



Monoallelic *Heb/Tcf12* Deletion Reduces the Requirement for NOTCH1 Hyperactivation in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Diogo F. T. Veiga^{1,2†}, Mathieu Tremblay^{1†}, Bastien Gerby^{1,3}, Sabine Herblot^{1,4}, André Haman¹, Patrick Gendron¹, Sébastien Lemieux^{1,5}, Juan Carlos Zúñiga-Pflücker⁶, José Hébert^{1,7,8,9}, Joseph Paul Cohen^{10,11} and Trang Hoang^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Avinash Bhandoola,
National Institutes of Health (NIH),
United States

Reviewed by:

Ivan Maillard,
University of Pennsylvania,
United States
Taras Kreslavsky
Karolinska University Hospital,
Sweden

*Correspondence:

Trang Hoang
trang.hoang@umontreal.ca

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work and share
first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
T Cell Biology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 01 February 2022

Accepted: 28 February 2022

Published: 24 March 2022

Citation:

Veiga DFT, Tremblay M, Gerby B, Herblot S, Haman A, Gendron P, Lemieux S, Zúñiga-Pflücker JC, Hébert J, Cohen JP and Hoang T (2022) Monoallelic *Heb/Tcf12* Deletion Reduces the Requirement for NOTCH1 Hyperactivation in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Front. Immunol.* 13:867443. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.867443

¹ Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, Université de Montréal, Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, QC, Canada, ² Department of Translational Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, ³ Centre de Recherches en Cancérologie de Toulouse (CRCT), Université de Toulouse, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), UMR-1037, Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier (UPS), Toulouse, France, ⁴ Unité de recherche en hématologie Charles-Bruneau, Centre de Recherche du CHU Sainte-Justine, Montréal, Canada, ⁵ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada, ⁶ Department of Immunology, University of Toronto, and Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁷ Institut universitaire d'hématologie et de thérapie cellulaire, Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, Montréal, QC, Canada, ⁸ Quebec Leukemia Cell Bank, Centre de recherche de l'Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, Montréal, QC, Canada, ⁹ Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada, ¹⁰ Department of Computer Science and Operations Research, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada, ¹¹ Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada

Early T-cell development is precisely controlled by E proteins, that indistinguishably include HEB/TCF12 and E2A/TCF3 transcription factors, together with NOTCH1 and pre-T cell receptor (TCR) signalling. Importantly, perturbations of early T-cell regulatory networks are implicated in leukemogenesis. NOTCH1 gain of function mutations invariably lead to T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), whereas inhibition of E proteins accelerates leukemogenesis. Thus, NOTCH1, pre-TCR, E2A and HEB functions are intertwined, but how these pathways contribute individually or synergistically to leukemogenesis remain to be documented. To directly address these questions, we leveraged *Cd3e*-deficient mice in which pre-TCR signaling and progression through β -selection is abrogated to dissect and decouple the roles of pre-TCR, NOTCH1, E2A and HEB in SCL/TAL1-induced T-ALL, via the use of *Notch1* gain of function transgenic (*Notch1IC*^{tg}) and *Tcf12*^{+/-} or *Tcf3*^{+/-} heterozygote mice. As a result, we now provide evidence that both HEB and E2A restrain cell proliferation at the β -selection checkpoint while the clonal expansion of SCL-LMO1-induced pre-leukemic stem cells in T-ALL is uniquely dependent on *Tcf12* gene dosage. At the molecular level, HEB protein levels are decreased via proteasomal degradation at the leukemic stage, pointing to a reversible loss of function mechanism. Moreover, in SCL-LMO1-induced T-ALL, loss of one *Tcf12* allele is sufficient to bypass pre-TCR signaling which is required for *Notch1* gain of function mutations and for progression to T-ALL. In contrast, *Tcf12* monoallelic deletion does not accelerate *Notch1IC*-induced T-ALL, indicating that *Tcf12* and *Notch1* operate in the same pathway. Finally, we identify a tumor suppressor gene set downstream of HEB, exhibiting significantly lower expression

levels in pediatric T-ALL compared to B-ALL and brain cancer samples, the three most frequent pediatric cancers. In summary, our results indicate a tumor suppressor function of HEB/TCF12 in T-ALL to mitigate cell proliferation controlled by NOTCH1 in pre-leukemic stem cells and prevent NOTCH1-driven progression to T-ALL.

Keywords: SCL/TAL1, LMO1, HEB/TCF12, E2A/TCF3, NOTCH1, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, tumor suppressor genes

1 INTRODUCTION

Thymocyte reprogramming into self-renewing cells is a mandatory event in T-cell leukemogenesis, induced by aberrantly expressed oncogenic transcription factors (1–6). This initiating event sets a pre-leukemic state, while progression to overt leukemia requires additional collaborating events within pathways that control cell fate in the thymus, to evolve through layers of selective pressure (7–10).

The first acquisition of full T-lineage identity is marked by successful rearrangement of the T cell receptor (*Tcr*) β locus catalyzed by recombination-activating gene 1 (RAG1) and RAG2 at the CD4/CD8 double negative DN2–DN3 transitional stages (Figure 1A). The β -selection checkpoint is controlled by the pre-TCR resulting from the pairing of the successfully rearranged TCR β chain with the invariant pre-T α chain and the CD3 signaling complex to trigger a burst of cell proliferation and survival, leading to differentiation of DN thymocytes to the CD4⁺CD8⁺ double-positive (DP) stage. Both the pre-TCR and NOTCH1 have obligatory functions at this first checkpoint (11–13). Gain of function mutations of *NOTCH1* are found in more than 55% of childhood T-ALL (14), leading to the well-accepted notion that *NOTCH1* is a major oncogenic event in T-ALL (15–17). The acquisition of *Notch1* mutations in T-ALL absolutely requires pre-TCR/CD3 signaling (4) and involves recombination activating enzymes (RAG1/2) (18). Additionally, the NOTCH1 pathway can also be hyperactive as a consequence of loss of function mutations of *FBXW7*, the E3 ligase that degrades *MYC* (19), an essential downstream target of NOTCH1 (20, 21). Nonetheless, the *NOTCH-MYC-FBXW7* triad appears to be genetically unaltered in ~1/3 T-ALL cases, raising the question whether additional genes or pathways may contribute to T-ALL progression.

Comprehensive high throughput sequencing have unravelled the genomic landscape of T-ALL in children (22–24) and adults (25), uncovering a low mutation burden in leukemias compared to solid tumors (26, 27). These studies identified recurring mutations within genes and pathways that control cell fate in thymocytes, confirming the dominant presence of NOTCH1 as a driver mutation. Unlike NOTCH1, oncogenic transcription factors in T-ALL are not mutated but aberrantly expressed in the T lineage driven by chromosomal translocations. These oncogenic transcription factors belong to two families, the basic helix-loop-helix family (SCL/TAL1, TAL2, LYL1) and associated partners (LMO1, LMO2), as well as homeodomain proteins (TLX1, TLX3, HOXA) (reviewed in (28, 29). Transgenic mice in which oncogene expression is driven in the thymus

develop T-ALL with variable latency, indicating the necessary acquisition of collaborating events. This is illustrated by the loss of *Bcl11b* function, through transcription repression by the *TLX1* oncogene or through mono-allelic deletion in mouse models (30) and in 9% of human T-ALL (31).

Similar to *BCL11B* (32), both *E2A* (E12 and E47 (33)), and *HEB* (*HEBCan* and *HEBAlt* (34)) are essential for the commitment of progenitor thymocytes to the T-cell lineage (35, 36) by governing a gene expression program that is critical for T-cell development [(37), reviewed in (38)] and includes T-cell specific genes such as *Ptcra* and *Cd4* (39, 40), as well as cell cycle genes such as *Cdkn1a* (37, 41). Moreover, *E2A* is antiproliferative in thymocytes (42–44) and *E2A*-deficient mice develop T-cell lymphomas (42, 45), indicating that *E2A* has tumor suppressor functions, much like *BCL11B*. Nonetheless and unlike *BCL11B*, neither *E2A/TCF3* nor *HEB/TCF12* was found mutated or affected by copy number variations in human T-ALL (10, 25), raising the possibility of non-genetic inactivation of *E2A* or *HEB* that has so far escaped genomic studies. E protein activity can be inhibited by direct heterodimerization with Id proteins, members of the HLH family that lack DNA binding domains (37) or by the SCL and LYL1 oncoproteins (39, 40, 46, 47). Nonetheless, inhibition of E protein by SCL is insufficient for T-cell leukemogenesis which requires transcription activation of a stemness gene expression program by the SCL-LMO1 complex (2) or LMO2 (48, 49). Finally, O'Neil et al. have previously shown a genetic collaboration between *Tcf12* or *Tcf3*-deficiency and *SCL/TAL1* in accelerating T-ALL onset (47). Because *Heb* deficiency would cause reduced pre-TCR expression (50) and decreased cell proliferation (51), it remains to be documented how this would accelerate T-ALL onset. In summary, while it is well recognized that *E2A* can be a tumor suppressor in mouse models, it is not clear whether *E2A* or *HEB* is inactivated in human T-ALL and how inactivation may occur, given the essential and dosage-dependent role of *E2A* and *HEB* in the T lineage (50–52).

Given the intricate interaction between NOTCH1, pre-TCR signaling and E proteins, we elected to use *Cd3e*^{-/-} mice as a powerful genetic model to dissect and decouple the roles of NOTCH1, pre-TCR and HEB in leukemia progression, specifically in DN3 thymocytes, previously shown to be the cell of origin of SCL-LMO1 (2, 4) and LMO2 (48) -induced T-ALL. Thus, by abrogating β -selection and analyzing *Notch1* gain of function and *Tcf12* loss of function individually, our results unravel a strong selective pressure for down regulation of HEB protein levels driven either by NOTCH1 and/or by pre-TCR signaling as a requirement for progression from the pre-leukemic state to overt T-ALL.




FIGURE 1 | Pre-TCR signaling is functionally important for T-ALL progression. **(A)** Pre-TCR signalling and thymocytes development. **(B, C)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparing disease development in the pre-TCR proficient (*Cd3e*^{+/+}) and deficient (*Cd3e*^{-/-}) backgrounds in three models of T-ALL. **(D)** Principal component analysis of the transcriptomes of normal thymocyte subsets compared to SCL-LMO1-induced pre-leukemic and leukemic (T-ALL) thymocytes. **(E)** FACS phenotypes of *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* thymocytes from *Cd3e*^{+/+} and *Cd3e*^{-/-} backgrounds at pre-leukemic and leukemic stages. **(F)** Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) correlates disease progression to stages of thymocyte differentiation. Up-regulated and down-regulated gene sets were computed at each stage of normal thymocyte development from ETP to DP using microarray data from the Imngen project (<http://www.imngen.org>), and enrichment was tested during disease progression (pre-leukemia to leukemia). Dark green bars denote enrichment of the up-regulated signature, and yellow bars denote enrichment of down-regulated signatures. **(G)** GSEA analysis of β-selection, pre-TCR specific and CD8⁺ TCR specific gene signatures during disease progression. Left panels show the enrichment tests for up-regulated gene signatures, and right panels show enrichment of genes decreased by β-selection, pre-TCR and CD8⁺ TCR.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Mouse Models and Cell Lines

All animals were kept on a C57BL/6/J strain background and maintained in pathogen-free conditions according to institutional animal care and use guidelines. *Lck-Notch1^{IC9}* (*Notch1^{tg}*) (53), *SIL-SCL* (A (5)3SCL; *SCL^{tg}*) (54), *Lck-LMO1* (*LMO1^{tg}*) (55), *Cd3e^{-/-}* (56), *E2a/Tcf3^{+/-}* (57) and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* (52) were described previously. Kaplan-Meier survival and statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.). T-ALL susceptibility was computed from areas under the curve (AUC) of Kaplan-Meier survival curves using Prism (1-AUC). Generation of human xenograft T-ALL blasts (14H025 and 14H148) were described previously (58). Human blast and primary murine thymocytes were cultured in MEM Alpha culture medium (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% FBS, 10mM HEPES, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 55 μ M β -mercaptoethanol, 2 mM glutamax, 5 ng/mL human FLT-3 Ligand, 5 ng/mL murine IL-7 and 20 ng/mL murine SCF. The DN T-cell line AD10.1 and Jurkat were cultured as previously described (50). KOPT-K1 and P12-ICHIKAWA cell lines were obtained from the DSMZ collection, Germany and maintained in RPMI-1640 culture media supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS).

