



Manipulation of the Innate Immune Response by Varicella Zoster Virus

Chelsea Gerada[†], Tessa M. Campbell[†], Jarrod J. Kennedy[†], Brian P. McSharry[†], Megan Steain[†], Barry Slobedman[†] and Allison Abendroth^{*†}

Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Charles Perkins Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Varicella zoster virus (VZV) is the causative agent of chickenpox (varicella) and shingles (herpes zoster). VZV and other members of the herpesvirus family are distinguished by their ability to establish a latent infection, with the potential to reactivate and spread virus to other susceptible individuals. This lifelong relationship continually subjects VZV to the host immune system and as such VZV has evolved a plethora of strategies to evade and manipulate the immune response. This review will focus on our current understanding of the innate anti-viral control mechanisms faced by VZV. We will also discuss the diverse array of strategies employed by VZV to regulate these innate immune responses and highlight new knowledge on the interactions between VZV and human innate immune cells.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Soren R. Paludan, Aarhus University, Denmark

Reviewed by:

Christopher J. A. Duncan, Newcastle University, United Kingdom François J. M. A. Meurens, UMR INRA-Oniris 1300 Oniris-Nantes Atlantic National College of Veterinary Medicine, France

*Correspondence:

Allison Abendroth allison.abendroth@sydney.edu.au

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Viral Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 03 October 2019 Accepted: 02 January 2020 Published: 24 January 2020

Citation:

Gerada C, Campbell TM, Kennedy JJ, McSharry BP, Steain M, Slobedman B and Abendroth A (2020) Manipulation of the Innate Immune Response by Varicella Zoster Virus. Front. Immunol. 11:1. doi: 10.3389/firmmu.2020.00001 Keywords: varicella-zoster virus, immune evasion, innate immune response, herpes zoster (HZ), varicella (chickenpox)

INTRODUCTION

Varicella zoster virus (VZV) is a medically important human herpesvirus and infections are extremely common, with seroprevalence rates >90% in most populations around the world. Primary VZV infection causes chickenpox (varicella). The virus then establishes life-long latency in sensory neurons from where it can reactivate years later to cause shingles (herpes zoster), which is typified by a skin rash with a dermatomal distribution. Following herpes zoster rash resolution, many individuals continue to experience severe neuropathic pain, termed post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN), that can persist for months to years (1).

VZV is a member of the alphaherpesvirus family and is closely related to herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1). VZV is genetically stable, a property which is demonstrated by little nucleotide variation between isolates (2). The VZV virion is composed of a double stranded (ds) deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) genome, an icosahedral capsid, tegument, and envelope (3). The genome resides within the icosahedral capsid, which is composed of 162 capsomeres. The VZV genome is the smallest of the alphaherpesviruses and is composed of 71 unique open reading frames (ORFs) (4). Once VZV enters a host cell, a temporal cascade of gene expression occurs in which immediate early transactivating genes are expressed (5). This allows for the expression of early genes which are involved in VZV DNA replication. After viral DNA replication, late genes which encode for structural VZV proteins such as glycoproteins are expressed to allow the virus to egress from the host cell. VZV can be distinguished from other members of the alphaherpesvirus family as it exhibits a highly restricted host specificity to human and simian cells (6, 7).

One of the major obstacles in studying VZV pathogenesis and the host immune response is the virus' strict species specificity. Thus, our current knowledge has stemmed from clinical studies and examination of human tissues, experimental models of VZV infection *in vitro* utilizing human

1

cells and infection of human tissue xenografts implanted in severe combined immunodeficient (SCID-hu) mice, as well as observations from the simian varicella virus (SVV) infection of non-human primates, which has been used to model VZV infection *in vivo* (8). In this review, we draw upon a range of such studies to provide an update on how VZV interacts and manipulates early innate anti-viral responses in cell-types critical to VZV disease, encompassing both immune and nonimmune cells.

PATHOGENESIS OF VZV

Pathogenesis of Primary VZV Infection

In order to appreciate the innate anti-viral immune response to VZV it is important to review the pathogenesis of VZV infection (Figure 1). Primary infection is initiated through exposure to highly infectious vesicular fluid from cutaneous lesions or through inhalation of infectious respiratory droplets from an individual with varicella. It is presumed that VZV initiates infection in the epithelial mucosa of the upper respiratory tract, from where the virus gains access to immune cells in the tonsils and local lymphoid tissue. It has been postulated that dendritic cells (DCs) are the first immune cell type to become infected in the respiratory mucosa (9, 10). DCs extensively interact with other cells via direct contact, which would provide a mechanism for VZV to be transmitted to other immune cells in the tonsils, especially T cells (11). VZV infection then progresses to a viremia, which may include dissemination of virus to internal organs. During this phase of infection, there is a prolonged incubation period of typically 14-16 days in which there are no detectable symptoms. This is followed by the infection progressing back to the respiratory mucosa and spreading to the skin. It is at this site that symptoms develop, most notably via the infection of keratinocytes which results in a vesiculopustular exanthema, with highly infectious lesions, spread across the body, as well as mucous membranes such as the oral cavity (1, 12–14). During primary infection, VZV dissemination around the body is considered to be facilitated by the migration of infected T cells (15-17). This model of VZV pathogenesis is supported by clinical studies of immunocompetent patients with varicella, where VZV could be cultured from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated during the incubation phase of disease and peaking before the onset of the vesicular cutaneous rash (18, 19).

Primary varicella is resolved by the host immune response typically within 1–2 weeks. However, in the absence of a fully functional immune response, VZV may spread to other sites including the central nervous system (CNS) and lungs. Dissemination of infection may result in a number of serious complications, including VZV encephalitis, cerebellar ataxia, demyelinating neuropathy, myelitis, and pneumonia (20, 21).

During primary infection, despite a robust immune response, VZV is not completely eliminated from the host but rather the virus gains access to neurons in the sensory ganglia and establishes a life-long latent infection (22–24). The virus spreads to the sensory ganglia through retrograde axonal transport from free nerve endings in the skin (25, 26), and potentially via hematogenous spread in immune cells infiltrating the



ganglia (24, 27, 28). It has also been proposed that VZV can establish latency in the enteric nervous system, providing a possible explanation for cases linking VZV with gastrointestinal disorders (29, 30).

Pathogenesis of VZV Reactivation and Latency

Reactivation from latency causes herpes zoster (shingles), a neurocutaneous disease which occurs in 10–20% of seropositive individuals and involves anterograde axonal transport of virus

from the reactivating ganglia to the innervating dermatome (31–33). The incidence of herpes zoster is thought to correlate with a reduction in VZV-specific T cell mediated immunity (34, 35). Specifically, increasing age is a strong predisposing factor, with ~68% of herpes zoster cases occurring in individuals over 50 years of age (36). Concomitant infection with other pathogens can also influence VZV reactivation. Adults with disseminated non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections can reactivate latent VZV infection and this is associated with anti-IFN γ autoantibodies (37). Additionally, there has been evidence of concurrent reactivation of HSV-1 and VZV, however this occurs rarely (38). It is unclear whether specific pathogens can increase the likelihood of VZV reactivation or whether VZV reactivation during other infections is due to a weakened VZV specific immune response.

Herpes zoster rash development is often preceded by a prodrome of dermatomal pain and is clinically characterized by a unilateral cluster of lesions typically across a single dermatome, accompanied by localized pain of varying intensity, and neuritis. The cutaneous lesions contain infectious virus and provides another reservoir for virus transmission to other susceptible individuals (39). Occasionally VZV reactivates in individuals experiencing dermatomal restricted neuropathic pain but without cutaneous lesions present; a condition known as zoster sine herpete (pain without rash) (40).

Herpes zoster has the potential to severely impact an individual's quality of life. The most common complication of herpes zoster is PHN which is a pain persisting for months to years after herpes zoster rash resolution (41). PHN occurs in 5–30% of people who experience herpes zoster and the prevalence and severity increases dramatically with advancing age (42). To date the mechanisms underpinning PHN are not yet fully understood. Other complications associated with VZV reactivation include meningitis, vasculopathy (including giant cell arteritis), myelopathy, ocular manifestations including herpes zoster opthalmicus, acute retinal necrosis, and progressive outer retinal necrosis (24, 39).

VZV MODULATES APOPTOSIS IN A CELL TYPE SPECIFIC MANNER

Programmed cell death is a critical component of the intrinsic and innate immune response, as it allows for the rapid elimination of damaged or infected cells (43). Viral infection can trigger programmed cell death via multiple pathways such as sensing of the virus through pattern recognition receptors (PRR), damage to host cell DNA and endoplasmic reticulum stress (44). The main forms of programmed cell death initiated by viral infection include apoptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis (44). Apoptosis is a non-inflammatory form of programmed cell death, which can be distinguished by the cleavage of caspase 3 and has been considered to be the main cell death mechanism used (45). Necroptosis is an inflammatory form of cell death which shares some of the apoptosis biochemical pathway. In particular, if components of the apoptosis pathway are inhibited, necroptosis can be initiated, eventually causing the phosphorylation of mixed lineage kinase domain-like (MLKL) and the formation of pores at the cell membrane (46). Pyroptosis is mediated by the inflammasome which contains a PRR from the Nod-like receptor (NLR) family, the adaptor ASC and caspase-1. Inflammasome activation causes cell membrane disruption and is therefore also an inflammatory form of programmed cell death (46).

In the context of VZV, apoptosis has been the most comprehensively investigated programmed cell death pathway. Apoptosis contains distinct biochemical pathways, which are highly complex and involve an energy dependent cascade of molecular events (47, 48). Three apoptosis pathways have been identified: the extrinsic, intrinsic, and perforin/granzyme pathway. All of these pathways converge in the cleavage of caspase 3, the major hallmark of apoptosis induction. This causes DNA fragmentation, nuclear, and cytoskeletal protein degradation, formation of apoptotic bodies, and engulfment by phagocytes (49). Apoptosis can be triggered in viral infection through cellular damage, viral detection through PRRs or through natural killer (NK) cell or cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) recognition of target cells (43). CTLs and NK cells can kill virally infected cells through the expression of FasL which binds to Fas on the target cell and induces the extrinsic apoptotic pathway or through the delivery of perforin and granzyme B (50).

VZV Modulation of Apoptosis in Neuronal and Non-neuronal Cells

Interestingly, VZV has been shown to modulate apoptosis in a cell type specific manner. Specifically, VZV induces apoptosis in multiple skin cell types such as MeWo cells (51) and human fibroblasts (HFs) (52) (**Figure 2**). It was identified in MeWo cells that VZV infection caused a downregulation in Bcl-2 expression, a known anti-apoptotic protein (51). This downregulation of Bcl-2 has also been observed in SVV infection, where apoptosis was induced in infected monkey kidney cells via the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (53). It remains to be determined whether the downregulation of Bcl-2 directly leads to intrinsic apoptosis induction or whether there are other factors involved.

VZV has also been shown to induce apoptosis in immune cells such as T cells, B cells, and monocytes (54–56), however the factors which cause this induction are unclear. Investigating whether the downregulation of Bcl-2 occurs in VZV induced apoptosis in human immune cell types would be pertinent to determine whether VZV apoptosis induction occurs through a similar pathway in all cell types. Overall, it is not clear whether specific VZV gene products cause the induction of apoptosis as a strategy to increase viral dissemination, or rather whether the apoptosis induction is an intrinsic cellular response to limit viral replication and spread.

