horizontal transmission of classical swine fever virus in weaner pigs. *Prev Vet Med.* (2004) 65:121–33. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2004.05.010
- Franzoni G, Kurkure NV, Edgar DS, Everett HE, Gerner W, Bodman-Smith KB, et al. Assessment of the phenotype and functionality of porcine CD8 T cell responses following vaccination with live attenuated classical swine fever virus (CSFV) and virulent CSFV challenge. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* (2013) 20:1604–16. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00415-13

Government and the Welsh Government projects SE0796 and SE2210.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu. 2019.01584/full#supplementary-material

- Everett H, Salguero FJ, Graham SP, Haines F, Johns H, Clifford D, et al. Characterisation of experimental infections of domestic pigs with genotype 2.1 and 3.3 isolates of classical swine fever virus. *Vet Microbiol.* (2010) 142:26–33. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.09.039
- Edwards JC, Everett HE, Pedrera M, Mokhtar H, Marchi E, Soldevila F, et al. CD1- and CD1+ porcine blood dendritic cells are enriched for the orthologues of the two major mammalian conventional subsets. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:40942. doi: 10.1038/srep40942
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* (2003) 13:2498–504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303
- Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. *Bioinformatics*. (2005) 21:3448–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti551
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Gene Ontol Consortium Nat Genet*. (2000) 25:25–9. doi: 10.1038/75556
- Gene Ontology C. Gene ontology consortium: going forward. Nucleic Acids Res. (2015) 43(Database issue):D1049–56. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1179
- Martinet V, Tonon S, Torres D, Azouz A, Nguyen M, Kohler A, et al. Type I interferons regulate eomesodermin expression and the development of unconventional memory CD8(+) T cells. *Nat Commun.* (2015) 6:7089. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8089
- Schmeisser H, Mejido J, Balinsky CA, Morrow AN, Clark CR, Zhao T, et al. Identification of alpha interferon-induced genes associated with antiviral activity in Daudi cells and characterization of IFIT3 as a novel antiviral gene. *J Virol.* (2010) 84:10671–80. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00818-10
- Ablasser A, Hornung V. Where, in antiviral defense, does IFIT1 fit? Nat Immunol. (2011) 12:588–90. doi: 10.1038/ni.2061
- Pichlmair A, Lassnig C, Eberle CA, Gorna MW, Baumann CL, Burkard TR, et al. IFIT1 is an antiviral protein that recognizes 5'-triphosphate RNA. *Nat Immunol.* (2011) 12:624–30. doi: 10.1038/ni.2048
- Cho H, Shrestha B, Sen GC, Diamond MS. A role for Ifit2 in restricting West Nile virus infection in the brain. J Virol. (2013) 87:8363–71. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01097-13
- Hsu YL, Shi SF, Wu WL, Ho LJ, Lai JH. Protective roles of interferoninduced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3 (IFIT3) in dengue virus infection of human lung epithelial cells. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e79518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079518
- Wetzel JL, Fensterl V, Sen GC. Sendai virus pathogenesis in mice is prevented by Ifit2 and exacerbated by interferon. *J Virol.* (2014) 88:13593–601. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02201-14
- Jin HK, Takada A, Kon Y, Haller O, Watanabe T. Identification of the murine Mx2 gene: interferon-induced expression of the Mx2 protein from the feral mouse gene confers resistance to vesicular stomatitis virus. *J Virol.* (1999) 73:4925–30.
- Salomon R, Staeheli P, Kochs G, Yen HL, Franks J, Rehg JE, et al. Mx1 gene protects mice against the highly lethal human H5N1 influenza virus. *Cell Cycle*. (2007) 6:2417–21. doi: 10.4161/cc.6.19.4779
- Verhelst J, Parthoens E, Schepens B, Fiers W, Saelens X. Interferoninducible protein Mx1 inhibits influenza virus by interfering with functional viral ribonucleoprotein complex assembly. J Virol. (2012) 86:13445–55. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01682-12

