#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wen-Hung Chung, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan

REVIEWED BY Kristina Seiffert-Sinha, University at Buffalo, United States Kelly Nordyke Messingham, The University of Iowa, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yukie Yamaguchi Xyui1783@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Immunological Tolerance and Regulation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 17 October 2022 ACCEPTED 07 February 2023 PUBLISHED 20 February 2023

#### CITATION

Watanabe T and Yamaguchi Y (2023) Cutaneous manifestations associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1071983. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1071983

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Watanabe and Yamaguchi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Cutaneous manifestations associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors

#### Tomoya Watanabe and Yukie Yamaguchi\*

Department of Environmental Immuno-Dermatology, Yokohama City University School of Medicine, Yokohama, Japan

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are monoclonal antibodies that block key mediators of tumor-mediated immune evasion. The frequency of its use has increased rapidly and has extended to numerous cancers. ICIs target immune checkpoint molecules, such as programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), PD ligand 1 (PD-L1), and T cell activation, including cytotoxic T-lymphocyteassociated protein-4 (CTLA-4). However, ICI-driven alterations in the immune system can induce various immune-related adverse events (irAEs) that affect multiple organs. Among these, cutaneous irAEs are the most common and often the first to develop. Skin manifestations are characterized by a wide range of phenotypes, including maculopapular rash, psoriasiform eruption, lichen planuslike eruption, pruritus, vitiligo-like depigmentation, bullous diseases, alopecia, and Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. In terms of pathogenesis, the mechanism of cutaneous irAEs remains unclear. Still, several hypotheses have been proposed, including activation of T cells against common antigens in normal tissues and tumor cells, increased release of proinflammatory cytokines associated with immune-related effects in specific tissues/organs, association with specific human leukocyte antigen variants and organ-specific irAEs, and acceleration of concurrent medication-induced drug eruptions. Based on recent literature, this review provides an overview of each ICI-induced skin manifestation and epidemiology and focuses on the mechanisms underlying cutaneous irAEs.

#### KEYWORDS

CTLA-4, cutaneous manifestation, epidemiology, immune checkpoint inhibitors, immune-related adverse events, PD-1, PD-L1

### 1 Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), which are monoclonal antibodies that block key mediators of tumor-mediated immune evasion, were initially approved for treating patients with unresectable malignant melanoma (MM) in 2014, and their frequency of use has rapidly increased in numerous cancers. ICIs target immune checkpoint molecules, such as programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), PD ligand 1 (PD-L1), and T cell activation, including cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) (1). Anti-PD-1 agents

(cemiplimab, dostarlimab nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and tislelizumab), anti-PD-L1 agents (atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab), and anti-CTLA4 agents (ipilimumab and tremelimumab) have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency (Table 1). PD-1 is an immune checkpoint receptor expressed on antigen-stimulated T-cells, and PD-L1 is a ligand of PD-1 (2). In contrast, CTLA-4 is an inhibitory receptor expressed on the surface of activated T cells that prevents the binding of CD28 to CD80 and CD86, which are stimulatory receptors. Blockage of PD-1 and/or CTLA-4 can lead to the stimulation and augmentation of anti-tumor effects *via* the activation of tumor-specific cytotoxic T-cells and inhibition of regulatory T cells (Tregs) (2). These treatments constitute one of the most effective strategies for anti-cancer therapy (3).

However, alterations in the immune system induced by these drugs can lead to various immune-related adverse events (irAEs) specific to ICI treatment. IrAEs can affect multiple organs such as the skin, thyroid gland, adrenal glands, pituitary gland, gut, liver, and lungs (4, 5). Among these, cutaneous irAEs are the most common and often the first to develop (6). To achieve the most favorable outcomes for patients with cancer, an early and accurate diagnosis of irAEs is essential for management, including discontinuation of ICIs and/or the addition of immunosuppressive agents such as systemic corticosteroids. Therefore, dermatologists should be aware of various types of cutaneous irAEs, regardless of whether they are common or rare. In contrast, the mechanism of cutaneous irAEs remains unclear; however, several hypotheses have been proposed based on recent findings.

In this review, we focus on the clinical presentations, mechanisms, and management of various cutaneous irAEs.

### 2 The function of PD-1 and CTLA-4

PD-1 is an inhibitory receptor expressed on the surface of activated T and B cells that induces and maintains peripheral tolerance against self-reactive T cells (7, 8). PD-1 interacts with

PD-L1 and PD-L2, which are expressed on antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and tumor cells, resulting in the suppression of T-cell activation and tumor-mediated immune evasion. Inhibition of PD-1 enhances T cell effector function and activation of B cells and natural killer cells, while PD-1 blockade inhibits the suppressive function of Tregs in anti-tumor immunity. Furthermore, PD-L1 and PD-L2 play different roles in the immune response (9). In APCs, stimulation with interferon (IFN)-γ and interleukin (IL)-17A strongly induces PD-L1 expression, whereas PD-L2 expression is induced by stimulation with IL-4. PD-L1 plays an important role in Th1 and Th17 type immunity, while PD-L2 is associated with Th2 type immunity. Therefore, PD-1 blockade may shift the immune balance toward a Th1/Th17 response (9). Furthermore, a recent study revealed that the binding of PD-1, PD-L2, and PD-1-PD-L1 triggered the clustering of PD-1 with T cell receptor (TCR), resulting in the formation of TCR-PD-1-PD-L2 signalosomes. This signalosome suppresses T-cell responses. Similar to the effect of anti-PD-L1 agents, PD-L2 blockade may exert anti-tumor effects, although no therapeutic agents target PD-L2 (10). In the future, anti-PD-L2 agents are expected to be used to treat all types of cancer. CTLA-4 is expressed on the surfaces of activated T cells and Tregs. It can bind to B7 molecules (CD80/86) on APCs with a higher affinity and impede CD28 and B7 binding, suppressing T-cell activation by reducing IL-2 and IL-2 receptor expression (11, 12). Moreover, CTLA-4 expression in Tregs mediates immune inhibitory effects (13). CTLA-4 blocking impedes the binding of CTLA-4 to B7 and induces the binding of CD28 and B7 to reactivate T cells. It also decreases the immune-inhibitory effects of Tregs and further reduces the number of Tregs in tumor tissues via antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (14).

Tumors and the tumor microenvironment (TME) express multiple inhibitory pathways and related molecules, resulting in T-cell dysfunction and immune escape. Although the blockage of PD-1 and/or CTLA-4 can promote the activation of T cells and exert an effective anti-tumor function, the exuberant activation of self-reactive T cells with the resultant autoimmunity is presumed to be an irAE (8).

TABLE 1 Immune checkpoint inhibitors approved by the Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency.

Immune checkpoint inhibitor	Trade name®	Target for immunotherapy
Ipilimumab	Yervoy®	CTLA-4
Nivolumab	Opdivo®	PD-1
Pembrolizumab	Keytruda <sup>®</sup>	PD-1
Cemiplimab	Libtayo®	PD-1
Dostarlimab	Jemperli®	PD-1
Tislelizumab	No data	PD-1
Atezolizumab	Tecentriq®	PD-L1
Avelumab	Bavencio®	PD-L1
Durvalumab	Imfinzi®	PD-L1

CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4.

PD-1, programmed cell death 1.

PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand 1.

02

#### 3 Mechanisms of cutaneous irAEs

The pathophysiological mechanisms of ICI-induced cutaneous irAEs are mainly unknown; however, skin manifestations are thought to occur *via* several immunological mechanisms. The proposed mechanisms include (1) activation of T cells against common antigens in tumor cells and normal tissues, (2) increased release of inflammatory cytokines and antibodies associated with immune-related effects in specific tissues and organs, (3) association with specific human leukocyte antigen (HLA) variants and organ-specific irAEs, and (4) acceleration of concurrent medication-induced drug eruptions.