In contrast to some skin cell types and immune cells, VZV does not induce apoptosis in neurons (**Figure 2**). This was first identified in the context of primary human sensory ganglionic neurons, whereby VZV could productively infect dissociated human fetal dorsal root ganglia (DRG) cultures, but did not induce apoptosis (52). In intact human fetal ganglia, VZV was also shown to infect neurons without apoptosis induction (57). This phenomenon has been demonstrated in various other



neuronal models such as the SCID-hu xenograft DRG mouse model, where explanted human neurons displayed less apoptosis induction than was observed within VZV-infected SCID-hu skin cells (58). VZV also does not induce apoptosis in neurons derived from human neural stem cells (59, 60). Interestingly, in postmortem ganglia samples from patients with herpes zoster at the time of death, neurons were not identified as being apoptotic, however other cells within the ganglia did display apoptotic markers (61).

Contribution of VZV ORFs in the Inhibition of Apoptosis

The ability of VZV to protect neurons from apoptosis induction was attributed to ORF 63, using a recombinant virus which was able to express only one copy of the diploid ORF63 gene (62). However, as ORF63 is a potent viral transactivator, it was unclear whether its impact was due to an effect on another VZV ORF. More recently, using lentiviral expression of ORF63 in the differentiated SH-SY5Y neuronal cell line model, it was confirmed that VZV ORF63 could protect against intrinsic apoptosis induction (63). Interestingly, this was also observed in a human keratinocyte cell line known as HaCaTs, suggesting that ORF63 when expressed alone can protect multiple cell types from apoptosis induction (63). VZV infection was also shown not to induce apoptosis in HaCaT cells, a finding which has been previously reported in VZV-infected human papillomavirus (HPV)-immortalized keratinocytes (64). It would be interesting to examine VZV apoptosis induction in the context of primary human keratinocytes, as cell lines can have deficiencies in the apoptotic pathway, which makes them less sensitive to apoptosis induction (65, 66). To date it remains unclear as to why certain cell types are protected from apoptosis during VZV infection and others are not, however there is evidence to suggest that VZV alters the transcriptional profile of apoptotic genes differentially in neuronal cells vs. HFs (67).

Cell type specific modulation of apoptosis is a crucial component of VZV research due to its link to VZV pathogenesis. As VZV establishes life-long latency in neurons of the DRG, the inhibition of apoptosis in neurons is critical for viral maintenance of latency and the establishment of reactivation (68). In contrast, within productive infection in the skin, the induction of apoptosis in HFs may aid in viral dissemination. In the context of VZV ORF63, it will be useful to investigate whether it can protect other cell types when expressed by itself. If this were the case it would suggest that even in productive infection in HFs where apoptosis is induced, the gene product may delay the onset of apoptosis long enough for the virus to replicate. The ORF63 transcript is also one of the major transcripts produced during VZV latency (69), thus it may play a role in apoptosis protection in this context. The mechanism of ORF63 inhibition of apoptosis is still unknown but may be related to its relocalization within the cell during apoptosis induction (63).

Other VZV gene products have also been shown to play a role in apoptosis inhibition. For example, VZV ORF66 inhibits apoptosis in T cells, as evidenced by T cells undergoing apoptosis more readily when infected with a virus in which ORF66 protein expression is impaired (70). Investigation of whether ORF66 can protect against apoptosis when it is expressed alone in immune cells and other cell types would be a potential avenue for future research. VZV ORF12 has been shown to interact with the extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERK) signaling pathway in MeWos and fibroblasts (71, 72). This optimizes the capacity for viral replication and causes the inhibition of the apoptosis pathway (71, 72). Specifically, ORF12 enhances the phosphorylation and activation of Akt in a Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase dependent manner (PI3K) (73). This activation was associated with increased levels of cyclin B1, cyclin D3, and the phosphorylation of glycogen synthase 3β (GSK- 3β) (73), which are crucial in advancement of the cell-cycle. It has also been reported that the activation of ERK signaling pathway causes the phosphorylation and inhibition of Bim (74). Bim is a pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family that is usually involved in the propagation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (75). Thus, the ability of ORF12 to stimulate cell cycle progression via the ERK signaling pathway can also cause the inhibition of intrinsic apoptosis (74). The effect of ORF12 on apoptosis and cell-cycle progression in neurons is yet to be investigated.

It is clear VZV encodes multiple ORFs with anti-apoptotic mechanisms, demonstrating the importance of modulating apoptosis for viral replication and spread. Interestingly, when expressed alone or deleted from VZV, these genes have an anti-apoptotic effect in cell types where VZV is known to induce apoptosis. It will be important to determine whether these gene products delay the onset of apoptosis in vulnerable cell types during VZV infection as this could be a critical component of VZV pathogenesis in the skin and during reactivation. Furthermore, it would be beneficial to determine whether VZV

can protect against other forms of cell death, as when apoptosis is inhibited other cell death forms such as necroptosis can occur to limit viral spread (46). HSV-1 has been shown to inhibit necroptosis (76) and as VZV is closely related to HSV-1, this warrants investigation in the context of VZV.

INNATE IMMUNE RECOGNITION AND VZV INTERFERENCE

The innate immune response to VZV involves the recognition of viral pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) via PRRs, which triggers inflammatory cytokine secretion and/or cell death. Of the Toll-like receptors (TLRs), Wang and coworkers demonstrated that exposure of monocytes to VZV induced TLR2 and NFkB dependent secretion of interleukin (IL)-6. Furthermore, this report suggested sensing of VZV involved cell-surface TLR2 binding to virion envelope glycoproteins (77). Recently, sensing of VZV through endosomal TLR3, which senses dsRNA has also been proposed (78). The significance of TLR3 sensing initiating anti-VZV responses has been inferred from individuals with defects in genes of the TLR3 pathway suffering from severe varicella resulting in VZV encephalitis (78). Interestingly, there has also been evidence of patients with TLR3 mutations suffering from HSV-1 encephalitis but not VZV encephalitis (79). This may suggest that differing mutations in TLR3 may predispose patients to different susceptibilities to viral infections or that TLR3 sensing is more critical for controlling HSV-1 than VZV. Patients with mutations in downstream components of TLR signaling such as interleukin-1 receptorassociated kinase 4 (IRAK-4) and MyD88 are not susceptible to viral infections such as VZV, highlighting the functional redundancy in the TLR pathogen sensing pathway (80). In particular, it has been shown that IRAK-4 deficient patients can control viral infection through both TLR3 or TLR independent production of type I IFN (81).

TLR3 is known to be expressed in human neurons and peripheral nerve Schwann cells (82, 83), thus implying TLR3 may play a pivotal role in controlling VZV spread in the nervous system. More recently there was a case report describing a 28 year old individual suffering from multiple recurrences of herpes zoster opthalmicus- a disease primarily seen in immunocompromised individuals or elderly individuals following VZV reactivation (84). This study revealed a novel TLR3 variant in an otherwise immunocompetent individual was associated with recurrent herpes zoster opthalmicus. Interestingly, the patient's fibroblasts but not antigen presenting cells (APCs) showed an inability to respond to stimulation with a TLR3 agonist (84). This report further supports the notion of TLR3 in innate activation and control of VZV infection.

Retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-1) is a cytoplasmic PRR which senses both RNA and DNA viruses and can result in the production of the type I IFN response (85). Knockdown of RIG-1 in the context of VZV infection does not affect viral titers in MRC-5 cells, however in human dermal fibroblasts (HDF) RIG-1 overexpression caused a significant suppression of viral replication (86). This suggests that in HDF a RIG-1 induced IFN response may play a role in controlling VZV infection, however RIG-1 is not essential for the control of VZV replication (86). As of yet there have been no VZV ORFs implicated in the inhibition of RIG-1 sensing, however VZV ORFs do target downstream transcription factors such as NF κ B, that are involved in the production of inflammatory cytokines (87).

Monocytes and other myeloid cells are also able to sense virus through NLRs, which trigger a pro-inflammatory response through inflammasome formation (88). Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that VZV induces the formation of an inflammasome through the NLR, NLRP3, leading to secretion of pro-inflammatory IL-1 β following infection of the monocytic THP-1 cell line (89). Furthermore, in SCID-hu mice with human skin xenografts, NLRP3 was detected throughout VZV infected skin, indicating a function for NLRP3 inflammasomes in local cutaneous immunity (89). The role of NLRP3 inflammasomes and whether VZV can actively modulate this at other key sites of infection such as human ganglia has yet to be explored.

Another intrinsic defense mechanism limiting VZV infection in human skin is the formation of promyelocytic leukemia (PML) cages in infected epidermal cells, which trap VZV nucleocapsids resulting in inhibition of virion assembly (90). Wang and colleagues demonstrated that the ability of VZV ORF61 to bind small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) is required to counterbalance PML nuclear body-mediated control of VZV replication, and enable the formation of skin lesions during varicella and herpes zoster (91). Recently it has been shown that human skin cells including dermal fibroblasts and HaCaT keratinocytes can sense cytosolic VZV DNA through stimulator of interferon genes (STING), triggering secretion of type I and III interferons, which limited VZV replication (86).

VZV MODULATION OF THE INTERFERON (IFN) RESPONSES

Interferons (IFNs) are key anti-viral cytokines that mediate their activity through the induction or upregulation of a suite of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs), which have a range of antiviral activities (92). Recognition of incoming pathogens by both cell-surface and intracellular PRRs initiates a signaling cascade driving the production of type I IFNs through the action of key transcription factors including interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 3 and NF- κ B. The IFNs produced can then signal through canonical IFN receptors on the cell-surface leading to activation of a JAK-STAT signaling cascade to drive ISG production (92).

Clinical Observations Regarding the Importance of IFN in the Control of VZV Infection

Given the key role of IFNs in controlling many viral infections it is unsurprising that IFNs can also profoundly modulate VZV infection. This is emphasized by a number of *in vivo* observations. More than 30 years ago a clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of IFN α in inhibiting VZV infection in children suffering from cancer indicated that IFN treatment could limit the dissemination of severe varicella lesions (93). Analogously, in the SCID-hu skin model of VZV infection, blocking the type I IFN receptor by neutralizing antibody led to a 10-fold increase in virus titer compared to control antibody treated mice (16).

Patients presenting with primary immunodeficiencies characterized by defects in interferon signaling pathways are also associated with acute VZV infection. Recently four cases of otherwise healthy children presenting with severe VZV infections in both the lungs and CNS were identified as having missense mutations in individual subunits of RNA polymerase III (94). RNA polymerase III acts as a sensor of AT-rich DNA that can drive IFN production (95). Leukocytes isolated from such patients had significantly reduced capacity to transcribe both type I and type III IFNs following stimulation with AT-rich DNA which is a specific characteristic of the VZV, but not other, herpesvirus genomes (94). In a separate study, it was reported that two adult patients suffering from severe VZV infections of the CNS also had mutations in specific RNA polymerase III subunits (96). Cells isolated from such RNA polymerase III deficient patients also demonstrated enhanced susceptibility to VZV infection in vitro (94, 96). Other primary immunodeficiencies associated with VZV infection and defects in IFN signaling and/or production include defects in DOCK2 (97), DOCK8 (98), and the IFNy receptor (99).

Patients with rare genetic defects in downstream components of the type I IFN signaling pathway such as STAT1, TYK2, and NEMO have been shown to increase susceptibility to viral infections such as varicella (100–103). Susceptibility to viral infection has also been reported in patients with mutations in STAT2 (104). STAT2 helps form the ISGF3 complex which binds to IFN sensitive response elements (ISRE) (105). These patients had VZV infection but did not experience severe complications, which questions the importance of type I IFN in controlling VZV infection (104). Interferon independent pathways have also been shown to play a critical role in the control of viral infection and may be able to compensate for the lack of type I IFN response in these patients (106).