2.2 Flow Cytometry Analysis and Cell Sorting

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from thymi or thymoma of mice. Flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting were done as described previously (39) using antibodies against Thy1.2, CD4, CD8, CD25 and CD44, using propidium iodide to exclude dead cells. Multiparametric flow cytometry analysis was performed on a FORTRESSA flow cytometer, and cell sorting was performed on FACSAria (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Cell cycle analysis using DAPI staining was performed using ModFit (Verity Software Software, USA).

2.3 RT-PCR and *Notch1* Sequencing

For *Cd3e* gene expression analysis, DN thymocytes from wt and *Heb/Tcf12^{-/-}* newborn mice were sorted by flow cytometry and cDNAs were prepared as described previously (39). Southern blots of the amplicons were revealed by hybridization using an internal ³²P-labeled oligonucleotide fragment (primer sequences are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**). The ribosomal *Rps16* expression was used as a control for cDNA quality and quantity.

For *Notch1* sequencing, cDNA was prepared from total RNAs as described previously (39). Amplification of *Notch1* exons 26, 27, and 34 from leukemias cDNA were Sanger sequenced in both directions. Quantitative gene expression analysis was performed on StepOne system (Life Technologies) using specific primers and Advanced qPCR mix (Wisent). Primer sequences used for specific mRNA amplification are listed in **Supplemental Table S1**.

2.4 Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors. Protein extracts were resolved on bis-acrylamide gel,

transferred on PVDF membranes and hybridized with anti-HEB and anti-E2A (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA) and anti-tubulin- β (Sigma) and anti-ERK (Cell Signaling) as a loading control.

2.5 ChIP Assays

Chromatin immunoprecipitation were performed on either *Cd3e^{-/-}* primary thymocytes or AD10.1 extracts as previously described (50). Quantitative PCR was performed on StepOne system (Life Technologies) using specific primers using Advanced qPCR mix (Wisent). Oligonucleotide sequences used for promoter amplification are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**.

2.6 Microarray Analysis

Total RNAs were prepared from freshly isolated thymocytes from *Cd3e^{-/-}* (control DN3 thymocytes), *Cd3^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALL and pre-leukemic (3-week-old), and *Cd3e^{+/+}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* leukemic mice using the RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON). cDNA synthesis, labeling and hybridization onto Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 arrays were performed at the Ottawa Health Research Institute (Ottawa, ON) as described (2). Raw data were normalized using the RMA procedure implemented in the Affy package from Bioconductor (59).

2.7 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

We obtained raw microarray data for normal thymocyte populations generated by the Immgen project from GEO (accession number GSE15907). Data were normalized using the RMA procedure implemented in the Affy package from Bioconductor (59). We derived “transition” signatures for each differentiation step (i.e. ETP to DN2, DN2 to DN3a, etc.), which contained genes whose expression levels present with at least a 2-fold change (up or down-regulated) in the transition (gene signatures provided in **Supplementary File 1**). In **Figure 1F**, gene set enrichment analysis (60) was applied to detect transition signatures that are enriched in the transcriptome of *Cd3e^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* and *Cd3e^{+/+}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* leukemic cells.

Pre-TCR specific signatures (**Figure 1G, Supplementary File 1**) included genes that increased or decreased at least 2-fold during the DN3a-DN3b transition, and are not regulated by the $\alpha\beta$ TCR in peripheral CD8⁺ T cells stimulated by antigen (naive versus activated CD8⁺ T cells). Conversely, TCR-specific signatures (**Figure 1G, Supplementary File 1**) included genes exclusively regulated by the $\alpha\beta$ TCR in activated CD8⁺ T cells.

2.8 Regulator Analysis Using ChIP-Seq Datasets

We collected genome-wide chromatin occupancy data for 7 transcription factors implicated in pre-TCR signalling (11 ChIP-seq experiments in total, **Figure 2A**) from Wang et al. (61), Miyazaki et al. (37), and the HemoChIP project (62). ChIP-seq data obtained for E2A (DN3 and DN4) (37) and NOTCH1 (G4A2 and T6E murine cell lines) (61) were processed according to the following steps: (i) sequence reads were mapped to the mouse genome mm9 using Bowtie with default parameters (maximum 2 mismatches); and (ii) peak coordinates were




FIGURE 2 | T-ALL progression associated with an inhibition of E proteins targets and downregulation of HEB protein. **(A)** Regulator analysis identified transcription factors associated to transcriptional repression during T-ALL progression and β -selection. Regulators are rank-ordered according to their enrichment scores. The bars display the downregulation significance (log10 adjusted P, Fisher's exact test). Targets were extracted from ChIP-seq datasets (cell types in parenthesis). **(B)** GSEA analysis of genes activated by HEB and E2A targets during progression from pre-leukemic to leukemic state in *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} T-ALL. **(C)** Binding site motif enrichment (MSig) within the proximal promoter regions of HEB target genes down-regulated between *Cd3e*^{-/-} leukemic and pre-leukemic cells. **(D)** HEB and E2A protein levels during T-ALL progression measured by Western blotting. **(E)** Growth in vitro for primary T-ALL samples (left panel). Western blot analysis of the indicated T-ALL samples (right panel).

determined by the MACS tool, using the cutoff $P < 10^{-9}$. Peak coordinates for the HemoChIP dataset mapped to the mouse genome mm9 were downloaded from http://hscl.cimr.cam.ac.uk/ChIP-Seq_Compendium/ChIP-Seq_Compendium2.html. Last, all peaks were associated to their closest transcription start sites in the mouse genome using PeakAnalyzer v.1.4 tool (63). Lists of targets bound by transcription factors included all genes containing at least one binding site for the regulator (**Supplementary File 1**). We tested enrichment of targets using the Fisher's exact test (**Figure 2A**).

2.9 Exome Sequencing and Data Analysis

DNA was extracted from *Cd3e*^{-/-}*SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} leukemias (n=4) and control *Cd3e*^{-/-} thymocytes (n=2), followed by targeted exome enrichment was performed using the mouse Nimblegen SeqCap EZ kit from Roche. Sequencing was performed in the Illumina HiSeq2000 at the IRIC Genomics platform. Low quality bases (quality below 20) in paired-end reads were trimmed off

using the Trimmomatic tool (64), duplicate reads were removed using Picard (<http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard>), and alignment to the mouse genome (mm10) was performed with bwa (65). The resulting depth of coverage was 20X for at least 85% of the captured exome across all samples. Exome variants in T-ALL samples were predicted using Strelka (66), using *Cd3e*^{-/-} thymocytes as matching controls, and annotation was performed using ANNOVAR (67). SIFT scores to determine deleterious variants were computed using the Variant Effect Predictor tool (68).

2.10 RNA-Sequencing and Data Analysis

RNA extracted from *Cd3e*^{-/-}*SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} leukemias (n=4) and control *Cd3e*^{-/-} thymocytes (n=2) was prepared using the TruSeq RNA kit (Illumina) and sequenced in the Illumina HiSeq2000 in the IRIC Genomics platform. Low quality bases (quality below 20) in paired-end reads were trimmed off using the Trimmomatic, and processed reads were aligned to the mouse

genome mm10 using Tophat2/Bowtie2 v2.0.7 (69). Gene levels were quantified (FPKM values) based on the UCSC reference genes annotation using cuffdiff v.2.1.1.

RNA-seq data from pediatric tumors including a minimum of 313 T-ALL, 720 B-ALL and 350 Brain tumor samples were accessible for data analysis *via* the St. Jude PeCan data portal (<https://pecan.stjude.cloud>) (70) using Protein paint to capture RNA expression from the above ALL datasets (71).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Functional Importance of the Pre-TCR in Disease Progression

The first critical event in leukemogenesis is the reprogramming of DN3 thymocytes into pre-leukemic stem cells (pre-LSCs) by the SCL-LMO1 oncogenes (2). These two oncogenes target the DN3 population (4, 72) but are not sufficient *per se* for progression to T-ALL. While the initiating reprogramming event is pre-TCR independent (2), progression to T-ALL requires both pre-TCR and NOTCH1 signaling (4), thus emulating the requirement for cooperative signaling between the two important pathways for normal thymocyte differentiation (11). To define the precise contribution of each pathway to disease progression, we took a genetic approach to quantitatively estimate T-ALL progression using disease penetrance and the time to leukemia onset as endpoints to measure leukemogenesis. T-ALL induced by *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* or *Notch1^{tg}* separately is affected by the absence of pre-TCR/CD3 signaling (Figure 1B) as previously reported (4, 72, 73). In contrast, *SCL-LMO1* together with the hyperactive *Notch1* allele (*ICN1*, hereafter *Notch1^{tg}*), induce T-ALL with full penetrance in the presence or in the absence of *Cd3e* (Figure 1C). Nonetheless, in *Cd3e*-proficient mice, pre-TCR/CD3 signaling accelerates the disease to 29 days, compared to 112 days in *Cd3e*-deficient mice. These results indicate that *Notch1^{tg}* drives the penetrance of T-ALL while the pre-TCR determines the time to leukemia. Of note, T-ALL induced by the three oncogenes together in the absence of *Cd3e* reproduce the disease induced by the two transcription factor oncogenes *SCL* and *LMO1* in a *Cd3e*-proficient background (Figure S1). Therefore, in the context of T-ALL induced by the *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes, the *Notch1* transgene controls disease penetrance while pre-TCR signaling accelerates disease onset.

3.2 Re-Activation of a Pre-TCR-Driven Proliferation Signature in the Absence of CD3 Signaling Associated With Disease Progression

While pre-TCR signaling has been known to be important for leukemogenesis (74, 75) and more specifically for SCL-LMO1-induced T-ALL (4, 76), the contribution of the pre-TCR and downstream molecular effectors remain to be uncovered. T-ALL that still develops in the absence of CD3 or RAG, completely lacked the typical *Notch1* gain of function mutations (4), providing us with a unique genetic tool to dissect the contribution of these pathways to T-ALL.