#### 3.1 Activation of T cells against common antigens in target tumor cells and normal tissues

This mechanism is involved in the cross-reactivity between antigens on ICI-targeting tumor cells and self-antigens in normal tissues. Several studies have shown an association between the appearance of vitiligo, a cutaneous irAE, and the response to treatment with ICIs in patients with melanoma (15-18). Vitiligo is associated with cross-reactivity between melanoma-related antigens and the melanocytes in normal tissues, both of which are possible targets of ICI-induced immune responses (19). In addition, the onset of bullous pemphigoid (BP) may be caused by crossreactivity between the skin basement membrane and the targeting of BP180 on tumor cells (20). In the analysis of patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with anti-PD-1 agents, T cells that recognize both lung tumor tissues and antigens in normal skin simultaneously target both organs. These antigens can stimulate CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells in vitro. Furthermore, antigenspecific T cells detected in the peripheral blood were found in the skin lesions and lung tumor tissues of patients treated with an anti-PD-1 agent. Therefore, T-cell clones can interfere with autoimmunity-related skin toxicity in patients with NSCLC treated with anti-PD-1 agent, as well as with tumor regression in patients who respond well to treatment (21).

These reports indicate that the development of cutaneous irAEs is associated with the blockade of common antigens that are coexpressed on both tumor cells and the dermo-epidermal junction and/or other parts of the skin. However, not all tumor tissues have potent neoantigens, and tissue-specific antigens can, in principle, support strong anti-tumor T cell responses with autoimmunity as a toxic skin effect.

# 3.2 Increased release of proinflammatory cytokines and antibodies *via* activation of T cells and B cells

This mechanism may involve various immune cells, such as T and B cells. The blockade of PD-1 and CTLA-4 enhances Th1 and Th17 cell activity (22, 23). Th17 cells produce IL-17A and IL-22,

which encourage neutrophil recruitment and the proliferation of epidermal keratinocytes. Thus, ICIs can promote a secondary increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines via Th17 cells, resulting in the exacerbation or induction of psoriasis. At the onset of ICIinduced lichen planus (LP), anti-PD-1 agents increase T cell proliferation and IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-2 production in patients with oral LP (24). It has been shown that nivolumab treatment upregulated granzyme B and IFN-y in the responding lesions in patients with metastatic melanoma (25). PD-1 is also expressed on major human B-cell subsets, including naive and memory B cells, and the expression of PD-L1 is induced by TLR9 activation. Blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway increases B-cell activation, proliferation, and production of disease-specific autoantibodies, such as anti-BP180 antibody which is involved in BP (26). These reports suggest that the increased release of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-2, IL-17A, and IL-22, and B cell activation with the production of autoantibodies by ICIs treatment are associated with immunerelated damage in specific tissues and organs.

# 3.3 The association with HLA variants and the specific irAEs

Specific HLA variants can serve as useful markers of autoimmune diseases. Indeed, the frequency of specific HLA is higher in patients with irAEs than in healthy controls (27, 28). Among cutaneous irAEs, 102 patients with metastatic cancer who received ICIs treatment were significantly associated with HLA-DRB1\*11:01 and pruritus (OR = 4.53, X<sub>2</sub> 1,95 = 9.45, *P* < 0.01) (29). This result indicates that HLA-DRB1\*11:01 may be a useful predictive marker for the development of pruritus in patients treated with ICIs, suggesting a genetic etiology for irAEs. However, the specific mechanism underlying HLA-associated irAEs remains unclear. Meanwhile, a large cohort study of 530 patients who received ICIs revealed that irAEs in particular organs and tissues might be associated with certain HLA types (HLA-DRB3\*01:01 and thrombocytopenia, HLA-DPB1\*04:02 and hypokalemia/hyponatremia, leukopenia and anemia, HLA-A\*26:01 and bilirubin elevation); however, HLA heterogeneity has no significant influence on the occurrence of irAEs. In contrast, organ-specific irAEs are strongly involved in multiple HLA variants (27, 28). The molecular mimetic process is tissue-specific, so HLA that presents certain self-peptides may only be associated with some subtypes of irAE. Therefore, HLA types might be useful biomarkers in irAE risk assessments, but it is difficult to identify the association between HLA variants and the occurrence of irAEs. Heterogeneityinducing mechanisms, such as epitope spread, loss of self-tolerance, and increased inflammatory cytokines, influence the different subtypes of irAEs (30). Further research is required to identify the role of HLA in risk assessment and the occurrence of irAEs.

#### 3.4 Allergic mechanisms

MPR, LP/LP-like eruptions, and SJS/TEN/DIHS/DRESS caused by ICIs are partly considered to involve type IV hypersensitivity reactions. ICIs can induce cutaneous reactions through type IV hypersensitivity. In contrast, patients treated with ICIs develop cutaneous irAEs from other concomitant medications, which may resemble the clinical presentation of cutaneous irAEs (31). The administration of ICIs may have triggered an immune response to concomitant medications that were previously tolerated, resulting in the induction of cutaneous irAEs. Indeed, in a retrospective study, 80% of patients who developed lichenoid eruptions after treatment with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents simultaneously consumed drugs previously reported to induce lichenoid eruptions (32). The detailed mechanisms remain unclear, but it is speculated to be due to the enhancement of inflammatory response *via* the activation of the immune system, including T cells and APCs, and the inhibition of the suppressive function of Tregs by PD-1 blockage (9, 32). Thus, ICIs may accelerate concurrent medication-induced drug eruptions.

#### 4 Epidemiology of cutaneous irAEs

The incidence of cutaneous irAEs ranges from 30 to 60% in patients treated with ICIs (33-37). In contrast, the frequency of cutaneous irAEs differed according to the ICI administered. Anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy has a higher incidence of cutaneous irAEs (44-59%) than anti-PD-1 (34-42%) and anti-PD-L1 monotherapy (up to 20%), whereas combination therapy with anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 agents has the highest incidence (59-72%) (38, 39). In the severity analysis, cutaneous irAEs were observed in approximately 25% of patients treated with anti-CTLA-4 agents, of which 2.4% were grade 3 and 4 (severe to life-threatening) (40). The incidence of cutaneous irAEs of grades 3 and 4 is much higher during treatment with anti-PD-L1 monotherapy (7.2%) than with anti-PD-1 monotherapy (2.3%) or anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy (4.7%) (41). In combination therapy, anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 therapies were associated with the highest incidence (14.5%) compared to anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 therapies (5.4%) (41). Furthermore, the prevalence of cutaneous irAEs depends on the type of cancer that is treated with ICIs; cutaneous irAEs are more likely to occur in MM than in NSCLC (odds ratio [OR] 1.8, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.4-2.3) and renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2-2.1) (42). Different tumor types have different incidences and severities of cutaneous irAEs, even though the same ICIs are used for treatment. The reasons for this observation are not clear, but the TME, immune infiltrate, adaptive immune response, and neoantigen formation may be affected by histology and therefore explain the different skin toxicities (43, 44).

#### 5 Severity of cutaneous irAEs

The American Society of Clinical Oncology has established a grading system for the severity of cutaneous irAEs. This system provides appropriate guidelines for the management of cutaneous irAEs according to the involvement of body surface area (BSA) and additional manifestations. Cutaneous irAEs were classified based on histologic and clinical severity and the percentage of BSA involvement (Table 2) (45).

#### 6 Clinical presentation

#### 6.1 Maculopapular rash

MPR is the most frequent cutaneous irAE and occurs relatively early (39, 46). Rashes reported as irAEs often include MPR. A typical MPR presents as faint erythematous macules and papules that coalesce into plaques. Rashes are generally observed in the trunk and extremities, whereas flexural skin, scalp, palms, and face are rarely involved (Figure 1A). Histopathological features revealed superficial perivascular dermatitis with infiltration of CD4predominant T cells and eosinophils (47, 48). The incidence rate of MPR differed for each of the ICIs. Anti-CTLA-4 agents are associated with a higher risk of MPR than anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 agents. Approximately 49-68% of patients receiving anti-CTLA-4 agents can develop MPR of any grade, compared to 20% of patients receiving anti- PD1/PDL-1 agents (40, 48, 49). Skin rashes are widespread; however, almost all patients present with self-limiting MPR, which can be treated with ICIs. However, MPR sometimes occurs as an early manifestation of severe cutaneous irAEs such as the initial presentation of BP, Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS)/toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), or drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome (DIHS)/drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS). The patient should be carefully followed-up for blister formation, mucositis, epidermal detachment, high fever, or swollen lymph nodes from a few days to a week after the onset of such eruptions. In mild cases, MPR is usually treated with topical corticosteroids, emollients, and oral antihistamine drugs. However,

Grade	Representative Conditions	
1	Asymptomatic with macules/papules covering majorly <10% of BSA	
2	Macules/papules covering 10% to 30% of BSA can be symptomatic as well as asymptomatic.	
3	About >30% of BSA is covered. The appearance of macules/papules with or without symptoms.	
4	It is the most severe cutaneous response and can be life-threatening, such as SJS, TEN, and bullous dermatitis involving about >30% of BSA. Intensive care should be taken for proper management.	