VZV Modulation of IFN Signaling Pathways

The key regulatory role of interferons during VZV infection is underlined by the range of mechanisms encoded by the virus to regulate both the production of and response to IFNs. VZV encodes at least three gene functions that can limit the production of type I IFN with a particular focus on disruption of signaling through IRF3. The serine threonine kinase encoded by the ORF47 gene induces an atypical phosphorylation of IRF3 which inhibits the self-dimerization of IRF3 required for efficient IFNβ induction (107). ORF61 can directly interact with the IRF3 protein promoting IRF3 ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation (108). The IE62 protein was also demonstrated to block IRF3 phosphorylation at three specific residues on IRF3, inhibiting activation of an IFN stimulated reporter element construct (109). Given the key role of NFκB in amplifying type I IFN transcription it is likely that the identified role for E3 ubiquitin ligase domain of ORF61 in limiting TNF induced NF-KB activation (87) will also contribute to the inhibitory effect of VZV infection on IFN induction.

More recently, it has been identified that VZV can induce suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) to modulate type I IFN signaling and viral replication (110). Multiple viruses have been shown to increase SOCS3 expression during infection to suppress signal transduction activated by IFN β (111). VZV infection of fibroblasts (MRC-5) and macrophages (THP-1) caused an increase in IFN α and IFN β transcripts in early phases of infection whereas in keratinocytes (HaCaTs) IFN α and IFN β transcripts persisted until later time-points (110). As these cells were infected at a 1:1 ratio with VZV infected HFFs, it is unclear whether inoculating VZV infected HFFs, could be masking the effect of VZV infection on IFN α and IFN β transcription in these different cell types. An elevation in SOCS3 protein expression was correlated to a reduction in phosphorylation of STAT3 which is required to drive type I IFN induced gene expression (110). As the effects of mock inoculating HFFs were not addressed in the protein analysis of SOCS3 and pSTAT3, it may be pertinent to perform cell associated infections with the same cell type to exclude effects of using different inoculating cells. Overall, it would be interesting to determine if the induction of SOCS3 by VZV extends to different cell types such as neurons and if so, what is driving the increased expression of SOCS3 in the context of VZV infection. When SOCS3 was knocked down in MRC-5 cells, VZV viral gene expression was inhibited suggesting that the induction of SOCS3 by VZV may be critical for VZV spread and pathogenesis (110).

VZV Modulation of IFN in Immune Cells

VZV infection can also target type I and II IFN production through direct infection of immune subsets that play a vital role in anti-viral immunity. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) have the capacity to secrete significant amounts of IFNa following appropriate stimulation (112). Work from our laboratory first identified the tropism of VZV for pDCs both in vivo and in vitro, with VZV infected pDCs significantly inhibited in their capacity to produce IFN α after stimulation with a TLR9 agonist (113). More recently our identification of the pronounced tropism of VZV for primary human NK cells (114) (covered in more detail in section on NK cells and VZV) led to the observation that such cells have a greatly diminished capacity to produce the type II IFN, IFNy, following stimulation with PMA/ionomycin (115). Given the tropism of VZV for potent immune effector cells it will be intriguing to determine if this inhibition of IFNy production also extends to other immune cells, such as CD4⁺ T cells, that also have the capacity to produce this key anti-viral cytokine.

VZV also has the capacity to regulate the activity of both type I and type II IFNs through disruption of signaling downstream of IFN receptor binding. Following IFN γ stimulation, STAT1 phosphorylation, a key signaling event in intracellular transduction of IFN, was increased in human tonsillar T cells infected with an ORF66 mutant compared to cells infected with the parental virus (70), implicating this immunomodulatory protein in this response. This mirrors the situation in HFs where IFN γ -induced MHC class II expression was significantly reduced in VZV infected cells through inhibition of STAT1 and Jak2 protein expression (116). Use of the SVV model of VZV infection indicated that SVV can inhibit IFN α and IFN γ induced ISG expression (117, 118) including ISG15 and Mx1 with such phenotypes recapitulated with ectopic expression of SVV ORF63 alone (117). Heterologous expression of the VZV homolog ORF63 in HFs also reduced levels of IRF9 mirroring the simian homolog. STAT2 phosphorylation although reduced during VZV infection was not targeted by ORF63 (117), suggesting additional as of yet unidentified viral gene products are responsible.

Despite the numerous identified mechanisms that VZV employs to regulate the effects of IFN, it is clear that in vitro IFNs have the capacity to directly inhibit VZV infection. Comparison of the ability of IFNa and IFNy to block infection demonstrated that IFNy has more pronounced effects on VZV replication in human embryonic lung fibroblasts (119). Another recent report indicates there are cell type specific activities in the relative ability of IFN β and IFN γ to limit virus production. IFNy could profoundly inhibit VZV production in ARPE-19, A549, MRC-5 but had only very limited capacity to inhibit infection in MeWo cells, where IFNB retained the capacity to significantly reduce viral yield (120). IFNy could also promote survival of VZV infected neurons to potentially ensure the efficient establishment of latency (121). More recently, Como and colleagues demonstrated that Type I IFNs had an inhibitory effect on VZV replication and spread in VZV infected human iPSC derived neurons in vitro (122). Furthermore, the SCID-hu DRG model revealed VZV infection of DRG resulted in an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines as well as IFN α and IFN γ (123). Further studies to understand the distinct activities of type I and II IFNs in regulating infection will potentially tease apart the roles played by distinct IFNs in regulating infection during different phases of the viral lifecycle. Similarly the role of type III IFNs in viral infections is becoming clear, particularly at mucosal sites, and a recent report indicated that VZV infection can promote IFN λ 1/3 and IFN λ 2 production in keratinocytes in a STING dependent manner and IFN^{\(\lambda\)} has direct anti-viral activity in vitro (86). Additional study will be required to fully define the role of type III IFN (IFN λ) during VZV infection.

VZV INFECTION OF DENDRITIC CELLS AND MODULATION OF IMMUNE FUNCTIONS

DCs are key immune effectors during viral infection as they are professional APCs instrumental in inducing and modulating anti-viral immune responses. DCs are closely implicated during VZV disease as they are present in lymph nodes and other lymphoid tissues significant to VZV pathogenesis, such as tonsils, as well as residing and migrating through the skin (124). DCs sense invading pathogens and induce innate and initiate adaptive immune responses. DCs have the ability to uptake viral proteins, process, and present antigenic peptides loaded onto major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and class II molecules that can be subsequently recognized by CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells, respectively. The interaction of DCs and antigen-specific T cells results in T cell activation and culminates in defining the phenotype of T cells, and instructs the overall immune response against a viral pathogen, such as VZV (125). Given the pivotal role DCs play in the innate and adaptive arms of the immune response to viruses, they have been postulated to be a prime target for viruses, seeking to evade and/or delay the host response by disrupting their immune function (126).

VZV Infection of Human Monocyte Derived Dendritic Cells

There have been a number of studies exploring the interaction between VZV and DCs. Work from our laboratory first identified that VZV could productively infect human monocyte derived dendritic cells (MDDCs) in vitro and this led to efficient transmission of virus to T cells (9). These findings supported the hypothesis that DCs may be a major target for VZV infection and facilitate virus transport from the site of VZV entry (mucosal sites) to draining lymph nodes where the virus infects T cells. The importance of T cell tropism and dissemination of virus to the skin was elegantly shown by Ku and co-workers, in which SCIDhu mice with human skin grafts inoculated with VZV infected human T cells, developed VZV skin lesions (16). The importance of the DC/T cell axis during VZV dissemination is further supported from SVV experiments. Ouwendijk and co-workers identified infected DC-like cells in the lungs of African green monkeys infected with a recombinant SVV expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (SVV-EGFP) virus and during viremia, SVV was observed in memory T cells (28).

VZV infected MDDCs in vitro showed no significant decrease in cell viability or evidence of apoptosis (9). These results imply VZV has evolved a strategy to limit or prevent the onset of apoptosis in DCs. As discussed earlier, this may provide a transient advantage to the virus, allowing VZV to successfully disseminate during the first critical days after primary infection. Analogously, others have employed the in vitro MDDC infection model to demonstrate that the VZV vaccine strain (V-Oka) and virulent VZV clinical isolates equally infect these immune cells (127). Furthermore, Hu and Cohen utilized viruses unable to express VZV ORF10, ORF32, ORF57, or ORF66 proteins and demonstrated there was no impairment for infection of immature DCs. In contrast, when an ORF47 mutant virus was used to inoculate the MDDCs, there was a reduction in VZV infection, suggesting the ORF, which encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase, was required to promote VZV replication (128). These in vitro based MDDC infection studies provided an impetus to study the interaction of VZV with various DC cell subsets in vivo.

VZV Infection of Langerhans Cells and Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells

In the skin, a major site for VZV disease, it has been demonstrated via immunostaining of VZV infected skin lesions that there is a significantly reduced frequency of Langerhans cells (LCs) (113, 127), extending an earlier case report which examined CD1a expression in VZV-infected skin (129). These observations suggest activation and migration of LCs to draining lymph nodes (113, 127). In stark contrast, infiltration of pDCs and other inflammatory DCs was observed in varicella and herpes zoster skin lesions (113, 127, 130). In our assessment of DC subsets in skin during natural VZV infection we explored whether these

cells were infected by immunofluorescence (IFA) staining. We identified sporadic VZV antigen-positive LCs in the epidermis and VZV antigen-positive pDCs in regions of cellular infiltrate in the dermis of VZV infected skin (113). Notably the subcellular localization of VZV antigen staining within these DC subsets was consistent with replicating virus, indicating these cells are productively infected in vivo (113). We extended these analyses to demonstrate that pDCs and MUTZ-3-derived LC in vitro are permissive to productive VZV infection (113). Furthermore, Gutzeit and colleagues demonstrated that human skin LCs and dermal conventional DCs isolated ex vivo, when exposed to a virulent VZV strain or v-OKA, were susceptible to VZV infection (127). Together, these in vitro and in vivo based reports highlight the permissiveness of a range of DC subsets to VZV. The next key question is whether virus infection of these DC subsets impacts their functionality.

VZV Modulation of MDDC Function

VZV infection of human DCs has been shown to result in the modulation of cell-surface receptor phenotype and immune functions. Mature MDDCs, like their immature counterparts, are also susceptible to productive VZV infection (10) which results in the selective downregulation of key cell-surface immune molecules such as MHC I, CD80, CD83, and CD86. The cumulative effect is reduced stimulation of allogeneic T cells, thus indicating VZV actively manipulates the functional capacity of DCs by rendering them as inefficient activators of T cells (10). It has been previously reported that VZV ORF66, a protein kinase, has the ability to retain MHC I molecules in the Golgi of infected fibroblasts and MeWo cells (131, 132). However, the viral gene product(s) and molecular mechanism by which VZV modulates cell-surface immune molecule expression on mature MDDCs has yet to be elucidated.