We therefore conducted a transcriptomic analysis that capitalized on our identification of DN3a as the cell of origin of T-ALL, and in *Cd3e^{-/-}* mice in which pre-TCR signaling is abrogated, causing thymocyte differentiation blockade at the DN3a stage. This allows for a stringent comparison between the pre-leukemic and the leukemic state to define the molecular signature of progression. During the pre-leukemic stage, *Cd3e^{-/-}* *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* thymocytes are blocked at the DN3a stage, as expected from the absence of CD3.

We next compared the transcriptomes of pre-leukemic (n=3) and of *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* leukemic cells (n=6) (Figure 1D). We applied principal component analysis (PCA) to compare the transcriptomes of normal thymocyte populations (obtained from the Immgen project) with pre-leukemic and leukemic samples. The first component reflected the distinct Affymetrix chips used for profiling whereas the second PCA component organized the transcriptomes according to their differentiation trajectories, from ETP to DP cells. Overall, PCA showed that pre-leukemic samples were comparable to the *Cd3e^{-/-}* DN3a thymocytes. Strikingly, progression to T-ALL correlates with the expression profiles of thymocytes that have undergone β -selection, despite the absence of pre-TCR signaling in *Cd3e^{-/-}* mice. Consistent with PCA, we observed that leukemic cells acquired a post- β -selection phenotype to become DN3b-DP cells (98% of the thymic mass), compared to 2% at the pre-leukemic stage, despite the complete lack of normal pre-TCR function in *Cd3e^{-/-}* mice (Figure 1E).

We also correlated disease progression with gene signatures of thymocyte differentiation using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). We observed that only signatures associated to post- β -selection thymocytes (DN3b to DN4 cells) were positively correlated with T-ALL progression (Figure 1F). The strongest correlation was associated with the DN3a-DN3b transition, exactly at the stage where the pre-TCR/CD3 triggers a burst of cell proliferation following a productive TCR β rearrangement and the formation of a functional pre-TCR/CD3 complex.

CD3 signaling is important for pre-TCR function and β -selection, but also for TCR signaling. To distinguish the contribution of these two pathways to T-ALL progression, we applied GSEA to analyse gene signatures of antigen-independent (pre-TCR) and antigen-dependent (TCR) T-cell stimulation in T-ALL progression. Pre-TCR-induced genes (DN3b-DN4) correlated positively with leukemia progression (Figure 1G, adj. P < 10⁻⁴). In contrast, the TCR gene signature did not correlate positively with T-ALL progression induced by SCL-LMO1 (Figure 1G).

In summary, our results indicate that leukemic cells display gene signatures of post- β -selection thymocytes, suggesting that a pre-TCR/CD3-like proliferation has occurred even in the absence of a functional pre-TCR (*Cd3e^{-/-}* background). Moreover, progression to T-ALL overlaps specifically with pre-TCR-driven gene signature. This CD3-independent activation of the pre-TCR molecular signature indicates a strong selective pressure during leukemic progression for pathways that normally control the β -selection checkpoint.

3.3 T-ALL Progression Associated With an Inhibition of E Proteins

3.3.1 Genomic Analyses Identify the Down-Regulation of E2A and HEB Targets During Normal β -Selection and the Progression From Pre-Leukemic to Leukemic Stages

Several transcription factors have been implicated in pre-TCR signaling and/or β -selection (reviewed in (77)). To determine their potential contribution to T-ALL progression, we first performed a systematic regulator analysis based on published ChIP-seq datasets (62).

This analysis predicted that targets of E proteins, E2A and HEB, are down-regulated during β -selection and T-ALL progression (Figure 2A, Fisher's exact test). In addition, from data obtained with shRNA knock-down of *HEB* (78), we identified a list of 389 genes activated by HEB in Jurkat cells, (i.e. fold-change > 1.5, t-test $P < 0.05$). GSEA indicated that these HEB targets (Figure 2B, left panel) as well as E2A-bound genes (Figure 2B, right panel) are down-regulated when comparing *Cd3e*^{-/-} leukemic and pre-leukemic cells. Last, well known E-Box motifs CAGCTG and TAL1 β -ITF2 (79) were found to be enriched in HEB target genes (Figure 2C).

Overall, these analyses indicate that inhibition of E protein activity may be important both at the β -selection checkpoint and during T-ALL progression.

3.3.2 HEB Protein Levels Are Down Regulated in T-ALL

Mice lacking *E2a/Tcf3* develop lymphomas, suggesting that E2A is a tumor suppressor (42, 45). Nonetheless, *TCF3* mRNA is highly expressed in human T-ALL (9, 80) and the *TCF3* gene is neither deleted nor mutated, raising the question how E2A acts as a tumor suppressor. Previous work showed that pre-TCR signaling inhibits E2A activity *via* upregulation of *Id3* (81). However, the very low levels of *ID3* in most human T-ALL samples (Figure S2A) and in murine *SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} T-ALL (Figure S2B) do not support a role for ID3 in sequestering HEB or E2A in T-ALL.

Next, we investigated *Heb* expression at the mRNA and protein levels in T-ALL progression. *Heb/Tcf12* mRNA levels were equally high in control, pre-leukemic and leukemic cells (Figure S2C). In contrast, we found by western blotting that HEB protein was almost absent in murine leukemic cells, contrasting with high expression levels in normal DN3a thymocytes and variable levels in pre-leukemic thymocytes (Figure 2D, upper panel). E2A protein levels also decreased with progression to T-ALL, albeit to a lesser extent (Figure 2D, lower panel) while mRNA levels remained elevated (Figure S2C). Moreover, we observed that HEB levels steadily increased in Jurkat cells treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Figure S2D), indicating that HEB levels are regulated by proteasomal degradation in leukemic cells. We next inspected E protein levels in two primary T-ALL patient samples. HEB protein levels were undetected in the sample with higher proliferation in culture, whereas E2A was undetectable in both samples (Figure 2E). Taken together, these results indicate a

strong selective pressure for HEB protein down-regulation during progression to T-ALL.


3.4 HEB Restricts Cell Proliferation at the β -Selection Checkpoint and Acts a Tumor Suppressor in T-ALL

To directly address the role of *Heb* or *E2a*, we analyzed thymocyte numbers in *E2a/Tcf3*^{+/-} or *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/-} mice in the context of the *SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} mice or their wild type (*wt*) littermates. In adult *wt* mice, removal of one *Heb* allele or one *E2a* allele did not significantly affect thymocyte numbers at the DN3 to DP stages (Figure 3A), although *Heb* monoallelic deletion resulted in modest but significantly increased cell numbers within populations undergoing β -selection, i.e. DN3b and DN3-4 (Figure S3), concurring with the view that *Heb* enforces a proliferation checkpoint at this stage (44, 82). We and others previously showed that the *SCL-LMO1* or *LMO2* oncogenes expand the DN3 populations due to increased self-renewal capacity (2, 4). Interestingly, *Heb* haploinsufficiency further increased the expansion of the DN3 and DN4 populations induced by the *SCL-LMO1* oncogenes (Figure 3A). To directly address the antiproliferative role of HEB, we compared S/G2/M phase progression in *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/-} and *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/+} DN3 thymocytes from *Cd3e*^{-/-} mice. In absence of pre-TCR signaling, while loss of one allele of *Heb* increased the proportion of proliferating cells, we found that the *SCL-LMO1* oncogenes decreased the proportion of cycling DN3 thymocytes, consistent with a role for *SCL* in quiescence control (83). In this context, removing one *Heb* allele re-established proliferating DN3 thymocytes to normal proportions (Figure 3B). Therefore, in the absence of pre-TCR signaling, the *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes revealed *Heb* haplo-insufficiency in cell cycle control, indicating and that HEB anti-proliferative function is required to control oncogenic stress at the β -selection checkpoint. In addition to the previously reported role for *Id3*-mediated inhibition of E proteins during β -selection in steady state (84), our data indicate a distinctive requirement for HEB in stress response.

The E proteins and Id axis has a well-established tumor-suppressor function (42, 45). We therefore addressed the question whether both HEB and E2A have a tumor suppressor function in the context of *SCL-LMO1*-induced T-ALL (Figure 3C). In *Cd3e*-proficient mice, loss of one *E2a* allele caused a modest decrease in latency from 122 days in littermate controls to 114 days (Figure 3C, left panel). In contrast, deletion of one *Heb* allele accelerated the time of onset to 83 days compared to 130 days in littermate controls (Figure 3C, right panel). Together, our results indicate a tumor suppressor function for *Heb* which acts in a gene-dosage dependent manner in T-ALL induced by *SCL* and *LMO1*.

3.5 Monoallelic *Heb/Tcf12* Deletion Accelerates *SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg}-Induced T-ALL Without Affecting *Notch1*^{tg}-Induced T-ALL

In *Cd3e*-deficient mice expressing the *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes, inactivation of a single *Heb* allele bypassed pre-TCR signalling to increase the proportion and numbers of DN4 cells during the



pre-leukemic stage (Figures 4A, B and Figure S4). In addition, monoallelic *Heb* deletion allowed a minor population of SCL-LMO1 expressing thymocytes to progress to the DP stage (1.4%, Figures 4A, B). Last, progression to the leukemic stage is associated with a transition to a post- β -selection phenotype in *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* mice, which consistently increased in *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/-} T-ALL (Figures 4C, D).

We next assessed the impact of *Heb* gene dosage on disease penetrance and time to leukemia. Strikingly, decreased *Heb* (*Heb/Tcf12*^{+/-}) compensates for the absence of pre-TCR signaling in *Cd3e*^{-/-} mice and allowed *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALL to become fully penetrant, in addition to accelerating disease onset by 107 days (Figures 5A, B). Hence, in *Cd3e*^{-/-} *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* mice in which disease penetrance was 80%, loss of one *Heb* allele recapitulated the effect of the *Notch1* oncogene on restoring full disease penetrance as shown in Figure 1C. Since *Notch1*^{tg}-induced T-ALL is also dependent on pre-TCR function (Figures 1C and 5C, D), we next addressed the importance of *Heb* in T-ALL induced by *Notch1*^{tg} in pre-TCR proficient and pre-TCR deficient mice. In contrast to *SCL* and *LMO1*, decreased *Heb* gene dosage did not

affect *Notch1*^{tg}-induced T-ALL in *Cd3e*^{-/-} or in *Cd3e*^{+/+} mice (Figures 5C–F), indicating that *Heb* and *Notch1* operate in the same genetic pathway in T-ALL, as suggested during normal differentiation (36). In summary, *Heb* and *Notch1* inversely control the penetrance of T-ALL induced by the *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes whereas the pre-TCR determines the DN-DP transition during the pre-leukemic stage and the time of disease onset.