BSA, body surface area.

SJS, Stevens-Johnson Syndrome.

TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis.



FIGURE 1

erythema plaques on the gluteal region and lower limbs. (C) Lichen planus-like eruption. Erythematous patches with scale and hyperkeratosis on the hands and lower limbs. (D) Vitiligo. Depigmented macules developing into plagues on the forearm. irAEs, immune-related cutaneous adverse events. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any identifiable images or data included in this article.

systemic corticosteroids (0.5-1 mg/kg/day) are administered in approximately 20% of MPR, and it is estimated that some cases are refractory to treatment with symptomatic therapy (50). Systemic corticosteroids should be considered in patients with systemic symptoms such as fever or widespread erythema multiforme.

#### 6.2 Xerosis and eczematous conditions

Xerosis is often observed in patients treated with anti-PD-1 agents. Various types of eczematous dermatitis are often induced by xerosis, particularly during winter. Clinical manifestations often include itchy, poorly demarcated, and erythematous macules and papules that coalesce into plaques and patches on the trunk and extremities, whereas seborrheic dermatitis-like lesions are observed on the face (51). Patients with xerosis are encouraged to moisten their entire body using topical emollients. Eczematous dermatitis is treated with topical corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, and oral antihistamine drugs, depending on the eczematous condition.

#### 6.3 Psoriasis/psoriasiform eruption

Psoriasiform dermatitis induced by ICIs can be divided into two types: new-onset psoriasis (de novo psoriasis) and worsening existing psoriasis (reactivated psoriasis) (52). Data from the European Network for Cutaneous Adverse Events to Oncologic Drugs revealed that of the 115 ICI-induced psoriasis cases, 30% had reactivated psoriasis, and 70% had de novo psoriasis (52). The patients received either anti-PD-1 (86.1%) or anti-PD-L1 (13.9%) agents (53). The typical manifestation appears as scaly erythematous plaques with well-defined borders on the trunk and extremities, while in some cases, palms/soles are involved, and small-sized rashes present as guttate-type psoriasis (Figure 1B) (54). Histopathological features resemble spontaneous psoriasis, which shows parakeratosis, loss of the granular layer, acanthosis with elongation of the rete ridges, and perivascular lymphocytic infiltration. However, lichenoid features, spongiosis, and infiltration of eosinophils can be observed in ICIinduced psoriasis (55, 56). ICIs augment Th1 and Th17 activities, resulting in the production of IL-17, which plays an important role in the pathogenesis of psoriasis (22, 23). Interestingly, patients with reactivated psoriasis tend to develop cutaneous findings early after initiation of ICI therapy compared with those with de novo psoriasis (50 days vs. 91 days) (57). Regardless of the type of psoriasis, ICI-induced psoriasis is treated with topical corticosteroids, vitamin D3 analogs, and narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy (50, 57). If lesions persist despite these treatments, systemic treatments such as methotrexate, apremilast, retinoids, and biologics can be administered (50, 57-59). However, biologics, particularly tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  inhibitors, are contraindicated in patients with active malignancy. In contrast, the use of infliximab for other life-threatening irAEs has been reported, and data on its use in ICI-induced psoriasis are purely descriptive. Hence, IL-17 or IL-23 inhibitors may be preferable.

LP/LP-like eruptions are more often observed in patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents than in those treated with CTLA-4 agents (60, 61). Clinically, LP/LP-like eruptions are observed in 0.5-6% of patients treated with ICIs (48, 62, 63). In a single-institution cohort study, LP/LP-like eruptions affected 17% of patients with metastatic melanoma treated with anti-PD-1 agents (64). Rashes generally show itchy, red to violaceous, flat-topped papules or plaques on the extremities and trunk (Figure 1C) (32, 60, 64). Interestingly, unlike spontaneous LP, ICI-induced LP-like eruptions are rarely observed in the oral mucosa (60). Histopathological features included hyperkeratosis, interface changes with dense band-like superficial infiltration of lymphocytes, and keratinocyte apoptosis in the basal layer of the epidermis. Unlike typical LP, epidermal spongiosis, parakeratosis, eosinophils, and necrosis are observed in ICI-induced LP-like eruptions (60, 61, 64). Furthermore, gene expression profiling and immunohistochemical staining showed upregulation of toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR4 and increased CD14+ and CD16+ monocytes in patients with lichenoid dermatitis. Thus, the innate immune response may be involved in the onset of LP/LP-like eruptions via the activation of CD14/TLR signaling (65). The treatment of LP/LP-like eruptions mainly consists of topical corticosteroids, which allow the continuation of ICIs therapy. In intractable cases, systemic treatment, including oral corticosteroids, retinoids, cyclosporine, and narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy, has also been reported to be effective in intractable cases (38, 66).

#### 6.5 Vitiligo

Vitiligo is an autoimmune disease characterized by loss of melanocyte function in the epidermis. ICI-induced vitiligo is frequently observed in melanoma patients, whereas other cancers are less commonly reported. Vitiligo affects 2–9% of patients with melanoma treated with anti-CTLA-4 agents and 7–11% of those treated with anti-PD-1 agents or combination therapy (38). Unlike idiopathic vitiligo, the clinical features of ICI-induced vitiligo are characterized by its occurrence in photo-exposed areas, which consist of flecked macules that coalesce into

patches without koebnerization (Figure 1D) (67). In the treatment with anti-PD-1 agents, depigmentation is induced by the activation of CD8+ cytotoxic T cells against melanoma-associated antigens (MART-1/MelanA, gp100, and tyrosinase-related proteins 1 and 2) shared by normal melanocytes and melanomas (18, 68). Unfortunately, no definitive treatment for ICI-induced vitiligo has been reported, and most cases do not improve after ICI discontinuation. However, the onset of depigmentation during ICI therapy is significantly associated with favorable results in melanoma (69, 70).

#### 6.6 Bullous diseases

Autoimmune bullous diseases are rare cutaneous irAEs characterized by autoantibodies against basement membrane proteins BP180 and BP230 (71-76). The incidence of autoimmune bullous diseases, including BP, bullous lichenoid dermatitis, and linear IgA bullous dermatosis, is approximately 1% in patients administered anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents (77). The clinical manifestations of ICI-induced BP include tense bullae and erythematous erosions on the trunk and extremities, while involvement of the mucous membrane is less frequent (Figure 2) (77, 78). The histopathological features of ICI-induced BP are similar to those of spontaneous BP, which shows subepidermal blistering with eosinophilic infiltration and linear deposition of complement component 3 (C3) and immunoglobulin G (IgG) in the basement membrane zone on direct immunofluorescence (73). Blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway enhances B cell activation, resulting in the production of autoantibodies such as anti-BP180 antibody and inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, basement membrane components are also expressed in cancers and in the production of autoantibodies against different epitopes (crossreactivity), thus causing ICIs to induce the development of BP (20). Mild cases are generally treated with a combination of highpotency topical corticosteroids and doxycycline with or without niacinamide (79). Severe cases typically require systemic corticosteroids (0.5-1.0 mg/kg/day prednisone) that are slowly tapered over the course of 1-2 months and the addition of rituximab, which is a B cell-depleting anti-CD20 antibody (77, 79, 80). The development of BP is linked to favorable tumor response to anti-PD-1 agents and decreased mortality (81); however, other studies did not support this finding (20).