Moreover, VZV has been reported to reduce cell-surface expression of apoptosis receptor Fas on infected immature and mature MDDCs, whereas surface levels of MHC II remain unchanged (128). However, the mechanism of Fas regulation in MDDCs is currently unknown. VZV infected immature MDDCs are unable to upregulate the functionally important immune molecules CD80, CD83, CD86, MHC I, and CCR7, which are essential for DC maturation and induction of an effective anti-viral responses (9). The NFkB pathway largely regulates the expression of these immune molecules. Interestingly, VZV has been shown in human epidermal and MDDCs to directly interfere with the host cell NFkB pathway by sequestering NFkB proteins within the cell cytoplasm (87, 133). Furthermore, the E3 ubiquitin ligase domain of VZV ORF61 was required to modulate this pathway, downstream of triggering receptors TLR3, TLR8, and TLR9 (87). Use of the SVV model indicated that SVV, like VZV, can prevent ubiquitination of IkBa and additionally prevents the phosphorylation of IkBa (134). This study also revealed that in addition to SVV ORF61, SVV is likely to encode additional modulators of NFkB signaling, as an ORF61 deletion virus retained its capacity to prevent IkBa phosphorylation and degradation. Thus, it remains possible that both VZV and SVV encode additional ORFs that afford evasion of NFκB signaling.

VZV Modulation of pDC Function

VZV infection of pDCs and epidermal cells has been observed to occur in the absence of an increase in the type I cytokine IFN α production (16, 113). This is of particular interest for pDCs, as a distinctive functional characteristic is their potent ability to synthesize IFN α following virus infection. Significantly, VZV infected pDCs remain refractory to IFN α production, even when stimulated with a TLR-9 agonist. In the future, it will be important to further define the mechanistic basis of VZV modulating IFN α production by pDCs and identify any viral gene(s) which encode this function. Additionally, pDC also secrete cytokines and chemokines that stimulate activation of effector cells, including B cells, T cells, NK, NKT cells, and also function to present viral antigen to T lymphocytes (135, 136). Elucidating whether VZV interferes with these other pDC functions during infection will therefore be an important consideration of studies to fully define the functional impact of VZV infection of pDCs.

Interestingly, Gutzeit and colleagues reported the secretion of signature Th1 cytokines (IFN γ and IL-12) was enhanced following infection of MDDCs with (v-OKA) but blocked by a VZV clinical isolate. This impairment of IL-12 secretion was shown to be due to a viral disruption of signaling downstream of TLR2, and proposed to be most likely caused by a VZV glycoprotein within the virion envelope (127). Thus, VZV subversion of the Th1-promoting instruction of human DCs is



FIGURE 3 | VZV interactions with human dendritic cell subsets and monocytes Immature dendritic cells (DC) are distinguishable from mature DC via differing expression levels of surface markers such as MHC II, CD80, CD83, CD86, CD54, and CD40 (**A**). VZV has been shown to productively infect human immature and mature monocyte derived dendritic cells and selectively regulate expression of key cell-surface molecules such as CD80, CD83, and CD86 in virus infected cells (**B**). VZV can also productively infect human Langerhans cells (LCs) an plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) in the skin (**C**). VZV infection of pDCs *in vitro* results in the inhibition of IFN α production. VZV also productively infects human monocytes and macrophages in culture.

a novel immune evasion mechanism of clinical VZV isolates. In sum, VZV has encoded a plethora of immune evasion tactics when engaging with various DC subsets (**Figure 3**). It remains important to further elucidate the molecular mechanisms as well as define the viral proteins directly responsible for these immune evasion strategies. VZV like other herpesvirus family members is likely to encode more than one strategy to manipulate DC functions to provide a transient advantage to the virus.

VZV INFECTION AND MANIPULATION OF MONOCYTES AND MACROPHAGES

Monocytes and macrophages play a key role in pathogen sensing, immune defense against infection and are important players in resolving inflammation (137). These cells are capable of potent inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses that define the activation and suppression of a broad range of immune cells (138). There are several different types of macrophages which can be found at various sites within the host and how they respond to different viruses may vary. Given their location in circulation, migratory capacity and tissue-residency, these cell types are highly likely to interact with VZV during the early innate response.

VZV viremia is associated with primary VZV infection and reactivation, and the interaction between VZV and mononuclear cells during these stages of infection has been well-documented (139-141); reviewed in White and Gilden (142). VZV DNA is observable in many mononuclear cell subsets, although few were extensively characterized (19, 143-146). Previously, little focus was drawn on the susceptibility of individual subsets of mononuclear cells to VZV infection, with monocytes and macrophages being no exceptions. Although magnetically isolated CD14⁺ cells from varicella patients harbor detectable copies of VZV ORF62 and VZV gB transcripts (147), original studies exposing primary isolated human monocytes to VZV did not corroborate these findings, suggesting that VZV infection in monocytes was abortive (148, 149). Interestingly, further studies went on to detect VZV gE expression on CD4⁻/CD8⁻ populations of mononuclear cells which were presumed to be monocytes (144). This was subsequently substantiated by a series of reports by Köenig and co-workers, who isolated monocytes from fresh PBMCs and identified VZV gE expression by IFA (54).

More recently however, our laboratory performed an investigation into the susceptibility of human monocytes and macrophages to VZV infection. We reported productive VZV infection of both freshly isolated human monocytes and differentiated macrophages (56). Interestingly, macrophages were highly permissive to VZV infection. This report went on to address the influence of VZV infection of these cell types, indicating that VZV infection influences the antigen presentation potential of monocytes, and predicted that VZV infection substantially impacts monocytes longevity and subsequent ability to generate site-specific macrophages. The failure of VZV infected monocytes to differentiate into monocyte derived macrophages is likely due to reduced viability of infected cells and not the inability of macrophages to support a productive infection. The capacity of VZV to productively infect and modulate the function of monocytes may enhance the ability of VZV to establish an infection in the host.

This work was corroborated by a report demonstrating VZV infection of monocytes, NKT cells and B lymphocytes (150) and by productive infection of a THP-1 monocytic cell line (89). Although evidence suggesting monocyte differentiation to macrophage may be influenced by VZV infection *in vivo*, macrophage infection *in vitro* has previously been observed (56, 148). As such, it is likely that although monocytes and macrophages represent a dynamic axis for the induction and maintenance of anti-viral states, VZV is able to counteract this effective branch of the innate immune system through direct infection and immune evasion strategies.

NK CELLS AND VZV: CONTROL AND EVASION

NK cells are innate cytotoxic lymphocytes that play a significant role in the immune response against viral infection (151). In peripheral blood, NK cells represent \sim 5–15% of circulating lymphocytes, while also populating additional key sites for antiviral immunity such as tonsils, lymph nodes, spleen, lungs, and bone marrow. NK cells can rapidly migrate to sites of inflammation where their activity toward infected cells is mediated by the integration of signals from germline-encoded activating and inhibitory receptors. Activated NK cells will release cytotoxic granules containing perforin and granzymes across the immune synapse, triggering lysis of the infected cell. Additionally, NK cells are potent producers of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN γ and tumor necrosis factor (TNF).

Importance of NK Cells in the Control of VZV Infection

The significance of NK cells in the control of VZV infection is particularly apparent in cases of NK cell deficiency. A common motif in individuals with NK cell deficiencies is increased susceptibility to developing severe, often fatal, herpesvirus infections, especially VZV disease (152-157). These case studies indicate that robust NK cell immunity is required for the control of VZV infection. In immunocompetent hosts, several reports have documented increased frequencies of NK cells (158-161), suggesting an active response to infection. Furthermore, in a study of life-threatening varicella cases it was reported that circulating NK cell numbers were significantly lower compared to cases of mild infection, with counts subsequently normalizing during convalescence (160). Recently it has also been demonstrated that NK cells can be rapidly recruited to sites of VZV antigen challenge in previously exposed hosts (162). In vitro experiments have also demonstrated that VZV infected cells are sensitive to granulysin (163)-a cytotoxic protein secreted by NK cells as well as cytotoxic T cells. Together, these observations imply a central role for NK cells in the anti-viral immune response to VZV.

While NK cells constitute a key arm of the early innate immune response, VZV can also infect NK cells, potentially

using them to disseminate virus (114, 150). During primary infection, the spread of VZV to different sites in the body is considered to be facilitated by the migration of infected T cells (15, 16). This has been supported by clinical observations of immunocompetent patients with varicella, where VZV could be cultured from PBMCs with lymphocyte morphology isolated during the early stages of infection (144, 164). Later reports then sought to confirm VZV infection of T cells and B cells in patients with varicella (146, 147, 165), and extensive studies have since elegantly investigated the role of T cells in VZV infection (166). However, reports identifying T cell and B cell infection overlooked the third major lymphocyte population present in peripheral blood-NK cells. It is likely that the delayed development of the NK cell field in comparison to the fields of T cell and B cell immunology accounts for these earlier studies failing to acknowledge a possible role for NK cells in VZV pathogenesis. Work from our laboratory demonstrated that human NK cells, in particular the CD56^{dim} subset which predominates in blood, are highly permissive to productive infection with both clinical and vaccine strains of VZV (114). Moreover, VZV infected NK cells are capable of transmitting infection to epithelial or fibroblast cells in culture and can upregulate skin-homing chemokine receptors, suggesting a potential role in viral dissemination during pathogenesis (114). Jones and co-workers in a later study also demonstrated VZV infection of PBMC derived NK cells (150). A case report of severe, persistent varicella identified VZV DNA in NK cells, amongst other lymphocyte populations (161), however targeted investigation of NK cell infection in additional varicella patients is needed to corroborate the in vitro findings.

VZV Manipulation of NK Cell Function

VZV encodes a number of immune modulatory components to interfere with NK cell detection of infected target cells. Like all other herpesviruses, VZV downregulates the expression of MHC I on the surface of infected cells, which would limit effective CD8⁺ T cell detection of infection (131, 132, 167). However, in response to this common evasion strategy, the immune system counterbalances with NK cell activity which is activated in the absence of cell-surface MHC I. Further modulation of the infected cell-surface is thus required for the virus to reduce detection and clearance by both T cells and NK cells. Specifically, VZV has been shown to reduce cell-surface expression of ULBP2 and ULBP3 (168)-two of eight human ligands detected by the ubiquitously expressed activating NK cell receptor, NKG2D. Interestingly, a third NKG2D ligand, MICA, was found to be upregulated at the total protein level and on the cell-surface of VZV infected cells (168). The differential regulation of NKG2D ligands by VZV is evidence of the dynamic interplay between the virus and NK cell-mediated immune control (Figure 4). Additional evasion of NK cell activity is likely to occur through the downregulation of intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) (129, 169), which is required for NK and T cell adhesion to target cells to form an immune synapse and clear infected cells. In vitro assays have demonstrated that NK cell activity is not enhanced when co-cultured with VZV infected target cells (168), suggesting that VZV sufficiently modulates interactions with NK cells to limit detection and activation. Given the pronounced modulation of these NKG2D ligands and ICAM-1 it will be important for future studies to identity the viral gene products responsible and their mechanisms of action.

In addition to lysing target cells through receptor-ligand interactions, NK cells can also mediate target cell death through antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC). Expression of CD16 (FcyRIII) on NK cells allows engagement of IgG antibodies bound to a target cell, which typically occurs during anti-pathogen immune responses. VZV infected and bystander NK cells, however, potently downregulate cell-surface expression of CD16 (114), which would hinder ADCC function. Notably, this observation has also recently been documented in vivo where CD16 expression was significantly reduced on NK cells that had infiltrated the site of VZV antigen challenge (162). A third mechanism of NK cell cytotoxicity is achieved through Fas-Fas ligand (FasL) interactions which stimulate apoptosis of the Fas-expressing cell. VZV has been shown to reduce cell-surface expression of Fas on infected DCs (128), which would limit NK cell induction of apoptosis in these infected cells. Additionally, VZV infected NK cells themselves have been reported to upregulate expression of programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) (150), potentially impeding effective immune responses through the inhibitory signal this transmits. Overall, these alterations to the cell-surface landscape of infected cells are likely to protect VZV from effective immune clearance by NK cells.