Since the *Notch1* oncogene is sufficient to bypass pre-TCR signaling to confer full leukemic penetrance in *Cd3e*^{-/-} *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* mice (Figure 1B), we addressed the question whether the increased penetrance caused by monoallelic *Heb* deletion shown in Figure 5A could be due to the acquisition of *Notch1* gain of function mutations. We analyzed a cohort of mice with *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/-} and *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/+} T-ALL for the presence of *Notch1* mutations (Figures 5E and S5). As expected, 19 of 20 *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALL in *Cd3e*^{+/+} *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/+} mice exhibit *Notch1* gain of function mutations (4, 85) which affect the PEST domain whereas *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}Heb/Tcf12*^{+/+} T-ALL completely lacked *Notch1* mutation as reported (4). In




FIGURE 4 | The SCL and LMO1 oncogenes favor the DN-DP transition at the leukemic stage despite the absence of pre-TCR/CD3 signaling: synergy with decreased *Heb* gene dosage. **(A)** FACS phenotypes of normal *Cd3e^{-/-}* or pre-leukemic *Cd3e^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* thymocytes from *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds. Flow cytometry profiles for the CD4⁻ CD8⁻ DN populations are shown in **Figure S4**. **(B)** Absolute numbers of thymocytes within the indicated subsets in normal *Cd3e^{-/-}* or pre-leukemic *Cd3e^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* in *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds. Shown are the average \pm SD of at least 5 mice per group, taken at 4 weeks. Note the significant increase in the post- β selection DN4 and DP populations in *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* mice. **(C)** FACS phenotypes of *Cd3e*-proficient or deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* leukemias from *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds. **(D)** T-ALL with a post- β -selection phenotype. Shown are the percentages of cells from each T-ALL with post- β -selection surface phenotypes (DN3-4, DN4, ISP, SP). n represents the numbers of mice analysed. * adj p=0.02. pvalue < 0.05; **p value < 0.005; ***p value < 0.0005; ****p value < 0.0001, ns, Non significant.

comparison, only 1 of 7 *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* T-ALLs acquired *Notch1* mutations (**Figure 5E** and **Figure S5**). Therefore, the increased aggressiveness of *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* T-ALL is unlikely due to *Notch1* gain of function mutations.

These results establish *Heb* as a tumor suppressor that normally enforces a proliferative checkpoint during β -selection to suppress oncogene-induced T-ALL in a gene dosage-dependent manner. Unlike classical tumor suppressors, the human *HEB* gene is not affected at the genomic level in T-ALL (10, 25). Here, we show that *HEB* is regulated at the protein level, pointing to a distinctive loss of function mechanism.

3.6 A Threshold-Dependent Role for *Cdkn1a* Downstream of HEB

3.6.1 Exome Sequencing of *Cd3e^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALLs Reveals Loss of Function Mutations in HEB-Bound Genes

Since *HEB/TCF12* is not deleted nor inactivated by deleterious mutations in human T-ALL, we addressed the possibility that down-regulation or genetic inactivation of HEB targets with tumor suppressor function could also be a mechanism associated to and/or selected for during disease progression. We performed exome sequencing of *Cd3e^{-/-}SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}*




FIGURE 5 | The *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes but not the *Notch1/IC9* oncogene reveal *Heb/Tcf12* as a haplo-insufficient tumor suppressor in T-ALL. **(A)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparing *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* leukemias in *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds. Shown is the median survival in days. **(B)** T-ALL susceptibility of *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* mice in *Cd3e*-proficient (*Cd3e^{+/+}*, **Figure 3C**) or deficient (*Cd3e^{-/-}*, **Figure 5A**) backgrounds was calculated from the area under the curve (AUC) of the above Kaplan-Meier graph over 365 days. Shown on top are the numbers of mice per group. **(C, D)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves **(C)** and T-ALL susceptibility **(D)** comparing *Notch1/IC9*-induced leukemias in *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds that are *Cd3e*-deficient or *Cd3e*-proficient. **(E)** Presence of *Notch1* activating mutations in *Cd3e*-proficient but not *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALL. Shown are the percentage of T-ALL samples with an activating mutation in the *Notch1* locus in *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds. Shown on top are the numbers of leukemias sequenced in each group. Only one *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* *Cd3e*-deficient *SCL^{tg}LMO1^{tg}* T-ALL harbours a mutation affecting the PEST domain of *Notch1*. **(F)** Leukemic phenotypes of *Cd3e*-proficient or *Cd3e*-deficient *Notch1^{tg}* leukemias from *Heb/Tcf12^{+/+}* and *Heb/Tcf12^{+/-}* backgrounds **** *p*value<0.0001.

leukemias (n=4) and control *Cd3e*⁻thymocytes to identify genetic alterations involved in leukemia development in the absence of pre-TCR signaling. The software Strelka (66) was applied to discover somatic SNVs and short indels using the paired tumor-control configuration. After filtering out known polymorphisms reported in the SNP database v.138, we obtained

85 non-synonymous SNVs predicted to be deleterious by the Variant Effect Predictor tool (68). In addition, Strelka predicted 19 stop gains, 10 stop loss, 2 frameshift deletions and 1 frameshift insertion (**Supplementary File 2**).

We investigated the gene set affected by the above mutations (102 genes in total, **Figure 6A**) using the MSig database

enrichment tool (<http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/annotate.jsp>). We found a strong enrichment (adjusted $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$) for genes containing the E-box motif CAGGTG in their proximal promoters, suggesting they could be regulated by E proteins E2A and HEB (**Figure 6B** and **Supplementary File 2**). The AP4 E-box motif CAGCTG was also found to be enriched in promoters of mutated genes (35 genes, adjusted $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$).

To confirm binding, we performed ChIP in the AD10 DN cell line and found by PCR that HEB occupies the promoter regions of 29 of the mutated genes within 2 kb of the transcriptional start site, in addition to *Cdkn1a*, validated here as a HEB target (**Figure S6A**). Binding enrichment was at least five-fold over a negative control and for 3 genes, the enrichment was almost as high as that observed with *Ptcra* which was amplified as a positive control (**Figure S6A**). In contrast, *Csf3r* which was amplified as a negative control did not show any enrichment.

3.6.2 Downstream HEB Targets With Putative Tumor Suppressor Function in Human T-ALL

We next addressed whether HEB-bound genes with loss of function mutations in murine T-ALL might be tumor suppressors in the human disease. We included *Cdkn1a* in this analysis because *Cdkn1a* is a well-documented HEB and E2A target gene (41, 44, 86) and because of strong genetic evidence for *Cdkn1a* tumor suppressor function in mice harboring one additional *Cdkn1a* allele (87). Out of 102 genes with deleterious mutations (for a total of 103 including *Cdkn1a*), 35 are HEB-bound genes (34.3%) according to previously published HEB ChIP-seq data with two human TAL1/SCL T-cell lines (78) and ChIP-PCR validation from this study (**Figure S6A**). Of note, 7 of these 35 HEB-bound genes (20%) are known tumor suppressors (<https://bioinfo.uth.edu/TSGene>, **Figure 6A**). We checked gene expression in blood and brain samples from normal tissues in the GTEx portal (<https://www.gtexportal.org>) and all seven genes were expressed in normal cells and therefore retained for further analysis. When we applied the same filtering strategy to E2A instead of HEB, only 10 of 103 genes are E2A-bound (9.7%) and 4 of the 10 genes are annotated as TSGenes (**Figures 6A, E, H**). All four genes are present in the seven HEB-bound TSGenes.

These 7 HEB-targets and TS genes were not found to be recurrently mutated in T-ALL (10). Of note, T-ALL can be classified into distinct molecular subgroups based on chromosomal translocations and gene signatures (9, 23, 88). Since our transgenic model is representative of the SCL/TAL1 molecular subgroup, we next addressed the question whether these seven HEB target TSGenes would be expressed at lower levels in the TAL1 subgroup compared to the other T-ALL subgroups, using the dataset published by Liu et al. (10). Gene expression levels were remarkably comparable between TAL1 and non-TAL1 subgroups and were consistently low compared to *TCF12* (**Figure 6B**). We then searched the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (<https://www.stjude.cloud>) (89) that covers more diversified cancer types, in order to compare expression levels for these seven genes in T-ALL (10), B-ALL (90) and brain tumors (**Figure 6D**). Strikingly, *CDKN1A* expression is five- and four-fold lower in T-ALL

compared to B-ALL and brain tumors, respectively (**Figure 6D**). In addition, four other TSGenes are expressed at two- to eight-fold lower levels in T-ALL compared to brain tumors and/or B-ALL samples: *NEDD4L*, *PLCB3*, *APC* and *ZMYND11* (**Figure 6D**). These expression patterns contrast sharply with those of *LCK* which is highest in T-ALL as expected (**Figure S6C**), *RUNX1* which is equally expressed in T- and B-ALL but not in brain tumors, or *JAK1*, encoding a non-receptor tyrosine kinase, which is higher in B-ALL compared to the other two groups, and finally *NAXE*, encoding a metabolic enzyme which is expressed in all three types of pediatric cancers with modest but significantly lower levels in B-ALL (**Figure S6B**). Overall, low expression levels of HEB target TSGenes in primary human T-ALL samples concur with decreased HEB function in T-ALL compared to B-ALL and brain tumors, the three most frequent pediatric cancers, and a tumor suppressor function for HEB in pediatric T-ALL. Of note, these potential TSGenes are rarely mutated or deleted in T-ALL. Rather, these TSGenes are expressed at much lower levels in T-ALL compared to the other pediatric tumors.

3.6.3 *Cdkn1a* Deletion Accelerates SCL-LMO1-Induced T-ALL

Cdkn1a, a typical target of E2A and Id (41, 86, 91, 92) is part of the gene set that is downregulated at the β -selection checkpoint (**Figure S6C**) (93). p21^{Cdkn1a} mediates G1 arrest by inhibiting CDK1 and CDK2 and loss of *CDKN1A* is a predictor of poor outcome in renal cell carcinoma (94). In agreement with our results (**Figure 6C, D**), *CDKN1A* was found to be very low in human T-ALL (95). We therefore addressed the functional implication of *Cdkn1a* in this mouse model of SCL/TAL1 human T-ALL. We first confirmed that both E2A and HEB occupy the *Cdkn1a* promoter in primary DN thymocytes (**Figure 6E**, left panel). Moreover, *Cdkn1a* expression was nearly abrogated in *Heb/Tcf12*-deficient DN thymocytes (**Figure 6E**, right panel), indicating that HEB is a major transcriptional regulator of *Cdkn1a* at this developmental stage in the thymus. Treatment of thymocytes with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) leads to activation of protein kinase C (PKC) which phosphorylates RAF and activates the ERK-MAPK pathway, and thus can be used to emulate pre-TCR/TCR signalling (96). We observed that *Cdkn1a* levels were significantly down-regulated in DN3 cells incubated with PMA for 6 hours (**Figure S6D**), consistent with the view that pre-TCR signals down-regulate p21 through the ERK-MAPK pathway (84, 96). In mouse leukemias, *Cdkn1a* expression was decreased in pre-LSCs compared to wild-type DN3a thymocytes and was further reduced in leukemic cells, in both *Cd3e*^{+/+} and *Cd3e*^{-/-} leukemias (**Figure 6F**). Finally, we observed that T-ALL onset was accelerated by 37 days in *Cdkn1a*-deficient mice (**Figure 6G**), compared to the 53 day acceleration found in *Heb/Tcf12*^{+/+} mice, confirming a tumor-suppressor function for *Cdkn1a* (**Figure 6G**). Of note, *Cdkn1a* was haplosufficient in this genetic assay (**Figure 6G**), indicating that a reduction threshold must be attained for leukemic progression to occur. These results concur with the stepwise decrease in *Cdkn1a* in pre-LSCs and in leukemic blasts at time of overt leukemia, indicating that p21 is a




FIGURE 6 | HEB controls a tumor suppressor network in T-ALL. **(A)** Strategy of tumor suppressor identification amongst HEB target genes that are mutated in *Cd3e*^{-/-}*SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} leukemic cells. **(B)** Enrichment in E boxes within the proximal promoter regions of HEB target genes. **(C, D)** Expression levels of the indicated TSGenes in TAL1+ vs other molecular subgroups in pediatric T-ALL dataset from Liu et al. **(C)** and in T-ALL, B-ALL and brain tumors from the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project cohort **(D)**. **(E)** Chromatin immunoprecipitation of *Cdkn1a* promoter with HEB and E2A in DN thymocytes. The *Rag1* promoter and *Rag2* enhancer are included as positive controls (left panel) and RT-PCR analysis of *Cdkn1a* expression in DN and DP thymocytes in *wt* and *Heb/Tcf12* knockout mice. Amplified bands were revealed by hybridization with an internal ³²P-labeled oligonucleotide fragment (right panel). **(F)** Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *Cdkn1a* expression in *Cd3e*^{-/-} DN3 cells, pre-LSCs and leukemic cells. **(G)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of *SCL*^{tg}*LMO1*^{tg} T-ALL with partial and complete loss of *Cdkn1a*. **(H)** A tumor suppressor network downstream of HEB or E2A validated by chromatin immunoprecipitation. HEB is autoregulatory but not E2A. *p value < 0.01; ****p value < 0.0001

threshold-dependent tumor suppressor in T-ALL. In summary, our genetic approach indicates that in the absence of a hyperactive NOTCH1 and of pre-TCR signaling, progression to acute leukemia in *SCL-LMO1*-induced T-ALL involves the downregulation of a tumor suppressor network implicating HEB and p21^{CDKN1A} (Figure 6H).