FIGURE 2

Bullous pemphigoid eruption. Multiple small tense bullae on extremities. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any identifiable images or data included in this article.

## 6.7 Alopecia

Alopecia is less frequent but represents a significant proportion of irAEs. The incidence of ICI-induced alopecia is approximately 1–2% (82–84). ICI-induced alopecia shows a phenotype similar to alopecia areata, in which hair follicles are impaired by CD8+ T-cells (85). The clinical manifestations of alopecia vary, ranging from well-circumscribed patches or diffuse hair loss on the scalp to alopecia universalis (Figure 3) (86). Histopathological examination revealed a perifollicular lymphocytic inflammation. The hair follicle dermal sheath expresses PD-L1, and PD-1 blockade directly induces alopecia areata, alopecia totalis, or alopecia universalis *via* CD4+ and CD8+ T cell-mediated immune response (85). Alopecia is usually treated with intralesional and topical corticosteroids as well as systemic immunomodulators (86, 87).

#### 6.8 Pruritus

Pruritus is the second most common cutaneous irAE associated with ICIs. The overall incidence of pruritus is reported to be 13-20% (38, 88). Symptoms are commonly grade 1 or 2 in severity, with high-grade pruritus rarely reported (<2% of patients) (46, 88). In another report, pruritus affected 14-47% of patients, of whom the highest incidence was observed in patients receiving anti-CTLA-4 agents (25-36%) and combination therapy (33-47%) (38). The onset of pruritus is associated with a specific HLA. In an analysis of 102 patients receiving anti-PD-1, anti-CTLA-4, or combination therapy, a significant correlation was found between HLA-DRB1\*11:01 and pruritus (29). Pruritus often complicates other skin changes such as erosions, ulcerations, hyperpigmentation, or prurigo nodules, but it can also occur independently of any other skin changes. Pruritus is commonly observed on the trunk and scalp, whereas the face, soles, anterior neck, and genitalia are rarely involved (38, 89). Pruritus is mainly treated with oral antihistamine drugs and emollients with or without topical corticosteroids (35, 90). In grades 3 and 4 severity, severe itchiness affects the quality of life and sometimes requires discontinuation of ICIs (35, 90).

#### 6.9 Scleroderma

ICI-induced scleroderma-like lesions are rare cutaneous irAEs (Figure 4). Terrier et al. summarized 10 cases (5 males and 5 females), which consisted of 6 cases of systemic sclerosis (2 limited and 4 diffuse types) and 4 cases of morphea (2 localized and 2 generalized types) (91). The ICIs administered to these 10 patients included nivolumab in 4 cases and pembrolizumab in 6 cases (91). Interestingly, sclerotic skin changes were observed more rapidly with pembrolizumab than with nivolumab. This result indicates that inhibition of PD-1/PD-L1 may be associated with the onset of scleroderma-like lesions. Indeed, blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway induces macrophage polarization to the profibrotic M2 type, resulting in excessive production of extracellular matrix proteins via fibroblast activation (92). Th17 is also involved in the pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis, and blockage of PD-1 leads to a shift in the immune balance toward a Th1/Th17 response (9). Regarding the treatment of scleroderma-like lesions, six patients with generalized skin lesions were treated with high-dose corticosteroids, and almost all showed improvement in skin thickness (92).

#### 6.10 SJS/TEN/DIHS/DRESS

Severe cutaneous drug eruptions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), are rare with ICIs treatment, but life-threatening cutaneous adverse drug reactions are characterized by high fever, widespread detachment of the epidermis, and erosions, and mucositis. However, the incidence of ICI-induced SJS/TEN remains unknown. To date, 20 cases of SJS/TEN have been reported, including 12, six, and two cases associated with the use of pembrolizumab, nivolumab, and atezolizumab, respectively (37). The clinical and histopathological features of ICI-induced SJS/TEN were similar to those of SJS/TEN caused by other drugs (Figure 5). It has been speculated that PD-L1 is typically undetectable in epidermal keratinocytes, but ICI treatment increases PD-L1 expression, which induces apoptosis of PDL-1 expressing keratinocytes by activated cytotoxic CD8+ T cells



#### FIGURE 3

Alopecia areata. Circumscribed patches of hair loss on the parietal region. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any identifiable images or data included in this article.



FIGURE 4

Scleroderma. (A) Skin thickening and hardening causing the stiffness of the fingers and hands. (B) Skin thickening and hardening with pigmentation on the trunk. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any identifiable images or data included in this article.

(93). In addition, ICI-induced skin damage shows a similar gene expression profile as SJS/TEN from other causative drugs, with increased expression of inflammatory chemokines, cytotoxic mediators (perforin and granzyme B), and apoptosis-promoting molecules (Fas Ligand) (94, 95). Furthermore, the dysfunction of Tregs and enhancement of co-stimulatory factors are associated with SJS/TEN pathogenesis. Degranulation of CTL and NK cells, which induces apoptosis of keratinocytes, has been implicated in the association between CD49/NKG2C and HLA-E. Additional implicated factors include Fas/FasL, PD-L1-expressing T cells and epidermal cells, and CD40/CD40L interactions at the dermalepidermal junction (96, 97). In the management of SJS/TEN, it should be discontinued. Treatment with high-dose corticosteroids (0.5-1.0 mg/kg/day prednisone) is recommended because the mechanism of adverse events involves T-cell immunodirected toxicity. In addition to systemic corticosteroids, adjuvant therapies based on a combination of immunosuppressive agents, such as oral cyclosporine, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), and/or plasmapheresis therapy, have been proposed (98). However, the effect of immunosuppressive agents on cancer is unknown; therefore, the decision to use immunosuppressive agents should be

made in consultation with the oncologist in charge. The mortality rates of SJS and TEN are 10% and 50%, respectively (94).

Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome (DIHS)/drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) can also be induced by ICIs but are rare cutaneous irAEs. In the three published cases of ICI-induced DIHS/DRESS, the causative ICIs consisted of one case of use of nivolumab and one case of use of ipilimumab (99–101). Patients developed renal and hepatic involvement, although steroid-responsive multiorgan dysfunction could have occurred due to either DIHS/DRESS or direct ICIs toxicity. For the management of DIHS/DRESS, discontinuation of ICIs and administration of systemic corticosteroids (1.0 mg/kg/day prednisone) a required. In contrast to SJS/TEN, systemic corticosteroids should be tapered slowly over at least 6–8 weeks to reduce the risk of recurrence.

# 7 Time to onset of cutaneous irAEs

The time from the initiation of ICIs treatment to the onset of irAEs is generally weeks to months. Among the various irAEs,



cutaneous irAEs are the earliest complications to develop (47, 102). However, the duration from the initiation of ICIs to the onset of cutaneous irAEs differs depending on the type of skin rash. Figure 6 summarizes the time to the onset of each cutaneous irAE. MPR occurs within the first 3-6 weeks of ICIs treatment initiation (40, 48, 49). Similar to MPR, psoriasiform rash appears three weeks after the initiation of ICIs treatment (54, 57). In contrast, other studies have shown that the time from ICIs treatment initiation to psoriasis development ranges from 5- to 12 weeks (53, 103). These differences may be due to de novo or reactivated psoriasis (57). The onset of LP/LP-like eruptions occurs later than that of psoriasiform rash and MPR, with an onset ranging from 6 to 12 weeks (34, 48). In another report, LP/LP-like eruptions occurred anywhere from 3 to 52 weeks after the initiation of ICIs treatment (32). The occurrence of vitiligo is characterized by late onset, with the time to development varying from seven weeks to several months (median onset time of approximately 26 weeks) after ICIs initiation (46, 104, 105). The onset of alopecia is also delayed, occurring within 12-24 weeks of the initiation of ICIs treatment (83). The time to the onset of BP eruptions also varied according to previous reports. Previously, BP eruptions had been reported to occur at 13-15 weeks (48), but more recent studies have reported that they can occur anywhere between 3- and 80 weeks after ICI therapy initiation (71, 72, 78). Pruritus can develop 1- to 27 weeks after initiating ICIs (106). Since the onset of ICI-induced scleroderma is very rare, the detailed time from ICI initiation to onset is unknown. However, in 10 published cases, the time from ICIs therapy initiation to scleroderma onset ranged from 6- to 48 weeks (91, 107-110). The onset of severe cutaneous adverse

reactions, including SJS, TEN, and DIHS/DRESS, varies from one – to 20 weeks (94). The average onset time is reported to be 8.9 weeks for SJS and 5.4 weeks for TEN; however, they can occur within a week of the first administration of ICIs (111). Interestingly,

different types of skin eruptions can develop in the same individual at different times, depending on the type of lesion.