Not only does VZV regulate detection of infected cells, we have recently shown that it directly impairs NK cell function (**Figure 4**). Both infected NK cells and those merely exposed to VZV in co-culture are rendered unresponsive to subsequent target cell stimulation (115). This potent paralysis of NK cell function was found to be dependent on direct contact between NK cells and VZV infected cells. In support of this finding, a report of patients with herpes zoster observed impaired NK cell activity against target cells (158). More recently, decreased serum levels of granulysin has also been reported in varicella patients (170). As the cell count of circulating NK cells was unchanged in these patients, it was suggested that NK cell activity was decreased during varicella, which supports the *in vitro* characterization of inhibited NK cell function by VZV.

Lastly, an important function of NK cells is the secretion of immune modulating cytokines. In relation to the control of VZV, IFNy, and TNF are readily secreted by NK cells and have strong inhibitory effects on VZV replication (119, 171, 172). These cytokines are also found to be elevated in the serum of varicella patients (173, 174). Despite this, it has been demonstrated in vitro that VZV diminishes NK cell secretion of both IFNy and TNF (115) (Figure 4). This serves as another example of the dichotomy between immune activity necessary for control of VZV and the evasion strategies employed by the virus. As genetically plastic pathogens, viruses only maintain genes of benefit to the survival of the virus, and thus the extent of evasion strategies that subvert NK cell immunity indicates the significance of this cell type in controlling VZV infection. Despite this, our understanding of how VZV interacts with NK cells is only beginning, with many of the most extensive studies on this



topic being published in only the last few years. It is likely that we still have much to uncover about the complex interplay between NK cells and VZV.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

VZV has co-evolved with the human host for millions of years (175). In that time there has likely been a dynamic interplay between the emergence of host anti-viral immune responses and subsequently viral mechanisms to evade these defenses. Sensing of viral components and subsequent host cell damage can initiate cell death, the production of type I IFN and pro-inflammatory cytokines to restrict viral spread. VZV produces multiple ORFs

such as ORF12, ORF66, and ORF63 to inhibit apoptosis in cells critical for viral dissemination and the establishment of lifelong latency. Additionally, VZV can interfere with the type 1 IFN pathway and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines through the inhibition of pathway components such as IRF3 and NF κ B. With the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines innate immune cells such as monocytes, macrophages, DCs, and NK cells can target VZV infected cells. VZV has been shown to infect these key immune cells and is able to modulate their function. In this respect, VZV infection modulates expression of key cell-surface immune molecules on DCs, impacts their APC capacity. Furthermore, VZV infection influences the antigen presentation potential of monocytes, and substantially impacts monocytes longevity and ability to generate site-specific macrophages. Recently, VZV was shown to functionally impair NK cells in both their ability to secrete cytokines and lyse virally infected target cells through NK cell dependent cytotoxicity.

There are still many areas of VZV encoded innate immunity manipulation that warrant further investigation. For example, exploring whether VZV protect against other forms of cell death, as when apoptosis is inhibited other cell death forms can occur to limit viral spread. Additional study will be required to fully define the role of type III IFNs during VZV infection. Specifically it will be of interest to understand the distinct activities of type I, II, and III IFNs in regulating infection as this will potentially dissect the roles played by distinct IFNs in regulating infection during different phases of the viral lifecycle. Despite VZV being shown to modulate immune functions of different DC subsets, the molecular mechanisms and VZV proteins directly responsible for these immune evasion strategies has yet to be elucidated. Finally, recent data showing NK cells and other immune cells within PBMC compartment can be infected with VZV provides

REFERENCES

- Arvin A, Gilden D. Varicella-zoster virus. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM, editors. *Fields Virology*, 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins (2013). p. 2015–2057.
- Barrett-Muir W, Hawrami K, Clarke J, Breuer J. Investigation of varicellazoster virus variation by heteroduplex mobility assay. In: Gershon AA, Calisher CH, Arvin AM, editors. *Immunity to and Prevention of Herpes Zoster*. Springer (2001). p. 17–25. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-6259-0_3
- Straus SE, Ostrove JM, Inchauspć G, Felser JM, Freifeld A, Croen KD, et al. Varicella-zoster virus infections: biology, natural history, treatment, and prevention. *Ann Intern Med.* (1988) 108:221–37. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-108-2-221
- Pellett P, Roizman B. Herpesviridae. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM, editors. Fields Virology, 6th ed. Vol. 2. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins (2013). p. 1802–22.
- Depledge DP, Sadaoka T, Ouwendijk WJ. Molecular aspects of varicellazoster virus latency. Viruses. (2018) 10:349. doi: 10.3390/v10070349
- Myers MG, Connelly BL. Animal models of varicella. J Infect Dis. (1992) 166:S48–S50. doi: 10.1093/infdis/166.Supplement_1.S48
- Cohen JI, Brunell PA, Straus SE, Krause PR. Recent advances in varicella-zoster virus infection. Ann Intern Med. (1999) 130:922–32. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-130-11-199906010-00017
- Laemmle LL, Goldstein R, Kinchington PR. Modeling varicella zoster virus persistence and reactivation–closer to resolving a perplexing persistent state. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:1634. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01634
- Abendroth A, Morrow G, Cunningham AL, Slobedman B. Varicella-zoster virus infection of human dendritic cells and transmission to T cells: implications for virus dissemination in the host. *J Virol.* (2001) 75:6183–92. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.13.6183-6192.2001
- Morrow G, Slobedman B, Cunningham AL, Abendroth A. Varicella-zoster virus productively infects mature dendritic cells and alters their immune function. J Virol. (2003) 77:4950–9. doi: 10.1128/JVI.77.8.4950-4959.2003
- Ku CC, Padilla JA, Grose C, Butcher EC, Arvin AM. Tropism of varicellazoster virus for human tonsillar CD4(+) T lymphocytes that express activation, memory, and skin homing markers. *J Virol.* (2002) 76:11425–33. doi: 10.1128/JVI.76.22.11425-11433.2002
- Gold E. Serologic and virus-isolation studies of patients with varicella or herpes-zoster infection. N Engl J Med. (1966) 274:181–5. doi: 10.1056/NEJM196601272740403
- 13. Tsolia M, Gershon AA, Steinberg SP, Gelb L. Live attenuated varicella vaccine: evidence that the virus is attenuated and the importance of skin

an avenue to gain a deeper understanding of the impact VZV infection has on immune cell functions and the importance of these cells in viral pathogenesis.

Modulation of the innate immune response ultimately effects the formation and effectiveness of the adaptive immune response. Therefore, it is clear VZV can modulate components of the intrinsic, innate and adaptative immune response to ensure viral dissemination and the establishment of life-long latency. It is critical to dissect the mechanisms of this immunomodulation to provide important insights into VZV pathogenesis which will likely be of benefit when designing new generation vaccines and anti-virals. Furthermore, the study of herpesvirus modulation of immune responses also enhances our general understanding of the complexity of the human immune system.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

lesions in transmission of varicella-zoster virus. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Varicella Vaccine Collaborative Study Group. *J Pediatr.* (1990) 116:184–9. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(05)82872-0

- Gershon AA, Gershon MD. Pathogenesis and current approaches to control of varicella-zoster virus infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2013) 26:728–43. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00052-13
- Moffat JF, Stein MD, Kaneshima H, Arvin AM. Tropism of varicella-zoster virus for human CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes and epidermal cells in SCID-hu mice. J Virol. (1995) 69:5236–42.
- Ku CC, Zerboni L, Ito H, Graham BS, Wallace M, Arvin AM. Varicellazoster virus transfer to skin by T Cells and modulation of viral replication by epidermal cell interferon-alpha. *J Exp Med.* (2004) 200:917–25. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040634
- Zerboni L, Sen N, Oliver SL, Arvin AM. Molecular mechanisms of varicella zoster virus pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2014) 12:197–210. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3215
- Asano Y, Itakura N, Hiroishi Y, Hirose S, Nagai T, Ozaki T, et al. Viremia is present in incubation period in nonimmunocompromised children with varicella. J Pediatr. (1985) 106:69–71. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(85)80468-6
- Ozaki T, Kajita Y, Asano Y, Aono T, Yamanishi K. Detection of varicellazoster virus DNA in blood of children with varicella. J Med Virol. (1994) 44:263–5. doi: 10.1002/jmv.1890440309
- Steiner I, Kennedy PG, Pachner AR. The neurotropic herpes viruses: herpes simplex and varicella-zoster. *Lancet Neurol.* (2007) 6:1015–28. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(07)70267-3
- Mirouse A, Vignon P, Piron P, Robert R, Papazian L, Geri G, et al. Severe varicella-zoster virus pneumonia: a multicenter cohort study. *Crit Care.* (2017) 21:137. doi: 10.1186/s13054-017-1731-0
- Gilden DH, Vafai A, Shtram Y, Becker Y, Devlin M, Wellish M. Varicellazoster virus DNA in human sensory ganglia. *Nature*. (1983) 306:478–80. doi: 10.1038/306478a0
- Mahalingam R, Wellish M, Wolf W, Dueland AN, Cohrs R, Vafai A, et al. Latent varicella-zoster viral DNA in human trigeminal and thoracic ganglia. *N Engl J Med.* (1990) 323:627–31. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199009063231002
- Gilden D, Nagel M, Cohrs R, Mahalingam R, Baird N. Varicella zoster virus in the nervous system. *F1000Res.* (2015) 4:F1000 Faculty Rev-1356. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7153.1
- 25. Markus A, Grigoryan S, Sloutskin A, Yee MB, Zhu H, Yang IH, et al. Varicella-zoster virus (VZV) infection of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells: direct demonstration of axonal infection, transport of VZV, and productive neuronal infection. J Virol. (2011) 85:6220–33. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02396-10