4 DISCUSSION

In the present study, we provide genetic evidence for differing requirements of NOTCH1, pre-TCR signaling and HEB in driving progression to T-ALL. By quantifying two disease endpoints, T-ALL penetrance and disease latency, i.e. the

median time to overt leukemia, we show that in the context of the *SCL* and *LMO1* oncogenes, the hyperactive *Notch1* oncogene on its own determines disease penetrance even in the absence of pre-TCR signaling. Despite the apparent sufficiency of the three oncogenes, *SCL*, *LMO1* and *Notch1*, an active pre-TCR accelerates the time of onset to 29 days, which is the time required for a single leukemic stem cell to induce T-ALL upon transplantation as shown previously (4). Therefore, *SCL* and *LMO1* acting in synergy with two essential signaling pathways in thymocyte development are sufficient to transform a normal DN3a thymocyte into a fully transformed leukemia initiating cell. Moreover, our data indicate that *Notch1* and *Heb* operate in the same genetic pathway, and mono-allelic deletion of *Heb* can recapitulate the capacity of the *Notch1* oncogene to cause a fully penetrant disease in the absence of pre-TCR signaling.

4.1 Pre-TCR Signaling and Lower HEB Levels as Major Drivers of T-ALL Progression

While pre-TCR signaling has been shown to modulate the aggressiveness of T-ALL in several mouse models, the pre-TCR is dispensable for T-ALL induced by *E47*- or *Trp53*-deficiency (97, 98). Hence, the importance of the pre-TCR in T cell transformation induced by oncogenic events remained to be clarified. We propose that the importance of pre-TCR signaling depends on the primary oncogenic transcription factor. Previous transcriptome analyses of pediatric T-ALL identified five molecular subgroups and showed that the TCR signaling pathway is significantly enriched in the TAL1 group while other KEGG pathways related genes were more generally distributed within the other molecular subgroups (9). We now provide evidence that β -selection but not antigen-specific TCR signals are collaborating events. Indeed, the pre-TCR drives cell proliferation, which is required not only for clonal expansion but also for DN-DP differentiation (99) and thymocyte survival (100). A pre-T cell receptor lacking the TCR beta variable domain causes an expansion of the DP population that precedes overt T cell leukemia, suggesting that abnormal pre-TCR function can be oncogenic (101). In addition, the pre-TCR signal is important for *Notch1/ICN1*, *Notch3*- and *TEL-JAK2*-induced leukemias (74, 102, 103), indicating that developmental processes required for normal thymocyte development can be implicated in the pathogenesis of T-ALL (75). In the present study, even though T-ALL can develop in the absence of *Cd3e* and of *Notch1* mutations, our transcriptomic comparison of preleukemic cells with fully transformed leukemic cells indicate a reactivation of β -selection during the progression to T-ALL. The signal strength providing progression to CD4+CD8+ DP T-ALL can either originate from a hyperactive *Notch1* allele or the deletion of a single *Heb* allele. Our data provide compelling evidence for the importance of signal strength of NOTCH1 or HEB in driving the DN to DP transition associated with leukemogenesis.

The pre-TCR complex induces Id expression and consequently inhibition of E protein activity suggesting that the pre-TCR functions upstream of E proteins (81). Nonetheless, *E2a*-deficient mice develop T-cell lymphomas (42, 45), associated with *Notch1* mutations within the PEST domain (104),

suggesting that *E2a* is not downstream of *Notch1*. Rather, the latter observations suggest that *Notch1* and *E2a* operate in parallel pathways. Moreover, *Heb* may function parallel to or downstream of the pre-TCR (51). Since NOTCH1 and pre-TCR functions are cooperative during β -selection (11), and both are believed to affect E protein function (81, 105, 106), pre-TCR signaling becomes a confounding factor in assessing the contribution of NOTCH1 and of E proteins in leukemogenesis. Using *Cd3e*^{-/-} mice in which pre-TCR function is abrogated, we now show that oncogenic *Notch1* controls disease penetrance in *SCL-LMO1*-induced T-ALL while the pre-TCR signal governs the time of leukemia onset. Last, our data indicate that *Heb* but not *E2a* operate in the same pathway as *Notch1* since monoallelic deletion of *Heb* does not affect *Notch1*-induced T-ALL but accelerates the disease induced by *SCL-LMO1* in *Cd3e*-sufficient mice. Finally, loss of one *Heb* allele in *Cd3e*-deficient mice compensates in part the *Notch1* oncogene to restore full penetrance to T-ALL induced by *SCL* and *LMO1*.

4.2 A Matter of Gene Dosage: Inactivation of HEB Targets in T-ALL by Down-Regulation or Deleterious Mutations.

We and others previously showed that the *SCL* transcription factor heterodimerizes with E2A or HEB, thereby inhibiting target gene expression such as *Cd4* and *Ptcra* and thymocyte differentiation (39, 40, 50). Nonetheless, *E47* deficiency promotes the aberrant development of *Rag1* null thymocytes and appearance of DP cells (84), similar to the appearance of a minor population of DP cells reported here in *Cd3e*-deficient thymocytes lacking one *Heb* allele.

E proteins are negatively regulated by ID proteins, another class of bHLH protein. At the β -selection checkpoint, the activation of RAS extracellular-signal-related kinase (ERK)-MAP kinase pathway upregulates ID proteins that bind to E proteins preventing their transcriptional activity (84). E2A inhibits proliferation and differentiation at the β -selection checkpoint in the absence of pre-TCR expression (84), suggesting that inhibition of E2A *via* Id3 would enforce the two distinctive functional outputs of pre-TCR signaling in favoring both cell proliferation and differentiation. However, Id2 and Id3 suppress lymphomagenesis (107), suggesting a necessary balance of E protein/ID levels during thymocyte differentiation. Partial redundancy may explain that both *E2a* and *Heb* are haplosufficient for thymocyte differentiation during steady-state conditions. Nonetheless, the oncogenic stress induced by *SCL* and *LMO1* in thymocytes reveal *Heb* haploinsufficiency at the DN to DP transition, more specifically at the β -selection checkpoint revealing at the same time that *E2a* cannot compensate for *Heb* in stress-response, despite their quasi-redundancy during steady-state conditions.

Our results also bring out the importance of monitoring protein levels in primary tumors, since inactivation of tumor suppressors *via* protein downregulation could represent a distinct mechanism driving T-ALL.

Master regulators of hematopoietic lineages have been implicated in tumor suppressor function, as reported for *C/EBP α* (108, 109) and *SPI1/PU.1* in acute myeloblastic leukemia (AML) (110) or *PAX5* loss of function in B-ALL (90, 111). While

E2A/TCF3 is annotated as a tumor suppressor gene (TSGene 2.0) with direct experimental and clinical evidence, *HEB/TCF12* tumor suppressor function has been overlooked, despite the critical role of HEB in the T lineage (reviewed in (4, 112)). Due to a non-redundant function to enforce T lineage (36) and at multiple stages of T cell differentiation, we propose that the essentiality of *HEB* precludes the possibility of identifying loss of function mutations in hematopoietic malignancies. Our study reveals the possibility of temporary loss of function *via* downregulation of HEB protein levels. Moreover, our analysis of the PCGP cohort identifies decreased gene expression levels in T-ALL compared to other pediatric cancers for at least five TSGenes that are HEB targets, regardless of the T-ALL molecular subgroup (9). In addition to well documented genetic alterations of tumor suppressor genes, our study indicates that reduced expression levels of multiple tumor suppressor genes could also contribute to the process of tumorigenesis, as illustrated here for *CDKN1A*.

4.3 HEB Controls a Tumor Suppressor Network in T-ALL that Includes *CDKN1A*

Cdkn1a is a well known E2A and HEB target (44) and tumor suppressor gene (113). More recently, the *Cdkn1a*^{SUPER} mouse is shown to be more resistant to transformation and exhibit a strong cancer protection phenotype, establishing a direct gene dosage-dependent tumor suppressor function for *CDKN1A* (87). Our observations indicate that *Cdkn1a* is down regulated by the *SCL-LMO1* oncogenes during the pre-leukemic stage and further down regulated during progression to T-ALL. In *Cd3e*-deficient T-ALL, our data point to the down-regulation of *Cdkn1a* as part of the selective pressure to activate molecular mechanisms underlying the β -selection process and drive progression to T-ALL. Supporting this hypothesis, *CDKN1A* gene expression levels in the PCGP cohort is on average six- to seven-fold lower in pediatric T-ALL compared to B-ALL, AML or brain tumors. In addition to mRNA downregulation, p21^{Cdkn1a} can also be phosphorylated and degraded *via* ubiquitin-dependent and ubiquitin-independent proteolysis (113). Much like *HEB*, the *CDKN1A* gene is not subject to copy number variations nor deleterious mutations in hematopoietic malignancies. Rather, *CDKN1A* levels can be downregulated at the mRNA level, as illustrated here, or at the level of protein stability.