# 8 Association with cutaneous irAEs and prognosis

Patients who develop irAEs are generally considered to have a high anti-tumor response. In patients with malignant melanoma treated with nivolumab, overall survival was improved in patients who developed irAEs and in those with a higher number of irAEs (16). Interestingly, in a large cohort analysis of the association between cutaneous irAEs and survival rates within six months of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy, the incidence of cutaneous irAEs correlated with decreased mortality (112). Furthermore, cutaneous irAEs often precede irAEs in other organs and are expected to be biomarkers for the development of secondary irAEs and overall survival. Thompson et al. examined the clinical types of cutaneous irAEs and other organ irAEs and found an association between mucosal lesions and overall irAEs as well as psoriasis-like skin rash and endocrine organ irAEs (113). In a retrospective study, lichenoid and spongiotic dermatitis were identified as biomarkers of favorable tumor response in patients receiving anti-PD- 1/PD-L1 therapy (114). Another study focused on the histopathology of cutaneous irAEs and their prognosis. Hirotsu et al. showed associations between vacuolar lesions and pneumonia, psoriasis-like histology and musculoskeletal and multiple other organ irAEs, and bullous histology and ipilimumab-nivolumab combination therapy (114). Spongiform changes and lichenoid reactions are associated with progressionfree survival and decreased mortality, whereas vacuolar degeneration and superficial perivascular dermatitis increase the risk of mortality (115).



Time to onset of cutaneous immune-related cutaneous adverse events. MPR, Maculopapular rash; SJS, Stevens-Johnson Syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis; DIHS, Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome; DRESS, Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms; irAEs, immune-related cutaneous adverse events.

## 9 Conclusions

ICIs have been approved for many advanced malignancies and will be developed and used for more malignancies in the future. Although cutaneous irAEs are the most common adverse events, most cases are mild (grades 1 and 2), allowing continuous treatment with ICIs. In contrast, rare and severe cutaneous irAEs such as SJS/ TEN and DIHS/DRESS should be carefully considered for discontinuation of ICIs and treatment. To achieve the most favorable outcomes for patients with cancer, early and accurate diagnosis of irAEs is essential to implement management steps, including discontinuation of ICIs and/or addition of immunosuppressive agents such as systemic corticosteroids. Furthermore, as recent studies have shown, a detailed diagnosis of cutaneous irAEs may provide useful information regarding patient prognosis and biomarkers for predicting subsequent irAEs and their risk factors. Therefore, dermatologists should be aware of many types of cutaneous features, whether they are common or rare, treatment strategies for cutaneous irAEs, and their mechanisms of action.

#### Author contributions

YY contributed to determining the content of each section and edited the manuscript. TW collected data and wrote each section of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by a grant for academic research from Yokohama City University (Yokohama, Japan).

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for the English language editing.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD. Immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. J Clin Oncol (2015) 33:1974–82. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.59.4358

2. Carlino MS, Larkin J, Long GV. Immune checkpoint inhibitors I melanoma. Lancet (2021) 398:1002–14. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01206-X

3. Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani R, Tatiparti K, Bhise K, Kashaw SK, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 checkpoint signaling inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: Mechanism, combinations, and clinical outcome. *Front Pharmacol* (2017) 8:561. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00561

4. Arnaud-Coffin P, Maillet D, Gan HK, Stelmes JJ, You B, Dalle S, et al. A systematic review of adverse events in randomized trials assessing immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Int J Cancer* (2019) 145:639–48. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32132

5. Gu L, Khadaroo PA, Su H, Kong L, Chen L, Wang X, et al. The safety and tolerability of combined immune checkpoint inhibitors (anti-PD-1/PD-L1 plus anti-CTLA-4): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19:559. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5785-z

6. Jin KT, Wang SB, Ying XJ, Lan HR, Lv JQ, Zhang LH, et al. Immune-mediated adverse effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors and their management in cancer. *Immunol Lett* (2020) 221:61–71. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2020.02.008

7. Okazaki T, Honjo T. PD-1 and PD-1 ligands: From discovery to clinical application. Int Immunol (2007) 19:813-24. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxm057

8. Dai S, Jia R, Zhang X, Fang Q, Huang L. The PD-1/PD-Ls pathway and autoimmune diseases. *Cell Immunol* (2014) 290:72–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2014.05.006

9. Tanaka R, Ichimura Y, Kubota N, Saito A, Nakamura Y, Ishitsuka Y, et al. Differential involvement of programmed cell death ligands in skin immune responses. *J Invest Dermatol* (2022) 142:145–54.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2021.06.026

10. Takehara T, Wakamatsu E, Machiyama H, Nishi W, Emoto K, Azuma M, et al. PD-L2 suppresses T cell signaling *via* coinhibitory microcluster formation and SHP2 phosphatase recruitment. *Commun Biol* (2021) 4(1):581. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02111-3

11. Alegre ML, Frauwirth KA, Thompson CB. T-Cell regulation by CD28 and CTLA-4. Nat Rev Immunol (2001) 1:220–8. doi: 10.1038/35105024

12. Phan GQ, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Hwu P, Topalian SL, Schwartzentruber DJ, et al. Cancer regression and autoimmunity induced by cytotoxic T lymphocyteassociated

antigen 4 blockade in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2003) 100:8372.e7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1533209100

13. Takahashi T, Tagami T, Yamazaki S, Uede T, Shimizu J, Sakaguchi N, et al. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by CD25(b)CD4(b) regulatory T cells constitutively expressing cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4. *J Exp Med* (2000) 192:303.e10. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.2.303

14. Zhang P, Xiong X, Rolfo C, Du X, Zhang Y, Yang H, et al. Mechanism- and immune landscape-based ranking of therapeutic responsiveness of 22 major human cancers to next generation anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12:284. doi: 10.3390/cancers12020284

15. Lo JA, Fisher DE, Flaherty KT. Prognostic significance of cutaneous adverse events associated with pembrolizumab therapy. *JAMA Oncol* (2015) 1:1340–1. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.2274

16. Freeman-Keller M, Kim Y, Cronin H, Richards A, Gibney G, Weber JS. Nivolumab in resected and unresectable metastatic melanoma: Characteristics of immune-related adverse events and association with outcomes. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22:886–94. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1136

17. Teulings HE, Limpens J, Jansen SN, Zwinderman AH, Reitsma JB, Spuls PI, et al. Vitiligo-like depigmentation in patients with stage III–IV melanoma receiving immunotherapy and its association with survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* (2015) 33:773–81. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.4756

18. Hua C, Boussemart L, Mateus C, Routier E, Boutros C, Cazenave H, et al. Association of vitiligo with tumor response in patients with metastatic melanoma treated with pembrolizumab. *JAMA Dermatol* (2016) 152:45–51. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.2707

19. Huang SK, Okamoto T, Morton DL, Hoon DS. Antibody responses to melanoma/melanocyte autoantigens in melanoma patients. *J Invest Dermatol* (1998) 111:662-7. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.1998.00354.x

20. Tsiogka A, Bauer JW, Patsatsi A. Bullous pemphigoid associated with antiprogrammed cell death protein 1 and anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 therapy: A review of the literature. *Acta Derm Venereol* (2021) 101:adv00377. doi: 10.2340/ 00015555-3740 21. Berner F, Bomze D, Diem S, Ali OH, Fässler M, Ring S, et al. Association of checkpoint inhibitor-induced toxic effects with shared cancer and tissue antigens in non-small cell lung cancer. *JAMA Oncol* (2019) 5:1043–7. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0402