- Grigoryan S, Kinchington PR, Yang IH, Selariu A, Zhu H, Yee M, et al. Retrograde axonal transport of VZV: kinetic studies in hESCderived neurons. *J Neurovirol.* (2012) 18:462–70. doi: 10.1007/s13365-012-0124-z
- Zerboni L, Ku CC, Jones CD, Zehnder JL, Arvin AM. Varicella-zoster virus infection of human dorsal root ganglia *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2005) 102:6490–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0501045102
- Ouwendijk WJ, Mahalingam R, De Swart RL, Haagmans BL, Van Amerongen G, Getu S, et al. T-Cell tropism of simian varicella virus during primary infection. *PLoS Pathog.* (2013) 9:e1003368. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003368
- Chen JJ, Gershon AA, Li Z, Cowles RA, Gershon MD. Varicella zoster virus (VZV) infects and establishes latency in enteric neurons. *J Neurovirol.* (2011) 17:578–89. doi: 10.1007/s13365-011-0070-1
- Gershon AA, Chen J, Gershon MD. Use of saliva to identify varicella zoster virus infection of the gut. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2015) 61:536–44. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ320
- Hope-Simpson RE. The nature of herpes zoster: a long-term study and a new hypothesis. Proc R Soc Med. (1965) 58:9–20. doi: 10.1177/003591576505800106
- Guess HA, Broughton DD, Melton LJ III, Kurland LT. Epidemiology of herpes zoster in children and adolescents: a population-based study. *Pediatrics*. (1985) 76:512–7.
- Donahue JG, Choo PW, Manson JE, Platt R. The incidence of herpes zoster. Arch Intern Med. (1995) 155:1605–9. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1995.00430150071008
- Arvin AM. Cell-mediated immunity to varicella-zoster virus. J Infect Dis. (1992) 166 (Suppl 1):S35–41. doi: 10.1093/infdis/166.Supplement_1.S35
- Rusthoven JJ. The risk of varicella-zoster infections in different patient populations: a critical review. *Transfus Med Rev.* (1994) 8:96–116. doi: 10.1016/S0887-7963(94)70102-4
- Yawn BP, Gilden D. The global epidemiology of herpes zoster. *Neurology*. (2013) 81:928–30. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a3516e
- 37. Chi C-Y, Chu C-C, Liu J-P, Lin C-H, Ho M-W, Lo W-J, et al. Anti–IFNγ autoantibodies in adults with disseminated nontuberculous mycobacterial infections are associated with HLA-DRB1* 16: 02 and HLA-DQB1* 05: 02 and the reactivation of latent varicella-zoster virus infection. *Blood.* (2013) 121:1357–66. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-08-452482
- Kobayashi T, Yagami A, Suzuki K, Yoshikawa T, Matsunaga K. Concurrent reactivation of herpes simplex and varicella zoster viruses confirmed by the loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. *Case Rep Dermatol.* (2014) 6:5–9. doi: 10.1159/000358005
- Mueller NH, Gilden DH, Cohrs RJ, Mahalingam R, Nagel MA. Varicella zoster virus infection: clinical features, molecular pathogenesis of disease, and latency. *Neurol Clin.* (2008) 26:675–697, viii. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2008.03.011
- Gilden DH, Wright RR, Schneck SA, Gwaltney JM Jr, Mahalingam R. Zoster sine herpete, a clinical variant. Ann Neurol. (1994) 35:530–3. doi: 10.1002/ana.410350505
- 41. Gilden DH. Herpes zoster with postherpetic neuralgiapersisting pain and frustration. N Engl J Med. (1994) 330:932–4. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199403313301312
- Mallick-Searle T, Snodgrass B, Brant JM. Postherpetic neuralgia: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and pain management pharmacology. *J Multidiscip Healthc.* (2016) 9:447–54. doi: 10.2147/JMDH. S106340
- Jorgensen I, Rayamajhi M, Miao EA. Programmed cell death as a defence against infection. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2017) 17:151. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.147
- Upton JW, Chan FK-M. Staying alive: cell death in antiviral immunity. *Mol Cell*. (2014) 54:273–80. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.01.027
- Jänicke RU, Sprengart ML, Wati MR, Porter AG. Caspase-3 is required for DNA fragmentation and morphological changes associated with apoptosis. J Biol Chem. (1998) 273:9357–60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.16.9357
- 46. Kang R, Tang D. What is the pathobiology of inflammation to cell death? Apoptosis, necrosis, necroptosis, autophagic cell death, pyroptosis, and NETosis. In: Maiuri MC, De Stefano D, editors. *Autophagy Networks in Inflammation*. Springer (2016). p. 81–106. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-30079-5_5

- Samali A, Zhivotovsky B, Jones D, Nagata S, Orrenius S. Apoptosis: cell death defined by caspase activation. *Cell Death Differ*. (1999) 6:495. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4400520
- Fink SL, Cookson BT. Apoptosis, pyroptosis, and necrosis: mechanistic description of dead and dying eukaryotic cells. *Infect Immun.* (2005) 73:1907–16. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.4.1907-1916.2005
- Elmore S. Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. *Toxicol Pathol.* (2007) 35:495–516. doi: 10.1080/01926230701320337
- Prager I, Watzl C. Mechanisms of natural killer cell-mediated cellular cytotoxicity. J Leukocyte Biol. (2019) 105:1319–29. doi: 10.1002/JLB.MR0718-269R
- Brazeau E, Mahalingam R, Gilden D, Wellish M, Kaufer BB, Osterrieder N, et al. Varicella-zoster virus–induced apoptosis in MeWo cells is accompanied by down-regulation of Bcl-2 expression. *J Neurovirol.* (2010) 16:133–40. doi: 10.3109/13550281003682547
- 52. Hood C, Cunningham A, Slobedman B, Boadle R, Abendroth A. Varicella-zoster virus-infected human sensory neurons are resistant to apoptosis, yet human foreskin fibroblasts are susceptible: evidence for a cell-type-specific apoptotic response. *J Virol.* (2003) 77:12852–64. doi: 10.1128/JVI.77.23.12852-12864.2003
- Pugazhenthi S, Gilden DH, Nair S, Mcadoo A, Wellish M, Brazeau E, et al. Simian varicella virus induces apoptosis in monkey kidney cells by the intrinsic pathway and involves downregulation of bcl-2 expression. *J Virol.* (2009) 83:9273–82. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00768-09
- Koenig A, Wolff MH. Infectibility of separated peripheral blood mononuclear cell subpopulations by varicella-zoster virus (VZV). J Med Virol. (2003) 70 (Suppl 1):S59–63. doi: 10.1002/jmv.10323
- König A, Hömme C, Hauröder B, Dietrich A, Wolff MH. The varicellazoster virus induces apoptosis *in vitro* in subpopulations of primary human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Microb Infect*. (2003) 5:879–89. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(03)00177-1
- Kennedy JJ, Steain M, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Infection and functional modulation of human monocytes and macrophages by varicella-zoster virus. *J Virol.* (2019) 93:e01887-18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01887-18
- Gowrishankar K, Slobedman B, Cunningham AL, Miranda-Saksena M, Boadle RA, Abendroth A. Productive varicella-zoster virus infection of cultured intact human ganglia. J Virol. (2007) 81:6752–6. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02793-06
- Baiker A, Fabel K, Cozzio A, Zerboni L, Fabel K, Sommer M, et al. Varicellazoster virus infection of human neural cells *in vivo*. *PNAS*. (2004) 101:10792– 7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404016101
- Pugazhenthi S, Nair S, Velmurugan K, Liang Q, Mahalingam R, Cohrs RJ, et al. Varicella-zoster virus infection of differentiated human neural stem cells. J Virol. (2011) 85:6678–86. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00445-11
- Yu X, Seitz S, Pointon T, Bowlin JL, Cohrs RJ, Jonjić S, et al. Varicella zoster virus infection of highly pure terminally differentiated human neurons. J Neurovirol. (2013) 19:75–81. doi: 10.1007/s13365-01 2-0142-x
- Steain M, Sutherland JP, Rodriguez M, Cunningham AL, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Analysis of T cell responses during active varicella-zoster virus reactivation in human ganglia. J Virol. (2014) 88:2704–16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.03445-13
- Hood C, Cunningham AL, Slobedman B, Arvin AM, Sommer MH, Kinchington PR, et al. Varicella-zoster virus ORF63 inhibits apoptosis of primary human neurons. J Virol. (2006) 80:1025–31. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.2.1025-1031.2006
- Gerada C, Steain M, Mcsharry BP, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Varicellazoster virus ORF63 protects human neuronal and keratinocyte cell lines from apoptosis and changes its localization upon apoptosis induction. *J Virol.* (2018) 92:e00338–e00318. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00338-18
- Black A, Jones L, Malavige G, Ogg G. Immune evasion during varicella zoster virus infection of keratinocytes. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* (2009) 34:e941–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2230.2009.03350.x
- Lehman TA, Modali R, Boukamp P, Stanek J, Bennett WP, Welsh JA, et al. p53 mutations in human immortalized epithelial cell lines. *Carcinogenesis*. (1993) 14:833–9. doi: 10.1093/carcin/14.5.833
- 66. Lombet A, Zujovic V, Kandouz M, Billardon C, Carvajal-Gonzalez S, Gompel A, et al. Resistance to induced apoptosis in the human neuroblastoma cell

line SK-N-SH in relation to neuronal differentiation. *Eur J Biochem.* (2001) 268:1352–62. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.2001.02002.x

- Markus A, Ben-Asher HW, Kinchington PR, Goldstein RS. Cellular transcriptome analysis reveals differential expression of pro-and antiapoptosis genes by varicella-zoster virus-infected neurons and fibroblasts. J Virol. (2014) 88:7674–7. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00500-14
- James SF, Mahalingam R, Gilden D. Does apoptosis play a role in varicella zoster virus latency and reactivation? *Viruses*. (2012) 4:1509–14. doi: 10.3390/v4091509
- Debrus S, Sadzot-Delvaux C, Nikkels AF, Piette J, Rentier B. Varicellazoster virus gene 63 encodes an immediate-early protein that is abundantly expressed during latency. *J Virol.* (1995) 69:3240–5.
- Schaap A, Fortin JF, Sommer M, Zerboni L, Stamatis S, Ku CC, et al. T-cell tropism and the role of ORF66 protein in pathogenesis of varicella-zoster virus infection. *J Virol.* (2005) 79:12921–33. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.20.12921-12933.2005
- Rahaus M, Desloges N, Wolff MH. Varicella-zoster virus influences the activities of components and targets of the ERK signalling pathway. J Gen Virol. (2006) 87:749–58. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.81571-0
- Liu X, Li Q, Dowdell K, Fischer ER, Cohen JI. Varicella-Zoster virus ORF12 protein triggers phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and inhibits apoptosis. J Virol. (2012) 86:3143–51. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06923-11
- Liu X, Cohen JI. Varicella-zoster virus ORF12 protein activates the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway to regulate cell cycle progression. *J Virol.* (2013) 87:1842–8. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02395-12
- Liu X, Cohen JI. Inhibition of Bim enhances replication of varicella-zoster virus and delays plaque formation in virus-infected cells. J Virol. (2014) 88:1381–8. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01695-13
- O'connor L, Strasser A, O'reilly LA, Hausmann G, Adams JM, Cory S, et al. Bim: a novel member of the Bcl-2 family that promotes apoptosis. *EMBO J.* (1998) 17:384–95. doi: 10.1093/emboj/17.2.384
- Guo H, Omoto S, Harris PA, Finger JN, Bertin J, Gough PJ, et al. Herpes simplex virus suppresses necroptosis in human cells. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2015) 17:243–51. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.003
- Wang JP, Kurt-Jones EA, Shin OS, Manchak MD, Levin MJ, Finberg RW. Varicella-zoster virus activates inflammatory cytokines in human monocytes and macrophages via Toll-like receptor 2. J Virol. (2005) 79:12658–66. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.20.12658-12666.2005
- Sironi M, Peri AM, Cagliani R, Forni D, Riva S, Biasin M, et al. TLR3 mutations in adult patients with herpes simplex virus and varicella-zoster virus encephalitis. J Infect Dis. (2017) 215:1430–4. doi: 10.1093/infdis/ jix166
- 79. Guo Y, Audry M, Ciancanelli M, Alsina L, Azevedo J, Herman M, et al. Herpes simplex virus encephalitis in a patient with complete TLR3 deficiency: TLR3 is otherwise redundant in protective immunity. *J Exp Med.* (2011) 208:2083–98. doi: 10.1084/jem.20101568
- Picard C, Casanova J-L, Puel A. Infectious diseases in patients with IRAK-4, MyD88, NEMO, or IκBα deficiency. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2011) 24:490–7. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00001-11
- Yang K, Puel A, Zhang S, Eidenschenk C, Ku C-L, Casrouge A, et al. Human TLR-7-,-8-, and-9-mediated induction of IFN-α/β and-λ is IRAK-4 dependent and redundant for protective immunity to viruses. *Immunity*. (2005) 23:465–78. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.09.016
- Prehaud C, Megret F, Lafage M, Lafon M. Virus infection switches TLR-3positive human neurons to become strong producers of beta interferon. J Virol. (2005) 79:12893–904. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.20.12893-12904.2005
- Goethals S, Ydens E, Timmerman V, Janssens S. Toll-like receptor expression in the peripheral nerve. *Glia.* (2010) 58:1701–9. doi: 10.1002/glia.21041
- Liang F, Glans H, Enoksson SL, Kolios AGA, Lore K, Nilsson J. Recurrent herpes zoster ophthalmicus in a patient with a novel toll-like receptor 3 variant linked to compromised activation capacity in fibroblasts. *J Infect Dis.* (2019) jiz229. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiz229
- Gack MU. Mechanisms of RIG-I-like receptor activation and manipulation by viral pathogens. J Virol. (2014) 88:5213–6. doi: 10.1128/JVI.03370-13
- Kim JA, Park SK, Seo SW, Lee CH, Shin OS. STING is involved in antiviral immune response against VZV infection via the induction of type I and III IFN pathways. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2017) 137:2101–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2017.03.041