HEB and E2A exert an anti-proliferative function in thymocytes prior to *Tcrb* rearrangement, required for the formation of a functional pre-TCR (44), consistent with a tumor suppressor function reported here for HEB. In addition to a well-timed restriction in cell proliferation, it remains possible that tumor suppression involves additional molecular functions secured either by HEB or HEB downstream targets, as reported for TP53 (114). In addition to *CDKN1A*, HEB and E2A co-occupy three TSG loci, *APC*, a haplo-insufficient tumor suppressor, *ZMYND11* a chromatin reader and tumor suppressor in breast cancer (115) and *CUX1*, a homeodomain transcription regulator and haploinsufficient tumor suppressor (116). HEB is also found to occupy three other TSGenes, *MYBPPIA*, potentially involved in nucleolar stress, *NEDDAL*, an E3 ligase with tumor suppressor

function (117) and *PLCB3*, encoding phospholipase C beta 3 (phosphatidylinositol-specific), involved in G-protein-linked receptor-mediated signal transduction (Figure 6H). These additional targets may account for the more prominent role of HEB as a tumor suppressor in response to the oncogenic stress induced by *SCL* and *LMO1*, exactly at the β -selection checkpoint controlled by HEB. Beyond the *SCL/TAL1* molecular group, five HEB target-TSGenes are down regulated in T-ALL compared to other pediatric tumors. In T-ALL, we propose that HEB controls a network of tumor suppressor genes to mitigate the oncogenic stress occurring at the β -selection checkpoint. Unlike classical tumor suppressors, these genes are not inactivated by genomic deletion or deleterious mutations but are down-regulated at the mRNA or at the protein levels. This mechanism cannot be detected by assessing DNA copy number variation or whole-genome sequencing, as exemplified by the total absence of *HEB* copy number loss or point mutations in T-ALL. In this context, future large-scale proteomics studies in primary tumors will be able to address whether downregulation of HEB protein is a recurrent event in human T-ALL.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo>, accession ID: GSE198506. RNA-seq data from pediatric tumors used for analysis in this study were obtained from the St. Jude Cloud (<https://www.stjude.cloud>).

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Comité d'éthique de la recherche clinique, Université de Montréal. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DV, MT, BG and TH conceived and designed the work. DV, MT, BG, SH and AH conducted the studies and/or contributed to the acquisition of data. DV, MT, BG, SH, PG, SL, JZ-P, JH and JPC contributed to the interpretation of the data and/or data analysis. JH, BG and AH contributed to experiments with primary human leukemic cells. DV, MT, and TH wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Cancer Society (TH), the Canadian Institute for Health Research (TH),

the FRSQ Cancer Network (JH), the Leukemia Lymphoma Society of Canada (TH), a post-doctoral fellowship from LLSC (SH) and fellowships from the Cole Foundation (DV and MT). The infrastructure was supported in part by an FRSQ group grant and the Canadian Foundation for Innovation.

colony; Danièle Gagné, Annie Gosselin, and Angélique Bellemare-Pelletier for assistance in flow cytometry and cell sorting; Magali Humbert, Geneviève Lavoie and Philippe Roux for help in the western blots; and Julie Gervais and Manon Valiquette for the InVivo Biology platform.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pearl Campbell from the Ottawa Heath Research Institute for assistance in cDNA arrays hybridization; Véronique Litalien, Karine Lachapelle and Koryne Léveillé for the mouse

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.867443/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Hoang T, Lambert JA, Martin R. SCL/TAL1 in Hematopoiesis and Cellular Reprogramming. *Curr Top Dev Biol* (2016) 118:163–204. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2016.01.004
- Gerby B, Tremblay CS, Tremblay M, Rojas-Sutterlin S, Herblot S, Hebert J, et al. SCL, LMO1 and Notch1 Reprogram Thymocytes Into Self-Renewing Cells. *PLoS Genet* (2014) 10(12):e1004768. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004768
- McCormack MP, Shields BJ, Jackson JT, Nasa C, Shi W, Slater NJ, et al. Requirement for Lyl1 in a Model of Lmo2-Driven Early T-Cell Precursor ALL. *Blood* (2013) 122(12):2093–103. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-09-458570
- Tremblay M, Tremblay CS, Herblot S, Aplan PD, Hebert J, Perreault C, et al. Modeling T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Induced by the SCL and LMO1 Oncogenes. *Genes Dev* (2010) 24(11):1093–105. doi: 10.1101/gad.1897910
- Cleveland SM, Smith S, Tripathi R, Mathias EM, Goodings C, Elliott N, et al. Lmo2 Induces Hematopoietic Stem Cell-Like Features in T-Cell Progenitor Cells Prior to Leukemia. *Stem Cells* (2013) 31(5):882–94. doi: 10.1002/stem.1345
- Fregona V, Bayet M, Gerby B. Oncogene-Induced Reprogramming in Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Towards Targeted Therapy of Leukemia-Initiating Cells. *Cancers* (2021) 13(21):5511. doi: 10.3390/cancers13215511
- Greaves M, Maley CC. Clonal Evolution in Cancer. *Nature* (2012) 481(7381):306–13. doi: 10.1038/nature10762
- Oshima K, Khiabani H, da Silva-Almeida AC, Tzoneva G, Abate F, Ambesi-Impimbato A, et al. Mutational Landscape, Clonal Evolution Patterns, and Role of RAS Mutations in Relapsed Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2016) 113(40):11306–11. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608420113
- Ferrando AA, Neuberger DS, Staunton J, Loh ML, Huard C, Raimondi SC, et al. Gene Expression Signatures Define Novel Oncogenic Pathways in T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Cancer Cell* (2002) 1(1):75–87. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(02)00018-1
- Liu Y, Easton J, Shao Y, Maciaszek J, Wang Z, Wilkinson MR, et al. The Genomic Landscape of Pediatric and Young Adult T-Lineage Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Nat Genet* (2017) 49(8):1211–8. doi: 10.1038/ng.3909
- Ciofani M, Schmitt TM, Ciofani A, Michie AM, Cuburu N, Aublin A, et al. Obligatory Role for Cooperative Signaling by Pre-TCR and Notch During Thymocyte Differentiation. *J Immunol* (2004) 172(9):5230–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.9.5230
- Maillard I, Tu L, Sambandam A, Yashiro-Ohtani Y, Millholland J, Keeshan K, et al. The Requirement for Notch Signaling at the Beta-Selection Checkpoint *In Vivo* is Absolute and Independent of the Pre-T Cell Receptor. *J Exp Med* (2006) 203(10):2239–45. doi: 10.1084/jem.20061020
- Ciofani M, Zúñiga-Pflücker JC. Notch Promotes Survival of Pre-T Cells at the β -Selection Checkpoint by Regulating Cellular Metabolism. *Nat Immunol* (2005) 6(9):881–8. doi: 10.1038/ni1234
- Weng AP, Ferrando AA, Lee W, Morris J, Silverman LB, Sanchez-Irizarry C, et al. Activating Mutations of NOTCH1 in Human T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Science* (2004) 306(5694):269–71. doi: 10.1126/science.1102160
- Roy M, Pear WS, Aster JC. The Multifaceted Role of Notch in Cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* (2007) 17(1):52–9. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2006.12.001
- Kushwah R, Guezguez B, Lee JB, Hopkins CI, Bhatia M. Pleiotropic Roles of Notch Signaling in Normal, Malignant, and Developmental Hematopoiesis in the Human. *EMBO Rep* (2014) 15(11):1128–38. doi: 10.15252/embr.201438842
- Tatarek J, Cullion K, Ashworth T, Gerstein R, Aster JC, Kelliher MA. Notch1 Inhibition Targets the Leukemia-Initiating Cells in a Tal1/Lmo2 Mouse Model of T-ALL. *Blood* (2011) 118(6):1579–90. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-08-300343
- Ashworth TD, Pear WS, Chiang MY, Blacklow SC, Mastio J, Xu L, et al. Deletion-Based Mechanisms of Notch1 Activation in T-ALL: Key Roles for RAG Recombinase and a Conserved Internal Translational Start Site in Notch1. *Blood* (2010) 116(25):5455–64. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-05-286328
- King B, Trimarchi T, Reavie L, Xu L, Mullenders J, Ntziachristos P, et al. The Ubiquitin Ligase FBXW7 Modulates Leukemia-Initiating Cell Activity by Regulating MYC Stability. *Cell* (2013) 153(7):1552–66. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.041
- Weng AP, Millholland JM, Yashiro-Ohtani Y, Arcangeli ML, Lau A, Wai C, et al. C-Myc is an Important Direct Target of Notch1 in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia/Lymphoma. *Genes Dev* (2006) 20(15):2096–109. doi: 10.1101/gad.1450406
- Yashiro-Ohtani Y, Wang H, Zang C, Arnett KL, Bailis W, Ho Y, et al. Long-Range Enhancer Activity Determines Myc Sensitivity to Notch Inhibitors in T Cell Leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2014) 111(46):E4946–53. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1407079111
- De Keersmaecker K, Atak ZK, Li N, Vicente C, Patchett S, Girardi T, et al. Exome Sequencing Identifies Mutation in CNOT3 and Ribosomal Genes RPL5 and RPL10 in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Nat Genet* (2013) 45(2):186–90. doi: 10.1038/ng.2508
- Homminga I, Pieters R, Langerak AW, de Rooij JJ, Stubbs A, Verstegen M, et al. Integrated Transcript and Genome Analyses Reveal NKX2-1 and MEF2C as Potential Oncogenes in T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Cancer Cell* (2011) 19(4):484–97. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.02.008
- Cauwelier B, Cave H, Gervais C, Lessard M, Barin C, Perot C, et al. Clinical, Cytogenetic and Molecular Characteristics of 14 T-ALL Patients Carrying the TCRbeta-HOXA Rearrangement: A Study of the Groupe Francophone De Cytogenetique Hematologique. *Leukemia* (2007) 21(1):121–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404410
- Tate JG, Bamford S, Jubb HC, Sondka Z, Beare DM, Bindal N, et al. COSMIC: The Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2018) 47(D1):D941–D7. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1015
- Kandath C, McLellan MD, Vandin F, Ye K, Niu B, Lu C, et al. Mutational Landscape and Significance Across 12 Major Cancer Types. *Nature* (2013) 502(7471):333–9. doi: 10.1038/nature12634
- Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz LA, Kinzler KW. Cancer Genome Landscapes. *Science* (2013) 339(6127):1546–58. doi: 10.1126/science.1235122
- Look AT. Oncogenic Transcription Factors in the Human Acute Leukemias. *Science* (1997) 278(5340):1059–64. doi: 10.1126/science.278.5340.1059