22. Dulos J, Carven GJ, van Boxtel SJ, Evers S, Driessen-Engels LJ, Hobo W, et al. PD-1 blockade augments Th1 and Th17 and suppresses Th2 responses in peripheral blood from patients with prostate and advanced melanoma cancer. *J Immunother* (2012) 35:169–78. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0b013e318247a4e7

23. Sarnaik AA, Yu B, Yu D, Morelli D, Hall M, Bogle D, et al. Extended dose ipilimumab with a peptide vaccine: Immune correlates associated with clinical benefit in patients with resected high-risk stage IIIc/IV melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* (2011) 17:896–906. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2463

24. Zhou G, Zhang J, Ren XW, Hu JY, Du GF, Xu XY. Increased B7-H1 expression on peripheral blood T cells in oral lichen planus correlated with disease severity. *J Clin Immunol* (2012) 32:794–801. doi: 10.1007/s10875-012-9683-2

25. Anegawa H, Otsuka A, Kaku Y, Nonomura Y, Fujisawa A, Endo Y, et al. Upregulation of granzyme b and interferon- $\gamma$  mRNA in responding lesions by treatment with nivolumab for metastatic melanoma: A case report. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* (2016) 30:e231–2. doi: 10.1111/jdv.13567

26. Thibult ML, Mamessier E, Gertner-Dardenne J, Pastor S, Just-Landi S, Xerri L, et al. PD-1 is a novel regulator of human b-cell activation. *Int Immunol* (2013) 25:129–37. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxs098

27. Inaba H, Ariyasu H, Iwakura H, Ueda Y, Kurimoto C, Uraki S, et al. Comparative analysis of human leucocyte antigen between idiopathic and anti-PD-1 antibody induced isolated adrenocorticotropic hormone deficiency: A pilot study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* (2019) 91:786–92. doi: 10.1111/cen.14082

28. Kobayashi T, Iwama S, Sugiyama D, Yasuda Y, Okuji T, Ito M, et al. Antipituitary antibodies and susceptible human leukocyte antigen alleles as predictive biomarkers for pituitary dysfunction induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Immunother Cancer* (2021) 9:e002493. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-002493

29. Hasan Ali O, Berner F, Bomze D, Fässler M, Diem S, Cozzio A, et al. Human leukocyte antigen variation is associated with adverse events of checkpoint inhibitors. *Eur J Cancer* (2019) 107:8–14. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.11.009

30. Jiang N, Yu Y, Zhang M, Tang Y, Wu D, Wang S, et al. Association between germ-line HLA and immune-related adverse events. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:952099. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2022.952099

31. Okiyama N, Tanaka R. Immune-related adverse events in various organs caused by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Allergol Int* (2022) 71:169–78. doi: 10.1016/j.alit.2022.01.001

32. Shi VJ, Rodic N, Gettinger S, Leventhal JS, Neckman JP, Girardi M, et al. Clinical and histologic features of lichenoid mucocutaneous eruptions due to anti-programmed cell death 1 and anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 immunotherapy. *JAMA Dermatol* (2016) 152:1128–36. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2016.2226

33. Ellis SR, Vierra AT, Millsop JW, Lacouture ME, Kiuru M. Dermatologic toxicities to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: A review of histopathologic features. J Am Acad Dermatol (2020) 83:1130-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.04.105

34. Geisler AN, Phillips GS, Barrios DM, Wu J, Leung DYM, Moy AP, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-related dermatologic adverse events. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2020) 83:1255–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.132

35. Quach HT, Johnson DB, LeBoeuf NR, Zwerner JP, Dewan AK. Cutaneous adverse events caused by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2021) 85:956–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.09.054

36. Malviya N, Tattersall IW, Leventhal J, Alloo A. Cutaneous immune-related adverse events to checkpoint inhibitors. *Clin Dermatol* (2020) 38:660–78. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2020.06.011

37. Bhardwaj M, Chiu MN, Sah SP. Adverse cutaneous toxicities by PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors: Pathogenesis, treatment, and surveillance. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* (2022) 20:1–18. doi: 10.1080/15569527.2022.2034842

38. Sibaud V. Dermatologic reactions to immune checkpoint inhibitors: Skin toxicities and immunotherapy. *Am J Clin Dermatol* (2018) 19:345–61. doi: 10.1007/ s40257-017-0336-3

39. Collins LK, Chapman MS, Carter JB, Samie FH. Cutaneous adverse effects of the immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Curr Probl Cancer* (2017) 41:125–8. doi: 10.1016/ j.currproblcancer.2016.12.001

40. Minkis K, Garden BC, Shenhong W, Pulitzer MP, Lacouture ME. The risk of rash associated with ipilimumab in patients with cancer: A systemic review of the literature and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol (2013) 69:e121–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2012.12.963

41. El Osta B, Hu F, Sadek R, Chintalapally R, Tang SC. Not all immune-checkpoint inhibitors are created equal: Meta-analysis and systematic review of immune-related adverse events in cancer trials. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2017) 119:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.09.002

42. Khoja L, Day D, Wei-Wu Chen T, Siu LL, Hansen AR. Tumour- and classspecific patterns of immune-related adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review. *Ann Oncol* (2017) 28:2377–85. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx286

43. Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJ, Robert L, et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* (2014) 515:568–71. doi: 10.1038/nature13954

44. Khoja L, Kibiro M, Metser U, Gedye C, Hogg D, Butler MO, et al. Patterns of response to anti-PD-1 treatment: An exploratory comparison of four radiological

response criteria and associations with overall survival in metastatic melanoma patients. Br J Cancer (2016) 115:1186–92. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2016.308

45. Brahmer JR, Lacchetti C, Schneider BJ, Atkins MB, Brassil KJ, Caterino JM, et al. Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol (2018) 36:1714–68. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.77.6385

46. Sibaud V, Meyer N, Lamant L, Vigarios E, Mazieres J, Delord JP. Dermatologic complications of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint antibodies. *Curr Opin Oncol* (2016) 28:254–63. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000290

47. Weber JS, Kähler KC, Hauschild A. Management of immune-related adverse events and kinetics of response with ipilimumab. *J Clin Oncol* (2012) 30:2691–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.41.6750

48. Curry JL, Tetzlaff MT, Nagarajan P, Drucker C, Diab A, Hymes SR, et al. Diverse types of dermatologic toxicities from immune checkpoint blockade therapy. *J Cutan Pathol* (2017) 44:158–76. doi: 10.1111/cup.12858

49. Bottlaender I., Amini-Adle M, Maucort-Boulch D, Robinson P, Thomas L, Dalle S. Cutaneous adverse events: A predictor of tumour response under anti-PD-1 therapy for metastatic melanoma, a cohort analysis of 189 patients. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* (2020) 34:2096–105. doi: 10.1111/jdv.16311

50. Coleman E, Ko C, Dai F, Tomayko MM, Kluger H, Leventhal JS. Inflammatory eruptions associated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: A single-institution retrospective analysis with stratification of reactions by toxicity and implications for management. J Am Acad Dermatol (2019) 80:990–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.10.062

51. Yamamoto T. Skin manifestation induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* (2022) 15:829–41. doi: 10.2147/CCID.S364243

52. Matsumura N, Ohtsuka M, Kikuchi N, Yamamoto T. Exacerbation of psoriasis during nivolumab therapy for metastatic melanoma. *Acta Derm Venereol* (2016) 96:259–60. doi: 10.2340/00015555-2212

53. Nikolaou V, Sibaud V, Fattore D, Sollena P, Ortiz-Brugués A, Giacchero D, et al. Im- mune checkpoint-mediated psoriasis: A multicenter European study of 115 patients from the European network for cutaneous adverse event to oncologic drugs (ENCADO) group. J Am Acad Dermatol (2021) 84:1310–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.08.137