- Sloan E, Henriquez R, Kinchington PR, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Varicella-zoster virus inhibition of the NF-kappaB pathway during infection of human dendritic cells: role for open reading frame 61 as a modulator of NF-kappaB activity. J Virol. (2012) 86:1193–202. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06400-11
- Schroder K, Tschopp J. The inflammasomes. Cell. (2010) 140:821–32. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.040
- Nour AM, Reichelt M, Ku CC, Ho MY, Heineman TC, Arvin AM. Varicellazoster virus infection triggers formation of an interleukin-1beta (IL-1beta)processing inflammasome complex. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:17921–33. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.210575
- Reichelt M, Wang L, Sommer M, Perrino J, Nour AM, Sen N, et al. Entrapment of viral capsids in nuclear PML cages is an intrinsic antiviral host defense against varicella-zoster virus. *PLoS Pathog.* (2011) 7:e1001266. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001266
- Wang L, Oliver SL, Sommer M, Rajamani J, Reichelt M, Arvin AM. Disruption of PML nuclear bodies is mediated by ORF61 SUMO-interacting motifs and required for varicella-zoster virus pathogenesis in skin. *PLoS Pathog.* (2011) 7:e1002157. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002157
- 92. Schoggins JW. Interferon-stimulated genes: what do they all do? *Annu Rev Virol.* (2019) 6:567–84. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015756
- Arvin AM, Kushner JH, Feldman S, Baehner RL, Hammond D, Merigan TC. Human leukocyte interferon for the treatment of varicella in children with cancer. N Engl J Med. (1982) 306:761–5. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198204013061301
- Ogunjimi B, Zhang SY, Sorensen KB, Skipper KA, Carter-Timofte M, Kerner G, et al. Inborn errors in RNA polymerase III underlie severe varicella zoster virus infections. J Clin Invest. (2017) 127:3543–56. doi: 10.1172/JCI92280
- Chiu YH, Macmillan JB, Chen ZJ. RNA polymerase III detects cytosolic DNA and induces type I interferons through the RIG-I pathway. *Cell.* (2009) 138:576–91. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.06.015
- Carter-Timofte ME, Hansen AF, Christiansen M, Paludan SR, Mogensen TH. Mutations in RNA Polymerase III genes and defective DNA sensing in adults with varicella-zoster virus CNS infection. *Genes Immun.* (2019) 20:214–23. doi: 10.1038/s41435-018-0027-y
- Dobbs K, Dominguez Conde C, Zhang SY, Parolini S, Audry M, Chou J, et al. Inherited DOCK2 deficiency in patients with early-onset invasive infections. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372:2409–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1413462
- Zhang Q, Davis JC, Lamborn IT, Freeman AF, Jing H, Favreau AJ, et al. Combined immunodeficiency associated with DOCK8 mutations. N Engl J Med. (2009) 361:2046–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0905506
- 99. Roesler J, Kofink B, Wendisch J, Heyden S, Paul D, Friedrich W, et al. Listeria monocytogenes and recurrent mycobacterial infections in a child with complete interferon-gamma-receptor (IFNgammaR1) deficiency: mutational analysis and evaluation of therapeutic options. *Exp Hematol.* (1999) 27:1368–74. doi: 10.1016/S0301-472X(99)00077-6
- 100. Dupuis S, Jouanguy E, Al-Hajjar S, Fieschi C, Al-Mohsen IZ, Al-Jumaah S, et al. Impaired response to interferon- α/β and lethal viral disease in human STAT1 deficiency. *Nat Genet.* (2003) 33:388. doi: 10.1038/ng1097
- 101. Tóth B, Méhes L, Taskó S, Szalai Z, Tulassay Z, Cypowyj S, et al. Herpes in STAT1 gain-of-function mutation. *Lancet.* (2012) 379:2500. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60365-1
- 102. Dutmer CM, Asturias EJ, Smith C, Dishop MK, Schmid DS, Bellini WJ, et al. Late onset hypomorphic RAG2 deficiency presentation with fatal vaccine-strain VZV infection. J Clin Immunol. (2015) 35:754–60. doi: 10.1007/s10875-015-0207-8
- 103. Kreins AY, Ciancanelli MJ, Okada S, Kong X-F, Ramírez-Alejo N, Kilic SS, et al. Human TYK2 deficiency: mycobacterial and viral infections without hyper-IgE syndrome. J Exp Med. (2015) 212:1641–62. doi: 10.1084/jem.20140280
- Hambleton S, Goodbourn S, Young DF, Dickinson P, Mohamad SM, Valappil M, et al. STAT2 deficiency and susceptibility to viral illness in humans. *PNAS*. (2013) 110:3053–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220098110
- 105. Leung S, Qureshi SA, Kerr IM, Darnell J, Stark GR. Role of STAT2 in the alpha interferon signaling pathway. *Mol Cell Biol.* (1995) 15:1312–7. doi: 10.1128/MCB.15.3.1312
- Nan Y, Wu C, Zhang Y-J. Interferon independent non-canonical STAT Activation and virus induced inflammation. *Viruses.* (2018) 10:196. doi: 10.3390/v10040196

- 107. Vandevenne P, Lebrun M, El Mjiyad N, Ote I, Di Valentin E, Habraken Y, et al. The varicella-zoster virus ORF47 kinase interferes with host innate immune response by inhibiting the activation of IRF3. *PLoS ONE.* (2011) 6:e16870. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016870
- 108. Zhu H, Zheng C, Xing J, Wang S, Li S, Lin R, et al. Varicella-zoster virus immediate-early protein ORF61 abrogates the IRF3-mediated innate immune response through degradation of activated IRF3. J Virol. (2011) 85:11079–89. doi: 10.1128/JVI.05098-11
- Sen N, Sommer M, Che X, White K, Ruyechan WT, Arvin AM. Varicellazoster virus immediate-early protein 62 blocks interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) phosphorylation at key serine residues: a novel mechanism of IRF3 inhibition among herpesviruses. J Virol. (2010) 84:9240–53. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01147-10
- Choi EJ, Lee CH, Shin O. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 expression induced by varicella-zoster virus infection results in the modulation of virus replication. *Scand J Immunol.* (2015) 82:337–44. doi: 10.1111/sji.12323
- Delgado-Ortega M, Marc D, Dupont J, Trapp S, Berri M, Meurens F. SOCS proteins in infectious diseases of mammals. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol.* (2013) 151:1–19. doi: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.11.008
- 112. Hornung V, Guenthner-Biller M, Bourquin C, Ablasser A, Schlee M, Uematsu S, et al. Sequence-specific potent induction of IFN-α by short interfering RNA in plasmacytoid dendritic cells through TLR7. *Nat Med.* (2005) 11:263. doi: 10.1038/nm1191
- 113. Huch JH, Cunningham AL, Arvin AM, Nasr N, Santegoets SJ, Slobedman E, et al. Impact of varicella-zoster virus on dendritic cell subsets in human skin during natural infection. J Virol. (2010) 84:4060–72. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01450-09
- 114. Campbell TM, Mcsharry BP, Steain M, Ashhurst TM, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Varicella zoster virus productively infects human natural killer cells and manipulates phenotype. *PLoS Pathog.* (2018) 14:e1006999. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006999
- Campbell TM, Mcsharry BP, Steain M, Russell TA, Tscharke DC, Kennedy JJ, et al. Functional paralysis of human natural killer cells by alphaherpesviruses. *PLoS Pathog.* (2019) 15:e1007784. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1007784
- 116. Abendroth A, Slobedman B, Lee E, Mellins E, Wallace M, Arvin AM. Modulation of major histocompatibility class II protein expression by varicella-zoster virus. J Virol. (2000) 74:1900–7. doi: 10.1128/JVI.74.4.1900-1907.2000
- 117. Verweij MC, Wellish M, Whitmer T, Malouli D, Lapel M, Jonjic S, et al. Varicella viruses inhibit interferon-stimulated JAK-STAT signaling through multiple mechanisms. *PLoS Pathog.* (2015) 11:e1004901. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004901
- Ouwendijk WJD, Van Veen S, Mahalingam R, Verjans G. Simian varicella virus inhibits the interferon gamma signalling pathway. J Gen Virol. (2017) 98:2582–8. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.000925
- 119. Sen N, Sung P, Panda A, Arvin AM. Distinctive roles for type I and type II interferons and interferon regulatory factors in the host cell defense against varicella-zoster virus. *J Virol.* (2018) 92:e01151-18. doi: 10.1128/JVI. 01151-18
- 120. Shakya AK, O'callaghan DJ, Kim SK. Interferon gamma inhibits varicellazoster virus replication in a cell line-dependent manner. J Virol. (2019) 93:e00257-19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00257-19
- 121. Baird NL, Bowlin JL, Hotz TJ, Cohrs RJ, Gilden D. Interferon gamma prolongs survival of varicella-zoster virus-infected human neurons *in vitro*. J Virol. (2015) 89:7425–7. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00594-15
- 122. Como CN, Pearce CM, Cohrs RJ, Baird NL. Interleukin-6 and type 1 interferons inhibit varicella zoster virus replication in human neurons. *Virology*. (2018) 522:13–8. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2018.06.013
- 123. Zerboni L, Arvin A. Neuronal subtype and satellite cell tropism are determinants of varicella-zoster virus virulence in human dorsal root ganglia xenografts *in vivo*. *PLoS Pathog.* (2015) 11:e1004989. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004989
- Randolph GJ, Ochando J, Partida-Sanchez S. Migration of dendritic cell subsets and their precursors. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2008) 26:293–316. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090254
- Banchereau J, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature. (1998) 392:245–52. doi: 10.1038/32588