29. Van Vlierbergh P, Ferrando A. The Molecular Basis of T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *J Clin Invest* (2012) 122(10):3398–406. doi: 10.1172/JCI61269
30. De Keersmaecker K, Real PJ, Gatta GD, Palomero T, Sulis ML, Tosello V, et al. The TLX1 Oncogene Drives Aneuploidy in T Cell Transformation. *Nat Med* (2010) 16(11):1321–7. doi: 10.1038/nm.2246
31. Gutierrez A, Kentsis A, Sanda T, Holmfeldt L, Chen SC, Zhang J, et al. The BCL11B Tumor Suppressor is Mutated Across the Major Molecular Subtypes of T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Blood* (2011) 118(15):4169–73. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-11-318873
32. Li L, Leid M, Rothenberg EV. An Early T Cell Lineage Commitment Checkpoint Dependent on the Transcription Factor Bcl11b. *Science* (2010) 329(5987):89–93. doi: 10.1126/science.1188989
33. Murre C, McCaw PS, Baltimore D. A New DNA Binding and Dimerization Motif in Immunoglobulin Enhancer Binding, Daughterless, MyoD, and Myc Proteins. *Cell* (1989) 56(5):777–83. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(89)90682-X
34. Wang D, Claus CL, Vaccarelli G, Braunstein M, Schmitt TM, Zúñiga-Pflücker JC, et al. The Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Transcription Factor HEBAlt is Expressed in Pro-T Cells and Enhances the Generation of T Cell Precursors. *J Immunol* (2006) 177(1):109–19. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.1.109
35. Barndt RJ, Dai M, Zhuang Y. Functions of E2A-HEB Heterodimers in T-Cell Development Revealed by a Dominant Negative Mutation of HEB. *Mol Cell Biol* (2000) 20(18):6677–85. doi: 10.1128/MCB.20.18.6677-6685.2000
36. Braunstein M, Anderson MK. HEB-Deficient T-Cell Precursors Lose T-Cell Potential and Adopt an Alternative Pathway of Differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* (2011) 31(5):971–82. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01034-10
37. Miyazaki M, Rivera RR, Miyazaki K, Lin YC, Agata Y, Murre C. The Opposing Roles of the Transcription Factor E2A and its Antagonist Id3 That Orchestrate and Enforce the Naive Fate of T Cells. *Nat Immunol* (2011) 12(10):992–1001. doi: 10.1038/ni.2086
38. Belle I, Zhuang Y. E Proteins in Lymphocyte Development and Lymphoid Diseases. *Curr Top Dev Biol* (2014) 110:153–87. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-405943-6.00004-X
39. Herblot S, Steff AM, Hugo P, Aplan PD, Hoang T. SCL and LMO1 Alter Thymocyte Differentiation: Inhibition of E2A-HEB Function and Pre-T Alpha Chain Expression. *Nat Immunol* (2000) 1(2):138–44. doi: 10.1038/77819
40. Chervinsky DS, Zhao XF, Lam DH, Ellsworth M, Gross KW, Aplan PD. Disordered T-Cell Development and T-Cell Malignancies in SCL LMO1 Double-Transgenic Mice: Parallels With E2A-Deficient Mice. *Mol Cell Biol* (1999) 19(7):5025–35. doi: 10.1128/MCB.19.7.5025
41. Herblot S, Aplan PD, Hoang T. Gradient of E2A Activity in B-Cell Development. *Mol Cell Biol* (2002) 22(3):886–900. doi: 10.1128/MCB.22.3.886-900.2002
42. Bain G, Engel I, Robanus Maandag EC, te Riele HP, Voland JR, Sharp LL, et al. E2A Deficiency Leads to Abnormalities in Alphabeta T-Cell Development and to Rapid Development of T-Cell Lymphomas. *Mol Cell Biol* (1997) 17(8):4782–91. doi: 10.1128/MCB.17.8.4782
43. Park ST, Nolan GP, Sun XH. Growth Inhibition and Apoptosis Due to Restoration of E2A Activity in T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Cells. *J Exp Med* (1999) 189(3):501–8. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.3.501
44. Wojciechowski J, Lai A, Kondo M, Zhuang Y. E2A and HEB are Required to Block Thymocyte Proliferation Prior to Pre-TCR Expression. *J Immunol* (2007) 178(9):5717–26. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.9.5717
45. Yan W, Young AZ, Soares VC, Kelley R, Benezra R, Zhuang Y. High Incidence of T-Cell Tumors in E2A-Null Mice and E2A/Id1 Double-Knockout Mice. *Mol Cell Biol* (1997) 17(12):7317–27. doi: 10.1128/MCB.17.12.7317
46. Hsu HL, Wadman I, Baer R. Formation of *In Vivo* Complexes Between the TAL1 and E2A Polypeptides of Leukemic T Cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1994) 91(8):3181–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.8.3181
47. O'Neil J, Shank J, Cusson N, Murre C, Kelliher M. TAL1/SCL Induces Leukemia by Inhibiting the Transcriptional Activity of E47/HEB. *Cancer Cell* (2004) 5(6):587–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2004.05.023
48. McCormack MP, Young LF, Vasudevan S, de Graaf CA, Codrington R, Rabbitts TH, et al. The Lmo2 Oncogene Initiates Leukemia in Mice by Inducing Thymocyte Self-Renewal. *Science* (2010) 327(5967):879–83. doi: 10.1126/science.1182378
49. Smith S, Tripathi R, Goodings C, Cleveland S, Mathias E, Hardaway JA, et al. LIM Domain Only-2 (LMO2) Induces T-Cell Leukemia by Two Distinct Pathways. *PLoS One* (2014) 9(1):e85883. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085883
50. Tremblay M, Herblot S, Lecuyer E, Hoang T. Regulation of pT Alpha Gene Expression by a Dosage of E2A, HEB, and SCL. *J Biol Chem* (2003) 278(15):12680–7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M209870200
51. Barndt R, Dai MF, Zhuang Y. A Novel Role for HEB Downstream or Parallel to the Pre-TCR Signaling Pathway During Alpha Beta Thymopoiesis. *J Immunol* (1999) 163(6):3331–43.
52. Zhuang Y, Cheng P, Weintraub H. B-Lymphocyte Development is Regulated by the Combined Dosage of Three Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Genes, E2A, E2-2, and HEB. *Mol Cell Biol* (1996) 16(6):2898–905. doi: 10.1128/MCB.16.6.2898
53. Robey E, Chang D, Itano A, Cado D, Alexander H, Lans D, et al. An Activated Form of Notch Influences the Choice Between CD4 and CD8 T Cell Lineages. *Cell* (1996) 87(3):483–92. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81368-9
54. Aplan PD, Jones CA, Chervinsky DS, Zhao X, Ellsworth M, Wu C, et al. An Scl Gene Product Lacking the Transactivation Domain Induces Bony Abnormalities and Cooperates With LMO1 to Generate T-Cell Malignancies in Transgenic Mice. *EMBO J* (1997) 16(9):2408–19. doi: 10.1093/emboj/16.9.2408
55. McGuire EA, Rintoul CE, Sclar GM, Korsmeyer SJ. Thymic Overexpression of Ttg-1 in Transgenic Mice Results in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia/Lymphoma. *Mol Cell Biol* (1992) 12(9):4186–96. doi: 10.1128/mcb.12.9.4186-4196.1992
56. Malissen M, Gillet A, Ardouin L, Bouvier G, Trucy J, Ferrier P, et al. Altered T Cell Development in Mice With a Targeted Mutation of the CD3-Epsilon Gene. *EMBO J* (1995) 14(19):4641–53. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb00146.x
57. Zhuang Y, Kim CG, Bartelmez S, Cheng P, Groudine M, Weintraub H. Helix-Loop-Helix Transcription Factors E12 and E47 are Not Essential for Skeletal or Cardiac Myogenesis, Erythropoiesis, Chondrogenesis, or Neurogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1992) 89(24):12132–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.24.12132
58. Gerby B, Veiga D, Kros J, Ouellette J, Fares I, Tremblay M, et al. High-Throughput Screening in Niche-Based Assay Identifies Compounds to Target Pre-Leukemic Stem Cells. *J Clin Invest* (2016) 126(12):4569–84. doi: 10.1172/JCI86489
59. Gautier L, Cope L, Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA. Affy-Analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip Data at the Probe Level. *Bioinformatics* (2004) 20(3):307–15. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg405
60. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis: A Knowledge-Based Approach for Interpreting Genome-Wide Expression Profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2005) 102(43):15545–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102
61. Wang H, Zou J, Zhao B, Johannsen E, Ashworth T, Wong H, et al. Genome-Wide Analysis Reveals Conserved and Divergent Features of Notch1/RBPJ Binding in Human and Murine T-Lymphoblastic Leukemia Cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2011) 108(36):14908–13. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1109023108
62. Hannah R, Joshi A, Wilson NK, Kinston S, Gottgens B. A Compendium of Genome-Wide Hematopoietic Transcription Factor Maps Supports the Identification of Gene Regulatory Control Mechanisms. *Exp Hematol* (2011) 39(5):531–41. doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2011.02.009
63. Salmon-Divon M, Dvinge H, Tammoja K, Bertone P. PeakAnalyzer: Genome-Wide Annotation of Chromatin Binding and Modification Loci. *BMC Bioinform* (2010) 11:415. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-415
64. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A Flexible Trimmer for Illumina Sequence Data. *Bioinformatics* (2014) 30(15):2114–20. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
65. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and Accurate Short Read Alignment With Burrows-Wheeler Transform. *Bioinformatics* (2009) 25(14):1754–60. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
66. Saunders CT, Wong WS, Swamy S, Becq J, Murray LJ, Cheetham RK, Strelka: Accurate Somatic Small-Variant Calling From Sequenced Tumor-Normal Sample Pairs. *Bioinformatics* (2012) 28(14):1811–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts271
67. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: Functional Annotation of Genetic Variants From High-Throughput Sequencing Data. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2010) 38(16):e164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq603