- Cai KJ, Meng QL, Qiao J, Huang J, Zhang ZC, Wang GC, et al. Expression of bovine Mx1 protein inhibits the replication of foot-and-mouth disease virus in BHK-21 cells. *Acta Virol.* (2013) 57:429–34. doi: 10.4149/av_2013_04_429
- He DN, Zhang XM, Liu K, Pang R, Zhao J, Zhou B, et al. *In vitro* inhibition of the replication of classical swine fever virus by porcine Mx1 protein. *Antiviral Res.* (2014) 104:128–35. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2014.01.020
- 34. Zhang X, Jing J, Li W, Liu K, Shi B, Xu Q, et al. Porcine Mx1 fused to HIV Tat protein transduction domain (PTD) inhibits classical swine fever virus infection *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *BMC Vet Res.* (2015) 11:264. doi: 10.1186/s12917-015-0577-4
- Wang H, Bai J, Fan B, Li Y, Zhang Q, Jiang P. The interferon-induced Mx2 inhibits porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus replication. J Interferon Cytokine Res. (2016) 36:129–39. doi: 10.1089/jir.2015.0077
- Zhao C, Collins MN, Hsiang TY, Krug RM. Interferon-induced ISG15 pathway: an ongoing virus-host battle. *Trends Microbiol.* (2013) 21:181–6. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2013.01.005
- Zhao C, Denison C, Huibregtse JM, Gygi S, Krug RM. Human ISG15 conjugation targets both IFN-induced and constitutively expressed proteins functioning in diverse cellular pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2005) 102:10200-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504754102
- Sadler AJ, Williams BR. Interferon-inducible antiviral effectors. Nat Rev Immunol. (2008) 8:559–68. doi: 10.1038/nri2314
- Brown VR, Bevins SN. A review of classical swine fever virus and routes of introduction into the United States and the potential for virus establishment. *Front Vet Sci.* (2018) 5:31. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2018.00031
- Jamin A, Gorin S, Cariolet R, Le Potier MF, Kuntz-Simon G. Classical swine fever virus induces activation of plasmacytoid and conventional dendritic cells in tonsil, blood, and spleen of infected pigs. *Vet Res.* (2008) 39:7. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2007045
- 41. Cao Z, Guo K, Zheng M, Ning P, Li H, Kang K, et al. A comparison of the impact of Shimen and C strains of classical swine fever virus on Toll-like receptor expression. *J Gen Virol.* (2015) 96(Pt 7):1732–45. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.000129
- Holinka LG, O'Donnell V, Risatti GR, Azzinaro P, Arzt J, Stenfeldt C, et al. Early protection events in swine immunized with an experimental live attenuated classical swine fever marker vaccine, FlagT4G. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0177433. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177433
- Fiebach AR, Guzylack-Piriou L, Python S, Summerfield A, Ruggli N. Classical swine fever virus N(pro) limits type I interferon induction in plasmacytoid dendritic cells by interacting with interferon regulatory factor 7. J Virol. (2011) 85:8002–11. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00330-11
- 44. Der SD, Zhou A, Williams BR, Silverman RH. Identification of genes differentially regulated by interferon alpha, beta, or gamma using oligonucleotide arrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1998) 95:15623–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.26.15623
- 45. Cai B, Bai Q, Chi X, Goraya MU, Wang L, Wang S, et al. Infection with classical swine fever virus induces expression of type III interferons and activates innate immune signaling. *Front Microbiol.* (2017) 8:2558. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02558
- 46. Li XQ, Li XN, Liang JJ, Cai XB, Tao Q, Li YX, et al. IRF1 up-regulates isg15 gene expression in dsRNA stimulation or CSFV infection by targeting nucleotides–487 to –325 in the 5' flanking region. *Mol Immunol.* (2018) 94:153–65. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2017.12.025

- Nutt SL, Metcalf D, D'Amico A, Polli M, and Wu L. Dynamic regulation of PU.1 expression in multipotent hematopoietic progenitors. *J Exp Med.* (2005) 201:221–31. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041535
- Zhang D, Zhang D-E. Interferon-stimulated gene 15 and the protein ISGylation system. J Interferon Cytokine Res. (2011) 31:119–30. doi: 10.1089/jir.2010.0110
- Werneke SW, Schilte C, Rohatgi A, Monte KJ, Michault A, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, et al. ISG15 is critical in the control of Chikungunya virus infection independent of UbE1L mediated conjugation. *PLoS Pathog.* (2011) 7:e1002322. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002322
- Yuan W, Krug RM. Influenza B virus NS1 protein inhibits conjugation of the interferon (IFN)-induced ubiquitin-like ISG15 protein. *EMBO J.* (2001) 20:362–71. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.3.362
- Tang Y, Zhong G, Zhu L, Liu X, Shan Y, Feng H, et al. Herc5 attenuates influenza A virus by catalyzing ISGylation of viral NS1 protein. *J Immunol.* (2010) 184:5777–90. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903588
- Zhao C, Hsiang TY, Kuo RL, Krug RM. ISG15 conjugation system targets the viral NS1 protein in influenza A virus-infected cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2010) 107:2253–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909144107
- 53. Sun Y, Han M, Kim C, Calvert JG, Yoo D. Interplay between interferon-mediated innate immunity and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. *Viruses.* (2012) 4:424–46. doi: 10.3390/v40 40424
- Power D, Santoso N, Dieringer M, Yu J, Huang H, Simpson S, et al. IFI44 suppresses HIV-1 LTR promoter activity and facilitates its latency. *Virology*. (2015) 481:142–50. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.046
- Li W, Mao L, Cao Y, Zhou B, Yang L, Han L, et al. Porcine Viperin protein inhibits the replication of classical swine fever virus (CSFV) *in vitro. Virol J.* (2017) 14:202. doi: 10.1186/s12985-017-0868-4
- 56. Sezin T, Vorobyev A, Sadik CD, Zillikens D, Gupta Y, Ludwig RJ. Gene expression analysis reveals novel shared gene signatures and candidate molecular mechanisms between pemphigus and systemic lupus erythematosus in CD4(+) T cells. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:1992. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01992
- Jang JS, Lee JH, Jung NC, Choi SY, Park SY, Yoo JY, et al. Rsad2 is necessary for mouse dendritic cell maturation via the IRF7-mediated signaling pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* (2018) 9:823. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0889-y
- Fernandez-Sainz I, Ramanathan P, O'Donnell V, Diaz-San Segundo F, Velazquez-Salinas L, Sturza DF, et al. Treatment with interferon-alpha delays disease in swine infected with a highly virulent CSFV strain. *Virology*. (2015) 483:284–90. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.04.024

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 McCarthy, Everett, Graham, Steinbach and Crooke. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.