54. Ohtsuka M, Miura T, Mori T, Ishikawa M, Yamamoto T. Occurrence of psoriasiform eruption during nivolumab therapy for a patient with mucosal melanoma. *JAMA Dermatol* (2015) 151:797–9. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.0249

55. Ruiz-Bañobre J, Abdulkader I, Anido U, Leon L, Lopez-Lopez R, Garcia-Gonzalez J. Development of *de novo* psoriasis during nivolumab therapy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: immunohistochemical analyses and clinical outcome. *APMIS* (2017) 125:259–63. doi: 10.1111/apm.12658

56. Balak DM, Hajdarbegovic E. Drug-induced psoriasis: clinical perspectives. Psoriasis (Auckl) (2017) 7:87–94. doi: 10.2147/PTT.S126727

57. Bonigen J, Raynaud-Donzel C, Hureaux J, Kramkimel N, Blom A, Jeudy G, et al. Anti-PD1-induced psoriasis: A study of 21 patients. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* (2017) 31:e254–7. doi: 10.1111/jdv.14011

58. Lidar M, Giat E, Garelick D, Horowitz Y, Amital H, Steinberg-Silman Y, et al. Rheumatic manifestations among cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Autoimmun Rev* (2018) 17:284–9. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2018.01.003

59. Fattore D, Annunziata MC, Panariello L, Marasca C, Fabbrocini G. Successful treatment of psoriasis induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors with apremilast. *Eur J Cancer* (2019) 110:107–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.01.010

60. Tetzlaff MT, Nagarajan P, Chon S, Huen A, Diab A, Omar P, et al. Lichenoid dermatologic toxicity from immune checkpoint blockade therapy: A detailed examination of the clinicopathologic features. *Am J Dermatopathol* (2017) 39:121–9. doi: 10.1097/DAD.000000000000688

61. Schaberg KB, Novoa RA, Wakelee HA, Kim J, Cheung C, Srinivas S, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of lichenoid reactions in patients treated with anti-PD-L1 and anti-PD-1 therapy. *J Cutan Pathol* (2016) 43:339–46. doi: 10.1111/cup.12666

62. Phillips GS, Wu J, Hellmann MD, Postow MA, Rizvi NA, Freites-Martinez A, et al. Treatment outcomes of immune-related cutaneous adverse events. *J Clin Oncol* (2019) 37:2746–58. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.02141

63. Kaunitz GJ, Loss M, Rizvi H, Ravi S, Cuda JD, Bleich KB, et al. Cutaneous eruptions in patients receiving immune checkpoint blockade: clinicopathologic analysis of the nonlichenoid histologic pattern. *Am J Surg Pathol* (2017) 41:1381–9. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0000000000000000

64. Hwang SJE, Carlos G, Wakade D, Byth K, Kong BY, Chou S, et al. Cutaneous adverse events (AEs) of anti-programmed cell death (PD)-1 therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma: a single-institution cohort. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2016) 74:455–61.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2015.10.029

65. Curry JL, Reuben A, Szczepaniak-Sloane R, Ning J, Milton DR, Lee CH, et al. Gene expression profiling of lichenoid dermatitis immune-related adverse event from immune checkpoint inhibitors reveals increased CD14+ and CD16+ monocytes driving an innate immune response. *J Cutan Pathol* (2019) 49: 627–36. doi: 10.1111/cup.13454

66. Fixsen E, Patel J, Selim MA, Kheterpal M. Resolution of pembrolizumabassociated steroid-refractory lichenoid dermatitis with cyclosporine. *Oncologist* (2019) 24:e103-5. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0531

67. Larsabal M, Marti A, Jacquemin C, Rambert J, Thiolat D, Dousset L, et al. Vitiligo-like lesions occurring in patients receiving anti-programmed cell death-1

therapies are clinically and biologically distinct from vitiligo. J Am Acad Dermatol (2017) 76:863–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016.10.044

68. Quaglino P, Marenco F, Osella-Abate S, Cappello N, Ortoncelli M, Salomone B, et al. Vitiligo is an independent favourable prognostic factor in stage III and IV. *Ann Oncol* (2010) 21:409–14. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdp325

69. Lommerts JE, Bekkenk MW, Luiten RM. Vitiligo induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors in melanoma patients: an expert opinion. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* (2021) 20:883–8. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2021.1915279

70. Quach HT, Dewan AK, Davis EJ, Ancell KK, Fan R, Ye F, et al. Association of antiprogrammed cell death 1 cutaneous toxic effects with outcomes in patients with advanced melanoma. *JAMA Oncol* (2019) 5:906–8. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0046

71. Hanley T, Papa S, Saha M. Bullous pemphigoid associated with ipilimumab therapy for advanced metastatic melanoma. *JRSM Open* (2018) 9:2054270418793029. doi: 10.1177/2054270418793029

72. Kuwatsuka Y, Iwanaga A, Kuwatsuka S, Okubo Y, Murayama N, Ishii N, et al. Bullous pemphigoid induced by ipilimumab in a patient with metastatic malignant melanoma after unsuccessful treatment with nivolumab. *J Dermatol* (2018) 45:e21–2. doi: 10.1111/1346-8138.14043

73. Naidoo J, Schindler K, Querfeld C, Busam K, Cunningham J, Page DB, et al. Autoimmune bullous skin disorders with immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 and PD-L1. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2016) 4:383–9. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0123

74. Biolo G, Caroppo F, Salmaso R, Alaibac M. Linear bullous lichen planus associated with nivolumab. *Clin Exp Dermatol* (2019) 44:67–8. doi: 10.1111/ced.13700

75. Zumelzu C, Alexandre M, Le Roux C, Weber P, Guyot A, Levy A, et al. Mucous membrane pemphigoid, bullous pemphigoid, and anti-programmed death-1/ programmed death-ligand 1: A case report of an elderly woman with mucous membrane pemphigoid developing after pembrolizumab therapy for metastatic melanoma and review of the literature. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2018) 5:268. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2018.00268

76. Haug V, Behle V, Benoit S, Kneitz H, Schilling B, Goebeler M, et al. Pembrolizumab-associated mucous membrane pemphigoid in a patient with merkel cell carcinoma. *Br J Dermatol* (2018) 179:993–4. doi: 10.1111/bjd.16780

77. Siegel J, Totonchy M, Damsky W, Berk-Krauss J, Castiglione FJr., Sznol M, et al. Bullous disorders associated with anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 therapy: A retrospective analysis evaluating the clinical and histopathologic features, frequency, and impact on cancer therapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2018) 79:1081–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.07.008

78. Fässler M, Rammlmair A, Feldmeyer L, Suter VGA, Gloor AD, Horn M, et al. Mucous membrane pemphigoid and lichenoid reactions after immune checkpoint inhibitors: common pathomechanisms. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* (2020) 34:e112–5. doi: 10.1111/jdv.16036

79. Damsky W, Kole I, Tomayko MM. Development of bullous pemphigoid during nivolumab therapy. JAAD Case Rep (2016) 2:442–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jdcr.2016.05.009

80. Ridpath AV, Rzepka PV, Shearer SM, Scrape SR, Olencki TE, Kaffenberger BH. Novel use of combination therapeutic plasma exchange and rituximab in the treatment of nivolumab-induced bullous pemphigoid. *Int J Dermatol* (2018) 57:1372–4. doi: 10.1111/ijd.13970

81. Nelson CA, Singer S, Chen T, Puleo AE, Lian CG, Wei EX, et al. Bullous pemphigoid after anti-PD-1 therapy: A retrospective case-control study evaluating impact on tumor response and survival outcomes. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2022) 87:1400–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2019.12.068

82. Jaber SH, Cowen EW, Haworth LR, Booher SL, Berman DM, Rosenberg SA, et al. Skin reactions in a subset of patients with stage IV melanoma treated with anticytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 monoclonal antibody as a single agent. *Arch Dermatol* (2006) 142:166–72. doi: 10.1001/archderm.142.2.166