68. McLaren W, Pritchard B, Rios D, Chen Y, Flicek P, Cunningham F. Deriving the Consequences of Genomic Variants With the Ensembl API and SNP Effect Predictor. *Bioinformatics* (2010) 26(16):2069–70. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq330
69. Kim D, Perteu G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: Accurate Alignment of Transcriptomes in the Presence of Insertions, Deletions and Gene Fusions. *Genome Biol* (2013) 14(4):R36. doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36
70. McLeod C, Gout AM, Zhou X, Thrasher A, Rahbarinia D, Brady SW, et al. St. Jude Cloud: A Pediatric Cancer Genomic Data-Sharing Ecosystem. *Cancer Discov* (2021) 11(5):1082–99. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1230
71. Zhou X, Edmonson MN, Wilkinson MR, Patel A, Wu G, Liu Y, et al. Exploring Genomic Alteration in Pediatric Cancer Using ProteinPaint. *Nat Genet* (2016) 48(1):4–6. doi: 10.1038/ng.3466
72. Chervinsky DS, Lam DH, Melman MP, Gross KW, Aplan PD. Scid Thymocytes With TCRbeta Gene Rearrangements are Targets for the Oncogenic Effect of SCL and LMO1 Transgenes. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61(17):6382–7.
73. Campese AF, Garbe AI, Zhang F, Grassi F, Screpanti I, von Boehmer H. Notch1-Dependent Lymphomagenesis is Assisted by But Does Not Essentially Require Pre-TCR Signaling. *Blood* (2006) 108(1):305–10. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-01-0143
74. Bellavia D, Campese AF, Checquolo S, Balestri A, Biondi A, Cazzaniga G, et al. Combined Expression of Ptalpha and Notch3 in T Cell Leukemia Identifies the Requirement of preTCR for Leukemogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2002) 99(6):3788–93. doi: 10.1073/pnas.062050599
75. Aifantis I, Raetz E, Buonamici S. Molecular Pathogenesis of T-Cell Leukaemia and Lymphoma. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2008) 8(5):380–90. doi: 10.1038/nri2304
76. Fasseu M, Aplan PD, Chopin M, Boissel N, Bories JC, Soulier J, et al. P16ink4a Tumor Suppressor Gene Expression and CD3epsilon Deficiency But Not Pre-TCR Deficiency Inhibit TAL1-Linked T-Lineage Leukemogenesis. *Blood* (2007) 110(7):2610–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-01-066209
77. Bailis W, Pear WS. “The Molecular Basis of T Cell Development and How Epigenetic/Transcriptional Deregulation Leads to T-ALL”. In: C Bonifer and NP Cockerill, editors. *Transcriptional and Epigenetic Mechanisms Regulating Normal and Aberrant Blood Cell Development*, vol. p. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2014). p. 267–93.
78. Sanda T, Lawton LN, Barrasa MI, Fan ZP, Kohlhammer H, Gutierrez A, et al. Core Transcriptional Regulatory Circuit Controlled by the TAL1 Complex in Human T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Cancer Cell* (2012) 22(2):209–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.06.007
79. Wadman IA, Osada H, Grutz GG, Agulnick AD, Westphal H, Forster A, et al. The LIM-Only Protein Lmo2 is a Bridging Molecule Assembling an Erythroid, DNA-Binding Complex Which Includes the TAL1, E47, GATA-1 and Ldb1/NLI Proteins. *EMBO J* (1997) 16(11):3145–57. doi: 10.1093/emboj/16.11.3145
80. Simon C, Chagraoui J, Kros J, Gendron P, Wilhelm B, Lemieux S, et al. A Key Role for EZH2 and Associated Genes in Mouse and Human Adult T-Cell Acute Leukemia. *Genes Dev* (2012) 26(7):651–6. doi: 10.1101/gad.186411.111
81. Xi H, Schwartz R, Engel I, Murre C, Kersh GJ. Interplay Between RORgamma, Egr3, and E Proteins Controls Proliferation in Response to Pre-TCR Signals. *Immunity* (2006) 24(6):813–26. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.03.023
82. Engel I, Murre C. E2A Proteins Enforce a Proliferation Checkpoint in Developing Thymocytes. *EMBO J* (2004) 23(1):202–11. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600017
83. Lacombe J, Herblot S, Rojas-Sutterlin S, Haman A, Barakat S, Iscove NN, et al. Scf Regulates the Quiescence and the Long-Term Competence of Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Blood* (2010) 115(4):792–803. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-01-201384
84. Engel I, Johns C, Bain G, Rivera RR, Murre C. Early Thymocyte Development is Regulated by Modulation of E2A Protein Activity. *J Exp Med* (2001) 194(6):733–45. doi: 10.1084/jem.194.6.733
85. Lin YW, Nichols RA, Letterio JJ, Aplan PD. Notch1 Mutations are Important for Leukemic Transformation in Murine Models of Precursor-T Leukemia/Lymphoma. *Blood* (2006) 107(6):2540–3. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-07-3013
86. Schwartz R, Engel I, Fallahi-Sichani M, Petrie HT, Murre C. Gene Expression Patterns Define Novel Roles for E47 in Cell Cycle Progression, Cytokine-Mediated Signaling, and T Lineage Development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2006) 103(26):9976–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603728103
87. Torgovnick A, Heger JM, Liaki V, Isensee J, Schmitt A, Knittel G, et al. The Cdkn1a(SUPER) Mouse as a Tool to Study P53-Mediated Tumor Suppression. *Cell Rep* (2018) 25(4):1027–39.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.079
88. Soulier J, Clappier E, Cayuela JM, Regnault A, Garcia-Peydro M, Dombret H, et al. HOXA Genes are Included in Genetic and Biologic Networks Defining Human Acute T-Cell Leukemia (T-ALL). *Blood* (2005) 106(1):274–86. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-10-3900
89. Downing JR, Wilson RK, Zhang J, Mardis ER, Pui CH, Ding L, et al. The Pediatric Cancer Genome Project. *Nat Genet* (2012) 44(6):619–22. doi: 10.1038/ng.2287
90. Gu Z, Churchman ML, Roberts KG, Moore I, Zhou X, Nakitandwe J, et al. PAX5-Driven Subtypes of B-Progenitor Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Nat Genet* (2019) 51(2):296–307. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0315-5
91. Funato N, Ohtani K, Ohyama K, Kuroda T, Nakamura M. Common Regulation of Growth Arrest and Differentiation of Osteoblasts by Helix-Loop-Helix Factors. *Mol Cell Biol* (2001) 21(21):7416–28. doi: 10.1128/MCB.21.21.7416-7428.2001
92. Prabhu S, Ignatova A, Park ST, Sun XH. Regulation of the Expression of Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor P21 by E2A and Id Proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* (1997) 17(10):5888–96. doi: 10.1128/MCB.17.10.5888
93. Mingueneau M, Kreslavsky T, Gray D, Heng T, Cruse R, Ericson J, et al. The Transcriptional Landscape of Alphabeta T Cell Differentiation. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14(6):619–32. doi: 10.1038/ni.2590
94. Ohashi R, Angori S, Batavia AA, Rupp NJ, Ajioka Y, Schraml P, et al. Loss of CDKN1A mRNA and Protein Expression Are Independent Predictors of Poor Outcome in Chromophobe Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients. *Cancers* (2020) 12(2):465. doi: 10.3390/cancers12020465
95. Davies C, Hogarth LA, Dietrich PA, Bachmann PS, Mackenzie KL, Hall AG, et al. P53-Independent Epigenetic Repression of the P21waf1 Gene in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *J Biol Chem* (2011) 286(43):37639–50. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.272336
96. Bain G, Cravatt CB, Loomans C, Alberola-Ila J, Hedrick SM, Murre C. Regulation of the Helix-Loop-Helix Proteins, E2A and Id3, by the Ras-ERK MAPK Cascade. *Nat Immunol* (2001) 2(2):165–71. doi: 10.1038/84273
97. Engel I, Murre C. Disruption of Pre-TCR Expression Accelerates Lymphomagenesis in E2A-Deficient Mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2002) 99(17):11322–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.162373999
98. Liao MJ, Zhang XX, Hill R, Gao J, Qumsiyeh MB, Nichols W, et al. No Requirement for V(D)J Recombination in P53-Deficient Thymic Lymphoma. *Mol Cell Biol* (1998) 18(6):3495–501. doi: 10.1128/MCB.18.6.3495
99. Kreslavsky T, Gleimer M, Miyazaki M, Choi Y, Gagnon E, Murre C, et al. β -Selection-Induced Proliferation Is Required for $\alpha\beta$ T Cell Differentiation. *Immunity* (2012) 37(5):840–53. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.020
100. Wong GW, Knowles GC, Mak TW, Ferrando AA, Zuniga-Pflucker JC. HES1 Opposes a PTEN-Dependent Check on Survival, Differentiation, and Proliferation of TCRbeta-Selected Mouse Thymocytes. *Blood* (2012) 120(7):1439–48. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-12-395319
101. Jacobs H, Ossendorp F, de Vries E, Ungewiss K, von Boehmer H, Borst J, et al. Oncogenic Potential of a Pre-T Cell Receptor Lacking the TCR Beta Variable Domain. *Oncogene* (1996) 12(10):2089–99.
102. dos Santos NR, Rickman DS, de Reynies A, Cormier F, Williams M, Blanchard C, et al. Pre-TCR Expression Cooperates With TEL-JAK2 to Transform Immature Thymocytes and Induce T-Cell Leukemia. *Blood* (2007) 109(9):3972–81. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-09-048801
103. Allman D, Karnell FG, Punt JA, Bakkour S, Xu L, Myung P, et al. Separation of Notch1 Promoted Lineage Commitment and Expansion/Transformation in Developing T Cells. *J Exp Med* (2001) 194(1):99–106. doi: 10.1084/jem.194.1.99
104. Reschly EJ, Spaulding C, Vilimas T, Graham WV, Brumbaugh RL, Aifantis I, et al. Notch1 Promotes Survival of E2A-Deficient T Cell Lymphomas

- Through Pre-T Cell Receptor-Dependent and -Independent Mechanisms. *Blood* (2006) 107(10):4115–21. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-09-3551
105. Ikawa T, Kawamoto H, Goldrath AW, Murre C. E Proteins and Notch Signaling Cooperate to Promote T Cell Lineage Specification and Commitment. *J Exp Med* (2006) 203(5):1329–42. doi: 10.1084/jem.20060268
106. Yashiro-Ohtani Y, He Y, Ohtani T, Jones ME, Shestova O, Xu L, et al. Pre-TCR Signaling Inactivates Notch1 Transcription by Antagonizing E2A. *Genes Dev* (2009) 23(14):1665–76. doi: 10.1101/gad.1793709
107. Miyazaki M, Miyazaki K, Chen S, Chandra V, Wagatsuma K, Agata Y, et al. The E-Id Protein Axis Modulates the Activities of the PI3K-AKT-Mtorc1-Hif1a and C-Myc/p19Arf Pathways to Suppress Innate Variant TFH Cell Development, Thymocyte Expansion, and Lymphomagenesis. *Genes Dev* (2015) 29(4):409–25. doi: 10.1101/gad.255331.114
108. Girard N, Tremblay M, Humbert M, Grondin B, Haman A, Labrecque J, et al. RARalpha-PLZF Oncogene Inhibits C/EBPalpha Function in Myeloid Cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2013) 110(33):13522–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1310067110
109. Pabst T, Mueller BU, Zhang P, Radomska HS, Narravula S, Schnittger S, et al. Dominant-Negative Mutations of CEBPA, Encoding CCAAT/enhancer Binding Protein-Alpha (C/EBPalpha), in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Nat Genet* (2001) 27(3):263–70. doi: 10.1038/85820
110. Mueller BU, Pabst T, Osato M, Asou N, Johansen LM, Minden MD, et al. Heterozygous PU.1 Mutations are Associated With Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Blood* (2002) 100(3):998–1007. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-12-3903
111. Familiades J, Bousquet M, Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Bene MC, Beldjord K, De Vos J, et al. PAX5 Mutations Occur Frequently in Adult B-Cell Progenitor Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and PAX5 Haploinsufficiency is Associated With BCR-ABL1 and TCF3-PBX1 Fusion Genes: A GRAALL Study. *Leukemia* (2009) 23(11):1989–98. doi: 10.1038/leu.2009.135
112. Braunstein M, Anderson MK. HEB in the Spotlight: Transcriptional Regulation of T-Cell Specification, Commitment, and Developmental Plasticity. *Clin Dev Immunol* (2012) 2012:678705. doi: 10.1155/2012/678705
113. Abbas T, Dutta A. P21 in Cancer: Intricate Networks and Multiple Activities. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2009) 9(6):400–14. doi: 10.1038/nrc2657
114. Li T, Kon N, Jiang L, Tan M, Ludwig T, Zhao Y, et al. Tumor Suppression in the Absence of P53-Mediated Cell-Cycle Arrest, Apoptosis, and Senescence. *Cell* (2012) 149(6):1269–83. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.026
115. Wen H, Li Y, Xi Y, Jiang S, Stratton S, Peng D, et al. ZMYND11 Links Histone H3.3K36me3 to Transcription Elongation and Tumour Suppression. *Nature* (2014) 508(7495):263–8. doi: 10.1038/nature13045
116. Ramdzan ZM, Nepveu A. CUX1, a Haploinsufficient Tumour Suppressor Gene Overexpressed in Advanced Cancers. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2014) 14(10):673–82. doi: 10.1038/nrc3805
117. Xie S, Xia L, Song Y, Liu H, Wang ZW, Zhu X. Insights Into the Biological Role of NEDD4L E3 Ubiquitin Ligase in Human Cancers. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:774648. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.774648

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Veiga, Tremblay, Gerby, Herblot, Haman, Gendron, Lemieux, Zúñiga-Pflücker, Hébert, Cohen and Hoang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.