- 126. Bhardwaj N. Interactions of viruses with dendritic cells: a double-edged sword. J Exp Med. (1997) 186:795–9. doi: 10.1084/jem.186.6.795
- 127. Gutzeit C, Raftery MJ, Peiser M, Tischer KB, Ulrich M, Eberhardt M, et al. Identification of an important immunological difference between virulent varicella-zoster virus and its avirulent vaccine: viral disruption of dendritic cell instruction. *J Immunol.* (2010) 185:488–97. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0902817
- 128. Hu H, Cohen JI. Varicella-zoster virus open reading frame 47 (ORF47) protein is critical for virus replication in dendritic cells and for spread to other cells. *Virology*. (2005) 337:304–11. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2005. 04.024
- 129. Nikkels AF, Sadzot-Delvaux C, Pierard GE. Absence of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 expression in varicella zoster virus-infected keratinocytes during herpes zoster: another immune evasion strategy? *Am J Dermatopathol.* (2004) 26:27–32. doi: 10.1097/00000372-200402000-00005
- 130. Gerlini G, Mariotti G, Bianchi B, Pimpinelli N. Massive recruitment of type I interferon producing plasmacytoid dendritic cells in varicella skin lesions. J Invest Dermatol. (2006) 126:507–9. doi: 10.1038/sj.jid. 5700052
- 131. Abendroth A, Lin I, Slobedman B, Ploegh H, Arvin AM. Varicellazoster virus retains major histocompatibility complex class I proteins in the Golgi compartment of infected cells. J Virol. (2001) 75:4878–88. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.10.4878-4888.2001
- 132. Eisfeld AJ, Yee MB, Erazo A, Abendroth A, Kinchington PR. Downregulation of class I major histocompatibility complex surface expression by varicella-zoster virus involves open reading frame 66 protein kinasedependent and -independent mechanisms. J Virol. (2007) 81:9034–49. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00711-07
- Jones JO, Arvin AM. Inhibition of the NF-kappaB pathway by varicellazoster virus *in vitro* and in human epidermal cells *in vivo*. J Virol. (2006) 80:5113–24. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01956-05
- 134. Whitmer T, Malouli D, Uebelhoer LS, Defilippis VR, Fruh K, Verweij MC. The ORF61 protein encoded by simian varicella virus and varicella-zoster virus inhibits NF-κB signaling by interfering with IκBα degradation. J Virol. (2015) 89:8687–700. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01149-15
- Colonna M, Trinchieri G, Liu YJ. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in immunity. Nat Immunol. (2004) 5:1219–26. doi: 10.1038/ni1141
- Zhang Z, Wang FS. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells act as the most competent cell type in linking antiviral innate and adaptive immune responses. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2005) 2:411–7.
- Wynn TA, Chawla A, Pollard JW. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature*. (2013) 496:445–55. doi: 10.1038/nature12034
- Italiani P, Boraschi D. From monocytes to M1/M2 macrophages: phenotypical vs. functional differentiation. *Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:514. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00514
- De Jong MD, Weel JFL, Schuurman T, Wertheim-Van Dillen PME, Boom R. Quantitation of varicella-zoster virus DNA in whole blood, plasma, and serum by PCR and electrochemiluminescence. J Clin Microbiol. (2000) 38:2568–73. doi: 10.1128/JCM.38.7.2568-257 3.2000
- Kimura H, Kido S, Ozaki T, Tanaka N, Ito Y, Williams RK, et al. Comparison of quantitations of viral load in varicella and zoster. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2000) 38:2447–9.
- 141. Satyaprakash AK, Tremaine AM, Stelter AA, Creed R, Ravanfar P, Mendoza N, et al. Viremia in acute herpes zoster. J Infect Dis. (2009) 200:26–32. doi: 10.1086/599381
- White TM, Gilden DH. Varicella virus-mononuclear cell interaction. Adv Virus Res. (2003) 62:1–17. doi: 10.1016/S0065-3527(03)62001-4
- 143. Gilden DH, Devlin M, Wellish M, Mahalingham R, Huff C, Hayward A, et al. Persistence of varicella-zoster virus DNA in blood mononuclear cells of patients with varicella or zoster. *Virus Genes.* (1989) 2:299–305. doi: 10.1007/BF00684037
- Koropchak CM, Solem SM, Diaz PS, Arvin AM. Investigation of varicellazoster virus infection of lymphocytes by *in situ* hybridization. *J Virol.* (1989) 63:2392–5.
- 145. Ozaki T, Masuda S, Asano Y, Kondo K, Namazue J, Yamanishi K. Investigation of varicella-zoster virus DNA by the polymerase chain reaction

in healthy children with varicella vaccination. J Med Virol. (1994) 42:47–51. doi: 10.1002/jmv.1890420110

- Mainka C, Fuss B, Geiger H, Hofelmayr H, Wolff MH. Characterization of viremia at different stages of varicella-zoster virus infection. J Med Virol. (1998) 56:91–98.
- 147. Ito Y, Kimura H, Hara S, Kido S, Ozaki T, Nishiyama Y, et al. Investigation of varicella-zoster virus DNA in lymphocyte subpopulations by quantitative PCR assay. *Microbiol Immunol.* (2001) 45:267–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2001.tb02617.x
- Arbeit RD, Zaia JA, Valerio MA, Levin MJ. Infection of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells by varicella-zoster virus. *Intervirology*. (1982) 18:56–65. doi: 10.1159/000149304
- 149. Baba M, Shigeta S. Incomplete growth of varicella-zoster virus in human monocytes. *Microbiol Immunol.* (1983) 27:767–77. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.1983.tb00642.x
- 150. Jones D, Como CN, Jing L, Blackmon A, Neff CP, Krueger O, et al. Varicella zoster virus productively infects human peripheral blood mononuclear cells to modulate expression of immunoinhibitory proteins and blocking PD-L1 enhances virus-specific CD8⁺ T cell effector function. *PLoS Pathog.* (2019) 15:e1007650. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007650
- 151. Jost S, Altfeld M. Control of human viral infections by natural killer cells. Annu Rev Immunol. (2013) 31:163–94. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-100001
- Biron CA, Byron KS, Sullivan JL. Severe herpesvirus infections in an adolescent without natural killer cells. N Engl J Med. (1989) 320:1731–5. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198906293202605
- 153. Wendland T, Herren S, Yawalkar N, Cerny A, Pichler WJ. Strong alpha beta and gamma delta TCR response in a patient with disseminated Mycobacterium avium infection and lack of NK cells and monocytopenia. *Immunol Lett.* (2000) 72:75–82. doi: 10.1016/S0165-24 78(00)00169-3
- Etzioni A, Eidenschenk C, Katz R, Beck R, Casanova JL, Pollack S. Fatal varicella associated with selective natural killer cell deficiency. *J Pediatr.* (2005) 146:423–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2004.11.022
- Notarangelo LD, Mazzolari E. Natural killer cell deficiencies and severe varicella infection. J Pediatr. (2006) 148:563–4; author reply 564. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.06.028
- 156. Mace EM, Hsu AP, Monaco-Shawver L, Makedonas G, Rosen JB, Dropulic L, et al. Mutations in GATA2 cause human NK cell deficiency with specific loss of the CD56(bright) subset. *Blood.* (2013) 121:2669–77. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-09-453969
- 157. Cottineau J, Kottemann MC, Lach FP, Kang YH, Vely F, Deenick EK, et al. Inherited GINS1 deficiency underlies growth retardation along with neutropenia and NK cell deficiency. J Clin Invest. (2017) 127:1991–2006. doi: 10.1172/JCI90727
- Cauda R, Prasthofer EF, Tilden AB, Whitley RJ, Grossi CE. T-cell imbalances and NK activity in varicella-zoster virus infections. *Viral Immunol.* (1987) 1:145–52. doi: 10.1089/vim.1987.1.145
- Terada K, Kawano S, Yagi Y, Shimada Y, Kataoka N. Alteration of T cells and natural killer cells during chickenpox in infancy. *J Clin Immunol.* (1996) 16:55–9. doi: 10.1007/BF01540973
- 160. Vossen MT, Biezeveld MH, De Jong MD, Gent MR, Baars PA, Von Rosenstiel IA, et al. Absence of circulating natural killer and primed CD8⁺ cells in lifethreatening varicella. J Infect Dis. (2005) 191:198–206. doi: 10.1086/426866
- 161. Malavige GN, Jones L, Kamaladasa SD, Wijewickrama A, Seneviratne SL, Black AP, et al. Natural killer cells during primary varicella zoster virus infection. J Infect. (2010) 61:190–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2010.05.004

- 162. Nikzad R, Angelo LS, Aviles-Padilla K, Le DT, Singh VK, Bimler L, et al. Human natural killer cells mediate adaptive immunity to viral antigens. *Sci Immunol.* (2019) 4:eaat8116. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aat8116
- 163. Hata A, Zerboni L, Sommer M, Kaspar AA, Clayberger C, Krensky AM, et al. Granulysin blocks replication of varicella-zoster virus and triggers apoptosis of infected cells. *Viral Immunol.* (2001) 14:125–33. doi: 10.1089/088282401750234501
- 164. Ozaki T, Ichikawa T, Matsui Y, Kondo H, Nagai T, Asano Y, et al. Lymphocyte-associated viremia in varicella. J Med Virol. (1986) 19:249–53. doi: 10.1002/jmv.1890190307
- 165. Asano Y, Itakura N, Kajita Y, Suga S, Yoshikawa T, Yazaki T, et al. Severity of viremia and clinical findings in children with varicella. *J Infect Dis.* (1990) 161:1095–8. doi: 10.1093/infdis/161.6.1095
- 166. Sen N, Arvin AM. Dissecting the molecular mechanisms of the tropism of varicella-zoster virus for human T cells. J Virol. (2016) 90:3284–7. doi: 10.1128/JVI.03375-14
- Cohen JI. Infection of cells with varicella-zoster virus down-regulates surface expression of class I major histocompatibility complex antigens. J Infect Dis. (1998) 177:1390–3. doi: 10.1086/517821
- Campbell TM, Mcsharry BP, Steain M, Slobedman B, Abendroth A. Varicellazoster virus and herpes simplex virus 1 differentially modulate NKG2D ligand expression during productive infection. *J Virol.* (2015) 89:7932–43. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00292-15
- 169. El Mjiyad N, Bontems S, Gloire G, Horion J, Vandevenne P, Dejardin E, et al. Varicella-zoster virus modulates NF-kappaB recruitment on selected cellular promoters. J Virol. (2007) 81:13092–104. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01378-07
- 170. Baljic R, Gojak R, Konjo H, Hukic M. Granulysin as a novel factor for the prognosis of the clinical course of chickenpox. *Epidemiol Infect.* (2018) 146:854–7. doi: 10.1017/S0950268818000717
- 171. Ito M, Nakano T, Kamiya T, Kitamura K, Ihara T, Kamiya H, et al. Effects of tumor necrosis factor alpha on replication of varicella-zoster virus. *Antiviral Res.* (1991) 15:183–92. doi: 10.1016/0166-3542(91)90065-Y
- 172. Desloges N, Rahaus M, Wolff MH. Role of the protein kinase PKR in the inhibition of varicella-zoster virus replication by beta interferon and gamma interferon. *J Gen Virol.* (2005) 86:1–6. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.80466-0
- 173. Wallace MR, Woelfl I, Bowler WA, Olson PE, Murray NB, Brodine SK, et al. Tumor necrosis factor, interleukin-2, and interferon-gamma in adult varicella. J Med Virol. (1994) 43:69–71. doi: 10.1002/jmv.1890430113
- 174. Torigo S, Ihara T, Kamiya H. IL-12, IFN-gamma, and TNF-alpha released from mononuclear cells inhibit the spread of varicella-zoster virus at an early stage of varicella. *Microbiol Immunol.* (2000) 44:1027–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2000.tb02599.x
- 175. Grose C. Pangaea and the out-of-africa model of varicella-zoster virus evolution and phylogeography. J Virol. (2012) 86:9558–65. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00357-12

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gerada, Campbell, Kennedy, McSharry, Steain, Slobedman and Abendroth. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.