83. Zarbo A, Belum VR, Sibaud V, Oudard S, Postow MA, Hsieh JJ, et al. Immunerelated alopecia (areata and universalis) in cancer patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Br J Dermatol* (2017) 176:1649–52. doi: 10.1111/bjd.15237

84. Guidry J, Brown M, Medina T. PD-1 inhibitor induced alopecia areata. *Dermatol Online J* (2018) 24:130/30qt2vj8b7cv. doi: 10.5070/D32412042449

85. Lacouture M, Sibaud V. Toxic side effects of targeted therapies and immunotherapies affecting the skin, oral mucosa, hair, and nails. *Am J Clin Dermatol* (2018) 19(Suppl 1):31–9. doi: 10.1007/s40257-018-0384-3

86. Antoury L, Maloney NJ, Bach DQ, Goh C, Cheng K. Alopecia areata as an immune-related adverse event of immune checkpoint inhibitors: A review. *Dermatol Ther* (2020) 33:e14171. doi: 10.1111/dth.14171

87. Strazzulla LC, Wang EHC, Avila L, Lo Sicco K, Brinster N, Christiano AM, et al. Alopecia areata: an appraisal of new treatment approaches and overview of current therapies. J Am Acad Dermatol (2018) 78:15–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2017.04.1142

88. Apalla Z, Papageorgiou C, Lallas A, Delli F, Fotiadou C, Kemanetzi C, et al. Cutaneous adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors: A literature review. *Dermatol Pract Concept* (2021) 11:e2021155. doi: 10.5826/dpc.1101a155

89. Phillips GS, Freites-Martinez A, Wu J, Chan D, Fabbrocini G, Hellmann MD, et al. Clinical characterization of immunotherapy-related pruritus among patients seen in 2 oncodermatology clinics. *JAMA Dermatol* (2019) 155:249–51. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.4560

90. Wu J, Lacouture ME. Pruritus associated with targeted anticancer therapies and their management. *Dermatol Clin* (2018) 36:315–24. doi: 10.1016/j.det.2018.02.010

91. Terrier B, Humbert S, Preta LH, Delage L, Razanamahery J, Laurent-Roussel S, et al. Risk of scleroderma according to the type of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Autoimmun Rev* (2020) 19:102596. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102596

92. Kurasawa K, Hirose K, Sano H, Endo H, Shinkai H, Nawata Y, et al. Increased interleukin-17 production in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* (2000) 43:2455-63. doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(200011)43:11<2455::AID-ANR12>3.0.CO;2-K

93. Vivar KL, Deschaine M, Messina J, Divine JM, Rabionet A, Patel N, et al. Epidermal programmed cell death-ligand 1 expression in TEN associated with nivolumab therapy. *J Cutan Pathol* (2017) 44:381–4. doi: 10.1111/cup.12876

94. Chen CB, Wu MY, Ng CY, Lu CW, Wu J, Kao PH, et al. Severe cutaneous adverse reactions induced by targeted anticancer therapies and immunotherapies. *Cancer Manag Res* (2018) 10:1259–73. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S163391

95. Goldinger SM, Stieger P, Meier B, Micaletto S, Contassot E, French LE, et al. Cytotoxic cutaneous adverse drug reactions during anti-PD-1 therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22:4023–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2872

96. Hsu YO, Lu KL, Fu Y, Wang CW, Lu CW, Lin YF, et al. The roles of immunoregulatory networks in severe drug hypersensitivity. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:597761. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.597761

97. Caproni M, Torchia D, Schincaglia E, Volpi W, Frezzolini A, Schena D, et al. The CD40/CD40 ligand system is expressed in the cutaneous lesions of erythema multiforme and stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis spectrum. *Br J Dermatol* (2006) 154:319–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.07023.x

98. Saw S, Lee HY, Ng QS. Pembrolizumab-induced stevens-Johnson syndrome in non-melanoma patients. *Eur J Cancer* (2017) 81:237–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2017.03.026

99. Lu J, Thuraisingam T, Chergui M, Nguyen K. Nivolumab associated DRESS syndrome: a case report. JAAD Case Rep (2019) 5:216–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jdcr.2018.11.017

100. Voskens CJ, Goldinger SM, Loquai C, Robert C, Kaehler KC, Berking C, et al. The price of tumor control: an analysis of rare side effects of anti-CTLA-4 therapy in metastatic melanoma from the ipilimumab network. *PLos One* (2013) 8:e53745. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053745

101. Mirza S, Hill E, Ludlow SP, Nanjappa S. Checkpoint inhibitor associated drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptom syndrome. *Melanoma Res* (2017) 27:271–3. doi: 10.1097/CMR.00000000000326

102. Tivol EA, Borriello F, Schweitzer AN, Lynch WP, Bluestone JA, Sharpe AH. Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4. *Immunity* (1995) 3:541–7. doi: 10.1016/1074-7613(95)90125-6

103. Voudouri D, Nikolaou V, Laschos K, Charpidou A, Soupos N, Triantafyllopoulou I, et al. Anti-PD1/PDL1 induced psoriasis. *Curr Probl Cancer* (2017) 41:407–12. doi: 10.1016/j.currproblcancer.2017.10.003

104. Guida M, Strippoli S, Maule M, Quaglino P, Ramondetta A, Chiaron Sileni V, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor associated vitiligo and its impact on survival in patients with metastatic melanoma: An Italian melanoma intergroup study. *ESMO Open* (2021) 6:100064. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100064

105. Postow MA. Managing immune checkpoint-blocking antibody side effects. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book (2015) 35:76–83. doi: 10.14694/EdBook\_AM.2015.35.76

106. Hofmann L, Forschner A, Loquai C, Goldinger SM, Zimmer L, Ugurel S, et al. Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, hepatic, endocrine, and renal side-effects of anti-PD-1 therapy. *Eur J Cancer* (2016) 60:190–209. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2016.02.025

107. Shenoy N, Esplin B, Barbosa N, Wieland C, Thanarajasingam U, Markovic S. Pembrolizumab induced severe sclerodermoid reaction. *Ann Oncol* (2017) 28:432–3. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw543

108. Barbosa NS, Wetter DA, Wieland CN, Shenoy NK, Markovic SN, Thanarajasingam U. Scleroderma induced by pembrolizumab: A case series. *Mayo Clin Proc* (2017) 92:1158–63. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2017.03.016

109. Tjarks BJ, Kerkvliet AM, Jassim AD, Bleeker JS. Scleroderma-like skin changes induced by checkpoint inhibitor therapy. J Cutan Pathol (2018) 45:615–8. doi: 10.1111/cup.13273

110. Cho M, Nonomura Y, Kaku Y, Nakabo S, Endo Y, Otsuka A, et al. Scleroderma-like syndrome associated with nivolumab treatment in malignant melanoma. *J Dermatol* (2019) 46:e43–4. doi: 10.1111/1346-8138.14492

111. Maloney NJ, Ravi V, Cheng K, Bach DQ, Worswick S. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis-like reactions to checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic review. *Int J Dermatol* (2020) 59:e183–8. doi: 10.1111/ijd.14811

112. Tang K, Seo J, Tiu BC, Le TK, Pahalyants V, Raval NS, et al. Association of cutaneous immune-related adverse events with increased survival in patients treated with anti-programmed cell death 1 and anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 therapy. *JAMA Dermatol* (2022) 158:189–93. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.5476

113. Thompson LL, Krasnow NA, Chang MS, Yoon J, Li EB, Polyakov NJ, et al. Patterns of cutaneous and non-cutaneous immune-related adverse events among patients with advanced cancer. *JAMA Dermatol* (2021) 157:577–82. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.0326

114. Min Lee CK, Li S, Tran DC, Zhu GA, Kim J, Kwong BY, et al. Characterization of dermatitis after PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy and association with multiple oncologic outcomes: A retrospective case-control study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2018) 79:1047–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.05.035

115. Hirotsu KE, Scott MKD, Marquez C, Tran AT, Rieger KE, Novoa RA, et al. Histologic subtype of cutaneous immune-related adverse events predicts overall survival in patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2022) 87:651–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2021.11.050